



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume:** 13    **Issue:** XI    **Month of publication:** November 2025

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.75715>

[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)

# A Comprehensive Review of Modern Job Application Tracking Tools and Digital Job Search Management Systems

Priya Meshram<sup>1</sup>, Sushant Wadhonkar<sup>2</sup>, Samarth Kasture<sup>3</sup>, Seema Chaware<sup>4</sup>, Sakshi Makde<sup>5</sup>, Shreya Kshirsagar<sup>6</sup>, Tejas Fuke<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.H. Rasoni University, Amravati, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 7</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.H. Rasoni University, Amravati, Maharashtra, India

**Abstract:** *The evolution of digital recruitment platforms has dramatically reshaped how job seekers explore and apply for employment opportunities. With the availability of multiple job boards, professional networks, and company career portals, job seekers increasingly struggle to maintain organized records of job postings, applications, interviews, and follow-up activities. This disorganization often leads to missed deadlines, reduced productivity, and confusion. To address these issues, job application tracking tools have emerged as essential digital systems that offer structured workflows, centralized job information, and improved clarity throughout the job search process. This review paper provides a comprehensive analysis of modern job application tracking tools and digital job search management systems, including platforms such as Huntr, TealHQ, JibberJobber, Simplify, and customizable productivity tools like Notion and Trello. The study examines their features, usability, benefits, and limitations while identifying gaps and opportunities for future development. The findings indicate that centralized tracking tools significantly enhance clarity, reduce cognitive load, and improve decision-making for job seekers.*

**Keywords:** *Job Application Tracking, Digital Job Search Systems, Recruitment Platforms, Applicant Workflow Optimization, Job Search Automation*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The contemporary job search environment is more fragmented than ever before. Candidates interact with job postings across a wide range of platforms, including LinkedIn, Naukri, Indeed, company websites, university placement portals, and recruiter emails. This decentralized process makes it difficult for job seekers to manually track applications, store job details, manage interview schedules, and follow up with recruiters. Without a dedicated digital tracking system, applicants often experience:

- 1) Loss of job details
- 2) Missed interviews
- 3) Difficulty recalling application history
- 4) Poor follow-up consistency
- 5) Increased stress

Digital job application tracking tools aim to simplify this process by providing structured systems that consolidate job application data and workflows. This review paper analyzes existing job tracking solutions to understand their role in improving job-search organization and productivity.

## II. NEED FOR JOB APPLICATION TRACKING TOOLS

The modern job search process has undergone a major transformation due to the proliferation of digital recruitment channels. Job seekers today rely on multiple platforms—including job boards (LinkedIn, indeed, Naukri), professional networks, recruitment emails, internship portals, and company career pages—to discover and apply for opportunities. Although this 3 provides greater access to employment options, it also introduces significant complexity in managing and tracking multiple applications.

### A. Increasing Application Volume

Most candidates apply to anywhere between 20–150 positions during their job search, especially in competitive fields such as software engineering, business, data science, and marketing. Tracking such high volumes manually becomes difficult and error-prone.

### *B. Distributed Job Information*

Most candidates apply to anywhere between 20–150 positions during their job search, especially in competitive fields such as software engineering, business, data science, and marketing. Tracking such high volumes manually becomes difficult and error-prone.

### *C. Multi-Stage Recruitment Pipelines*

Modern hiring processes typically involve multiple steps:

- Online application
- Screening test
- HR interview
- Technical interview(s)
- Managerial round
- Final discussion / offer

Without an organized tracking tool, job seekers often lose track of progress, forget follow-ups, or miss deadlines.

### *D. Cognitive Load and Decision Fatigue*

The mental effort required to remember details of all applications increases cognitive load. When job seekers juggle multiple opportunities, decision fatigue sets in, resulting in poor prioritization and reduced productivity.

### *E. Impact on Motivation and Performance*

A disorganized job search induces stress and lowers motivation. Missed follow-ups or forgotten interviews can damage confidence and negatively affect future applications.

### *F. Need for Centralized Digital Support System*

A dedicated job application tracking tool solves these challenges by providing:

- A centralized hub for all job-related data
- Structured pipelines for tracking recruitment stages
- Notes, reminders, and deadlines
- Easy comparison between job opportunities
- More confident, informed, and organized decision-making

This makes such tools essential in today's digitally driven job-search environment.

## **III. REVIEW METHODOLOGY**

The objective of this review is to evaluate the effectiveness, usability, and limitations of modern job application tracking tools. To ensure a systematic and unbiased assessment, a structured review methodology was followed. The methodology includes four essential phases: tool selection, data collection, feature analysis, and comparative evaluation.

