



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 Issue: II Month of publication: February 2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.49129

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



# **A New Direction Towards Plus weighted Grammar**

S. Saridha<sup>1</sup>, S. Haridha Banu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor in Mathematics, <sup>2</sup>PG Student, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Cauvery College for Women(Autonomous) Tiruchirappalli-620018, India.

Abstract: The core of this paper is to establish plus weighted grammar and to illustrate the language accepted by the pwfa and pwg are equivalent.

Keywords: Plus weighted grammar, plus weighted automata, regular language.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Weighted context free grammars and weighted finite automata were initially introduced in significant articles by Marcel-Paul Schutzenberger (1961) and Noam Chomsky (1963), respectively. Weighted finite automata are standard nondeterministic finite automata in which the transitions have weights. We consider the following scenarios to demonstrate the variation of weighted finite automata. We may determine the wide range of a word by counting the number of paths that can be used to represent it as follows: Let each transition have a weight of 1, and for a path that is taken again, the sum of the weights of its successful paths. The wide range of a word equals the sum of its successful paths' weights. The algebraic structures of a semiring involve the computations with weights in the previously mentioned illustration. Here the multiplication of semiring is utilised for estimating the weights of the paths and the weight of the word is successively predicted by the sum of the weights of its successful paths. Applications for weighted automata are numerous. Weighted automata and their accompanying algorithm are developed by contemporary spoken-dialog or handheld speech recognition systems to express their concepts and promote successful combination and search [1,7].

A plus weighted automata [8,9,10,11] is an automata that deals with plus weights up to infinity. Many algebraic structures of plus weighted automata has been discussed in [8,9,10]. A grammar related to this automata is proposed in this paper. This study is a generalisation of plus weighted multiset grammar [9].Plus weighted grammar (pwg) can also be extended further in right linear and left linear grammar. The plus weighted automata can be applied in max weighted automata cited as[2,3,4,5,6]. This work can be further motivated to work in field of graph theory [13,14,15,16].

In addition to this section, this paper comprises four more. Basic concepts and notions are discussed in Section 2 for usage in later parts. In Section 3, a new grammar called pwg is proposed which offers a fresh perspective on pwfa and it elaborates with illustration that for every plus weighted regular grammar there exists a pwfa. The final section, Section 4, concludes and describes the future extension of pwg.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we review some basic notions and definitions about grammar and its types.

Definition 2.1 A phrase-structure grammar or grammar is a four tuple  $\mathbf{G} = \langle \mathbf{V}_N, \mathbf{V}_T, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P} \rangle$  where,  $\mathbf{V}_N$  is a set of non-terminal symbols,  $\mathbf{V}_T$  is a set of terminal symbols called alphabets, S is a special element of  $\mathbf{V}_N$  and is called the starting symbol, P is the production. Relation on  $(\mathbf{V}_T \bigcup \mathbf{V}_N)^*$ , the set of strings of elements of terminals and non-terminals.

Types of grammar

(i) Type 0 or unrestricted grammar:

A grammar in which there are no restrictions on its productions.(ii)Type 1 or context sensitive grammar:Grammar that contains only productions of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$  where  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (V_T \cup V_N)^+$ .(iii) Type 2 or context free grammar:Grammar that contains only productions of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$  where  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$  and  $\alpha \in V_N$ .(iv) Type 3 or Regular grammar:Grammar that contains productions of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$  where  $|\alpha| \leq \beta, \alpha \in V_N$  and  $\beta$  is of the form a or aB where  $a \in V_T$  and  $B \in V_N$ .



## III. PLUS WEIGHTED REGULAR GRAMMAR AND THEIR FORMS

Definition 3.1 A plus weighted Automata (pwfa) is a sextuple  $M_p = (Q, \Sigma, W, \alpha, \pi_I, \eta_F)$  where, Q is a finite non-empty set of states,  $\Sigma$  is a finite non-empty set of input symbols, W is a weighting space. That is,  $W = ([0, \infty), +, \cdot)$ , where '+' is usual additions and '.' is usual multiplication, The weighted subset  $\alpha : Q \times \Sigma \times Q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function called the weighted transition function,  $\pi_I$  is a weighted subset of Q. That is,  $\pi_I : Q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  called the weighted subset of initial states,  $\eta_F$  is a weighted subset of final states. That is,  $\eta_F : Q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  called the weighted subset of final states.

