iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** III **Month of publication:** March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.78182

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue III Mar 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

A Comparative Study of Airport Infrastructure Coimbatore International Airport and Kuala Lumpur International Airport

Mr. Thulasi Daasan M[1], Dr. I. Parvin Banu[2]
[1]*II M.Com IB, PG & Research Department of International Business, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore*
[2]*Associate Professor and HEAD, PG & Research Department of International Business, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore*

Abstract: ***Airport infrastructure plays a crucial role in supporting international trade, logistics connectivity, and economic development. Efficient cargo handling systems, modern warehousing facilities, and technological advancements contribute significantly to improving airport operations. This study focuses on a comparative analysis of the infrastructure facilities of Coimbatore International Airport and Kuala Lumpur International Airport. The study is based entirely on secondary data collected from airport authority reports, research articles, government publications, and aviation industry reports. The analysis compares export and import infrastructure, cargo handling systems, warehousing facilities, customs procedures, technological support, and logistics services available at both airports. The findings reveal that Kuala Lumpur International Airport has highly developed infrastructure, advanced technology, and automated cargo handling systems, whereas Coimbatore International Airport has relatively limited cargo infrastructure and semi-mechanized operations. The study concludes that improving infrastructure capacity, adopting digital technologies, and enhancing logistics support services are essential for regional airports like Coimbatore to improve their efficiency and competitiveness in international trade.***

Keywords: Airport Infrastructure, Cargo Operations, Logistics Efficiency, Air Cargo Management, Aviation Infrastructure, Regional Airports.

I. INTRODUCTION

Airport infrastructure plays an important role in supporting global aviation networks and international trade. Airports act as key gateways for passenger travel, cargo transportation, logistics connectivity, and economic development. Efficient airport infrastructure enables the smooth movement of goods and services between countries and supports international business activities.

Coimbatore International Airport serves as an important regional airport in South India. The airport supports export activities of industries such as textiles, engineering products, pumps, motors, and agricultural goods from nearby industrial cities like Tiruppur and Erode. Although the airport has been upgraded with improved terminals and cargo facilities, its infrastructure is still developing compared to major international hubs.

On the other hand, Kuala Lumpur International Airport is one of the leading aviation hubs in Southeast Asia. The airport has world-class infrastructure including advanced cargo terminals, automated cargo handling systems, digital customs clearance facilities, and large warehousing complexes. KLIA handles a significant volume of international cargo and passenger traffic.

Comparing these two airports provides valuable insights into differences in infrastructure development, technological adoption, cargo handling efficiency, and logistics support systems. Such a comparison helps identify areas where regional airports like Coimbatore can improve their infrastructure and operational performance.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Graham (2018) conducted a study on airport infrastructure management and found that infrastructure facilities such as terminal capacity, cargo terminals, and runway systems significantly influence airport efficiency and service quality.

O'Connell and Williams (2019) analysed airport competitiveness in the global aviation industry and concluded that airports with modern infrastructure attract more airlines and international routes.

Lohmann and Spasojevic (2020) studied the relationship between airport infrastructure and tourism development. The study revealed that well-developed airport infrastructure contributes significantly to regional economic growth and tourism expansion.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Halpern and Budd (2021) examined airport infrastructure and passenger service quality. Their research showed that modern airport facilities such as automated systems and advanced technology improve passenger satisfaction and operational efficiency.

Tan and Masood (2022) analysed the role of smart technologies in airport infrastructure development. Their findings indicated that technologies such as biometric identification systems, automated baggage handling systems, and digital information systems enhance airport operational efficiency.

Although previous studies have examined airport infrastructure and operational efficiency, very few studies have focused on comparing regional airports in India with major international aviation hubs in Southeast Asia. This study attempts to fill this research gap by comparing Coimbatore International Airport and Kuala Lumpur International Airport.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1) To compare export & import infrastructure at both airports.
2) To analyse cargo handling, warehousing & customs facilities.
3) To evaluate technological and logistical differences.
4) To compare the efficiency of cargo movement and turnaround time at both airports.
5) To examine the availability and effectiveness of support services (cold storage, packaging, scanning, tracking systems) at both airports.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. *Research Design*

This study follows a comparative and descriptive research design to analyse the airport infrastructure of the selected airports.

B. *Sources of Data*

The study is based entirely on secondary data, which includes:

* Airport authority reports
* Government publications
* Aviation industry reports
* Research journals and academic articles
* Online databases and logistics reports

C. *Data Collection Method*

The required data was collected through literature review, online document analysis, and examination of statistical information related to airport infrastructure.

D. *Data Analysis*

The collected data was categorized into infrastructure facilities, cargo handling systems, warehousing facilities, technological support, and logistics services. The data was then compared and interpreted to identify similarities and differences between the two airports.

V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The analysis of secondary data revealed several differences between the two airports.

Kuala Lumpur International Airport has highly developed export and import infrastructure with dedicated cargo terminals and specialized logistics zones. In contrast, Coimbatore International Airport has limited cargo infrastructure and smaller cargo terminal capacity. Cargo handling operations at Kuala Lumpur International Airport are fully automated and supported by advanced material handling systems. However, cargo handling at Coimbatore International Airport is semi-mechanized and involves greater manual intervention. Warehousing facilities at Kuala Lumpur International Airport include large bonded warehouses, temperature-controlled storage, and cold chain logistics facilities. Coimbatore International Airport has comparatively limited warehousing and cold storage capacity. Customs clearance procedures at Kuala Lumpur International Airport are digitally integrated, enabling faster processing of cargo shipments. In contrast, customs clearance at Coimbatore Airport involves more manual procedures and longer processing time. Technological support such as real-time cargo tracking systems and automated scanning facilities is widely implemented at Kuala Lumpur International Airport, whereas Coimbatore Airport is still in the developmental stage of adopting such technologies.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue III Mar 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

VI. DISCUSSION

The comparison highlights that infrastructure development and technological adoption play a major role in improving airport efficiency and logistics performance. Major international hubs like Kuala Lumpur International Airport benefit from advanced infrastructure, automated systems, and integrated logistics networks.

Regional airports such as Coimbatore International Airport support local industries and export activities but face limitations in terms of cargo capacity, technological infrastructure, and logistics support services. Expanding cargo terminals, improving cold chain facilities, and adopting digital cargo management systems could significantly enhance the operational efficiency of regional airports.

VII. CONCLUSION

The comparative study of airport infrastructure between Coimbatore International Airport and Kuala Lumpur International Airport highlights significant differences in cargo infrastructure, technology adoption, and operational efficiency.


Kuala Lumpur International Airport operates as a major international cargo hub with advanced infrastructure and automated logistics systems. On the other hand, Coimbatore International Airport functions mainly as a regional airport supporting limited international cargo operations.

The study concludes that continuous investment in infrastructure development, technological modernization, and logistics support services is necessary for regional airports like Coimbatore to enhance their role in international trade and improve overall operational performance.

REFERENCES

[1] Graham, A. (2018) Managing Airports: An International Perspective. Routledge.
[2] O'Connell, J. F., & Williams, G. (2019) Airport competitiveness and infrastructure development. Journal of Air Transport Management.
[3] Lohmann, G., & Spasojevic, B. (2020) Airport infrastructure and tourism development. Tourism Management.
[4] Halpern, N., & Budd, L. (2021) Airport infrastructure and passenger satisfaction. Journal of Air Transport Studies.
[5] Tan, K., & Masood, A. (2022) Smart technologies in airport infrastructure. International Journal of Aviation Technology.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)