



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.50231

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



A Project Report on Optimization of an Off Road Vehicle Ergonomics

Aayush Gawai¹, Prathmesh Deshmukh², Sailee Achrekar³, Shruti Kaklij⁴, Anuprita Tikle⁵ ^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}Datta Meghe College of Engineering

Abstract: This paper contain the behaviour of A BAJA vehicle chassis which undergo the various load test like" Front impact test, Side impact test, Rear impact test, Roll over impact" all the analysis of the test has been performed with the help of ANSYS WORKBENCH 18.1, where maximum deformation , stress and strain calculated over duration of the particular impact. For the theoretical calculation we have use the INELASTIC COLLISION THEORY with this we have applied a force at a point. The boundary conditions and loading use different methodology. Study of ergonomics which help to give the ergonomically safer design according to the driver perspective.

Keywords: Front impact test, Side impact test, Rear impact test, Roll over impact, Ansys Workbench , ergonomic study

I. INTRODUCTION

- A vehicle frame undergoes various endurance conditions and hence needs to be made rigid for the component and at the same time the driver clearance needs to be considered, judging at the premanufacturing stage becomes difficult by only CAE Analysis.
- 2) Not considering ergonomic factors can lead to certain physical problem as
- *a)* Disorder of dorsal muscle & back pain.
- b) Pain in neck
- c) Disorder of muscles, tendons, ligaments associated with the back portion.

II. WHAT IS ERGONOMIC AND WHY IT IS IMPORTANT

Ergonomics is a branch of a science that aims to learn about human abilities and limitation and then apply this learning to improve people's interaction

Ergonomics aims to improve the efficiency of the people and minimise the risk of injury or harm.

To achieve best practice design, Ergonomists use the data and techniques of several disciplines;

- 1) Anthropometry: body sizes, shapes
- 2) Biomechanics: muscles, levers, forces, strength
- 3) Environmental Physics: noise, light, heat, cold, radiation, vibration body system, hearing, vision, sensation.
- 4) Applied Psychology: skill, learning, errors, differences
- 5) Social Psychology: groups, communication, learning, behaviours.

III. HISTORY OF ERGONOMICS

ALPHONSE CHAPANIS is known as the father of ergonomics; he was an American pioneer in the field of industrial design ,and widely considered one of the fathers of ergonomics or human factors, the science of ensuring that design takes account of human characteristics. Basic ergonomics has existed since the first ancestors of modern man began creating primitive tools to make tasks easier. Archaeological evidence from as far back as some of the earliest Egyptian dynasties, and other, more concrete findings from 5th Century BCE Greece, have shown that tools, household equipment, and other manmade devices illustrated sophisticated (for their time) ergonomic principles.Shortly after the Industrial Revolution, factory machinery and equipment started being built with design considerations closer to what we think of today as "ergonomics.

IV. OFF ROAD VEHICLE DESIGN CONSIDERATION

A. Design Consideration

Design consideration involves various factors such as material selection ,cross section selection, frame design and finite element analysis .



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com


One of the key design decisions that tremendously increase the safety, reliability and performance in any vehicle structure is material selection.

B. Material Selection


AISI 4130 is a low alloy steel containing chromium and molybdenum as strengthening agent. The steel has good strength, toughness, weldability, and machinability .AISI 4130 is corrosion resistance and has a reasonable strength ,also it has high strength to weight ratio .It easily available in the market .In light of the over the favourable circumstances referenced the suitable material is AISI 4130

PROPERTIES OF AISI 4130

SR.NO	PROPERTY	VALUE
1	DENSITY	7850 KG/ M³
2	YIELD STRENGTH	460MPa
3	ULTIMATE TENSILE STRENGTH	560MPa
4	MODULUS OF ELASTICITY	205GPa
5	POISSONN'S RATIO	0.29




SIDE VIEW




ISOMETRIC VIEW



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com



TOP VIEW



SEAT DESIGN

V. OFFROAD VEHICLE ANALYSIS CONSIDERATION

Static analysis for all possible case of impact along with modal analysis was carried out in Ansys 18.1 .In analysis, several impact test were done on the roll cage modal

A. Front Impact Test

In case of front impact it is assume that the AV may hit a wall another vehicle or tree. For this case we assume that it to be a wall CALCULATION METHOD:

Impact Force method by speed limit:

For inelastic collision impact force is calculated as;

$$W_{not} = \frac{mv_f^2}{2} - \frac{mv_i^2}{2}$$



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

 $W_{nst} = -\frac{mv_l^2}{2}$

But $W_{net} = impact \ force \times d$


Where d= distance travelled during impact. An impact time of 0.15s is assumed for the wall in case of static analysis . For a vehicle which moves at 16.66m/s, the travel after impact will be 2.5m for 0.15s.


