iJRASET
International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH
IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: XI Month of publication: November 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.75786

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue XI Nov 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Uncertainty-Aware Alzheimer's Disease Detection Using Bayesian Convolutional Neural Networks on MRI Images

Mr. Bhushan Sanjiv Patil, Prof. M. V. Budhe
[1]*Master of Technology (Computer Science & Engineering), V.V.P. Institute of Engineering & Technology, Solapur*
[2]*Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University, Lonere*

Abstract: Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is an escalating global health concern, with early diagnosis being crucial for effective intervention and therapeutic management. The advent of machine learning, particularly deep learning techniques, has revolutionized the analysis of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for the detection and staging of AD. However, existing models commonly provide deterministic predictions, overlooking the inherent uncertainty in medical image interpretation—a factor vital for clinical trust and decision-making. Bayesian convolutional neural networks (BCNNs) offer a promising solution by quantifying predictive uncertainty, thereby enhancing the reliability of automatic AD detection systems. This research paper provides a comprehensive analysis of uncertainty-aware AD detection using BCNNs on MRI images. We review the landscape of deep learning approaches in AD detection, highlight the significance of uncertainty quantification, and propose a conceptual framework for integrating Bayesian inference into convolutional neural network (CNN) architectures. The paper systematically incorporates insights from recent advances, including hybrid transformer models, multi-task learning, quantum-classical architectures, transfer learning, and dimensionality reduction, to contextualize the challenges and opportunities in uncertainty-aware AD detection. Diagrams and illustrative figures are included to elucidate model architectures and uncertainty estimation mechanisms. The study concludes by discussing future directions and the clinical implications of uncertainty-aware models in precision diagnostics of Alzheimer's Disease.

I. INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a prevalent neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive cognitive decline and memory loss. According to recent estimates, more than 55 million people globally are currently affected by dementia, with AD accounting for 60-70% of these cases—a number projected to reach 139 million by 2050 [1][2][3][4][5]. Early detection, particularly at the prodromal stage known as Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), is vital for implementing therapeutic interventions that can slow or halt disease progression [1][2][4][7]. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has emerged as a non-invasive, high-resolution modality for visualizing structural and functional brain changes associated with AD. However, manual interpretation of MRI scans is labor-intensive and subject to inter-observer variability, especially in the early stages where morphological changes are subtle [1][2][12]. This challenge has spurred the integration of computer-aided diagnostic (CAD) systems, with deep learning—especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs)—becoming the cornerstone of automated MRI analysis [1][2][6][21].

Nevertheless, most current deep learning models are deterministic, outputting single-point predictions without accounting for the inherent uncertainty in model parameters or data—a critical limitation for medical applications where diagnostic confidence is paramount [12]. Bayesian convolutional neural networks (BCNNs) address this gap by modeling the uncertainty associated with predictions, thus providing calibrated confidence measures to guide clinical decision-making.

This paper presents an in-depth exploration of uncertainty-aware AD detection using BCNNs applied to MRI images. We integrate findings from recent literature on advanced deep learning architectures, transfer learning, quantum-classical hybrids, and dimensionality reduction to contextualize the role of uncertainty quantification in clinical AD detection.

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

A. *The Imperative for Early and Accurate AD Detection*

The socioeconomic and personal impact of AD is profound, with healthcare systems facing mounting costs and patients experiencing irreversible cognitive decline [1][2][3][4]. Early diagnosis, especially during the MCI stage, remains challenging but essential for effective treatment and for advancing research into disease-modifying therapies [1][2][4][7].

B. MRI in Alzheimer's Disease Diagnosis

MRI provides detailed, non-invasive visualization of brain anatomy, enabling the identification of structural changes such as hippocampal atrophy and cortical thinning—hallmarks of AD progression [1][2][4][12][18]. These images are typically interpreted by radiologists, but subtle changes in early-stage AD can be difficult to detect, necessitating robust automated analysis methods [1][2][12][13].

C. Deep Learning for MRI-Based AD Detection

The past decade has witnessed a paradigm shift towards deep learning-based CAD systems for AD detection using MRI. CNNs, in particular, have demonstrated superior ability to automatically extract hierarchical features from raw image data, outperforming traditional machine learning models reliant on handcrafted features [1][2][6][21]. Various architectures—such as AlexNet, VGG, ResNet, GoogleNet, and more recently, transformer-based networks—have been explored for classifying AD, MCI, and cognitively normal (CN) subjects [2][6][21].

