



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 Issue: VI Month of publication: June 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.54304

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



A Study of Risk Management Approaches in Construction Projects in Bihar

Ashwini Kumar¹, Hemant Sood²

¹M.E. Student, ²Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, National Institute of Technical Teachers' Training and Research, Chandigarh, India

Abstract: The goal of the study is aimed to obtain an overall comprehension of risk, its influence on the construction sector, and the procedures that are needed to manage it. This study aims to identify the risk variables that have an overall negative impact on construction project performance, analyze them using the right tools and techniques, and provide a framework for risk management. Along with discussing the instruments and techniques used to handle risk in construction projects, the impact of risk on project assessment is also looked into. The main approach of the study is based in large part on the survey questionnaire that will be distributed by mail or in person to the various construction project managers and construction contractors of varying sizes. The survey's questionnaire was developed after looking over pertinent construction management literature. Leveraging the SPSS software, the replies were analysed using bar charts.

Keywords: Risk Management, Project Management, SPSS.

I. INTRODUCTION

The systematic process of detecting, evaluating, and minimizing hazards related to a construction endeavour is referred as risk management. It entails taking proactive steps to spot potential hazards, assess their potential effects, and make plans to reduce or eliminate them. A coordinated and economical method of employing the materials and resources in order to reduce potential hazards as well as monitoring and regulating unfavourable occurrences that might happen may be included in this. Construction projects can be incredibly difficult and uncertain. Uncertainty and risk could potentially have negative effects on construction projects. In order to effectively manage uncertainty and unforeseen events and to successfully complete projects, risk analysis and management remain a key component of project management for construction projects. Due to time and cost overruns in related construction endeavours, risk in the construction sector has come under scrutiny. Risk exists in every one of our activities; the degree of risk simply differs.

This study focuses on risk assessment and risk management of different risk factors in construction projects and will cover the related previous literature on risk management, development of questionnaire for personal interviews and form being circulated on emails and suggestions related to risk management practices in construction projects. As new risks may arise and the project context may change over time, risk assessment in construction projects is a continuous procedure, making regular review and updates of the risk assessment essential to ensure the accuracy and relevance of risk information. This ongoing assessment aids in the implementation of risk management strategies and the maintenance of effective control over risks as the project progresses.

II. CONCEPT OF RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MANAGEMENT

The idea of risk assessment in construction projects is methodically locating, investigating, and assessing any hazards that could have an impact on the project's goals. It is a proactive procedure that seeks to comprehend the type and scope of risks in order to facilitate efficient risk management.

This proactive approach improves the endeavour's capacity to recognise and deal with risks promptly, reducing the possibility of adverse impacts, and expanding the project's whole success rate. By carrying out an in-depth risk assessment, construction projects may acquire an in-depth knowledge of possible hazards, emphasize their focus and assets, and arrive at sound choices with regard to approaches to managing risks. As new risks may arise and the project context may change over time, risk assessment in construction projects is a continuous procedure, making regular review and updates of the risk assessment essential to ensure the accuracy and relevance of risk information. This ongoing assessment aids in the implementation of risk management strategies and the maintenance of effective control over risks as the project progresses.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

A. Project Risk

Project risk, in the case of construction projects, pertains to possible ambiguities or incidents that could have a negative impact on the accomplishment of the goals of the project. These dangers may come from a number of different places and may have an effect on a number of different elements of the construction project, such as timeline, price, workmanship, safety, and stakeholder satisfaction. Risks in construction projects can result through a variety of things, including bad design, poor construction techniques, poor site conditions, environmental considerations, compliance with regulations, workforce troubles, lack of materials, engineering difficulties, adverse economic situations, and unanticipated occurrences. If the proper choice is not made at the beginning, the sequences will be more expensive the riskier the activity is. There will be some risks which are controllable and few which are uncontrollable, these have to be categorised and studied before the start of the project. There are few uncontrollable risks like natural disasters which are unavoidable and effect great population.