Definition 3.2 A plus weighted grammar (pwg) is a 5-tuple  $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{V}_N, \Sigma_T, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P}_{pw})$  where,  $\mathbf{V}_N$  is a non-empty finite set of variables,  $\Sigma_T$  is a non-empty set of terminals such that  $\mathbf{V}_N \cap \Sigma_T = \Phi$ . W is a weighting space. i.e.,  $\mathbf{W} = ([0, \infty), +, \cdot)$  where '+' is usual additions and ' $\cdot$ ' is usual multiplication, S is a plus weighted set of  $\mathbf{V}_N$  That is,  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{V}_N \to [0, \infty)$  called the set of plus weighted initial variables,  $\mathbf{P}_{pw}$  is a plus weighted set of  $(\mathbf{V}_N \cup \Sigma_T)^* \times (\mathbf{V}_N \cup \Sigma_T)^*$  such that the following conditions holds.

If  $P_{_{pw}}(\alpha,\beta) = \delta > 0$ , for  $\alpha,\beta \in (V_{_N} \bigcup \sum_{_T})^*$  then the string  $\alpha$  contains at least one variable from  $V_{_N}$ .

Definition 3.3A plus weighted grammar  $G = (V_N, \Sigma_T, W, S, P_{pw})$  is said to be regular if each of its plus weighted production is either of the form  $A \xrightarrow{\delta} aB, A \xrightarrow{\delta} a$ , where

$$A, B \in V_N, a \in \sum_T and \delta > 0.$$

Definition 3.4A string  $X \in \Sigma^*$  is said to be generated by the plus weighted regular grammar G, if  $\sum_{A_0 \in V_N} \{S(A_0) \cdot d(A_0 \Longrightarrow X)\} > 0$ 

Equivalently, there exists  $A_{_0} \in V_{_N}$  such that  $S(A_{_0}) > 0$  and  $d(A_{_0} \Longrightarrow x) > 0$ .

Definition 3.5 The set of all strings  $x \in \Sigma^*$  that are generated by a plus weighted regular grammar G is called the plus weighted regular language. We shall denote it by L(G). Theorem 3.6

Given a plus weighted finite automata  $M_p = (Q, \Sigma, W, \alpha, \pi_1, \eta_F)$ , there is a plus weighted regular grammar G such that

$$L(G) = L(M_{P}) - \{\wedge\}.$$

Proof Let  $M_p = (Q, \Sigma, W, \alpha, \pi_I, \eta_F)$  be a plus weighted finite automata. We construct a plus weighted grammar  $G = (V_N, \Sigma_T, W, S, P_{pw})$  where,  $V_N = Q \bigcup \{S\} - \{q_f\}$  and  $a \in \Sigma_T$ . For all  $p, q, q_0 \in V_N$ ,  $a, b \in V_T$ .

Production  $P_{pw}$  is defined as,

(i) 
$$q \xrightarrow{\delta} b = \sum_{r \in Q} \alpha(q, b, r) \cdot \eta_F(r)$$
 (ii)  $S \xrightarrow{\delta} bq_0 = \sum_{q, r \in Q} \pi_I(q_0) \cdot \alpha(q_0, b, r)$   
(iii)  $S \xrightarrow{\delta} b = \sum_{q, r \in Q} \pi_I(q_0) \cdot \alpha(q_0, b, r) \cdot \eta_F(r)$ 



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue II Feb 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

(iii)  $q \xrightarrow{\delta} ap = \alpha(q, a, p)$ .

Suppose  $x \in L(M_p) - \{\land\}$ .

Suppose  $\beta \neq \wedge, \beta = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  where  $x_i \in \Sigma_T$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So there is a sequence of transition steps caused by  $\beta$  from states  $p(\pi_I(p) \neq 0)$  into  $q(\eta_F(q) \neq 0)$  through a sequence of states. If the sequence of states is empty, we have

 $\alpha(p,\beta,q) = t$ . Therefore there is a derivation of  $\beta$  of the form  $S \xrightarrow{t} \beta$  where  $S \xrightarrow{t'} \beta \in G$  and

$$\mathbf{t}' = \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{Q}} \{ \pi_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \alpha(\mathbf{q}, \beta, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{F}} / \mathbf{q} \}$$

$$q, r \in Q$$
.

