



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: IX Month of publication: September 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.74088

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue IX Sep 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

A Comparative Study of Poetic Rhythms in the Literatures of Shakespeare and Srimanta Sankardeva

Santanu Bhargav

Abstract: Poetic rhythm is not merely a formal feature of verse but also a reflection of cultural consciousness, aesthetic intention, and social communication. This paper undertakes brief study of the poetic rhythms of William Shakespeare (1564–1616) and Srimanta Sankardeva (1449–1568), two seminal figures in world literature who, though separated by geography and context, both revolutionized literary traditions. Shakespeare, working in Elizabethan England, utilized iambic pentameter and blank verse to explore the complexity of human psychology and dramatic dialogue. Sankardeva, operating in medieval Assam, employed lyrical Borgeet and rhythmic narrative poetry to democratize spirituality and mobilize cultural identity under the Neo-Vaishnavite movement. By analyzing their rhythmic structures, performative functions, and socio-psychological implications, this study reveals how rhythm served not only as an artistic device but also as a vehicle of cultural transformation.

Keywords: Shakespeare; Srimanta Sankardeva; poetic rhythm; iambic pentameter; Borgeet; literary comparison; performativity; Bhakti literature; .

I. INTRODUCTION

Rhythm lies at the heart of poetry. Beyond its aesthetic role, rhythm shapes emotional response, reinforces memory, and anchors cultural values.

William Shakespeare and Srimanta Sankardeva, though belonging to vastly different literary traditions, employed rhythm not merely to delight but to communicate deeper truths about human existence and social order.

While Shakespeare's use of iambic pentameter reflected the Renaissance emphasis on humanism, reason, and dramatic complexity, Sankardeva's devotional rhythms embodied collective religiosity, egalitarian spirituality, and oral performativity. A comparative study highlights how poetic rhythm simultaneously functioned as a literary aesthetic and a socio-cultural force.

- A. Objectives of the Study
- 1) To analyse the rhythmic techniques employed by Shakespeare and Sankardeva.
- 2) To examine the relationship between rhythm, performance, and audience reception in their works.
- 3) To assess the socio-cultural and psychological functions of rhythm in Elizabethan England and Neo-Vaishnavite Assam.
- 4) To conduct a critical comparative analysis of their rhythmic styles, emphasizing similarities, divergences, and innovations.
- 5) To explore how rhythm contributed to the enduring cultural legacy of both authors.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comparative literary approach, integrating formalist, cultural, and socio-psychological frameworks.

- 1) Primary Texts: Selected plays and sonnets of Shakespeare; Sankardeva's Borgeet, Kirtan-ghosha, and Ankiya Naat.
- 2) Secondary Sources: Critical studies in Shakespearean poetics (e.g., Abrams, Greenblatt) and scholarship on Sankardeva's literature (e.g., Maheswar Neog, H.K. Barpujari).
- 3) Analytical Tools:
 - o Prosodic analysis (metrical structure, cadence, musicality).
 - o Performance studies (theatre, congregational singing).
 - o Socio-psychological analysis (audience engagement, collective identity).

The methodology is qualitative and interpretive, prioritizing close reading and cultural contextualization.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue IX Sep 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shakespearean rhythm, particularly iambic pentameter, has been studied extensively. Abrams (1999) emphasizes its flexibility in conveying psychological depth, while Greenblatt (2004) highlights its performative adaptability in the Elizabethan stage. Scholars argue that Shakespeare's rhythm achieved a balance between natural speech and heightened poetic form.

Sankardeva's poetry has been less globally studied but is central to Assamese cultural historiography. Neog (1980) describes his *Borgeet* as blending folk tunes with bhakti emotion, while Sharma (2005) situates Sankardeva's rhythmic innovations within the socio-religious reform movement of Assam. Scholars of bhakti literature argue that rhythm functioned as a tool of accessibility, embodying egalitarianism and oral performance. Comparative studies of rhythm across cultures remain rare. This paper aims to bridge that gap by placing Shakespeare and Sankardeva in a cross-cultural dialogue.

A. Historical and Cultural Context

Shakespeare: Renaissance England

Shakespeare flourished during the English Renaissance, a period of renewed interest in classical learning, humanism, and theatrical experimentation. Rhythm in his plays, especially blank verse, provided the linguistic flexibility necessary for portraying psychological depth, political rhetoric, and dramatic tension.