B. Risk Affecting Factors

The quantity and type of risks in construction projects might vary depending on a number of factors. It's essential to comprehend these elements if you want to manage risks effectively. The following are certain significant factors that can affect project risks in the construction sector:

- 1) Project Intricacy: A construction project's complexity, comprising its scale, magnitude, degree of design complexity, and technology needs, can have a big impact on the risks associated. Since they frequently have more interconnections, unpredictability, and possible failure spots, complex projects generally have higher risk characteristics.
- 2) *Project Timeline:* A construction project's length might affect how exposed workers are to risks. The market surroundings, legislative changes, material price variations, and unexpected occurrences that may happen over a protracted period of time are usually more susceptible to longer-duration projects.
- 3) Contract Arrangements: The structure and commitments set forth in a construction project's contracts can influence accountability and distribution of risk.
- 4) *History:* The technique has not been perfected over time, making fresh ventures riskier. When a comparable project has been completed successfully many times previously, the chances of completing the present project successfully are similarly increased.
- 5) *Stakeholder Dynamics:* Risks may be affected by the dynamics of the parties who participate in the construction project. Risks may be reduced by collaboration, open communication, and consensus among stakeholders..
- 6) *Employee Experience and Knowledge:* When the staffs working on project have no prior experience and expertise in construction project field, there is high probabilities of error and wrongdoing in the process. It finally results with high cost, more time required with compromised quality.
- 7) *Efficient Management System:* The management team working on a project must work as a whole with good intercommunication system will lead to an efficient work environment results in successful completion of project. I there is any miscommunication, it leads to problematic scheduling and resource allocation which ultimately leads to delays and effects the cost and productivity.
- 8) *Issues of Environmental Safety and Health:* It is essential that construction projects adhere to all applicable health, safety, and environmental requirements. Neglecting to handle these factors may result in monetary, fiscal, and social repercussions. The risks of injury to workers, damage to the environment, and the improper disposal of dangerous substances must be mitigated.

C. Determination Of Risk

The literature on risk assessment often divides into two categories: qualitative analysis and quantitative analysis. Data-driven (quantitative) methods may be used to find risk variables, and qualitative approaches like interviews, mind maps, and checklists can also be useful. Quantitative analysis is used to evaluate and assess construction project risks using more advanced methodologies and procedures. Decision tree analysis, cost risk analysis, and Monte Carlo simulation are just a few of the quantitative approaches used in quantitative risk analysis to try to assess the frequency and severity of hazards. Modelling the construction project's exposure is made possible via the use of quantitative risk analysis, which also provides numeric values for the likelihood of occurrence and potential effect of the identified risk variables. In qualitative risk analysis, risks are evaluated and prioritized using expert opinion and qualitative standards rather than quantitative measures. Without putting a monetary value on the risks, this study aids in comprehending their nature and possible effect.



Risks can be evaluated more subjectively via qualitative analysis, with an emphasis on the importance and consequences of each factor. Stakeholders in a project might arrange hazards in order of importance and provide resources accordingly

D. Source Of Risk
Alterations or mistakes in the design
Inaccurate estimation
Unclear or unattainable project objectives
Budget based on incomplete data
Contractual problems
Unskilled workforce
Legislature problem
Social and Political circumstances
Natural calamity

III. ADOPTED METHODOLOGY

In this paper, the general prospective which has been considered is the aspect of risk management and finding the different risk factors with respect to the types of construction risk.

A. Methodology

The methodology which is being adopted in this project is described below:

Brain Storming: Primary studies to get the general idea of possibility of risk and uncertainty

Survey Design: Design a survey for Personal Interview to get data for qualitative study

Conduct Survey: visits sites of different construction projects and take personal interview of related individual.

Study the Survey: List the different risk factors on the basis of personal interviews and study of previous related works.

Prepare questionnaire: For different risk factor with their scale Likert and Impact Likert and circulate it amongst the stakeholder via mail.

