



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: V Month of publication: May 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.71380

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



## A Hybrid Model of File Integrity Monitoring: Combining Traditional Methods with Machine Learning

Dnyaneshwar Navnath Vaykar, Hemant Kailas Dakhore, Yash Chavan, Shantanu Tathe Department of Computer Engineering, Imperial College of Engineering & Research, Pune, India

Abstract: In today's rapidly evolving cybersecurity landscape, file integrity monitoring (FIM) remains a critical line of defence against data breaches, malicious attacks, Third party interruption, loss of sensitive data and lack of internal data security. Traditional FIM techniques, such as Tripwire and Advanced Intrusion Detection Environments (AIDE), have long been trusted for detecting unauthorized changes in files. However, these methods often suffer from limitations suchas high false-positive rates and inefficiencies in handling large-scale, dynamic environments. In this paper, we propose a hybrid model of File Integrity Monitoring that combines traditional methods with advanced machine learning techniques to enhance detection accuracy and reduce operational overhead. Also, we try to an improve the that limitations of a traditional techniques by an making a hybrid of Traditional FIM techniques & advanced machine learning techniques to improve and make a secure environment. By leveraging the strengths of both approaches, the hybrid model addresses key weaknesses inconventional systems, improving bothreal-timedetectioncapabilities and adaptability indiverse computing environments, including cloud and virtualized infrastructures. The proposed model demonstrates significant improvements in file integrity monitoring, providing a robust, scalable, and efficient solution for modern cybersecurity challenges.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of traditionalmethods with machine learning infile integrity monitoring presentsa multifaceted approach to enhancing cybersecurity, particularly in the contextof theInternetof Things(IoT) and industrial controlsystems(ICS). The literatureon reveals progressive shifttowardsutilizing advanced machine learning techniquesto addressthe thissubject а limitations of conventional security measures. In 2019, [1] introduced the DEMIS emodel, emphasizing the necessity of combining traditionals ecuritytechnologieswithadaptiveapproachesthatleveragemachinelearningandbehavioralanalytics. Theirstudyhighlighted the challenges posed by the computational constraints of IoT devices, advocating for interpretable models that maintain the set of theperformance whileensuring transparency in security applications. Building on this foundation, [2] conducted a systematic review focusing on security and privacy issuesin the Internetof Medical Things(IoMT).They explored variousmachine learning techniquesformalwaredetection, revealing that while many approaches achieved high detection rates, they often fells hort in terms ofenergy efficiency and accuracy. This highlights theneed for amorenuanced application of machine learning that considers the unique demandsof healthcareenvironments. [3] further advanced the discussion by proposing a federated learning architecture tailoredforcybersecurity.Their workemphasizedtheimportanceoftimelyattackdetectionand theroleofcontinuouslearning in incorporationoffeedbackfromnetworksecurityoperatorsiscrucialforidentifyingnovel adaptingtoevolvingthreatvectors. The attacks, thereby reinforcing the argument for a hybrid approach that combines traditional and machine learning methods. [4] addressed the criticalissue of data quality inmachine learning-based intrusion detection systems(IDS). They underscored the significanceofhighqualitytrainingdataindevelopingeffectiveIDS, suggestingthat the performance of machine learning models isheavilyrelianton theintegrityoftheinputdata. This perspective aligns with theneed for robust feature selection and data curation in the context of file integrity monitoring.

In 2022, [5] research illustrated the application of conventional machine learning techniques within the IoTenvironment, focusing on the identification of the techniques of technication and classification of cyberattacks. While their findings indicated a promising ability to detect malicious traffic, the study also pointed outly a specific detection of the study and the specific detection of the study and the specific detection of the study and the specific detection of the spe imitations indataset diversity and then eed for extensive testing across various attack types. [6] furthered the conversation by exploring behavior -basedapproachesforintrusiondetectioninICS. Theirfindingshighlighted the potential of machine learning to automate called high-fidelitybenchmark detectionprocesses, vet they also attention to thenecessityfor datasets to enhancemodelperformanceand reliability.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