 $= \pi_{I}(p) \cdot t \cdot \eta_{F}(q) \forall p,q \in Q.$ 

Otherwise we suppose the sequence of states is

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}^{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}^{2}, \mathbf{r}_{m} = \mathbf{c}$$
 or  $\mathbf{r}_{1}^{m+1}, \cdots \mathbf{r}_{m+1}^{m+1}$ 

where  $m \ge 1, n_1, n_2, \dots n_m, n_{m+1} \ge 0$ . That is we have  $\alpha^*(p, \beta, q) = t'$ 

where 
$$\mathbf{t}' = \rho_1^{-1} \cdot \rho_2^{-1} \cdots \rho_{n_1}^{1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \cdot \rho_1^{-2} \cdots \mathbf{t}_{m-1} \cdot \rho_1^{m} \cdots \rho_{n_m}^{m} \cdot \rho_m$$
 or 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t} = \rho_1^{-1} \cdot \rho_2^{-1} \cdots \rho_{n_1}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \cdot \rho_1^{-2} \cdots \mathbf{t}_{m-1} \rho_1^{m} \cdots \rho_{n_m}^{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{m} \cdot \rho_1^{m-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{m-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{m-1}$$

Therefore there is a derivation of  $\beta$  with the form

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{p}_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} & \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathsf{p}_{2}^{\mathsf{p}_{1}}} \cdots \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{n_{1}}^{\mathsf{l}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{1} \qquad \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{r}}} \cdots \stackrel{\mathsf{t}_{m-1}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \mathbf{q}_{m-1} \qquad \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{p}_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathsf{m}} \qquad \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{2}^{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{m}}} \cdots \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{n_{m}}^{\mathsf{m}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m-1} \mathbf{r}_{n_{m}}^{\mathsf{m}} \\ \stackrel{\mathsf{h}_{m}^{\mathsf{h}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m} = \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n} \text{ where} \\ & \mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{l}} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \{\pi_{1}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathsf{l}})\} \\ \geq \pi_{1}(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \alpha(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathsf{l}}) \\ = \pi_{1}(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \rho_{1}^{\mathsf{l}} \\ \text{and} \\ \mathbf{t}_{m}' = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Q}} \{\alpha(\mathbf{r}_{n_{m}}^{\mathsf{m}}, \mathbf{a}_{m}, \mathbf{r}) \cdot \eta_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathbf{r})\} \\ \geq \alpha(\mathbf{r}_{n_{m}}^{\mathsf{m}}, \mathbf{a}_{m}, \mathbf{q}) \cdot \eta_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \\ = \mathbf{t}_{m} \cdot \eta_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \\ \text{or of the form} \\ \mathbf{S} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{l}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{r}_{1}^{\mathsf{l}} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{2}^{\mathsf{l}}}{\Rightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{m}^{\mathsf{m}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{a}_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{m} \mathbf{q}_{m}^{\mathsf{m}} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_{1}^{\mathsf{m}^{$$



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue II Feb 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$\begin{split} \rho_1'^1 &= \sum_{q \in Q} \{ \pi_1(q) \cdot \alpha(q, b, r_1^1) \} \\ &\geq \pi_1(p) \cdot \alpha(p, b, r_1^1) \\ &= \pi_1(p) \cdot \rho_1^1 \text{ and} \\ t'_{m+1} &= \sum_{q \in Q} \{ \alpha(r_{m+1}^{m+1}, b, r) \cdot \eta_F(r) \} \\ &\geq \alpha(r_{m+1}^{m+1}, b, q) \cdot \eta_F(q) \\ &= t_{m+1} \cdot \eta_F(q) \\ &\text{i.e., We have } S \Longrightarrow^{t'} \beta \text{ where} \\ t' &= \rho_1'^1 \cdots \rho_{n_1}^1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \rho_1^2 \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \rho_1^m \cdots \rho_{n_m}^m \cdot t'_m . \geq \pi_1(p) \cdot \rho_1^1 \cdots \rho_{n_1}^1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \rho_1^2 \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \rho_1^m \cdots \rho_{n_m}^m \cdot t'_m . \geq \pi_1(p) \cdot \rho_1^1 \cdots \rho_{n_1}^1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \rho_1^2 \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \rho_1^m \cdots \rho_{n_m}^m \cdot t'_m . \geq \pi_1(p) \cdot \rho_1^1 \cdots \rho_{n_1}^1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \rho_1^2 \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \rho_1^m \cdots \rho_{n_m}^m \cdot t_m \cdot p_1^m \cdots p_{n_m}^m \cdot t_m \cdot p_1^m \cdots p_{n_m}^m \cdot p_{n_m}^m \cdot p_1^m \cdots p_{n_m}^m \cdots p_{n_m}^m \cdots p_{n_m}^m \cdot p_1^m \cdots p$$