Data Interpretation: Study and analyse the response of the questionnaire which were circulated

Results and Discussion

Conclusion

B. Material

The data which are being used in this research are of two types:

1) Qualitative data

Questions which are identified to understand the approach as a basic are:

- a) Knowledge of Risk
- b) Process of Risk Identification
- c) How important is the risk management
- *d)* What is risk assessment?
- e) Who are the people responsible for an effective risk management procedure?
- f) Is there any course on risk management which you have studied?
- g) What is your attitude with regards to risk?
- *h*) You response to risk in a project? etc.

2) Quantitative Data

On the basis of study of previous works regarding risk management and conducting personal interviews at sites, there were numerous factors of risk were identified. Then to study their scale of occurrence and impact of various risk factors on construction project a questionnaire were prepared.

a) Risk Rating: A Likert scale of 1-5 was used in questionnaire. This scale is a kind of psychometric response scale in research survey. The respondents were required to select the option of different risk factors on the basis of their relative effectiveness of each of the scale occurrence of risk factor and their impact on the construction project.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

| Table No. I | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| cort Scalo | And Their Significance | | | |

| Likert Scale And Their Significance | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|
| Scale Likert | ikert Significance Impact Likert | | Significance | | |
| 1 | Rare | 1 | Very low | | |
| 2 | Occasional | 2 | Low | | |
| 3 | Somewhat Frequent | 3 | Medium | | |
| 4 | Frequent | 4 | High | | |
| 5 | Regular | 5 | Very High | | |

IV. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Various risk factors which were obtained from the questionnaire survey, personal Interviews and study of previous related works, the construction project risk is categories in seven broad categories:

- 1) Technical risk
- 2) Managerial risks
- 3) Legal risks
- 4) Financial risks
- 5) Logistical risks
- 6) Socio-Political risks
- 7) Environmental risks

Various risk factors with their scale and impact are tabulated with its corresponding bar chart, minimum, maximum, mean standard deviation and variance as obtained from SPSS statistical tools.

A. Analytical Study And Result Of Survey

TABLE NO. II AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS

| Sl. No. | Age group | No. of Respondents | % |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|----|
| 1 | 25-30years | 4 | 14 |
| 2 | 30-35years | 13 | 46 |
| 3 | 35-40years | 8 | 29 |
| 4 | above 40 years | 3 | 11 |
| Total | | 28 | |

The table gives us the information about the age group of all the respondents, 14% of the respondents are 25-30years, 46% are of age 30-35years, 29% are of age 35-40years and 11% are of age more than 40years. Therefore majority of them are from 30-35years of age.

TABLE NO. III EXPERIENCE PF THE RESPONDENTS

| Sl. No. | Experience | No. of respondents | % |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------|----|
| 1 | Less than 1 year | 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 1 years to 5 years | 9 | 32 |
| 3 | 5 years to 10 years | 12 | 43 |
| 4 | 10 year to 15 years | 4 | 14 |
| 5 | More than15year | 1 | 4 |
| | Total | 28 | |

From the above table, we can observe that 7% of respondents have experience less than 1year, 32% have experience of 1 to 5 years, 43% have experience 5to10 years, 14% have experience 10-15 years and 4% have experience more than 15 years. Therefore respondents with 5to10 years of experience have majority with 43% in a construction project.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

B. Comprehensive Analysis And Result Of Survey

In total, forty five construction professionals received the questionnaire, out of which 42 responded back of which twentyeight provided a useful response. Thus, 93% of respondents responded, which is regarded as a satisfactory response in this kind of poll. The project manager, deputy project manager, quality control engineer, store and inventory manager, site engineer, or contractor completed every questionnaire survey. Since it was challenging to schedule a direct one-on-one meeting with the project management, even email replies were welcomed. Problems with subcontractors, shortage of time, skilled labour and an increase in inflation were the main issues that construction professional were worried about.

Lack of training and technical kills in available local labours is the risk factor which has maximum scale of occurrence other than this the construction company takes into consideration the lowest-cost resource, reduced work quality when there are time restrictions are the few risk factors which have maximum scale of occurrence.

