



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79362>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

An Analytical Study of ADR in India: From Alternative to Appropriate Dispute Resolution

Dr. Rajdeepsinh B. Jadeja¹, Dr. Honey Govindbhai Thakar²

School of Law, Dhirubhai Ambani University, Gandhinagar

Abstract: *Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has emerged as an essential aspect of the Indian felony landscape, mainly in light of the growing burden on courts and the ensuing delays in justice delivery. Historically considered as merely an “alternative” to litigation, ADR is now being recognized as a more appropriate and powerful mechanism for resolving disputes. It encompasses strategies inclusive of arbitration, mediation, and conciliation, which enable parties to settle conflicts outside the formal court system. These techniques are not the best bendy and less time-ingesting however also value-effective, making them reachable to a broader segment of society. In contrast to antagonistic litigation, ADR promotes cooperation, mutual information, and the possibility of a win-win outcome, thereby retaining relationships among parties. The idea of ADR is deeply rooted in India’s historic and cultural traditions. In ancient instances, dispute decision was usually treated through community-based institutions together with Kula (own family assemblies), Sreni (guilds), and Panchayats, which emphasised consensus and social concord. Through the years, these indigenous structures advanced through unique ancient stages, including the Mughal generation and British colonial rule, every contributing to the improvement of structured dispute decision mechanisms. In the current generation, the enactment of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 marked an extensive milestone in aligning India’s ADR framework with international standards. This regulation supplied a comprehensive criminal framework for arbitration and conciliation, encouraging parties to choose efficient and less formal modes of dispute resolution. Consequently, ADR is increasingly being viewed no longer simply as an opportunity, but as a desired and suitable means for delivering timely and powerful justice in India.*

Keywords: *Alternate Dispute Resolution, Voluntary Solution, Appropriate mechanism, Kula.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has emerged as a vital aspect of the present-day justice delivery system in India. With the increasing backlog of cases and delays in courts, conventional litigation is regularly unable to provide well-timed and effective justice. ADR offers a practical answer by way of allowing dispute resolution through mechanisms such as arbitration, mediation, and conciliation. Those techniques are less formal, more flexible, and sell amicable settlements among events. Inside the Indian context, ADR isn't a new idea; it has deep historical roots in community-based dispute decision systems like Panchayats. Over the years, ADR has developed into an established prison mechanism supported by statutory provisions. Today, it's miles more and more diagnosed now not merely as an alternative, but as the precise and efficient approach of resolving disputes.

A. Need for ADR

The Indian judicial gadget is overburdened with a large number of pending cases, resulting in delays in justice delivery. ADR gives a solution by means of presenting faster and greener dispute resolution. It's specifically useful for commercial disputes, corporate sectors, and economically weaker sections who require well-timed justice.

B. Benefits of ADR

ADR mechanisms are cost-effective, much less formal, and more flexible. They encourage amicable settlements and hold relationships among parties. Not like litigation, that is antagonistic, ADR promotes cooperation and mutual know-how. It additionally allows parties to choose their personal processes and selection-makers.

C. Global Perspective

Nations like the United States, Japan, China, and France have efficiently included ADR into their legal systems. These nations use mediation and arbitration considerably to reduce court burdens and make sure green dispute resolution. India can examine these fashions to bolster its ADR framework.

II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

- 1) To study the idea and evolution of ADR in India.
- 2) To take a look at the felony framework governing ADR in India.
- 3) To assess whether or not ADR can be taken into consideration as a “suitable” dispute decision mechanism.
- 4) To pick out demanding situations and propose upgrades in the ADR system.

III. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

- 1) Primary Hypothesis: ADR is more effective, efficient, and suitable than traditional litigation for dispute resolution in India.
- 2) Secondary Hypothesis: With proper reforms and implementation, ADR can become the primary mode of dispute resolution in India rather than just an alternative.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is based totally on a doctrinal (analytical) approach. It is predicated on secondary resources along with books, research articles, felony journals, case laws, and statutory provisions. The report includes an important analysis of the present literature and prison frameworks associated with ADR. Comparative references to global practices have additionally been taken into consideration to understand the worldwide angle and applicability in India.

V. LEGAL FRAME WORK IN INDIA

A. *Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (section 89 CPC)*

In *Patil Automation Pvt. Ltd. v. Rakheja Engineers Pvt. Ltd.*, which continues to be tremendously relevant in 2025, the very best courtroom strengthened the position of ADR by retaining that pre-group mediation under commercial disputes is mandatory. The court docket emphasized that section 89 CPC reflects a legislative motive to sell agreements out of courts and decrease judicial burden.