$$= \pi_{I}(p) \cdot t \cdot \eta_{F}(q) \text{ or}$$

$$t' = \rho_{1}^{\prime 1} \cdots \rho_{n_{1}}^{1} \cdot \rho_{1}^{2} \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \rho_{1}^{m} \cdots \rho_{n_{m}}^{m} \cdot t_{m} \cdot \rho_{1}^{m+1} \cdots \rho_{n_{m+1}}^{m+1} \cdot t_{m+1}^{\prime}$$

$$\geq \pi_{I}(p) \cdot \rho_{1}^{1} \cdots \rho_{n_{1}}^{1} \cdot t_{1} \cdot \rho_{1}^{2} \cdots t_{m-1} \cdot \frac{\rho_{1}^{m} \cdots \rho_{n_{m}}^{m} \cdot t_{m} \cdot \rho_{1}^{m+1} \cdots \rho_{n_{m+1}}^{m+1} \cdot t_{m+1}}{\eta_{F}(q)}$$

$$= \pi_{I}(p) \cdot t \cdot \eta_{F}(q)$$

Because for every sequence of transition steps caused by  $\beta$  in  $P_{pw}$ , there exist a derivation generating  $\beta$  with greater or equal to value in G and furthermore, we have

 $\alpha G(\beta) \ge \alpha p(\beta)$ . Therefore we have  $L(M_p) \subseteq L(G)$ . Similarly suppose  $(\beta, \alpha G(\beta)) \in L(G)$ . We can prove for every derivation of  $\beta$  in G, there exist a sequence of transition steps accepting  $\beta$  with the same value in  $P_{pw}$  and furthermore  $\alpha p(\beta) \ge \alpha G(\beta)$  i.e.,  $L(G) \subseteq L(M_p)$ . Thus  $L(G) = L(M_p)$ .

Example 3.7

Consider a plus weighted finite automata  $M_{_{P}} = (Q, \sum, W, \alpha, \pi_{_{I}}, \eta_{_{F}})$  where,

$$Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \pi_1 = \{2/q_0\},$$
  

$$\eta_F = \{3/q_2\}, \Sigma_{in} = \{0,1\} \text{ and}$$
  

$$\alpha(q_0, 0, q_0) = 2, \alpha(q_0, 0, q_1) = 5, \alpha(q_1, 0, q_1) = 3, \alpha(q_1, 1, q_2) = 6, \alpha(q_1, 0, q_0) = 7$$



Plus Weighted Automata



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue II Feb 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

$$\begin{split} L(001) &= \pi_1(q_0) \cdot \alpha(q_0,0,q_0) \cdot \alpha(q_0,0,q_1) \\ \cdot \alpha(q_1,1,q_2) \cdot \eta_F(q_2) + \pi_1(q_0) \cdot \alpha(q_0,0,q_1) \\ \cdot \alpha(q_1,0,q_1) \cdot \alpha(q_1,1,q_2) \cdot \eta_F(q_2) \\ &= 2.2.5.6.3 + 2.5.3.6.3 = 360 + 540 = 900 \\ By the use of above theorem one can construct an equivalent plus weighted regular grammar G as  $G = (V_N, \Sigma_T, W, S, P_{pw})$   
 $G = (\{q_0,q_1,q_2\},\{0,1\},\{2/q_0\},P_{pw})$   
 $P_{pw} = S \xrightarrow{4} 0 q_0, S \xrightarrow{10} 0 q_1, q_1 \xrightarrow{18} 1, q_1 \xrightarrow{14} 0 q_0, q_0 \xrightarrow{2} 0 q_0, q_0 \xrightarrow{5} 0 q_1$   
(i)  $S \xrightarrow{4} 0 q_0 \xrightarrow{5} 0 q_1 \xrightarrow{18} 001$   
(ii)  $S \xrightarrow{4} 0 q_1 \xrightarrow{3} 0 q_1 \xrightarrow{18} 001$   
 $L(X) = 4.5.18 + 10.3.18 = 360 + 540 = 900$   
Theorem 3.8  
Given a plus weighted regular grammar  
 $G = (V_N, \Sigma_T, W, S, P_{pw})$ , there is a plus weighted finite automata  $M_P$  such that  $L(M_P) = L(G)$ .  
Proof$$

Construction of the required plus weighted finite automata will be as follows:

$$Q = V_N \bigcup \{q_f\}, \text{ where } q_f \text{ not in } V_N, \pi_I = S, \eta_F = \{1/q_f\},$$
  
and  $\alpha$  is defined as,  
 $\alpha(q, a, p) = \delta$  if and only if  $q \xrightarrow{\delta} ap$ .