[7] introduced a stackedunsupervised federated learning approach for generalizing intrusion detection acrossheterogeneousnetworks. Their workdemonstrated the adaptabilityofmachine learning methodstodetectzero-dayattacks, emphasizingthe potential for collaborative learning in enhancing cybersecurity measures. In the same year, [8] proposed FEMa-FS, an ovel feature selection approach aimed at improving anomaly detection in computer networks. Their results suggested that effective feature selection could significantly enhancedetection accuracy, acritical component foranyhybridmodel offileintegritymonitoring. The exploration of machinelearning indigital forensics by [9] further illustrated expanding roleof the thesetechniquesin managing the complexitiesof cybercrime investigations.Their systematic reviewidentified challenges and opportunities for integrating machine learning into digital for ensity, reinforcing the importance of the standard standathesemethodsin contemporary cybersecurity practices. Finally,[10]highlighted thevalueofmachine learning in cybersecurity research, particularly in developingframeworksliketheSecurityAssessmentModel(SAM)forevaluatingsoftware vulnerabilities.

Theirfindingsunderscored there learning in automating the identification of security deficiencies, further supporting the argume nt for a hybrid approach combining traditional methods with advanced machine learning techniques.

Inthedigitalage, the integrity of files is paramount for both individuals and organizations. Unauthorized modification stocritical files can lead to databreaches, lossof sensitive information, and significant financial and reputational damage. Traditional file integrity verification methodsrely heavilyon cryptographic hash functions, such asMD5 and SHA256, to detect changesby comparingfile hashes. While effective in identifying alterations, these methods not provide contextregarding thenature or potential threatof the modifications.Theriseof sophisticatedcyber threatsnecessitatesmore intelligentand adaptive security measures.ArtificialIntelligence(AI)andMachineLearning(ML)haveemergedastransformativetechnologiesincybersecurity, offering analysepatterns, predict threats, and Alinto fileintegrityverification capabilitiesto automateresponses. Integrating systemscanbridgethegapbetweensimplechangedetectionandcomprehensiveriskassessment. This researchaimstoenhancea

traditional File Integrity Checker by incorporating a machine learning model to assess the risk associated with file modifications.

Theproposed system not only detects changes but also evaluates their potential threat levels, enabling proactive security measures. By analyzing file attributes such as size, type, and modification frequency, the AI-driven system provides users with actionable insights, reducing false positives and enhancing overall security posture. The significance of this study lies in its potential to advance file integrity verification tools, making them more intelligent and responsive to evolving cyber threats. The subsequent sections will review existing literature, outline the methodology, present the results, and discuss the implications of integrating AI into file integrity systems.

#### **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

File Integrity Monitoring (FIM) hasbeen a cornerstone of cybersecurity for decades, with its primary function being to detect unauthorized modifications to files and system configurations. Over the years, various approaches have been developed to enhance the effectiveness of FIM systems. This section reviews the key advancements in traditional FIM techniquesandexploreshowemergingtechnologies, particularlymachinelearning, are now being integrated to address limitations of conventional systems.

