



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.80350>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

A Comprehensive Comparison between Modern Cosmological Literature and Hindu Puranic Cosmology

Dr. K Meenarani¹, Shaik Shafi², Kurma Vivek³, Sanda Bharani Sagar⁴, Thaniru Vishnu⁵

^{2, 3, 4, 5}Student, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan's Vivekananda College, Sainikpuri, Secunderabad, Telangana, India

Abstract: *This paper presents a comparative and interpretative study of cosmological ideas found in modern scientific literature and those described in Hindu Puranic texts. The study focuses on key themes such as the nature of cosmic time, cyclicity, creation and dissolution of the universe, and humanity's position within the cosmic order. Drawing from major Purāṇas, including the Viṣṇu Purāṇa and Bhāgavata Purāṇa, and from established works in contemporary cosmology, this paper does not attempt to claim scientific equivalence or historical prediction. Instead, it seeks to understand how different intellectual traditions mythological, philosophical, and scientific, have attempted to explain similar existential questions using distinct epistemological frameworks. The analysis highlights conceptual resonances, symbolic parallels, and fundamental methodological differences, emphasizing the importance of reading ancient cosmological narratives within their cultural and philosophical contexts.*

Keywords: *Puranic cosmology, modern cosmology, cyclic time, temporal cyclicity, philosophy of time, comparative cosmology.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Human curiosity about the universe is as old as civilization itself. Across cultures and historical periods, societies have sought to understand the origin, structure, and ultimate fate of the cosmos.

In the modern era, cosmology has emerged as a scientific discipline grounded in mathematics, physics, and empirical observation. In contrast, ancient Indian cosmological thought, particularly as preserved in Hindu Puranic literature, presents symbolic, philosophical, and theological accounts of the universe.

Comparisons between these two traditions are often controversial. On one extreme, ancient texts are sometimes portrayed as having “predicted” modern scientific discoveries. On the other, they are dismissed entirely as mythological or unscientific. Both approaches oversimplify the issue.

A more responsible comparison acknowledges that these traditions operate under fundamentally different assumptions about knowledge, truth, and purpose.

This paper aims to conduct such a balanced comparison. By examining both modern cosmological literature and Hindu Puranic cosmology, the study seeks to explore how ideas such as temporal cyclicity, cosmic order, and creation-destruction cycles appear across intellectual traditions, while maintaining clear boundaries between metaphorical interpretation and scientific explanation.

II. METHODOLOGY AND SCOPE OF STUDY

The methodology adopted in this paper is primarily comparative and interpretative. Textual analysis of selected Puranic passages is undertaken using reliable English translations, while modern cosmological concepts are drawn from established textbooks and peer-reviewed literature. Secondary scholarly interpretations are also considered, particularly in the fields of history of science, philosophy of religion, and comparative mythology.

It is important to clarify the scope of this study. This paper does not attempt to validate religious texts using scientific standards, nor does it argue that ancient texts contain hidden scientific data. Instead, it treats Puranic cosmology as a symbolic and philosophical worldview and modern cosmology as an empirical scientific framework. The comparison is therefore conceptual rather than predictive.

III. OVERVIEW OF MODERN COSMOLOGICAL LITERATURE

Modern cosmology is rooted in the scientific method, relying on observation, experimentation, and mathematical modeling. The prevailing cosmological model today is the Λ CDM model, which describes a universe that began approximately 13.8 billion years ago with the Big Bang and has since been expanding.

Key concepts in modern cosmology include:

Spacetime, as described by Einstein's General Theory of Relativity

Cosmic expansion, evidenced by redshift observations

Cosmic microwave background radiation, regarded as a remnant of the early universe

Dark matter and dark energy, proposed to explain gravitational effects and accelerated expansion

Although the Big Bang model is often misunderstood as a "creation event," most cosmologists describe it as the earliest known physical state of the universe rather than an absolute beginning. Some modern theories, such as cyclic or bouncing cosmological models, even challenge the notion of a single beginning.

IV. HINDU PURANIC COSMOLOGY: AN OVERVIEW

Hindu Puranic cosmology presents a vast and layered vision of the universe. The Purāṇas describe multiple worlds (lokas), cosmic hierarchies, and immense timescales that far exceed ordinary human comprehension. Central to this worldview is the idea that the universe undergoes repeated cycles of creation (sṛṣṭi), preservation (sthiti), and dissolution (pralaya).