Interference of local population and leadership while rehabilitation and resettlement before execution of work is the risk factor which has maximum impact on project, other than this lack of training and technical kills in available local labours, lack of regular testing materials at site and batching plant re the risk factors which has maximum impact over project.

On the basis of different risk factors, technical risk has the more number of factors which influences the project most whereas Socio-Political risks and Environmental risks has the least number of factors which influences the project.

Overall ranking of different risks factors and their scale and impact which are identified are listed in Table IV and Table V.

| Ranking | RISK FACTORS | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---------|---|----|---------|-------------------|
| 1. | Lack of training and technical kills in available local labors | 28 | 3.39286 | 0.994030 |
| 2. | The construction company takes into consideration the lowest-cost resource. | 28 | 3.39286 | 1.165532 |
| 3. | Reduced work quality when there are time restrictions | 28 | 3.35714 | 0.869835 |
| 4. | Failure to complete the job by the deadline stated | 28 | 3.25000 | 1.265643 |
| 5. | The employee does not adhere to the set working hours. | 28 | 3.25000 | 1.004619 |
| 6. | Lack of regular testing materials at site and batching plant | 28 | 3.25000 | 0.927961 |
| 7. | Workmanship is not given any consideration. | 28 | 3.25000 | 1.142609 |
| 8. | Unavailability of material on time | 28 | 3.17857 | 0.983327 |
| 9. | Progress on the job cannot be paid in cash. | 28 | 3.17857 | 1.090483 |
| 10. | Contractor increases his workload on several projects at once | 28 | 3.14286 | 1.078898 |
| 11. | Lack of transparent financing methods | 28 | 3.10714 | 0.956045 |
| 12. | Lack of local workforce training facilities | 28 | 3.07143 | 1.152407 |
| 13 | Interference of local population and leadership while rehabilitation and resettlement before execution of work | 28 | 3.03571 | 1.170063 |
| 14. | Rules governing public safety are not followed | 28 | 3.03571 | 1.373887 |
| 15. | Poor inter-employee communication within the same organization | 28 | 3.03571 | 0.961563 |
| 16. | Timely availability of construction equipment and their maintenance | 28 | 3.00000 | 0.720082 |
| 17. | Inaccurate calculation of quantities and costing | 28 | 2.96429 | 1.346660 |
| 18. | Uncertain planning because of the project's intricacy | 28 | 2.96429 | 0.922241 |
| 19. | Of the abundant resources in India, there is no permanent rule. | 28 | 2.96429 | 1.170063 |
| 20. | Inefficient inventory control at store department | 28 | 2.92857 | 1.051580 |
| 21. | Gaps between requirements and implementation brought on by a misinterpretation of the drawings and specifications | 28 | 2.89286 | 1.065947 |
| 22. | There are no ongoing evaluations of materials. | 28 | 2.85714 | 0.970463 |
| 23. | Undefined Scope of working | 28 | 2.85714 | 0.803432 |
| 24. | Contractual Risk: Issues related to contract formation, interpretation, and performance can lead to legal disputes. | 28 | 2.85714 | 1.007905 |