Impact force=
$$\frac{mv_1^2}{2d}$$

= $\binom{250 \times 16.66 \times 16.66}{2 \times 2.5}$
=1387778N

The force equivalent is 5.7G force,

For the worst case scenario, the weight is considered to be 15000N which is equivalent to 6G force.





FRONT IMPACT TOTAL DEFORMATION

Outline	And the second	7 No No 300 Probe Disting Scoped Bodes +
Fiber: Name	-	REGOLERATION ANSY
	41	Type: Equivalent Desis - Topol Datasan ANST
Project	2*	Une MPa
D- B CHASSES AM	(WSIS (6 Systems)	Time 1
18 Ceonetry		04-03-2023 11:21
8- Coordnat	e Systems	
8- Ab Heih		
8- 🕿 Named Se		
III - JE FRONT 3	lysis Settings	
- , (9, For	lacement.	1947
		7,25
	d Rotation	6.16
8- 38 M	Solution Information	11.000
	Equivalent Stress	4.07be4Min
- 76	Total Deformation	Y
8-3	Stress Tool	
Details of "Equivalent St	415"	•
- Scope		
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection	2
Geometry	All Bodies	0.00 1000.00 2000.00 (mm)
Position	Top/Bottom	500.00 1500.00
Definition		
Type	Equivalent (von Mises) Stress	Geometry (Print Preview) Report Frances
By	Time	Guph .
Display Time	Leit	Animation 🕨 🖩 🔄 🕄 💡 10 Frames 🔹 2 Sec (Auto) 🔹 🏹 🗞 🧱 🎥 🔤 3 Cycles
Calculate Time History	Wes	Townstein ⊾ 🖷 No 201 A de comest a sur lancest a 👘 of 📼 line a cliner
identifier		
Suppressed	No	
 Integration Point Res 		
Display Option	Averaged	Message Graph
Average Across Bodies	- map	

FRONT IMPACT EQUIVALENT STRESS



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

B. Rear Impact Test

In case of rear impact ,another vehicle is hitting the vehicle from behind . We have considered a worst case scenario where a vehicle hits at 16.66m/s.

CALCULATION METHOD:

Impact Force method by speed limit:

For inelastic collision impact force is calculated as;

$$W_{not} = \frac{mv_f^2}{2} - \frac{mv_i^2}{2}$$
$$W_{not} = -\frac{mv_i^2}{2}$$

But $W_{not} = impact \ force \times d$

Where d= distance travelled during impact.

An impact time of 0.3s is assumed for rear impact as impact time for two deformable bodies is greater than a rigid body in case of static analysis .

For a vehicle which moves at 16.66m/s,the travel after impact will be 5m for 0.3s.


Impact force=
$$\frac{m\nu_{1}^{2}}{2d}$$

= $\binom{250 \times 16.65 \times 15.65}{2 \times 5}$
=6938.9N

This force is equivalent to 2.9G of force .

For worst case scenario weight considered to be 7500N which is equivalent to 3G.