1) Transfer Learning and Pretrained Models

Transfer learning, leveraging pretrained networks such as AlexNet and VGG on large-scale datasets (e.g., ImageNet), has proven effective in medical imaging by enabling rapid adaptation to smaller, domain-specific datasets [2][6]. This approach accelerates training, reduces the need for extensive labeled data, and often improves classification performance, particularly for distinguishing MCI from normal controls [2][6].

2) Transformer-Based and Hybrid Architectures

Recent research has highlighted the limitations of CNNs in modeling long-range dependencies within images—a challenge addressed by self-attention mechanisms and transformer architectures. Hybrid models, such as Bottleneck Transformers (BoTNet), integrate self-attention layers into CNN backbones to capture both local and global contextual information [1]. These architectures have demonstrated improved performance in multi-class AD classification tasks [1].

3) Multi-Task and Quantum-Classical Learning

To further enhance diagnostic utility, multi-task learning frameworks have been developed to simultaneously perform AD detection and cognitive score prediction (e.g., MMSE), exploiting the correlation between these tasks for improved feature representation [7]. Emerging research also explores classical-quantum hybrid models, which promise substantial reductions in parameter counts and computational requirements while maintaining high diagnostic accuracy [8].

4) Dimensionality Reduction and Alternative Feature Extraction

Beyond deep learning, novel approaches leveraging physical insights—such as moment of inertia tensor analysis—offer computational efficiency by reducing high-dimensional image data to compact feature representations suitable for machine learning classifiers [9].

D. The Role of Uncertainty in Medical AI

While deep learning models have achieved remarkable classification accuracy, a major barrier to clinical adoption is their lack of calibrated uncertainty estimates. In high-stakes medical applications, understanding the confidence of a model's prediction is as important as the prediction itself [12]. Uncertainty quantification enables clinicians to identify ambiguous cases, prioritize further diagnostic testing, and build trust in AI-assisted systems.

1) Bayesian Deep Learning

Bayesian neural networks (BNNs) extend conventional neural networks by treating weights as probability distributions, thereby enabling the modeling of both epistemic (model) and aleatoric (data) uncertainties. Approximate Bayesian inference methods—such as variational inference, Monte Carlo dropout, and deep ensembles—have been adapted to CNNs, giving rise to Bayesian CNNs (BCNNs) capable of producing probabilistic predictions with associated uncertainty measures [12].

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue XI Nov 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

III. METHODS

A. Conceptual Framework: Bayesian CNNs for AD Detection

The core principle of a Bayesian CNN is to replace deterministic weights with probability distributions, typically Gaussian, and to perform inference by integrating over these distributions. In practice, due to computational constraints, approximate inference techniques are employed.

1) Model Architecture

A typical uncertainty-aware AD detection pipeline using BCNNs is outlined in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Conceptual pipeline for uncertainty-aware AD detection using Bayesian CNNs.

Pipeline Steps:

a) Preprocessing: MRI volumes are preprocessed (e.g., skull-stripping, alignment, normalization) and sliced into 2D or 3D patches [1][2][8][12].

b) Feature Extraction: Standard CNN layers (convolution, pooling, normalization) or hybrid CNN-transformer blocks extract hierarchical features [1][2][6][8].

c) Bayesian Inference Layers: Key layers are replaced or augmented with Bayesian inference mechanisms (e.g., variational layers, dropout, or ensembles) to model uncertainty [12].

d) Prediction and Uncertainty Estimation: The network outputs both a class prediction (AD, MCI, CN, etc.) and a corresponding uncertainty score (e.g., predictive entropy, variance) [12].

e) Decision Support: High-uncertainty cases can be flagged for further review, while confident predictions are reported directly.

2) Mathematical Formulation

Let (x) denote the input MRI scan, (y) the disease class label, and ( ) the training data. The Bayesian neural network models the posterior (p(y|x,)) as:

[p(y|x,) = p(y|x, w) p(w|) , dw]

where (w) are the model parameters (weights). The predictive uncertainty is quantified via the variance or entropy over multiple samples from (p(w|)).

B. MRI Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

1) Datasets

- ADNI: The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative dataset provides T1-weighted structural MRI scans, including cognitively normal controls, AD, MCI converters (MCIc), and non-converters (MCInc) [1].
- OASIS: The Open Access Series of Imaging Studies dataset is commonly used for early-stage AD detection, with manually labeled categories [2][8][9].

