



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.60246>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Blockchain-Based Peer-to-Peer Decentralised Lending and Borrowing System

Prof. Avinash A. Utikar¹, Manav Shandilya², Shivam Mishra³, Shruti Mahakal⁴, Abhishek Thakur⁵

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}Department of Information Technology, Sinhgad College Of Engineering, Pune, India

Abstract: A decentralized peer-to-peer lending and borrowing system represents a transformative paradigm shift in the traditional financial landscape. This abstract explores the concept, benefits, and challenges of such a system, which leverages blockchain technology and smart contracts to facilitate direct, trustless interactions between lenders and borrowers. In this innovative system, intermediaries like banks are circumvented, enabling individuals to participate directly in lending and borrowing activities. Blockchain's decentralized ledger ensures transparency, security, and immutability of transaction records, while smart contracts automate the execution of loan agreements, thereby reducing the risk of default and eliminating the need for intermediaries. Key advantages of this decentralized system include increased financial inclusivity, reduced interest rates, and a broader pool of lenders and borrowers. It fosters a more efficient allocation of capital by matching lenders and borrowers based on predetermined criteria, thereby reducing information asymmetry.

Keywords: Blockchain, Smart Contract, Decentralized Finance

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent times, blockchains have become a focal point of research, investment, and product development, gaining extensive coverage in both technology-centric and mainstream media. They serve as the underlying technology for multi-billion dollar products and are extensively explored in research publications from both academia and industry. Amidst the significant hype surrounding blockchain technology, it becomes challenging to grasp the true essence of these systems, evaluate their technical strengths and weaknesses, and differentiate between genuine advancements and optimistic marketing. Essentially, a blockchain functions as a mechanism for entities to agree on the chronological order of events, a challenge rooted in classical concepts from distributed computing and cryptography. While blockchains are designed with distinct objectives, they can be seen as a continuation of previous research in these domains. [1]

The latest generation of blockchain-based decentralized financial applications, commonly known as 'DeFi,' is spearheaded by entrepreneurs aiming to achieve a 'decentralized' distribution of voting power among network participants through the issuance of governance tokens. These fungible entities empower holders to directly engage in decision-making through majority voting mechanisms, and ongoing efforts are being made to establish a unified framework for evaluating governance token distributions. [2] Taking a step beyond, decentralized finance provides digital financial services on a blockchain, a tamper-resistant database recording information that remains unalterable once recorded. Described as a digital ledger distributed across multiple computer networks, blockchain technology eliminates delays and failures associated with intermediaries, reduces transaction costs, and minimizes credit risks in lending within a decentralized finance system. [3]

However, amidst the enthusiasm for decentralized finance, there is a tendency among practitioners and researchers to overly emphasize its benefits while neglecting associated risks and challenges. This imbalance raises questions about the overall value, factoring in risks, of decentralized finance. Acknowledging the imperfections of technology, users of blockchain products are to expect comprehend both the advantages and risks, exercising judgment to mitigate individual exposure to potential downsides. [3]

II. METHODOLOGIES

Here's a proposed methodology for a blockchain-based peer-to-peer lending and borrowing system:

A. Decentralized Lending

Ethereum serves as the foundation for on-chain lending activities within its ecosystem. Decentralized lending, in this context, implies that all lending transactions occur on the blockchain, and all associated loan data is stored and processed within the blockchain network. This approach ensures safety, trust, and transparency in interactions between borrowers and lenders.

B. Smart Contract

The use of Ethereum-based Smart Contracts plays a crucial role in establishing trustless interactions between parties. The term "trustless" implies the elimination of reliance on third-party services. All lending transactions are executed through Smart Contracts, ensuring that once deployed, the loan agreement code remains unalterable and immune to compromise. This resilience stems from the fact that the code operates on the blockchain, continually replicating itself from one hard drive to another.

C. Smart Contracts for Decentralized Lending

Specifically designed Smart Contracts for decentralized lending are well-suited for scenarios where lending activities transcend local or centralized services. In a decentralized environment, establishing trust or reputation-based trust becomes essential between borrowers and lenders, given the necessity to rely on the repayment of loans. Smart Contracts can facilitate intricate transactions, including future payments, token transfers, ENS domain transfers, and various calculations. Additionally, these Smart Contracts can efficiently store and organize crucial data on the blockchain, a crucial aspect for loan agreements. This stored information encompasses details such as the loan amount, premium, loan duration, collateral, and the involved parties.

D. Collateral Management

Implement advanced collateral management mechanisms to handle different types of digital assets as collateral. This can include mechanisms for assessing the value of the collateral, handling multi-collateral loans, and automating the liquidation process in case of default.

E. Privacy and Confidentiality

Implement privacy-focused solutions, such as zero-knowledge proofs or privacy-preserving Smart Contracts, to protect sensitive information related to borrowers and lenders while still ensuring the integrity of the lending process.

F. User-friendly Interfaces

Design intuitive and user-friendly interfaces for both borrowers and lenders to interact with the platform. Ensure that users can easily navigate through the lending and borrowing processes on the decentralized application (DApp).

III. MODELLING

The following diagram shows the workflow of the entire blockchain-based peer-to-peer decentralized lending and borrowing platform:




FIGURE 1: Smart Contract setup with ERC20 Token Collateral




FIGURE 2: Repayment Process




FIGURE 3: Scenario if the borrower failed to pay

As the financial landscape continues to evolve, Ethereum's Smart Contracts stand at the forefront of a movement that seeks to empower individuals globally through decentralized lending. This analysis navigates through the ecosystem where financial inclusion takes center stage, examining the functionalities, security measures, and implications of a lending system built on blockchain principles.