Table IV Descriptive Statictics Of Scale Of Risk Factors



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

| 25. | Continuous changes in management process being adopted | 28 | 2.82143 | 0.862965 |
|-----|---|----|---------|----------|
| 26. | Environmental rules regulations and protection acts | 28 | 2.82143 | 1.248809 |
| 27. | The employer fails to make timely wage payments to the employees. | 28 | 2.82143 | 0.818923 |
| 28. | Market fluctuation regarding material cost | 28 | 2.82143 | 0.818923 |
| 29. | Improper understanding of government regulatory and permitting | 28 | 2.82143 | 1.090483 |
| 30. | As projects are carried out, several design alterations are made. | 28 | 2.75000 | 1.040833 |
| 31. | Rush bidding of construction project | 28 | 2.75000 | 1.109721 |
| 32. | Change in laws, regulations during course of construction | 28 | 2.75000 | 1.142609 |
| 33. | Numerous design modifications are made once projects are going on. | 28 | 2.71429 | 0.975900 |
| 34. | Design plans cannot be carried out as intended. | 28 | 2.67857 | 1.020297 |
| 35. | Lack of proper conversation between different stakeholders involved in construction project | 28 | 2.60714 | 1.065947 |
| 36. | Environmental factors (excessive rain, temperature rise etc.) | 28 | 2.16502 | 0.841503 |
| 37. | Change of government during construction period | 28 | 1.94951 | 0.603947 |
| 38. | Accessing the site is difficult. | 28 | 1.77956 | 0.653544 |
| | Valid N (list wise) | 28 | | |

• *Result:* The frequency of various risk variables in risk management for construction projects might change based on the particular project, its location, and a number of other factors. As the survey's findings are discussed, I can provide you a broad conclusion based on a questionnaire survey of several risk variables and their frequency of occurrence as seen in the construction sector. Risk factors like Lack of training and technical skills in available local labours, the construction company takes into consideration the lowest-cost resource and reduced work quality when there are time restriction, have maximum scale of occurrence which can be identifies as frequent factors. Most of the factors which are identified comes under the somewhat frequent category of scale of occurrence. The factors which have been identified as occasional are few like environmental risk, socio-political risks with risk factors like environmental factors (flood, earthquake etc.), Change of government during construction project and assessing the site is difficult.

| Ranking | Risk Factors | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---------|---|----|---------|----------------|
| 1 | Interference of local population and leadership while rehabilitation and resettlement before execution of work | 28 | 3.82143 | 0.862965 |
| 2 | Lack of training and technical kills in available local labors | 28 | 3.67857 | 0.983327 |
| 3 | Lack of regular testing materials at site and batching plant | 28 | 3.64286 | 0.826160 |
| 4 | Unavailability of material on time | 28 | 3.57143 | 1.026114 |
| 5 | Workmanship is not given any consideration. | 28 | 3.57143 | 1.069045 |
| 6 | Environmental factors (excessive rain, temperature rise etc.) | 28 | 3.57143 | 1.033820 |
| 7 | Design plans cannot be carried out as intended. | 28 | 3.53571 | 0.881167 |
| 8 | Inaccurate calculation of quantities and costing | 28 | 3.50000 | 1.000000 |
| 9 | Reduced work quality when there are time restrictions | 28 | 3.50000 | 1.036375 |
| 10 | The construction company takes into consideration the lowest- cost resource. | 28 | 3.46429 | 1.104943 |
| 11 | Numerous design modifications are made once projects are going on. | 28 | 3.46429 | 1.035737 |
| 12 | Failure to complete the job by the deadline stated | 28 | 3.39286 | 1.030616 |