Force applied	Rear most member	
Constraints	Front suspension points	



REAR IMPACT EQUIVALENT STRESS

tine		**	
Bar: Name	-	C REAR MARAET	ANSYS
3		Type Total Deformation	
3 40 54 10 11	Total Deformation	Link www	
	Stress Tool	Tene: 1	
B- B REAR D	SPACE (CS)	29-09-3013 11-28	
	elves Settings		
198, Pag	100	13041960	
CM Des	All and a second s		
- , CB, DM	placement 2		
	ed Rotation Action (CS)		
	Solution (CK)		
	Equivalent Stress		
	Total Deformation		
10-0	Stress Tool		
10 - John Sabe 24	PACT (DS)		
AN AN	alysis Settings	- 016	
- 10 For	oe placement		*
			+
tails of "Total Deform	ution*		
Scope			
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection		
Scoping Method Geometry	Geometry Selection All Bodies	0.00 10000 2000 (mm)	
		6.00 1000.00 2000.00 (mm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Geometry Definition		500.00 1500.00	
Geometry Definition Type	All Bodies		×
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time	All Bodies Total Oxformation Tame Lant	500.00 1500.00	
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time Calculate Time Histor	All Bodies Total Oxformation Tame Lant	\	
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time Calculate Time Histor	All Bodies Total Oxformation Time Levit Y Ws	500.00 1500.00	·· ``
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time Calculate Time Histor Identifier Suppressed	All Bodies Total Oxformation Tame Lant	\	· · · · ·
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time Calculate Time Histor Identifier Suppressed	All Bodies Total Oxformation Time Ledi y Vis Rip	\	······································
Geometry Definition Type By Calculate Time Histor Identifier Suppressed Results Minimum	All Bodies Total Orformation Time Lent Ves No O. mm	\	· · · ·
Geometry Definition Type By Display Time Calculate Time Histor Identifier Suppressed Results	All Bodies Tutal Oxformation Take Usef 9 Tro 6. mm 1.5842 mm	\	·~ ``

REAR IMPACT TOTAL DEFORMATION



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

C. Side Impact Test

During side impact another vehicle is going to hit the atv on the side most members of the roll cage. For this situation the impact time is considered to be 0.3s as the vehicle should be deformable body.

CALCULATION METHOD:

Impact Force method by speed limit:

For inelastic collision impact force is calculated as;

$$W_{not} = \frac{mv_f^2}{2} - \frac{mv_i^2}{2}$$
$$W_{not} = -\frac{mv_i^2}{2}$$

But $W_{not} = impact force \times d$

Where d= distance travelled during impact.

An impact time of 0.3s in cas of static analysis .

For a vehicle which moves at 16.66m/s,the travel after impact will be 5m for 0.3s.

Impact force=
$$\frac{mv_i^2}{2d}$$


$$=(\frac{250\times16.66\times16.66}{2\times5})$$

=6938.9N

This force is equivalent to 2.9G force .

For the worst case scenario weight considered to be 7500N which is equivalent to be 3G.

Force applied	Side most member	
Constraints	Opposite side suspension points	



SIDE IMPACT EQUIVALENT STRESS

	-	To sake answer	NSYS
🔄 💨 🗠 🗉 💼	21	Type: Total Deformation	
Cingle		Unit ann Terre 1	
	Rotation tion (C6)	000-10011154	
	Solution Information		
	Equivalent Stress	SS611 Max	
	Total Deformation		
	Sitreas Tool	4004	
O E SIDE INF			
Anal	via Settinga		
- O Dage			
E Sola	tion (045)		
	Solution Information		
	Equivalent Stress		
	Total Deformations Stress Total		*
FRONT N	Stress Tool		
stalls of "Intal Deforma			T
Scope			1
	Geometry Selection	2	~
	All Bodies	0.00 1000.00 2000.00 (mm)	· · · ·
Cellection		500.00 1500.00	
Tape	Total Deformation		
By .	Time	Geometry (Print Preview) Report Preview/	
Display Time	Lant	Manageri	
Calculate Time History	TRES.	Text Association Treatme	
Identifier		Warning: Current result file may not contain requested result data CHUbert/SALEE ACHAEEKAR). Project=CHAESSS ANACYSIS=SIDE IMPACT Wednesday, March 8, 2523 11:30:34 AM	
Suppressed	No	Warning: The result file C/User/LSAELELAD-HAREKAR/Desing/CHASSIS/CHASSIS DIPLICIT_Files/. Project=-CHASSIS ANACYSIS-SIDE IMPACT Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:30:34 AM	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Results		Warning During this solution, the elapsed time exceeded the CPU time by an excessive margin. Project>CH4555 ANAL/SS>T0RSIONAL RGIDITY-Solut Monday, November 14, 2022 717.156 PM	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	0	Warning During this solution, the elapsed time exceeded the CPU time by an excessive margin. Project>CH4555 ANAL/SS-FRONTBUMP TEST-Solution Monday, November 14, 2022 6:36:35 PM	
Maximum	5.9611 mm	When it is a second to the second time exceeded the CPU time by an exceeded markin. Projects CMURCE ANALY VCs #FAIL MARCE's Solution Meeders: Non-webse 14 3025 545-38 PM	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Minimum Occurs On	Surface Body	Messages Graph	