Time in the Purāṇas is divided into units such as:

Yugas (Kṛta, Tretā, Dvāpara, Kali)

Manvantaras, periods ruled by successive Manus

Kalpas, equivalent to a "day of Brahmā"

A full cycle of Brahmā's day and night is said to span billions of years, after which creation dissolves and begins anew. These descriptions emphasize impermanence and cosmic rhythm rather than linear progress.

V. TEMPORAL CYCLICITY: LINEAR AND CYCLICAL TIME

One of the most striking points of comparison between modern cosmology and Puranic cosmology is the concept of temporal cyclicity. In modern Western thought, time has traditionally been viewed as linear—having a clear beginning, progression, and end. However, contemporary physics complicates this picture. Certain cosmological models, such as oscillating or cyclic universes, propose that the universe undergoes endless cycles of expansion and contraction. While these models remain speculative, they demonstrate that modern science does not unanimously adhere to a strictly linear conception of time. In Puranic thought, time is inherently cyclical. Creation and destruction are not catastrophic endings but natural phases within an eternal process. This cyclicity is not merely physical but moral and spiritual, reflecting the rise and decline of dharma across ages.

Despite surface similarities, it is crucial to note that Puranic cyclicity is metaphysical and symbolic, whereas scientific cyclic models are grounded in equations and testable hypotheses.

VI. CREATION, ORDER, AND DISSOLUTION

Modern cosmology describes cosmic evolution through physical laws, such as gravity and thermodynamics. The eventual fate of the universe—whether heat death, big crunch, or big rip—depends on measurable parameters.

In contrast, Puranic cosmology attributes cosmic order to divine principles, often personified through deities such as Viṣṇu or Śiva. Dissolution (pralaya) is not a failure of the universe but a necessary phase that restores balance.

This difference highlights a key epistemological divide: science seeks causal mechanisms, while Puranic cosmology seeks meaning and moral order. Both address the same existential questions, but their answers operate on different levels.

VII. HUMANITY'S POSITION IN THE COSMOS

Modern cosmology often emphasizes humanity's apparent insignificance in a vast, impersonal universe. Earth is one planet among billions, orbiting an ordinary star in an ordinary galaxy. This perspective has deeply influenced modern philosophical thought, sometimes leading to existential uncertainty.

Puranic cosmology, while acknowledging the immensity of the universe, places human life within a moral and spiritual framework. Human birth is considered rare and valuable, offering an opportunity for liberation (mokṣa). Thus, meaning is derived not from physical centrality but from ethical and spiritual responsibility.

VIII. POINTS OF CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE

While certain conceptual parallels exist—such as vast timescales and cyclic patterns—significant differences remain. Modern cosmology relies on observation, falsifiability, and predictive power. Puranic cosmology relies on symbolism, narrative, and philosophical insight.

Conflating the two risks misunderstanding both. However, studying them together can enrich our understanding of how human societies respond to cosmic mystery.

IX. LIMITATIONS OF COMPARATIVE INTERPRETATION

Comparative studies face inherent limitations. Translations may introduce interpretive bias, and modern scientific concepts should not be retroactively imposed on ancient texts. Recognizing these limitations is essential to maintaining academic integrity.

X. CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the relationship between modern cosmological literature and Hindu Puranic cosmology through a careful, comparative lens. Rather than asserting equivalence, the study highlights how different intellectual traditions grapple with similar questions about time, creation, and cosmic order.

Modern cosmology offers precise, testable models of the universe, while Puranic cosmology offers symbolic narratives that address existential and ethical concerns. Both, in their own ways, reflect humanity's enduring desire to understand its place in the cosmos.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eliade, M. (1954). *The Myth of the Eternal Return*. Princeton University Press.
- [2] Ryden, B. (2017). *Introduction to Cosmology*. Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Weinberg, S. (2008). *Cosmology*. Oxford University Press.
- [4] *Visnu Purana*. Translated by H. H. Wilson.
- [5] *Bhagvata Purana*. Translated by Swami Tapasyananda.
- [6] Ellis, G. F. R. (2006). *Issues in the Philosophy of Cosmology*.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)