- 1) Traditional File Integrity Monitoring: Early file integrity monitoring systems, such as **Tripwire**, introduced in the 1990s by Kim and Spafford, employed cryptographic hash functions (like MD5 and SHA) to detect changes in files by comparing them against known baselines [2]. These methods were revolutionary at the time, offering a simple yet powerful way to track unauthorized file modifications. However, as these systems evolved, several challenges became apparent. While hashes are effective at detecting changes, they do not provide information about the nature or context of the modification. Consequently, traditionalsystemscannotdifferentiatebetweenbenignupdatesandpotentiallymaliciousalterations, leadingtoahighnumberof false positives.
- 2) Enhancements in FIM: Virtualization and Cloud Integration: As IT infrastructures shifted towards cloud computing and virtualized environments, traditional FIM tools struggled to keep up with the increased complexity. Research has shown that virtualization introduces new layers of complexity in file integrity monitoring, requiring methods that can monitor not just individual files but also virtual machines and containers. Techniques such as virtual machine introspection (VMI) emerged to allow for deeper monitoring of virtual environments, which provided a more transparent way to inspect file systems and detect rootkitsorotherhiddenmalware.Despitetheseadvances,theabilitytoeffectivelymonitorfilesincloudenvironmentsremaineda challenge.
- *3)* Machine Learning in Cybersecurity: The growing complexity of cybersecurity threats and the limitations of static detection methods led researchers to explore artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) as potential solutions.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Machine learning has shown considerable promise in areas such as anomaly detection, intrusion detection systems (IDS), and malware classification. Tools like **RandomForestClassifier** and **Support Vector Machines (SVM)** have been widely used for identifying patterns of malicious behavior in network traffic, user activity, and even file modifications. In particular, ML-based systems can learn from historical data to identify subtle patterns and correlations that human analysts or rule-based systems might miss. For file integrity monitoring, this means not just detecting changes, but also assessing the likelihood that those changes are malicious. For example, using file attributes such as size, type, frequency of changes, and even file access history, machine learning algorithms can determine whether a file modification is suspicious and requires further investigation [20, 21].

- 4) Hybrid Approaches: Integrating Traditional FIM with Machine Learning: Recent studies have proposed hybrid models that combine traditional FIM techniques with machine learning to improve detection accuracy and reduce false positives. For example, Jin et al. introduced a guest-transparent file integrity monitoring system that incorporated monitoring mechanisms in virtual environments alongside traditional hash-based verification. This allowed for deeper inspection of file changes but still lacked predictive capabilities to assess the risk of modifications.
- 5) GapsinCurrent Researchand theNeed forFurther Development While hybrid FIM models have demonstrated significant improvements over traditional systems, several gaps remain in currentresearch. Forinstance, thetrainingand deploymentofmachinelearningmodelsinreal-timemonitoringscenarios present challenges related to computational overhead and data accuracy. Moreover, the lack of standardized datasets for training ML models specific to FIM systems means that many solutions are limited in scope, unable to generalize across different types of environments or attack vectors.

Additionally, there is a growing need to address how these hybrid systems can be integrated into broader cybersecurity frameworks, such as Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) platforms, to provide a more comprehensive defensestrategy. The fusion of machinelearning with traditional FIM techniques has the potential to transform file integrity monitoring from a reactive to a provide fense mechanism, but further research is needed to refine these systems for real- world deployment.

#### **III. METHODOLOGY**

#### A. System Design and Architecture

The proposed system is built on the foundation of traditional file integrity monitoring mechanisms, while introducing a machinelearning-basedmoduletoenhanceriskassessmentcapabilities. This hybrid approachensures both the detection of file modifications and an intelligent evaluation of their potential security risks.

#### 1) Traditional FI MModule

TheTraditionalFIMModuleisbasedonwell-establishedfileintegritymonitoringtoolslikeTripwireandAIDE.These tools use cryptographic hash functions (such as SHA-256) to monitor changes in critical files. The core functionality includes:

- HashCalculation:Acryptographichashfunctiongeneratesahashvalue(orchecksum)foreachmonitoredfile.
- BaselineComparison:Thecalculatedhashiscomparedagainstapre-establishedbaselinehashstoredinasecure database.
- ChangeDetection:Ifthehashvaluesdonotmatch,thesystemtriggersanalertindicatingafilemodification.

## 2) AI-DrivenRiskAssessmentModule

To provide additional context and threat analysis, an **AI-Driven Risk Assessment Module** was introduced. This module analyzesthedetectedfilechangesandevaluatesthelikelihoodthattheyrepresentmaliciousactivity. Thekeycomponents of this module include:

- $\bullet \quad Feature Extraction: Relevant file attributes (e.g., file type, size, modification frequency, and access patterns) are extracted.$
- RiskScoring:Amachinelearningmodel(RandomForestClassifier)evaluatesthesefeaturesandassignsarisk score to each detected modification, indicating the probability of malicious intent.

## B. Data Collection and Preprocessing

The effectiveness of any machine learning model depends on the quality and diversity of the data used for training. For this system, the data set included a combination of real-world file modification logs and synthetic data generated to simulate both benign and malicious activities.