SIDE IMPACT TOTAL DEFORMATION



D. Roll Over Test

In case of roll over, it is assumed that ATV experience an roll over situation and then hits the front most members when it rolls overs, namely two members, the front hitch point and the lateral members of the RHO member at specified angle CALCULATION METHOD:

Work energy principle;

Impact time is considered to be 0.15s as the roll cage is expected to hit the ground .

Here g = acceleration due to gravity = 9.81m/s^2

h=wheelbase=1.422m

$$v=\sqrt{2gh}$$

$$v=5.27m/s$$

$$W=\frac{MV^2}{2}$$

$$W=(\frac{250\times5.27\times5.27}{2})$$

$$W=3471.6J$$

$$W=F\times d$$


d=distance travelled during impact= $5.27 \times 0.15 - 0.8m$.

F=4340N

This force is equivalent to 1.76G force .

For worst case scenario consider it to be as 5000N which is equivalent to 2G force

Force applied	RHO,LC and front hitch point
Constraints	Front and Rear suspension point



ROLL OVER EQUIVALENT STRESS

Bert Name	-	E FRONT ROLLOVER Total Deformation		ANS
2 🕢 🏞 🖽 💼	91	Type: Total Deformation		
- , (9, For		Unit even		
	lacement	Terres: 1 09-09-2021 11-16		
	ution (D6)	00-00-2023 11:36		
	Solution Information Equivalent Stress	- 2.412 Mar		
	Total Orfernation	2.144		
	Stress Tool	1.8%		
B FRONT R	OLLOWER (ES)	1.620		
And And	lysis Settings	134		
10, Post		1.072		
	d Support d Support 2	0.80401		
	diam (FS)	0.51621		
	Solution Information	0.260		
	Equivalent Stress	• 0 Min		
	Total Deformation			Y
	Stress Tool			+
ails of "Total Deforms	ation"	•		
cope				
coping Method	Geometry Selection			
learnetry	All Bodies	8.00		8.00 (mm)
efinition			500.00 1500.00	
ype	Total Deformation			
¥	Time	Geometry (Print Preview) Report Preview/		
Display Time	Lest	Messages		
alculate Time History	r ties.	Text	Association	Timestamp
tentifier		Warning Current result file may not contain requested result data C/User/SAUEE A	ACHAREKAR: Project- CHASSIS ANALYSIS- FRONT ROLLOVER	Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:35:37 AM
uppressed	140	Warning The result file C/User/SAILEE ACHAREKAR/Deaktop/CHASSIS/CHASSIS/D		Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:35:37 AM
results.		Warning Current result file may not contain requested result data C/Usen/SAILEE A		Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:30:34 AM
	0. mm	Warning The result file C/User/SALEE ACHAREKAR/Desktop/CHASSS/CHASSS D		Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:30:34 AM
Minimum	2.412 mm	Warning Through this solution. The abased time exceeded the CPU time by an excess	vice mannin. Projects CH4/025 ANM YORS TORSINAL INCIDENCE	Solid Monday Neversitar 18 20227-17-16-868
Maximum Maximum	2.412 mm	Messages Graph		



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

E. Torsional Rigidity Test

Roll cage is subjected to torsional load during cornering and when one wheel goes over a bump FRONT TORSIONAL TEST

$$T=(P_L-P_R)\times\frac{5}{2}$$

Where $p_{L} = force \ on \ left \ wheel$

 $p_r = force \ on \ right \ wheel$


B=front track width =1.32m


Torque T will reach a limit when one wheel lifts off the ground .