Sankardeva: Medieval Assam

Sankardeva lived during a period of socio-political flux in Assam. His Neo-Vaishnavite movement aimed to dismantle caste orthodoxy and unite communities through bhakti. Rhythm was central: *Borgeet* (devotional songs) and *Ankiya Naat* (dance-dramas) utilized musical cadences that were accessible to common people, transforming worship into collective performance.

B. Common Rhythms/Maatras Used by Sankardeva

Srimanta Sankardeva in his Borgeets, Ankiya Naat, and other poetic-literary works, used simple yet devotional rhythmic patterns that were accessible to common people. His rhythms combined classical Sanskritic metres with folk rhythms of Assam.

- 1) Chhāndas (Classical Maatras)
 - He often employed classical Sanskrit metres such as:
 - Anushtubh (8 syllables per pāda, like in the Bhagavad Gita).
 - Shārdūlavikrīdita (19 syllables per line, used in some verses).
 - Vasantatilakā and Mālinī, occasionally in longer poems.
 - o These gave his works a rhythmic dignity but were simplified for popular recitation.
- 2) Borgeet Rhythms
 - o Borgeets (his devotional songs) use rāga-tāla system but with distinctive Assamese adaptation.
 - Common tālas used:
 - Yāti (slow and dignified rhythm).
 - Choutāl (four-beat cycle).
 - Ekatāl (single-beat cycle).
 - Kharamānā (a folk-derived rhythmic pattern).
 - o These rhythms made Borgeets devotional, lyrical, and suitable for *Naam-Kirtan*.
- 3) Ankiya Naat / Bhaona Chhanda
 - For his dramas (Ankiya Naats), Sankardeva used rhythmic recitation metres like:
 - Padavali chhanda (simple couplets).
 - Chaupadi / Panchapadi (four- and five-line verses).
 - Dulari chhanda (used in dialogue delivery).
 - o These were meant for oral performance with musical intonation, not just reading.
- 4) Folk Influence (Ojapali & Kirtan traditions)
 - o Sankardeva borrowed rhythms from Ojapali performance (a traditional narrative art).
 - The Naam-Kirtan rhythm (Naam-ghosha) has a cyclical, call-and-response style, easy for community singing.
- 5) Naam-Ghosa (Madhavdeva, but initiated by Sankardeva)
 - o Sankardeva's disciples (especially Madhavdeva) followed his rhythm:
 - Bhakti-rasa chhanda smooth, flowing, musical.
 - Simple metrical units (pada-based) so villagers could memorise.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue IX Sep 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

- C. Common Rhythms Used by Shakespeare
- 1) Iambic Pentameter (Most Common)
 - o Definition: A line of verse with five iambs (unstressed + stressed syllable pairs).
 - Total = 10 syllables per line.
 - o Example pattern: da-DUM da-DUM da-DUM da-DUM
 - O Used in most of his plays (dialogues) and sonnets.
 - o Gave a natural flow close to spoken English.
- 2) Blank Verse
 - o Unrhymed iambic pentameter.
 - o Used for serious, dramatic dialogue in his plays (e.g., *Hamlet, Macbeth*).
 - o Allowed flexibility, grandeur, and dignity without rigid rhyme.
- 3) Rhymed Iambic Pentameter (Heroic Couplets)
 - o Paired rhyming lines in iambic pentameter.
 - Often used at the end of a scene or speech for emphasis.
 - o Example: Closing couplets in his plays.
- 4) Trochaic & Other Variations
 - o Sometimes used trochaic meter (stressed + unstressed) for songs, witches' chants (*Macbeth*: "Double, double, toil and trouble").
 - o Also used spondees and pyrrhics (metrical substitutions) for dramatic effect.
- 5) Prose Passages
 - o Though not rhythmical verse, Shakespeare often switched from verse to prose:
 - Commonly for comic characters, casual speech, or lower-class figures.
 - Rhythm here is looser, conversational.
- 6) Shakespeare's Sonnets
 - o Written mostly in iambic pentameter.
 - O Sonnet structure: 14 lines, three quatrains + a final rhymed couplet.
 - o Rhyme scheme: ABAB CDCD EFEF GG (the "Shakespearean sonnet form").