### *A. Tool Selection Criteria*

The tools selected for this review are among the most widely used by job seekers. Selection was based on:

- Popularity among users
- Availability of documentation
- Diversity in features (manual tracking, automation, analytics)
- Representing both dedicated job trackers and general productivity platforms

The tools reviewed include: Huntr, TealHQ, JibberJobber, Simplify Jobs, Notion, Trello, and Google Sheets.

### *B. Data Collection Sources*

Information for each tool was gathered through:

- Official platform documentation and feature lists
- User reviews from forums and social media

- Hands-on testing of free versions where possible
- Online communities such as Reddit, LinkedIn groups, and career forums
- Research publications on job-search behaviour and productivity tools

This ensured an accurate understanding of real-world user experiences. Popularity among users

### C. Feature-Based Evaluation Framework

Each tool was evaluated using a common framework of parameters, including:

- Job pipeline visualization
- Job-saving methods (manual entry, extensions, automation)
- Notes and tagging capabilities
- Recruiter information management
- Deadline reminders and notifications
- Resume integration features
- Level of automation (autofill, email parsing, etc.)
- Analytics and progress visualization
- Customization and flexibility
- Ease of use and learning curve
- Availability of free vs. paid features
- Mobile and desktop accessibility

This framework enabled consistent comparison across tools

### D. Comparative Analysis Approach

After individual evaluation, tools were compared based on:

- Strengths
- Weaknesses
- Unique differentiating features
- Suitability for different types of users (students, professionals, job switchers)

The analysis also considered how each tool supports overall job-search workflow and productivity.

### E. Validation Through User Insights

To strengthen the review, qualitative feedback from job seekers was included. This information came from:

- Online discussions
- University placement groups
- Feedback forums
- Survey responses published online

These insights helped identify gaps between intended features and actual user needs.

## IV. REVIEW OF EXISTING JOB APPLICATION TRACKING SYSTEMS

### A. Huntr

Huntr is one of the most popular dedicated job-tracking platforms, designed to provide an intuitive and visually structured workflow. It is particularly favoured by students, fresh graduates, and working professionals due to its simplicity and browser integration.

#### 1) Key Features

- Kanban-style pipeline: Users can drag and drop job cards across stages such as “Saved,” “Applied,” “Interviewing,” and “Offer.”
- Browser extension: Allows instant saving of job postings directly from job portals; automatically extracts job titles, company names, and links.
- Job card details: Stores recruiter information, notes, salary expectations, deadlines, and documents.
- Activity timeline: Displays chronological updates added by the user.
- Team or mentor sharing: Premium plans allow sharing job pipelines with mentors or career advisors.

2) *Strengths*

- Very user-friendly; minimal learning curve
- Clean, visually appealing design
- Fast job saving with extension
- Ideal for individuals with multiple job applications
- Good for freshers and early-career users

3) *Limitations*

- Limited analytics in free version
- No automatic email scanning
- Collaboration features require subscription

4) *Suitable For*

- Students applying for internships
- Freshers managing multiple job applications
- Professionals wanting simple pipeline tracking

*B. TealHQ Job Tracker*

TealHQ offers a modern job-tracking tool integrated with AI-driven career tools. It is known for balancing organization with resume optimization and career planning resources.

1) *Key Features*

- AI-based resume keyword scoring: Highlights missing keywords compared to job descriptions.
- Advanced job cards: Include job descriptions, seniority level, location, salary estimates, and company insights.
- Notes, reminders, and tagging: Helps users store context and follow-up tasks.
- Browser extension: Saves job details from LinkedIn, indeed, Lever, Greenhouse, and more.
- Skill-matching engine: Suggests improvements to the resume based on required skills.

2) *Strengths*

- One of the most polished UIs among job trackers
- Resume optimization adds major value
- Easy navigation and organization
- Offers a free plan with strong features

3) *Limitations*

- Some resume features locked behind premium subscription
- No automatic status updates from email inbox
- Can feel complex for beginners

4) *Suitable For*

- Users applying for roles requiring ATS-friendly resumes
- Mid-level professionals focusing on keyword-based resume improvements
- Applicants who want job tracking + resume tools in one place

*C. JibberJobber*

JibberJobber is one of the oldest career management systems, offering dense tracking capabilities beyond job applications. It focuses largely on relationship management and long-term career planning.