TABLE V: Descriptive Statistics Of Impact Of Risk Factors



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

| 13 | Contractor increases his workload on several projects at once | 28 | 3.39286 | 0.994030 |
|----|--|----|---------|----------|
| 14 | The employer fails to make timely wage payments to the employees. | 28 | 3.39286 | 0.956045 |
| 15 | Gaps between requirements and implementation brought on by a misinterpretation of the drawings and specifications | 28 | 3.35714 | 1.161553 |
| 16 | Rules governing public safety are not followed | 28 | 3.35714 | 1.366647 |
| 17 | Inefficient inventory control at store department | 28 | 3.35714 | 0.951190 |
| 18 | Change of government during construction period | 28 | 3.32143 | 1.020297 |
| 19 | Timely availability of construction equipment and their maintenance | 28 | 3.32143 | 0.904866 |
| 20 | Lack of transparent financing methods | 28 | 3.28571 | 1.083791 |
| 21 | Market fluctuations in material costs | 28 | 3.28571 | 1.083791 |
| 22 | Uncertain planning because of the project's intricacy | 28 | 3.28571 | 1.013141 |
| 23 | Undefined scope of working | 28 | 3.28571 | 0.854493 |
| 24 | Lack of local workforce training facilities | 28 | 3.28571 | 0.937180 |
| 25 | As projects are carried out, several design alterations are made. | 28 | 3.17857 | 1.218790 |
| 26 | Improper understanding of government regulatory and permitting | 28 | 3.17857 | 0.862965 |
| 27 | The employee does not adhere to the set working hours. | 28 | 3.17857 | 1.090483 |
| 28 | Poor inter-employee communication within the same organization | 28 | 3.17857 | 0.944911 |
| 29 | Of the abundant resources in India, there is no permanent rule. | 28 | 3.14286 | 1.177388 |
| 30 | There are no ongoing evaluations of materials. | 28 | 3.14286 | 1.007905 |
| 31 | Continuous changes in management process being adopted | 28 | 3.14286 | 0.890871 |
| 32 | Lack of proper conversation between different stakeholders involved in construction project | 28 | 3.10714 | 1.227442 |
| 33 | Environmental rules regulations and protection acts | 28 | 3.10714 | 1.065947 |
| 34 | Change in laws, regulations during course of construction | 28 | 3.07143 | 1.086229 |
| 35 | Accessing the site is difficult. | 28 | 3.07143 | 1.051580 |
| 36 | Contractual Risk: Issues related to contract formation, interpretation, and performance can lead to legal disputes. | 28 | 3.07143 | 0.766356 |
| 37 | Progress on the job cannot be paid in cash. | 28 | 2.28571 | 0.809991 |
| 38 | Rush bidding of construction project | 28 | 2.07143 | 0.176399 |
| | Valid N (list wise) | 28 | | |

• *Result:* It's necessary to keep in mind that the effects of risk factors might change based on the particulars of every construction project. Through proactive planning, the deployment of control processes, and regular evaluation throughout the project lifespan, effective risk management entails identifying, evaluating, and minimising these risks. The factors which have been identified as most important with regards to their impact as High are Interference of local population and leadership while rehabilitation and resettlement before execution of work, Lack of training of local labors, Lack of regular testing of materials at site and batching plant, Unavailability of material on time are few of them. Most of the risk factors have impact of medium severity. Rush bidding of construction project, progress on the job cannot be paid in cash are the few factors which have least impact which fall in low impact category.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

C. Categorisation of Risk Factor

Table no. VI

| | RISK FACTORS | ABBREVIATION |
|----------------------|--|--------------|
| | Design Risks | |
| | Lack of regular testing materials at site and batching plant | D1 |
| | Inaccurate calculation of quantities and costing | D2 |
| | Numerous design modifications are made once projects are going on. | D3 |
| | Design plans cannot be carried out as intended. | D4 |
| TECHNICAL RISK | Construction Risks | |
| | Lack of training and technical kills in available local labours | C1 |
| | Workmanship is not given any consideration. | C2 |
| | Gaps between requirements and implementation brought on by a misinterpretation of the | C3 |
| | drawings & specifications | |
| | There are no ongoing evaluations of materials. | C4 |
| | As projects are carried out, several design alterations are made. | C5 |
| | Reduced work quality when there are time restrictions | M1 |
| | Failure to complete the job by the deadline stated | M2 |
| | The employee does not adhere to the set working hours. | M3 |
| | Contractor increases his workload on several projects at once | M4 |
| | Poor inter-employee communication within the same organization | M5 |
| Managerial Risk | Uncertain planning because of the project's intricacy | M6 |
| | Inefficient inventory control at store department | M7 |
| | Continuous changes in management process being adopted | M8 |
| | Rush bidding of construction project | M9 |
| | Lack of proper conversation between different stakeholders involved in construction | M10 |
| | project | |
| | Of the abundant resources in India, there is no permanent rule. | Le1 |
| | Rules governing public safety are not followed | Le2 |
| Logal Dick | Contractual Risk: Issues related to contract formation, interpretation, and performance can | Le3 |
| Legal KISK | lead to legal disputes. | |
| | Improper understanding of government regulatory and permitting | Le4 |
| | Change in laws, regulations during course of construction | Le5 |
| | The construction company takes into consideration the lowest-cost resource. | F1 |
| | Progress on the job cannot be paid in cash. | F2 |
| | Lack of transparent financing methods | F3 |
| | The employer fails to make timely wage payments to the employees. | F4 |
| | Market fluctuations in material costs | F5 |
| | Unavailability of material on time | Lo1 |
| | Lack of local workforce training facilities | Lo2 |
| Logistical Risk | Timely availability of construction equipment and their maintenance | Lo3 |
| | Undefined Scope of working | Lo4 |
| | Accessing the site is difficult. | Lo5 |
| | Interference of local population and leadership while rehabilitation and resettlement before | S1 |
| Socio-Political Risk | execution of work | |
| | Change of government during construction period | S2 |
| Environmental Risk | Environmental rules regulations and protection acts | E2 |
| | Environmental factors (excessive rain temperature rise etc.) | F1 |