In short: The common rhythm in Shakespeare's poetry and literature is iambic pentameter, usually in blank verse for plays and rhyme schemes for sonnets. He varied it with trochaic, spondaic, and prose rhythms depending on character, mood, and dramatic need.

- D. Comparative Analysis of Poetic Rhythms
- 1) Structural Aspects
- Shakespeare: Predominantly used iambic pentameter (ten syllables per line, alternating unstressed-stressed), but varied it for dramatic effect. Rhythmic deviation (trochaic substitutions, feminine endings) conveyed psychological unrest.

Shakespeare's dominant rhythm was iambic pentameter—a line of ten syllables, arranged as five iambs (unstressed-stressed feet). For example, from Hamlet:

"To be, or not to be, that is the question."

Scansion ($\check{\ }=$ unstressed, '= stressed):

• , | • , | • , | • , | • ,

to BE | or NOT | to BE | that IS | the QUEStion

This rhythm mirrors natural English speech yet is heightened enough for dramatic impact. Shakespeare often broke the strict pattern—using feminine endings (extra unstressed syllable), trochees (´´) at the start, or spondees (´´)—to reflect psychological tension. For instance, Macbeth's troubled line:

"Out, damned spot! Out, I say!"

Here the rhythm jolts from iambic flow into trochaic urgency, enacting Lady Macbeth's disturbed state of mind.

• Sankardeva: Used rhythmic cycles (tala) and ragas from classical and folk traditions. His verses were musical, meant to be sung, often blending syllabic rhythm with melodic cadence.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue IX Sep 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Sankardeva's poetry, especially in *Borgeet* (devotional songs), was meant to be sung with tala (beats) and raga (melodic mode). The rhythm followed a syllabic-melodic pattern rather than fixed foot-metrics like iambs. A typical stanza (transliterated from Assamese):

"Mana re, Krishna charana smarana kara,

Ananta dukkha bhava sindhu tari jāva."

("O mind, remember the feet of Krishna; by them you will cross the endless ocean of sorrow.")

Rhythmic pattern (using 8 + 8 syllabic grouping):

Ma-na re | Krish-na cha-ra-na | sma-ra-na ka-ra

(4+4 beats, pause)

A-nan-ta duk-kha | bha-va sin-dhu | ta-ri jā-va

(4+4 beats, pause)

The verses are musical-cadential, meant to be accompanied by cymbals, khol (drum), and congregational singing. Unlike Shakespeare's foot-based metrical regularity, Sankardeva's rhythm is song-based and cyclical, embodying repetition and spiritual absorption.

2) Performative Function

• Shakespeare's Rhythm: Designed for the stage, rhythm guided actors' delivery, audience attention, and emotional pacing. The blank verse rhythm mirrored natural speech but heightened its impact. Created tension and pacing in drama. For example, the alternating beats in Julius Caesar's famous line:

"Friends, Romans, countrymen, lend me your ears."

follows the pentameter but allows rhetorical pauses that build persuasive force.

• Sankardeva's Rhythm: Designed for collective worship, rhythm guided congregational singing (*nama-prasanga*), unifying participants in devotional ecstasy.

It invited **collective participation**. In *nama-prasanga* (congregational singing), the cyclical tala created trance-like devotion. Repetition of Krishna's name, set in rhythm, induced **collective effervescence** (Durkheim).

3) Psychological Dimension

• Shakespeare: Rhythm deepened psychological realism. Variations in meter mirrored inner conflict (e.g., Hamlet's hesitation). Variation in rhythm mirrors psychological nuance. Hamlet's broken rhythms convey hesitation:

"To sleep, perchance to dream—ay, there's the rub."

The pause and caesura (—) interrupt the iambic flow, enacting inner turmoil.

• Sankardeva: Rhythm served as psychological catharsis, allowing suppressed communities to express devotion freely through music, reducing social anxiety.

Rhythm provides psychological release. Singing in unison with instruments allowed individuals to express suppressed emotions in a socially sanctioned way. The rhythm's repetitiveness (chant-like cadence) fostered meditative calm, contrasting Shakespeare's restless rhythmic shifts.