1) *Key Features*

- Extensive job tracking fields: Users can add detailed information for each job post.

- Networking tools: Tracks recruiters, mentors, and referrals with detailed relationship logs.
- Resume tracking: Allows storing multiple resumes and linking them to job applications.
- Custom fields: Users can configure tracking categories as per their preferences.

#### 2) *Strengths*

- Highly detailed and thorough
- Excellent for managing long-term professional relationships
- Includes extensive logging features
- Good for experienced professionals or those handling complex networking

#### 3) *Limitations*

- Outdated user interface
- Steeper learning curve
- Can overwhelm first-time job seekers
- Mobile experience is weaker

#### 4) *Suitable For*

- Senior professionals with large networks
- Candidates applying through networking and referrals
- Users who want long-term career tracking, not just job applications

### D. *Simplify Jobs*

Simplify was originally designed to streamline repetitive job application tasks, particularly in tech and startup hiring portals.

#### 1) *Key Features*

- Auto-fill job applications: Automatically detects fields on many job forms and completes them using stored user data.
- Job-saving extension: Saves job listings directly from job boards with basic information.
- Minimalist job tracker: Allows marking jobs as “Saved,” “Applied,” or “Rejected.”
- Matching system: Suggests jobs from partner companies based on user profile.

#### 2) *Strengths*

- Best tool for saving time during high-volume applications
- Clean and minimal interface
- Excellent for students applying on tech platforms

#### 3) *Limitations*

- Tracking is basic compared to Huntr or TealHQ
- Limited job coverage beyond tech/startup roles
- No detailed job cards or notes features

#### 4) *Suitable For*

- Students applying on Y Combinator, Lever, Workday, and Simplify-supported portals
- Candidates prioritizing speed and automation

### E. *Notion (Customizable Productivity Tool)*

Notion is a highly flexible workspace tool that users adapt into job trackers using templates and databases.

#### 1) *Key Features*

- Fully customizable databases
- Tags for tracking job stages

- Attachments, notes, and structured fields
  - Template ecosystem with job-search layouts
- 2) *Strengths*
- Maximum customization
  - Ideal for users wanting complete control
  - Can integrate reading lists, notes, tasks, and documentation
- 3) *Limitations*
- Requires manual setup
  - No automation or direct job import
  - Can be time-consuming to maintain
- 4) *Suitable For*
- Users who prefer personalized dashboards
  - Long-term planners

#### F. *Trello (Kanban Workflow System)*

Trello offers Kanban boards ideal for simple job pipelines.

##### 1) *Key Features*

- Drag-and-drop job cards
- Color-coded labels
- Checklist support
- Easy sharing with teams

##### 2) *Strengths*

- Extremely simple
- Good for visual thinkers
- Great for group or collaborative tracking

##### 3) *Limitations*

- No job-specific features
- Manual updates needed
- Basic functionality compared to dedicated tools

##### 4) *Suitable For*

- Placement groups
- Students doing collaborative job prep

#### G. *Google Sheets*

Still one of the most commonly used tracking tools.

##### 1) *Key Features*

- Manual job lists
- Custom columns
- Sorting and filtering
- Simple and universally accessible

##### 5) *Strengths*

- Free, flexible, shareable

- Easy for beginners
- Works across devices

6) *Limitations*

- No automation
- No structured templates
- Prone to user error

7) *Suitable For*

- Users who want a simple, no-signup option
- Students beginning their job search

**V. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS**

Modern job application tracking tools vary significantly in their design philosophy, target audience, feature set, and technical sophistication. To evaluate their relative strengths and limitations, a systematic comparative analysis was conducted across several key dimensions, including usability, automation, customization, analytics capabilities, and accessibility. This section presents a detailed comparison of the reviewed platforms and highlights their suitability for different types of job seekers.

A. *Comparative Feature Matrix*

TABLE I  
COMPARATIVE FEATURE MATRIX

Feature / Tool	Huntr	TealHQ	JibberJobber	Simplify	Notion	Trello	Google Sheets
Pipeline Tracking	✓ Excellent	✓ Excellent	✓ Moderate	✓ Basic	✓ Custom	✓ Good	✓ Manual
Notes & Attachments	✓	✓	✓ Extensive	✗ Limited	✓ Custom	✓ Basic	✓ Manual
Reminders	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Analytics	Limited	Moderate	Minimal	Minimal	None	None	None
Automation	✗	✗	✗	✓ Autofill	✗	✗	✗
Browser Extension	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Resume Support	Basic	✓ Advanced	✓ Resume Log	✗	✗	✗	✗
Collaboration	Premium	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
Ease of Use	High	High	Medium	High	Medium	High	High
Customization	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Very High	Medium	Medium
Best For	Students & professionals	Resume-focused applicants	Experienced professionals	Fast appliers	Custom planners	Teams	Beginners

B. *Usability Comparison*

Usability refers to how easily users can navigate and operate the platform:

- Huntr and TealHQ score the highest due to intuitive interfaces, polished design, and minimal learning curves.
- Trello and Google Sheets are easy to use but require manual effort.
- JibberJobber, although powerful, has a dense interface that may overwhelm new users.
- Notion falls in the middle due to high customization requiring setup time.