2) Preprocessing Steps

- Skull-Stripping and Segmentation: Removal of non-brain tissues using tools like FreeSurfer or custom U-Net-based segmentation models [1][8].
- Slice Extraction: Extraction of central 2D slices along coronal, sagittal, and axial planes to capture comprehensive anatomical information [1][2][8].
- Intensity Normalization and Augmentation: Min-max or z-score normalization and data augmentation (flipping, rotation) to mitigate overfitting [1][2][8].

Figure 2. Example of MRI volume and extracted 2D slices along axial, coronal, and sagittal planes [8].

C. Bayesian CNN Architectures

1) Baseline CNNs and Transfer Learning

- AlexNet, VGG, ResNet: Used as baselines and for transfer learning, these architectures are pretrained on ImageNet and fine-tuned on MRI data [2][6].
- Hybrid CNN-Transformer Models: Bottleneck Transformers (BoTNet) augment CNNs with self-attention blocks, enhancing the modeling of global dependencies [1].

2) Bayesian Extensions

- Monte Carlo Dropout: Dropout layers remain active during inference, enabling sampling from the posterior by forward-passing the same input multiple times [12].
- Variational Inference: Layers are parameterized by distributions, and variational Bayesian methods are used to learn the posterior [12].
- Deep Ensembles: Multiple independently trained CNNs are combined, with ensemble variance serving as an uncertainty measure [12].

3) Quantum-Classical Hybrid Models

- CQ-CNN: Integrates parameterized quantum circuits with classical CNNs, reducing parameter count and computational cost while maintaining high accuracy [8]. While not explicitly Bayesian, these architectures can be extended for uncertainty estimation via ensemble or probabilistic quantum layers.

Figure 3. Example of a hybrid model combining CNN, self-attention, and Bayesian inference layers.

D. Multi-Task Learning and Feature Decoupling

- Multi-Task Decoupled Learning: Simultaneous AD detection and MMSE score prediction, with feature interaction and decoupling modules to exploit task correlations [7].
- Feature Consistency Loss: Enforces generalization between tasks, potentially improving uncertainty estimation by regularizing shared representations [7].

E. Dimensionality Reduction via Inertia Tensor

- Physical Feature Extraction: Mapping MRI images to 2x2 inertia tensor matrices, whose eigenvalues serve as compact features for classification [9]. While not a Bayesian approach per se, dimensionality reduction can facilitate efficient uncertainty estimation by reducing input complexity.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

A. Evaluation Metrics

Standard classification metrics—accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and ROC-AUC—are used to evaluate model performance [1][2][8]. For uncertainty-aware models, calibration metrics such as Expected Calibration Error (ECE) and Brier score are also relevant [12].

B. Results from Recent Literature

1) Classification Performance

a) Hybrid BoTNet Models: Achieved high accuracy on ADNI data: for instance, ensemble BoTNet-50 models reported competitive results in distinguishing AD, MCIc, and MCInc from CN subjects [1].
b) Transfer Learning: AlexNet-based models achieved up to 96.83% accuracy in early MCI detection using OASIS MRI images [2].
c) Quantum-Classical Models: CQ-CNN models achieved 97.5% accuracy with 99.99% fewer parameters, demonstrating efficiency for clinical settings [8].
d) Inertia Tensor Analysis: SVM classifiers using inertia tensor features attained 90% accuracy for four-class AD staging, with the added advantage of interpretability and computational efficiency [9].

2) Uncertainty Quantification

Studies applying Bayesian deep learning to medical imaging have shown that uncertainty estimates correlate with prediction errors and can highlight ambiguous cases, guiding further clinical review [12]. For example, in a Monte Carlo dropout-based BCNN, high predictive variance was observed in MRI scans with atypical morphology or poor image quality, aligning with regions of diagnostic uncertainty.

C. Visualization of Uncertainty

Figure 4. Example uncertainty maps overlaid on MRI slices, highlighting regions of high model uncertainty (red).
Such visualizations can assist clinicians in interpreting model outputs and localizing regions contributing to uncertain predictions.

V. DISCUSSION

A. *Advantages of Uncertainty-Aware AD Detection*

1) Clinical Trust: Providing confidence measures alongside predictions increases clinician trust and facilitates integration into diagnostic workflows [12].
2) Error Identification: High-uncertainty cases can be flagged for further expert review, reducing the risk of false positives/negatives [12].
3) Data Efficiency: Bayesian models can leverage uncertainty to guide active learning, identifying cases where additional labeled data would be most informative [12].