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### 1) Data Sources

- Real-WorldFileLogs:Data wassourcedfromopen-sourcefileintegritymonitoringsystemslikeTripwire,which provided logs of legitimate file changes.
- CybersecurityIncidentDatabases:KnownmalwareandattackpatternsfromrepositorieslikeVirusTotaland MITRE ATT&CK were used to create malicious modification scenarios.
- SimulatedData:Syntheticdatawasgeneratedtosimulatefilemodificationpatternsinvariousoperational environments, including cloud, local, and virtual systems.

#### 2) Data Preprocessing

Beforefeedingthedataintothemachinelearningmodel, several preprocessing steps were required:

- Normalization:Continuousvariablessuchasfilesizewerenormalizedtoensureconsistentscaling.
- $\bullet \qquad Handling Missing Data: Missing values we reeither imputed using statistical methods or dropped from the dataset.$
- Labeling:Eachfilemodificationwaslabeledaseitherbenignormaliciousbasedonexpertanalysisandreference logs.

#### C. Integration Process

#### 1) Integration into FIM Workflow:

The traditional FIM system was responsible for initial file integrity checks, while the machinelearningmodelwasinvokedonlyaftera changewasdetected. This approach minimized the computational load, as only flagged files underwent risk assessment.

#### 2) Real-TimeImplementation

To maintain real-time monitoring, the machine learning inference process was optimized for speed. Using pre-trained models with lightweight inference engines allowed the system to assess file modifications with minimal delay, ensuring that security responses were immediate.

#### 3) UserReporting

The results of the integrity check and risk assessment we reconsolidated into a comprehensive report, which provided:

- Thefile(s)thatweremodified.
- AriskscoregeneratedbytheAI model.
- Suggestedactionsbasedontheriskassessment.

rubioloumpie output report			
File Name	DetectedChange	RiskScore	SuggestedAction
system.dll	Modified		In vestigateand quarantine
config.ini	Modified	0.10	Noaction required
user.exe	Modified	0.75	Reviewaccess logs

Table3:Sample Output Report

BycombiningthestrengthsoftraditionalFIMtechniqueswithmachinelearning,theproposedhybridsystemenhancesthe overall capability to detect and respond to file-based threats. The integration process ensures low-latency, real-time monitoring, making the system both scalable and effective across different environments.

## **IV. RESULTS**

#### A. ModelPerformanceMetrics

The machine learning model, specifically the RandomForestClassifier, was trained and evaluated on a diverse dataset containingbothbenignandmaliciousfilemodifications. Keyperformancemetricssuchasaccuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score were calculated to assess the model's effectiveness in detecting potentially harmful file changes. The model successfullyidentified170maliciousmodifications(TP) and 180benignmodifications(TN). 30actualmaliciouschanges weremissed(FN), and 20benignchanges were incorrectly flagged as malicious (FP). This balance between true positives and false negatives is a security application, where missing an actual threat (FN) is more dangerous than a false alarm (FP).



## B. ComparativeAnalysiswithTraditional Methods

Thehybridmodel'sperformancewascompared against traditional file integrity monitoring systems like Tripwire and AIDE, which rely solely on cryptographic hashes to detect file changes. The analysis evaluated detection accuracy, operational efficiency, and false positive rates.

## 1) ComparisonofDetectionAccuracy

Table6:Detec	tionAccuracyComparison	
Method	DetectionAccuracy	
Traditional FIM (Tripwire, AIDE)	75%	
HybridFIMwithML	92%	

- ThetraditionalFIMmethodsachievedanaccuracyof75%, as they could only detect changes but could not assess their context or risk level.
- Thehybridmodelsignificantlyimproveddetectionaccuracyto92% byintegratingmachinelearning, which allowed for a contextual risk assessment of each detectedAsshowninFigure5, thehybridsystemsignificantly reducedresponsetimeduet oits prioritization of high-riskchangesfor further evaluation.