Conclusion:

Huntr and TealHQ are most user-friendly; JibberJobber is the least beginner-friendly



### C. Automation and Efficiency

Automation reduces repetitive manual tasks:

- Simplify stands out for its autofill capability across job portals.
- Huntr and TealHQ offer fast job import via browser extensions but no automated status updates.
- Notion, Trello, and Sheets offer no automation and rely heavily on manual maintenance.

Conclusion:

Only Simplify offers meaningful automation; others focus more on organization than automation.

### D. Customization and Flexibility

Different tools offer varying levels of customization:

High Customization Tools

- Notion – Fully customizable databases, fields, and templates.
- Google Sheets – Highly flexible but manual.
- Trello – Custom columns and labels.

Low Customization Tools

- Huntr and TealHQ use fixed pipelines and job card structures.
- JibberJobber is function-rich but not very customizable.

Conclusion:

Customization-focused users prefer Notion or Sheets; structured users prefer Huntr or TealHQ.

### E. Analytics and Insight Generation

Analytics help users identify trends and improve strategy.

- TealHQ offers moderate analytics on resume keywords and job fit.
- Huntr provides basic statistics such as number of applications and success stages.
- JibberJobber, Simplify, Notion, and Trello have little to no built-in analytics.

Conclusion:

TealHQ leads in analytics; most other tools lack meaningful data insights.

### F. Collaboration Features

Collaboration helps students in placement groups or people working with career counsellors.

- Notion and Trello excel due to shared workspaces.
- Huntr allows collaboration only in premium plans.
- TealHQ, JibberJobber, Google Sheets, Simplify have limited or no collaboration.

Conclusion:

Notion and Trello best support teamwork and shared job tracking.

### G. Accessibility and Cross-Platform Support

- A practical job tracker must work across devices:
- Huntr, TealHQ, Simplify offer strong browser-based tools.
- Notion and Trello have excellent cross-platform apps.
- Jibber Jobber's mobile support is weaker.
- Google Sheets works across all devices.

Conclusion:

Notion and Trello offer the best device compatibility; Huntr and TealHQ offer strong browser tools.

### H. Strength–Weakness Summary

#### 1) Huntr

Strengths: Visual pipeline, fast job saving

Weaknesses: Limited analytics

- 2) TealHQ  
Strengths: Resume optimization + Tracking  
Weaknesses: Some premium restrictions
- 3) JibberJobber  
Strengths: Deep tracking, networking logs  
Weaknesses: Complex interface
- 4) Simplify  
Strengths: Autofill feature  
Weaknesses: Basic tracking
- 5) Notion  
Strengths: Maximum customization  
Weaknesses: Manual effort required
- 6) Trello  
Strengths: Simple Kanban design  
Weaknesses: Lacks job-specific features
- 7) Google Sheets  
Strengths: Universal and free  
Weaknesses: No automation

### *I. Overall Insights and Key Findings*

The comprehensive comparison of various job application tracking tools reveals several critical insights into how these platforms support job seekers and where they fall short.

#### *1) No Universal “Perfect Tool” Exists*

While each platform excels in a specific domain—such as automation, customization, or analytics—none provide a complete all-in-one solution. This is primarily because job-search needs vary widely across individuals. For example:

- Students require simplicity and speed
- Professionals need detailed tracking and analytics
- Senior applicants rely on networking and relationship logs

#### *2) Usability Plays a Larger Role Than Feature Count*

Tools such as Huntr and TealHQ demonstrate that a polished, user-friendly interface often matters more than feature richness. Users prefer platforms that reduce friction and quickly fit into their workflow.

#### *3) Lack of Automation Is a Major Gap*

Most job application trackers require significant manual input. Apart from Simplify’s autofill feature, there is limited automation across the industry. Key missing elements include:

- Automatic email parsing
- Automatic application status updates
- Automatic import of recruiter contacts
- AI-based document extraction

This gap limits efficiency during high-volume job applications.