The weight of the vehicle will be;


$P=mg=230 \times 9.81 = 2256.3$

So T=2256.3× 0.66 = 1500Nm





TORSIONAL RIGIDITY FOR EQUIVALENT STRESS





International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

F. Bump Test

For bump test analysis we have considered a situation where roll cage travels from an elevation 2 feet high and then hits the ground level involving its front mass and the force initially imparted to the front suspension points. Here the vehicle will follow projectile motion and the calculation is as follows

v=16.66m/s

g=acceleration due to gravity =9.81 m/s²

Height from the ground level (h)=0.601m Vertical speed is given by

 $v=\sqrt{2gh}$

Vv=3.43m/s

Resultant velocity at time of impact will be given by

$$Vr = \sqrt{v_h^2 + v_v^2}$$

Vr=17m/s By work energy method we know that

 $w_{nsc} = \frac{mv^2}{2}$

whet = impact force $\times d$

Since the roll cage hits the ground the time of impact is considered to be 0.15s.hence distance travelled during an impact will be $d=16.66 \times 0.15 - 2.5m$


For the front bump test the mass of the vehicle is considered as front mass which is 40% of the actual mass of the vehicle .

 $m=0.4 \times 250 = 100 kg$

Impact force
$$=\frac{mp^{2}}{2d}$$
$$=(\frac{100\times16.56\times16.66}{2\times2.5})$$
$$=5500N$$

This force is equivalent to 2.3G and will be acting at a 12 degree angle.

Force applied	Front suspension points
Constraints	RRH and Front damper points



BUMP TEST EQUIVALENT STRESS



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue IV Apr 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

		E FRONT BRANP TEST	
Filter: Name		Tabi Unanita A	ANSYS
🗟 🖉 (> H 🔒	21	Type: Total Deformation	R18.1
	time (25) Solven Information Bajulation Followation Bajulation Stream That Deformation Stream Standard Stream Standard Standard	Net work The State of State o	
letails of "Total Deforma	tion" #		
Scope			
Scoping Method	Geometry Selection	0.00 1000.00 2000.00 (mm)	
Geometry	All Bodies		X
Definition		500.00 11500.00	
Type	Total Deformation		
By	Time	Geometry (Print Preview), Report Preview/	
Display Time	Let	Messages	8 x
Calculate Time History	Nes	Text Association Timestamp	
identifier	and the second second second second	Warnine Current result file may not contain result date Clubert/SAUEE ACHAREKAR, Project-CHASSS ANALYSS-FRONT BUMP TEST Windnesday, March 8, 2023 11:37-54 AM	
Suppressed	No	Warning The result file Children/SAULE ACHARIKAR/Dealtog/CHASSS/CHASSS DPUCT Files, Project-CHASSS ANALYSS-FRONT BUMP TIST Wednesday, March 1, 2021 11:12:54 AM	
Results		Barrine Current result file may not contain requested result data CUDary/SAUER ACHARGEAR, Projects-CHASSS ANALYSS-FRONT ROLLOVER Wednesday, March 8, 2021 11:05:37 AM	
	0.88		
Manimum	0. mm	Warning The result file C/User/SALEE ACHAREGAR/Desktop/CHASSIS/CHASSIS EXPLICIT_Files/. Project>CHASSIS ANIA(YSIS>FRONT ROLLOVER Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:35:37 AM	

BUMP TEST TOTAL DEFORMATION

ТҮРЕ	FORCE(N)	VON MISES STRESS(MPa)	TOTAL DEFORMATION (mm)	F.O.S
FRONT IMPACT	15000	340.04	0.9834	1.38
REAR IMPACT	7500	156.01	0.4119	3.012
SIDE IMPACT	7500	357.23	3.1944	1.315
ROLL OVER	5000	167.23	0.5675	2.8
TORSIONAL RIGIDITY	1500Nm	324.87	4.0599	1.45
BUMP	3500	319.71	3.4383	1.47

VI. CONCLUSION

Safety of the driver is the first and foremost priority .therefore, considerable factor of safety is applied to roll cage of an atv reduce the risk of failure and possible injuries .Considering the ergonomically safer design will help the driver to drive a vehicle smoothly during an endurance race. larger factor of safety implies large ability of an ATV to withstand all kinds of loads and capable of moving on various terrains .this paper illustrate the entire analysis and design methodology of an atv an ergonomic safer design calculator for atv.







10.22214/IJRASET

45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)