4) Social Function

• Shakespeare: Rhythm reinforced Renaissance ideals of eloquence and individual expression; it appealed across classes in the Globe Theatre.

Rhythm worked on the Elizabethan stage to bridge classes—appealing to groundlings (through prose or rhythmic variation) and elites (through formal pentameter).

• Sankardeva: Rhythm democratized religion by bypassing priestly mediation. Collective singing erased caste distinctions, at least temporarily.

Rhythm was central to bhakti democratization. The accessible, song-like cadence brought lower-caste and marginalized groups into the spiritual fold, bypassing Sanskrit ritualism.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue IX Sep 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

- 1) Commonality: Both authors harnessed rhythm as a performative and psychological tool—to engage audiences emotionally, sustain attention, and unify communities.
- 2) Divergence: Shakespeare's rhythm was textual-dramatic, enabling psychological subtlety; Sankardeva's rhythm was oral-musical, fostering collective spiritual participation.
- 3) Cultural Anchoring: Rhythm in Shakespeare reflected Renaissance humanism; in Sankardeva, it embodied bhakti egalitarianism.
- 4) Critical Insight: Rhythm in both cases transcended mere aesthetics—it became a vehicle of cultural transformation, shaping how communities imagined themselves.
- 5) Shakespeare's rhythm is linear, dialogic, and dramatic, shaping character psychology and narrative progression. Sankardeva's rhythm is cyclical, melodic, and collective, shaping devotional immersion.
- 6) Both reveal rhythm as more than aesthetic—Shakespeare used rhythm to dissect the mind, while Sankardeva used rhythm to unite the community in bhakti.
- 7) Example comparison:

Shakespeare (*Hamlet*):

"To be | or not | to be | that is | the ques- | tion"

8) Sankardeva (Borgeet):

8-syllable cadence (4+4 beats) per hemistich

"Mana re, Krish-na chara-na smara-na ka-ra"

Thus, Shakespeare's metrical precision contrasts with Sankardeva's musical cadence; yet both achieve psychological resonance through rhythm.

- A. Critical Comparative Analysis
- 1) Shakespeare's rhythm was individualistic and dialogic, mirroring human complexity. Sankardeva's was collectivist and devotional, binding communities into shared spirituality.
- 2) Shakespeare innovated within the frame of classical meter; Sankardeva blended folk tunes with devotional poetics, creating a uniquely Assamese rhythm.
- 3) Both reveal that rhythm is not culturally neutral: it is embedded in historical struggles—Renaissance assertion of individuality versus Bhakti assertion of inclusivity.

B. Contemporary Relevance

Shakespeare's rhythms continue to inspire global theatre and poetry, symbolizing the universality of human expression. Sankardeva's *Bargeet* and kirtan traditions still thrive in Assam, offering cultural cohesion and spiritual solace. In a globalized world, comparative rhythm studies highlight how local traditions resonate with universal aesthetic functions.

V. CONCLUSION

Shakespeare and Sankardeva, despite geographical and cultural distance, demonstrate the transformative potential of poetic rhythm. For Shakespeare, rhythm dramatized human psychology; for Sankardeva, it sacralised collective devotion. A comparative lens underscores rhythm's dual capacity: as a literary aesthetic and as a cultural force. Both legacies remind us that poetry's power lies not only in words but in the rhythmic pulse that binds human beings to emotion, identity, and community.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abrams, M.H. A Glossary of Literary Terms. Harcourt Brace, 1999.
- [2] Barpujari, H.K. The Comprehensive History of Assam. Publication Board Assam, 1990.
- [3] Dimock, Edward C. The Place of the Hidden Moon. University of Chicago Press, 1966.
- [4] Greenblatt, Stephen. Will in the World: How Shakespeare Became Shakespeare. Norton, 2004.
- [5] Neog, Maheswar. Early History of the Vaisnava Faith and Movement in Assam. Motilal Banarsidass, 1980.
- [6] Sharma, Chandan Kumar. "Religion and Social Change: Neo-Vaishnavite Movement in Assam." Indian Sociological Review, 2005.
- [7] Wells, Stanley, and Gary Taylor. William Shakespeare: A Textual Companion. Clarendon Press, 1987.









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)