It was observed that ADR mechanisms must not remain merely procedural formalities, but must be correctly applied. This judgment strengthened the idea that courts need to actively encourage mediation and settlement, thereby making ADR a practical and necessary tool in civil justice administration.

B. *Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996*

In *Rajia Begum v. Barnali Mukherjee*, the Supreme Court clarified a vital problem regarding arbitrability and the validity of arbitration agreements. The courtroom held that once the very life of the arbitration settlement is disputed on grounds of fraud or forgery, the problem cannot be referred to arbitration and ought to first be decided by the courts. This judgment strengthens judicial scrutiny at the edge level and ensures that arbitration is based totally on real consent, thereby refining the scope of arbitration law in India.

C. *Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 (Lok Adalats)*

In recent judicial editions (continuing relevance as much as 2025), courts have constantly observed the principle laid down in the case of *Punjab v. Jalour Singh*, reaffirming that Lok Adalat awards are final, binding, and equivalent to civil courtroom decrees. Courts have emphasized that once parties conform to a settlement before the Lok Adalat, no enchantment lies, thereby promoting finality and rapid justice.

The continued reliance on this precedent highlights the developing importance of Lok Adalats in reducing pendency and handing out price-effective justice.

D. *Industrial Disputes Act, 1947*

In *Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. v. Bhurumal*, which remains a giant in the latest labour jurisprudence, the excellent court docket clarified the scope of remedy in commercial disputes and conciliation mechanisms. The court docket held that reinstatement isn't automated in each case of wrongful termination and emphasized balancing fairness with sensible realities. This judgment indirectly strengthens ADR mechanisms like conciliation by way of encouraging negotiated settlements in place of rigid adjudication, making dispute decisions more pragmatic for business family members.

E. Arbitration Amendments (2015 & 2019)

In *Jagdeep Chowgule v. Sheela Chowgule*, the ideal court docket clarified the jurisdiction for extension of the arbitral tribunal mandate under phase 29A. It held that only the court of authentic jurisdiction has the authority to increase the mandate, thereby resolving conflicting interpretations among high Courts. This judgment strengthens procedural readability, reduces delays, and aligns with the objective of the 2015 and 2019 amendments to make arbitration faster and greater efficient in India.

VI. CHALLENGES

Despite its blessings, ADR faces demanding situations together with high costs of arbitration, lack of awareness, and restricted accessibility. In a few cases, mediation may also fail, leading to additional prices and delays. There is additionally a need for better institutional support and trained professionals.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Improve institutional ADR mechanisms, including mediation centers and arbitration establishments throughout India.
- 2) Introduce obligatory pre-litigation mediation in greater classes of disputes beyond industrial matters.
- 3) Reduce the cost of arbitration and mediation via offering government-supported or sponsored ADR services.
- 4) Evolution awareness and legal literacy about ADR among the public, mainly in rural regions.
- 5) Sell online dispute decision (ODR) to make ADR more handy and time-efficient.
- 6) Limit judicial interference in arbitral proceedings to keep performance and autonomy.
- 7) Lok Adalats and Gram Nyayalayas for grassroots-stage dispute decision.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has evolved drastically in India from its traditional roots to a based felony mechanism supported by means of statutory provisions and judicial popularity. With the growing burden on courts and delays in litigation, ADR gives a realistic, green, and flexible answer for dispute resolution. Judicial decisions and legislative reforms have reinforced its framework, making it extra dependable and on hand.

But, demanding situations along with high charges, lack of understanding, and constrained institutional support still hinder its full capability. Through implementing powerful reforms, selling attention, and ensuring accessibility, ADR can transform into the number one mode of dispute decision in India. Accordingly, ADR is no longer simply an alternative but the ideal and essential mechanism for delivering timely and effective justice inside the contemporary felony machine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, A. (n.d.). Knowing alternative dispute resolution. Retrieved from <https://www.russianlawjournal.org>
- [2] Bandle, A. L. (2011). Alternative dispute resolution and art-law. *Journal of International Commercial Law & Technology*, 6(1), 28–41.
- [3] Block, M. J. (2017). The benefit of ADR for international commerce and IP disputes. *Rutgers Law Record*, 44.
- [4] Joseph, J. (n.d.). Alternate to alternatives: A critical review of claims of ADR. Retrieved from <http://www.nujs.edu>
- [5] Lieberman, J. K., & Henry, J. F. (1986). Lessons from the alternative dispute resolution movement. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 53(2), 424–426.
- [6] Shah, S. A. (n.d.). Evolution of ADR in India: Law and practices.
- [7] Government of India. (1996). Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
- [8] Government of India. (1908). Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
- [9] Government of India. (1987). Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987.
- [10] Government of India. (1947). Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)