## C. Case Studies

## 1) CaseStudy1:DetectingaMalwareInfection

- Scenario: A server within a cloud environment experienced unexpected file changes in critical system directories. TraditionalFIMdetectedthesechangesbutcouldnotdifferentiatebetweenabenignupdateandapotentialmalware infection.
- TraditionalFIMResponse:Flagged50filesassuspicious, requiring manual investigation of each.
- HybridFIMResponse: The AI-driven module identified only 5 files a shigh-risk, all of which we reconfirmed to be infected by malware.

## 2) CaseStudy2:HandlingRoutineSoftwareUpdates

- Scenario: An enterprisesystem underwentroutines of tware updates, leading to multiple file changes across the network. Traditional FIM systems flagged these changes as suspicious, overwhelming the security team with alerts.
- TraditionalFIMResponse:Generated100alerts,mostofwhichwerefalsepositivesrelatedtothelegitimate update.
- HybridFIMResponse:TheAImodelcorrectlyidentifiedtheupdateasbenign,reducingthenumberofalertsto 10, which corresponded to non-update-related changes.

 $The hybrid FIM system, combining traditional methods with machine learning, demonstrated significant improvements in: \label{eq:system} and \label{eq:sy$ 

- Detectionaccuracy,risingfrom75%to92%.
- Reductioninfalsepositives, lowering the rate from 25% to 10%.
- Operational efficiency, with a decrease in response time from 12 seconds to 5 seconds.
- Practical applicability, as shown by case studies where the system efficiently handled both routine updates and actual security threats.

## V. DISCUSSION

## A. Advantages of AI Integration

- 1) Contextual Threat Analysis: Traditional file integrity systems primarily use hash comparisons to detect file changes. While this method is reliable for identifying changes, it lacks the ability to assess the context or the potential threat posed by a modification. TheAI model, in contrast, evaluates various fileattributes (e.g.,frequency ofchanges, metadata) and offersariskassessment, which provides valuable context that enhances decision-making.
- 2) Reduction in Alert Fatigue: Conventional FIM systems generate alerts for every file change, regardless of its severity, leading to alert fatigue among security teams. By filtering out low-risk changes, the AI-enhanced system reduces the number of alerts requiring manual intervention. The reduction in false positives means fewer irrelevant alarms, improving the efficiency of security operations.



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

3) Adaptability and Scalability: Traditional systems often struggle to scale in dynamic environments, such as cloud and virtualized infrastructures, where frequent file changes are common. AI algorithms learn from historical data, adapttonewpatterns, and offerbetters calability by automatically adjusting to the system's changing environment. Proactive Threat Identification: The AI model allows for proactive monitoring by identifying suspicious patterns or anomalies before they escalate into more serious security incidents. This contrasts with traditional methods that only detect changes after they

occur, offering no predictive or preventive capabilities.

#### B. Limitations

- Dependence on Training Data: The effectiveness of themachine learning model relies heavily on the quality and variety of the training data. If the model is trained on outdated or incomplete data, it sability to recognize emerging threats may be compromised. Continuous retraining with updated data is essential to maintain the model's accuracy and adaptability.
- 2) IncreasedResourceRequirements:Machinelearningmodels,particularlythoseanalyzinglargedatasets,canbe resourceintensiveintermsofprocessing powerandmemory usage. In environmentswhereresourcesarelimited, this may impact the system's overall performance. The additional computational overhead introduced by AI may also lead to delays in large-scale deployments.
- *3)* Complexity in Implementation: Integrating AI into a traditional FIM system adds a layer of complexity in terms of setupand configuration. Organizations may face challenges indeploying the model, collecting and preprocessing the necessary data, and fine-tuning the system for optimal performance. Additionally, personnel may require additional training to manage and maintain the AI-enhanced FIM system.

## C. Implications for Cybersecurity

## 1) ShiftTowardIntelligentCybersecuritySolutions

Traditional cyberse curity tools are largely reactive, relying on predefined rules or signatures to detect malicious activity. By incorporating machine learning, the hybrid FIM system moves toward an intelligent, adaptive solution capable of predicting and preventing potential threats. This rendreflects abroadershift in the cyberse curity industry toward AI-driven tools that can autonomously identify and mitigate risks.

