Calculating Threshold Current Density and Output Power of Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Laser
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Abstract—In this paper, threshold current density and output power of the vertical cavity surface emitting laser is analytically calculated as a function of different electrical and optical parameters, and their interdependency is also studied. Quantum confinement factor and doping density can greatly influence the threshold current, and hence the power, which, in turn, depends on the mirror surface smoothness, i.e., reflectivity. Results suggest that increase of active region dimension enhances threshold current density, which reduces output power level. Increase of doping density increases threshold current at the cost of higher dark current. Hence an optimization is required based on the application point of view.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Vertical cavity surface emitting laser is one of the most improved optical transmitters for photonic integrated circuit [1]. Its performance can be analyzed by tuning the structural parameters of the multiple quantum well embedded in the active region, and also by tuning the various design parameters [2-3]. Resonant cavity or this structure is along the perpendicular plane of the active layer, and electromagnetic wave resonates between the mirrors to allow photons to emit within a very narrow passage of the active medium. It is now used in very low dispersion window (1470 - 1610 nm) for optical fiber communication [4].


In this paper, threshold current density and output power per unit area of the VCSEL is analytically estimated as function of device dimension, doping density, confinement factors and reflectivities of the top and bottom surfaces. Interdependencies of the various parameters are studied for optimized design.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

For a Febry-Perot type VCSEL with linear gain approximation, threshold current density can be expressed as

\[
J_{th} = \frac{q d B_{eff}}{L \xi A_0} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{eff} L_{eff} + 1}{(2L \xi A_0) \ln(1/R_{b1} R_{b2}) + N_r} \right] \tag{1}
\]

where d is the active region thickness, B_{eff} is recombination constant, A_0 is differential gain coefficient, L_{eff} is effective cavity length, \alpha_{eff} is the effective absorption loss, L is cavity length, R_{b1} and R_{b2} are the reflectivities of the two surfaces,
N_t is the transparency current density, ζ is the confinement factor.

The variation of output power with threshold current density may be expressed as

\[
P_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\eta i h \nu}{q \ln(1/R_{b1} R_{b2})} \times \frac{1}{[2\alpha L + \ln(1/R_{b1} R_{b2})]} (J - J_{th})
\] (2)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig 1 shows the threshold current density with active region width for different confinement factors. Profile shows a linear nature which suggests that current density can be estimated at any thickness if nature of the slope is known from the available dataset. It is seen from the plot that the reduction of confinement increases current density. This is due to the fact that lower confinement increases loss factor of a Feby-Perot cavity which in turn, decreases the effective gain, and thus the threshold current density. Unity value of the factor suggests that thickness of active quantum well region is uniform, whereas a slight deviation from unity value gives non-uniformity in the well which gives lower probability of escaping carriers, hence threshold current density increases.

Fig 2 shows the threshold current density with active region thickness for different transparency concentration. This concentration is required to obtain transparency current density, which effectively increase threshold current. It effectively adds with the equivalent carrier concentration responsible for optical gain in a Feby-Perot cavity, and thus with increase of transparency concentration, threshold current density increases.

Fig 3 shows the output power per unit area as a function of current density for different reflectivities of the mirror surfaces. It is observed from the plot that with decrease of reflectivity, power reduces as it increases the loss factor. For lower range of current density, power reduces exponentially, whereas the rate decreases for higher magnitude of current.
The difference of mirror reflectivity becomes almost indistinguishable for higher current.
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**Fig 3:** Output power per unit area with threshold current density for different reflectivity

IV. CONCLUSION

From the result, it has been observed that increase in thickness of active region increases threshold current density, which in turn, reduces output power per unit area. Hence for higher power requirement, current density should be minimized, which is not desirable for all cases. Again, it can be increased by reducing confinement factor, which is not good from application point of view. Increase of doping density increases threshold current, which increases dark current. This is also undesirable. Hence a proper optimization of design parameters is required for better performance.
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