Categorisation Of Risk Factors With Respect To Their Type Of Risk And Abbreviation Used

V. CONCLUSIONS

This analysis should help management locate the construction project-related activities that generate a risk and, as a result, give management a basis for making rational decisions about reducing risk to a predetermined level. These results are crucial for putting additional, efficient measures in place to guarantee that future development will go in the right direction. The project should be evaluated using risk management as a major technique. To manage risk effectively, construction contractors must make it a key component of their project management. Throughout the course of a project, risk factors should also be periodically examined and modified since both new risks and changes to current risks may occur.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue VI Jun 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

Construction projects may manage risks efficiently, make informed decisions, and maintain a balance between risk and reward by establishing a risk-aware culture and encouraging open dialogue amongst project collaborators.

REFERENCES

- [1] Akintola S Akintoye and MacLeod "Risk Analysis and Management in Construction" International Journal of Project Management (Mar 1997), Vol.15, No.l, pp.31-38.
- [2] Alfredo Del cano, P.E and M.Pilar de la Cruz, P.E "Integrated Methodology for Project Risk Management" Journal of Construction Engineering & management (Dec 2002), Vol. 125, pp 473-478.
- [3] Florence Yean Yng Ling and Linda Hoi "Risk faced by Singapore Firms when undertaking Construction Projects in India". International Journal of Project Management (Dec 2006), Vol. 24, pp 261-270.
- [4] Hyun-Ho and J.W.Seo "Risk assessment for methodology for underground construction projects" Journal of Construction Engineering and Management (Apr 2004), vol.65, pp. 258-272.
- [5] J.H.M.Tah and V.Carr "Knowledge Based Approach to Construction Project Risk Management" Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering (July 2002), Vol. 15, pp 43-55.
- [6] L. Y. Shen and George W. C (2002) The IEEE website. [Online]. Available: http://www.ieee.org/
- [7] Mohd Asim, Shumank Deep and Dr. Syed Aqeel Ahmad, Time Impact Study of Real Estate Sector Construction Projects Post Application of Lean Principles for Delay Resolutions. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 8(2), 2017, pp. 89–99.
- [8] Mohd Asim, Shumank Deep and Dr. Syed Aqeel Ahmad (2015). Analysis of delays in Indian real estate sectors and their impacts on overall project performance, NICMAR International Conference.
- [9] Shumank Deep, Mohd Bilal Khan, Sabih Ahmad and Adeeba Saeed, A Study of Various Factors Affecting Contractor's Performance in Lowest Bid Award Construction Projects. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 8(2), 2017, pp. 28–33.
- [10] Deep, S., Singh, D. and Ahmad, S.A. (2017) A Review of Contract Awards to Lowest Bidder in Indian Construction Projects via Case Based Approach. Open Journal of Business and Management, 5, 159-168.http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2017.51015.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)