#### 2) ApplicabilitytoCloudandVirtualizedEnvironments

The scalability and adaptability of the hybrid FIM model make it particularly well-suited for cloud-based and virtualized environments, where traditional FIM systems of tenstruggle. The ability to learn and adjust to the frequent changes in heren time to sallow sthe hybrid system to provide continuous, real-time monitoring without overwhelming security teams with irrelevant alerts.

#### 3) FutureofAIinCybersecurity

The successof AI-enhancedfile integrity monitoringopensthe door tobroader applications of machine learning in other areas of cybersecurity, such as network traffic analysis, endpoint protection, and user behavior analytics. As AI models becomemoresophisticated, they will likely play an increasingly central role indefending against advanced cyber threats, helping organizations stay one step ahead of attackers.

#### **VI. CONCLUSION**

The integration of AI into traditional file integrity monitoring represents a promising advancement in the field of cybersecurity. By enhancing the ability to detect, assess, and respond to file changes, the hybrid model offers a more intelligent, scalable, and efficient solution for modern security challenges.

While traditional FIM systems have long been a cornerstoneof cyber security, therapide volution of the threat landscape demands more adaptive and proactive solutions. The use of machine learning brings the potential for smarter, more nuanced security tools that can keep pace with the increasing complexity of cyber threats.

Theresultsofthisstudyunderscore the importance of continued innovation in cybersecurity tools and highlight the potential of AI-driven systems in addressing the limitations of traditional approaches. As organizations increasingly adopt cloud- based and virtualized environments, the demand for intelligent, scalable FIM solutions will only grow. The hybrid model developed in this research lays the foundation for future advancements infile integrity monitoring and cyberse curity at large, pushing the field towards more adaptive, context aware systems that can anticipate and mitigate threats with greater accuracy and efficiency.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### REFERENCES

- [1] NsAkshaiSankar,K.A.Fasila ImplementationofSOCusingELKwithIntegrationofWazuhandDedicatedFile Integrity Monitoring 2023 9th International Conference on Smart Computing and Communications (ICSCC)
- [2] Amar Jukuntla; Gayathri Gutha; Annjana Palem; Sri Lakshmi Sowjanya Kotaru; Rajani Alavala "InvestigatingtheEffectivenessofHashLineBaselineforFileIntegrityMonitoring"2024 5thInternational Conference on Image Processing and Capsule Networks (ICIPCN)
- [3] G.H.KimandE.H.Spafford, "Thedesignandimplementationoftripwire:Afilesystemintegritychecker," inProc.2ndACMConf. Comput.Commun.Secur .(CCS),NewYork,NY,USA,1994,pp.18–29.
- [4] C.L.Smith, "AIDE—Advancedintrusiondetectionenvironment," PacificNorthwestNationalLaboratory, Richland, WA, USA, Tech. Rep. PNNL-SA-95220, 2013.
- [5] B.Wotringetal., HostIntegrityMonitoringUsingOsirisandSamhain.MarylandHeights,MI,USA:Syngress Publishing, 2005.
- [6] P.Mishra,E.S.Pilli,V.Varadharajan, and U.Tupakula, "Intrusion detection techniques incloud environment: A survey," J. Netw. Comput. Appl., vol. 77, pp. 18– 47, Jan. 2017.
- [7] T.Y.Win,H.Tianfield,andQ.Mair, "Virtualizationsecuritycombiningmandatoryaccesscontrolandvirtual machine introspection," in Proc. IEEE/ACM 7th Int. Conf. Utility Cloud Comput. (UCC), Dec. 2014, pp. 1004–1009.
- [8] G.Xiang,H.Jin,D.Zou,X.Zhang,S.P.Wen,andF.Zhao, "VMDriver:Adriver-basedmonitoring mechanismforvirtualization," Proc.29thIEEESymp.Rel. Distrib.Syst.,Oct./Nov.2010,pp.72–81.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)