#### *4) Customization vs. Structure Trade-Off*

- Highly customizable tools (Notion, Sheets) offer flexibility but require user effort.
- Structured tools (Huntr, TealHQ) reduce setup time but limit customization.

The choice depends on the user’s preference between freedom and ease.

#### 5) *Analytics Remain Underdeveloped*

Insights such as success rates, recruiter response time, application bottlenecks, and skill alignment are critical for improving job-search strategies. However, only TealHQ provides moderate analytics, while other tools offer limited or no insight generation.

#### 6) *Collaboration Is Rarely Prioritized*

Although job searching is often individualistic, college placement cells, career counsellors, and mentorship programs could benefit greatly from collaborative tracking. Only Notion, Trello, and premium versions of Huntr allow shared access effectively.

#### 7) *Cross-Platform Use Is Essential*

With job seekers frequently switching between mobile and desktop, cross-platform support is important.

- Notion, Trello, and Sheets perform best in cross-device usage.
- Some dedicated job trackers still lack strong mobile experiences.

#### 8) *Modern Job Seekers Expect Integrated Tools*

Platforms with multi-functional capabilities—such as TealHQ’s resume integration—provide added value compared to single-function tools. Users increasingly expect tools to offer:

- Tracking
- Analytics
- Resume support
- Follow-up reminders
- Job recommendations

This demand indicates a trend toward consolidated, intelligent job-search ecosystems.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The rise of digital recruitment platforms has dramatically increased the complexity of job searching, creating a strong need for tools that bring clarity, organization, and strategic structure to the process. Through this review, it is evident that modern job application tracking tools play a crucial role in helping job seekers manage the large volume of applications, multi-stage hiring pipelines, and scattered job-related information. Huntr and TealHQ emerge as leading tools for general users due to their intuitive interfaces and balanced feature sets. Simplify excels in automation, offering autofill capabilities that significantly reduce repetitive work. Notion and Trello serve unique roles for users who prefer customizable or collaborative systems, while JibberJobber continues to support experienced professionals with deep relationship management features. Despite their strengths, current tools still face limitations. The lack of advanced automation, insufficient integration with recruitment portals, minimal analytics, and inconsistent mobile experiences indicate that substantial opportunities for innovation remain. Future systems must focus on delivering intelligent features such as AI-driven recommendations, automated status updates, skill-gap analytics, and improved cross-platform usability. In conclusion, job application tracking tools have already transformed how individuals navigate the job search process, but there remains considerable potential for future enhancements. As the job market continues to evolve, the demand for more adaptive, automated, and intelligent job-search management systems will increase. These tools are becoming not just helpful add-ons but essential components of the modern job-hunting ecosystem.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.H. Raisoni University, Amravati, for providing the necessary academic environment and support to carry out this review study. The authors also acknowledge the valuable insights obtained from user discussions, online communities, and publicly available documentation of the platforms evaluated in this paper. Special thanks to faculty mentors and peers for their constructive feedback throughout the preparation of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Nikolaou, “What is the role of technology in recruitment and selection?” *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, vol. 23, 2020.
- [2] A. Holm, “E-recruitment: Towards a ubiquitous recruitment process,” *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2006.
- [3] E. Galanaki, “The decision to recruit online: A descriptive study,” *Career Development International*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 243–251, 2002.



- [4] E. Parry & S. Tyson, "Do online recruitment methods work?" *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 466–477, 2008.
- [5] H. Sylva & S. Mol, "E-recruitment: A study into applicant perceptions of an online application system," *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 311–323, 2009.
- [6] S. Singh & D. Finn, "The role of web-based job searching on job seeking behavior," *Journal of Labor Research*, vol. 24, pp. 423–447, 2003.
- [7] P. Mehta, "Understanding applicant tracking systems and job search optimization," *Human Resource Development Review*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 330–345, 2022.
- [8] A. Husain & V. Deenanath, "The Impact of online recruitment on job seekers' behaviour," *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 101–118, 2021.
- [9] K. L. Hall & P. Green, "The Impact of Productivity Tools on Career Planning and Task Management," *Journal of Productivity Studies*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 155–167, 2022.
- [10] A. Adawiah & A. H. P. K. Putra, "The Impact of E-Recruitment Implementation on Company Credibility, Candidate Selection Efficiency, and Process Transparency: Job Applicants' Perspective," *International Review of Management and Marketing*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 126–139, 2024.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)