iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume:** 13 **Issue:** V **Month of publication:** May 2025

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.70853

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
*ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538*
*Volume 13 Issue V May 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com*

# Analysis of the Quality of Milk and Milk Products in a Dairy Plant

Rahul Pareek
*PG Student, Department of life sciences (Microbiology), Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, India (In Association with Saras Dairy, Bhilwara)*

***Abstract:** This research paper presents a comprehensive analysis of milk quality parameters in a dairy processing plant, focusing on key quality indicators including Methylene Blue Reduction Test (MBRT), acidity levels, sugar content, and detergent residue testing. The study demonstrates the importance of systematic quality control measures in maintaining product safety and consistency.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The dairy industry is pivotal to Global food security and nutrition depend heavily on the dairy industry. With a market worth over $945 billion (Johnson et al., 2024), it is critical to maintain good standards for milk and dairy products. Quality control procedures have been improved by innovative testing techniques, guaranteeing that goods fulfill safety and nutritional requirements. In this framework for quality assurance, certain tests are essential Milk Baseline Reaction Time, or MBRT: This quick test helps determine the freshness of milk and the efficiency of the pasteurization process by giving an early indication of bacterial contaminations. Rapid detection of microbial activity reduces hazards and preserves the integrity of the product. If not sufficiently removed by washing, acidity wever's residues can

Key tests play a crucial role in this quality assurance framework:

*1)* Milk Baseline Reaction Time (MBRT): This quick test helps determine the freshness of milk and the efficiency of the pasteurization process by giving an early indicator of bacterial contamination. Rapid detection of microbial activity reduces hazards and preserves the integrity of the product. Acidity test the shelf-life and quality of the product. Small variations in acidity can indicate abnormalities in fermentation or spoiling, allowing for rapid action to shield consumers from tainted goods.

*2)* Test for Detergent Residue: Cleaning processing equipment is a common use for detergents. Their remnants, however, can be harmful to health and alter the organoleptic qualities of milk if they are not sufficiently removed by washing. The qualitative identification of detergent residues guarantees that cleaning procedures are safe and efficient.

*3)* Sugar Analysis with a Refractometer: The milk's refractive index Sugar Analysis Using a Refractometer: Milk's refractive index and sugar content have a high correlation, making it a crucial metric for nutritional analysis and product consistency. Precise sugar measurement aids quality control procedures by providing information on the dairy herd's feed quality and processing efficiency. When combined, these tests improve the dependability of production processes by detecting problems early and taking corrective action, in addition to confirming the safety and quality of milk. This all-encompassing method of evaluating quality highlights the dairy industry's dedication to consumer safety, legal compliance, and ongoing development.

*4)* Acidity Test: Measuring milk acidity is critical for evaluating product quality and shelf-life. Slight deviations in acidity can signal spoilage or fermentation anomalies, prompting timely intervention to prevent consumer exposure to compromised products.

*5)* Detergent Residue Test: Detergents are routinely used for cleaning processing equipment. However, their residues, if not adequately washed away, can pose health risks and affect the organoleptic properties of milk. The qualitative detection of detergent residues ensures that cleaning protocols are both effective and compliant with safety standards.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Testing Methods


*1)* MBRT Test: Measures bacterial quality of milk through reduction time
*2)* Acidity Test: Titration method using N/10 NaOH
*3)* Sugar test: Determined using specific gravity method
*4)* Detergent Test: Qualitative analysis for residual detergent

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved 

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


### A. *MBRT Analysis*

The MBRT time trend analysis shows the bacterial quality over a 30-day period:The graph shows MBRT times consistently above the minimum acceptable threshold of 180 minutes (3 hours), indicating good bacterial quality. The mean MBRT time was approximately 234 minutes, with variations reflecting normal processing conditions.




### B. *Acidity and Sugar Content Correlation*

The scatter figure illustrates how acidity and sugar levels are related. According to the research, but
The acidity ranges between 0.13% and 0.18%.
The amount of sugar stays between 4.0% and 5.2%.




©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |


C. Detergent Test Results

This indicates excellent cleaning validation with:

80% negative results

13.3% trace amounts

6.7% positive results requiring follow-up




Quality Control Implications

1.MBRT Performance:

Mean reduction time: 234.36 minutes

Standard deviation: 27 minutes

All samples above minimum acceptable threshold

2.Acidity Control:

Mean: 0.157%

Range: 0.13-0.18%

Within acceptable limits

Sugar Content:

Mean: 4.71%

Consistent with industry standards

Low variation indicating good process control

Recommendations

Implement corrective actions for positive detergent tests

Continue monitoring MBRT trends for early detection of quality issues

Maintain current acidity and sugar content control measures

## IV. CONCLUSION

The analysis demonstrates effective quality control measures in the dairy plant, with most parameters well within acceptable ranges. The occasional detection of detergent residues suggests the need for enhanced cleaning validation procedures, while other quality parameters show consistent compliance with industry standards.This research provides valuable insights for dairy processors and quality control personnel, highlighting the importance of regular monitoring and documentation of critical quality parameters.


## REFERENCES

[1] Walstra, P., et al. (2023). Dairy Science and Technology, CRC Press.
[2] American Public Health Association. (2024). Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products.
[3] Anderson, K., & White, B. (2024). Modern Approaches to Dairy Quality Control. Journal of Dairy Science, 107(3), 245-259.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

[4] Brown, R., et al. (2024). Microbiological Quality Assessment in Dairy Processing. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 375, 109741
[5] Davis, M., & Wilson, P. (2024). Chemical Composition Analysis of Dairy Products. Food Chemistry, 401, 134521
[6] European Dairy Standards Association. (2024). Guidelines for Quality Control in Dairy Processing.
[7] FDA. (2024). Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk Ordinance. U.S. Food and Drug Administration
[8] Garcia, R., et al. (2024). Contamination Prevention in Industrial Dairy Processing. Food Control, 146, 109348.
[9] International Dairy Federation. (2024). Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products.
[10] ISO. (2024). ISO 707:2024 Milk and Milk products – Guidance on sampling.
[11] Johnson, M., et al. (2024). Quality Assurance in Modern Dairy Processing. Dairy Science & Technology, 104(2), 89-102.
[12] Lee, S., & Kumar, R. (2025). Comprehensive Quality Monitoring in Dairy Plants. Journal of Food Processing, 28(1), 12-25.
[13] Miller, J., & Davis, N. (2024). Processing Implications of Fat Content in Dairy Products. Journal of Food Engineering, 334, 111168.
[14] Peterson, L. (2025). Improved Methodologies for Acidity Testing in Dairy Products. Food Analytical Methods, 18(2), 456-470.
[15] Roberts, K., et al. (2024). Correlation Studies in Dairy Quality Parameters. International Dairy Journal, 139, 105908.
[16] Smith, A., & Anderson, P. (2024). Quality Control Measures in Industrial Dairy Processing. Food Quality and Safety, 8(1), 23-35.
[17] Thompson, R. (2025). Physical Properties Evaluation in Dairy Processing. Journal of Food Science, 90(3), 678-689.
[18] Thompson, S., et al. (2024). Seasonal Variations in Milk Composition. Dairy Research, 91(4), 345-358.
[19] Williams, E. (2025). Continuous Monitoring Systems in Dairy Processing. Food Control, 147, 109349.
[20] Wilson, M., et al. (2024). Standard Operating Procedures for Dairy Quality Testing. Journal of Food Protection, 87(2), 234-245.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)