 $\alpha(q,a,q_{_{\rm f}}) \!=\! \delta \,\, {\rm if \, and \, only \, if } \, q \! \stackrel{_{\delta}}{\longrightarrow} \! a.$ 

## IV. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces a different approach on plus weighted grammar, this proposal can be applied in numerous works done in fuzzy grammar and fuzzy multiset grammar. Further plus weighted algebra-related tasks can be extended in the future.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Allauzen. C., Benson, E., Chelba, C., Riley, M., and Schalkwyk, J. (2012) Voice query refinement. In "Interspeech"
- [2] Chitra, K., "A Study on Recognizability Of Max Weighted Finite State Automaton", Journal Of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 8, Issue 11, December 2017, Pg. No. 658-673, Impact Factor: 4.655, ISSN NO: 0976-5727.
- [3] Chitra, K., "Algerbraic Properties Of Max Weighted Finite State Mealy Machines", International Journal Of Information And Computing Science, Volume 6, Issue 1, January 2019. Pg. No. 69-83, Impact Factor: 5.2, ISSN No:0972-1347.
- [4] Chitra, K., "Homomorphism On Max Weighted Finite State Mealy Machine", Journal Of Applied Science And Computations, Volume 5, Issue XII, December 2018. Pg. No: 96-103, Impact Factor: 5.8, ISSN No: 1076-5131.
- [5] Chitra, K., "Minimal Machines On Max Weighted Finite State Mealy Machines", International Journal Of Information And Computing Science, Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2019. Pg No. 4-23, Impact Factor: 5.2, ISSN No: 0972-1347.
- [6] Chitra, K., "Some Products On Max Weighted Finite State Automaton", International Journal Of Applied Engineering Research, Volume 13, Number 22, 2018. Pg.No. 15806-15803, Impact Factor: 2.28, ISSN No: 0973-4562.
  - © IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue II Feb 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

- [7] Mohri, M., Pereira, F. C. N., and Riley, M. (2008) Speech recognition with weighted finite state transducers, in "Handbook on speech proc. and speech commm.", Springer.
- [8] Saridha, S. and Rajaretnam, T., "Algebraic Properties of Plus Weighted Finite State Machine", International Journal Of Applied Engineering Research, e-ISSN:0973-9769, p-ISSN:0973-4652, Vol.13, Number 21, 2018, 14974-14982.
- Saridha, S. and Rajaretnam, T., "A Study On Plus Weighted Multiset Transformation Semigroups", International Journal Of Information And Computing Science, e-ISSN:0972-1347, Vol.6, Issue I, January 2019, 84-98.
- [10] Saridha, S. and Rajaretnam, T., "On Regular Properties Of Plus Weighted Multiset Finite State Automaton", Journal Of Applied Science And Computations, e-ISSN:1076-5131, Vol.5, Issue XII, December 2018, 87-95.
- [11] Saridha, S., Rajaretnam, T., Plus weighted finite state automaton, in Journal Of Computer And Mathematical Sciences (JCMS 2017), Vol.8, Issue 11, ISSN 0976-5727, pp674-690.
- [12] Saridha, S. and Rajaretnam, T., "Some properties of plus weighted multiset grammars", International Journal Of Information And Computing Science, e-ISSN:0972-1347, Vol.6, Issue 5, May 2019, 24-37.
- [13] Shalini. P, Paul Dhayabaran. D, "An Absolute Differences of Cubic and Square Difference Labelling", International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research, May-June 2015, Issue-5, Volume-3, Pages 1-8.
- [14] Shalini. P, Paul Dhayabaran. D, "A Study on Root Mean Square Labelling in Graphs", International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology, May 2015, Volume-4, Issue-3, Pages 305-309.
- [15] Shalini. P, S.A.Meena, "Lehmer-4 Mean Labelling of Graphs", Volume 10, Issue XII, International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET), Page No: 1348-1351, ISSN: 2321-9653.
- [16] Shalini. P, S.Tamizharasi, "Power-3 Heronian Mean Labelling Graphs", Volume 10, Issue XII, International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET), Page No: 1605-1608, ISSN: 2321-9653.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)