A. Decentralized Lending

Analyze the security features of the Smart Contracts and the overall platform architecture. Evaluate how the use of Ethereum's decentralized nature and the immutability of Smart Contracts enhance security and build trust among users.

B. Smart Contract Capabilities

Analyze the specific capabilities of the Smart Contracts used in decentralized lending. Discuss their ability to handle complex transactions, automate processes, and ensure the execution of agreements without the need for intermediaries.

C. Collateralization and secure lending

Evaluate the effectiveness of the secured lending model using Ethereum-based digital tokens as collateral. Discuss how Smart Contracts manage collateral, assess its value, and automate liquidation processes in case of default.

D. User Experience and Adoption

Assess the user-friendliness of the platform's interfaces for both borrowers and lenders. Discuss how the design and functionality contribute to a positive user experience and analyze factors that could impact user adoption and retention.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

A. Platform Architecture

Our decentralized lending and borrowing platform is built on the Ethereum blockchain, leveraging smart contracts to automate transactions and enforce the terms of lending and borrowing without intermediaries. The architecture is designed to ensure security, transparency, and efficiency, with a frontend interface for user interaction and smart contracts acting as the backend logic that governs platform operations.

B. Selection of the Sepolia Testnet

The Sepolia testnet was chosen for its close resemblance to the Ethereum mainnet environment while allowing for cost-effective and risk-free testing. Sepolia provides a robust testing ground for deploying smart contracts and executing transactions without the financial implications of the Ethereum mainnet, making it an ideal choice for developing and refining our platform.

C. Development of Smart Contracts

Smart contracts are the backbone of our platform, facilitating the trustless execution of lending and borrowing processes. These contracts were developed using Solidity, Ethereum's native programming language, and were thoroughly tested for security vulnerabilities, efficiency, and scalability.

D. Testing and Security Measures

Extensive testing was conducted to ensure the platform's security and reliability. This included unit testing of smart contracts, integration testing of the frontend interface with the blockchain, and security audits to identify and rectify potential vulnerabilities.

V. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Our blockchain-based lending and borrowing platform was successfully deployed on the Sepolia testnet, a key environment for testing Ethereum network transactions without incurring the real-world costs associated with the mainnet. This deployment utilized Ethereum (ETH), DAI, USD Coin (USDC), and Chainlink (LINK) test tokens to simulate real-world operations of lending and borrowing within a peer-to-peer, decentralized framework.




FIGURE 4: Connect Wallet Page




FIGURE 5: Dashboard





Assets to supply				
Assets	Wallet Balance	APY	Can be collateral	
 ETH	0.49	3 %	✓	<button>Lend</button>
 DAI	879976.00	3 %	✓	<button>Lend</button>
 USDC	700000.00	3 %	✓	<button>Lend</button>
 LINK	820000.00	3 %	✓	<button>Lend</button>

FIGURE 6: Lending Page


Your Borrows				
<div>Balance \$6.00</div> <div>APY 4.00 %</div> <div>Borrow power used \$6.00</div>				
Asset	Debt	APY	APY Type	
 DAI	6.00 \$6.00	4 %	STABLE	<button>Repay</button>

FIGURE 7: Repayment Page


Assets to Borrow				
Asset	Available	APY	APY Type	
 DAI	18.00	4 %	STABLE	<button>Borrow</button>

FIGURE 8: Borrowing Page




FIGURE 9: Assets Supplied Page

A. Smart Contract Efficiency

The smart contract, central to our platform's operations, demonstrated robust performance, facilitating seamless transactions for lending and borrowing activities. These contracts were rigorously tested to ensure security, scalability, and efficiency. Transaction speeds were observed to be within acceptable limits, showcasing the platform's ability to handle operations swiftly.

B. Lending and Borrowing Mechanisms

The platform supports both lending and borrowing functionalities. Users are required to supply tokens to the smart contract as collateral before they can borrow. This requirement ensures a secure environment for transactions, mitigating the risk of default.

C. User Interaction and Experience

Feedback from test users highlighted the platform's ease of use and intuitive interface, with specific commendation for the straightforward process of collateral submission prior to borrowing. Users appreciated the transparency of transaction processes and the real-time visibility of token supply and demand metrics.

VI. CONCLUSION

The deployment of our blockchain-based peer-to-peer lending and borrowing platform on the Sepolia testnet demonstrated significant potential in providing a decentralized, secure, and efficient mechanism for financial transactions. Despite facing testnet-specific challenges, the platform showcased robust performance and user engagement, indicating readiness for further development towards a mainnet launch. Future work will focus on optimizing gas costs, improving transaction speeds, and expanding the token ecosystem to include a wider range of assets, thereby enhancing the platform's utility and user base.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kolb, M. Abdelbaky, R. H. Katz, and D. E. Culler, "Core Concepts, Challenges, and future Directions in Blockchain: A centralized Tutorial," ACM Comput. Surv., vol.53, no. 1, pp. 1–39, 2020
- [2] V. von Wachter, J. R. Jensen, and O. Ross, "How Decentralized is the Governance of Blockchain-based Finance? Empirical Evidence from four Governance Token Distributions," 2020
- [3] Ozili, Peterson K, "Decentralized Finance Research and Developments Around the World", Journal of Banking and Financial Technology, 2022
- [4] Chen, Y., & Bellavitis, C. (2020). Blockchain disruption and decentralized finance: The rise of decentralized business models. Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 13, e00151.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)