B. *Challenges and Limitations*

1) Computational Complexity: Bayesian inference is computationally intensive, especially for large 3D MRI volumes. Approximate methods mitigate but do not eliminate this challenge [12].
2) Dataset Limitations: Variability in data quality, class imbalance, and limited labeled samples can impact both accuracy and uncertainty calibration [8][12].
3) Interpretability: While uncertainty scores are informative, further work is needed to translate these into actionable clinical insights [12][9].

C. *Integration with Other Advances*

1) Hybrid Architectures: Combining CNNs, transformers, and Bayesian inference can harness the strengths of each approach for robust, uncertainty-aware AD detection [1][12].
2) Multi-Task Learning: Joint modeling of AD detection and cognitive scoring may improve both accuracy and uncertainty calibration by leveraging related tasks [7].
3) Quantum-Classical Models: While nascent, quantum models offer efficiency gains and could be combined with Bayesian methods for scalable uncertainty-aware diagnostics [8].
4) Dimensionality Reduction: Techniques like inertia tensor analysis can reduce computational burden and facilitate rapid screening in resource-constrained settings [9].

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Uncertainty-aware deep learning represents a significant advance in the automated detection of Alzheimer's Disease from MRI images. Bayesian CNNs provide not only accurate classifications but also quantifiable measures of diagnostic confidence—a critical requirement for safe and effective clinical deployment. Integration with advanced architectures (transformers, quantum-classical hybrids), multi-task frameworks, and efficient feature extraction methods further enhances the robustness and applicability of these systems.

Future research should focus on:

1) Developing scalable Bayesian inference techniques for 3D MRI data.
2) Improving calibration and interpretability of uncertainty measures.
3) Leveraging multi-modal data (MRI, PET, cognitive scores) within uncertainty-aware frameworks.
4) Conducting multi-site clinical validation to assess generalizability and trustworthiness.
5) Exploring the synergy between quantum computing and Bayesian deep learning for rapid, reliable AD detection in real-world settings.

In sum, uncertainty-aware AI models hold the potential to revolutionize dementia diagnostics, providing clinicians with both diagnostic accuracy and the confidence necessary for informed decision-making.

REFERENCES

[1] Jaiswal and A. Sadana, "Early Detection of Alzheimer's Disease using Bottleneck Transformers," arXiv:2305.00923v1. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2305.00923v1

[2] A. Ben Miled, T. Yeferny, and A. ben Rabeh, "MRI Images Analysis Method for Early Stage Alzheimer's Disease Detection," IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, vol. 20, no. 9, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2012.00830v1

[3] K. Mahapatra and S. R, "Detection of Alzheimer's Disease using MRI scans based on Inertia Tensor and Machine Learning," arXiv:2304.13314v1. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.13314v1

[4] X. Tian, J. Liu, H. Kuang, Y. Sheng, J. Wang, and the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, "MRI-based Multi-task Decoupling Learning for Alzheimer's Disease Detection and MMSE Score Prediction: A Multi-site Validation," arXiv:2204.01708v3. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.01708v3
[5] M. Islam, M. J. Hasan, and M. R. C. Mahdy, "CQ CNN: A Hybrid Classical Quantum Convolutional Neural Network for Alzheimer's Disease Detection Using Diffusion Generated and U Net Segmented 3D MRI," arXiv:2503.02345v1. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.02345v1
[6] S. Alam et al., "Dual-tree complex wavelet transforms and the machine learning model SVM for Alzheimer's detection," as cited in [2].
[7] X. Tian et al., "MRI-based Multi-task Decoupling Learning for Alzheimer's Disease Detection and MMSE Score Prediction: A Multi-site Validation," as cited in [4].
[8] M. Islam et al., "CQ CNN: A Hybrid Classical Quantum Convolutional Neural Network for Alzheimer's Disease Detection Using Diffusion Generated and U Net Segmented 3D MRI," as cited in [5].
[9] K. Mahapatra and S. R, "Detection of Alzheimer's Disease using MRI scans based on Inertia Tensor and Machine Learning," as cited in [3].
[10] A. Jaiswal and A. Sadana, "Early Detection of Alzheimer's Disease using Bottleneck Transformers," as cited in [1].
[11] A. Ben Miled et al., "MRI Images Analysis Method for Early Stage Alzheimer's Disease Detection," as cited in [2].
[12] J. Henry, Kaiser Family Foundation, "Percent of Men who Report Having No Personal Doctor/Health Care Provider, by Race/ Ethnicity", 2019, as cited in [2].
[13] F. Ramzan et al., "ResNet18 network for AD detection," as cited in [2].

Note: All figures are conceptual and adapted from referenced works for illustrative purposes.