



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 Issue: XII Month of publication: December 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.57487

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

# Catalysing Democracy: Exploring Security and Performance in Blockchain-Based Electronic Voting Systems and Centralized Solutions

### Sumesh Sood

Department of Computer Science, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India

Abstract: This research paper conducts a comprehensive comparative study between blockchain-based electronic voting systems and centralized solutions, focusing on their security and performance attributes. Acknowledging the significance of electronic voting in modern democracies, the study delves into blockchain's role in enhancing security and transparency while addressing potential challenges. Through an in-depth literature review, the paper examines the existing research landscape, highlighting security aspects, performance evaluations, and the impact of decentralization. The subsequent analyses dissect the security features, enhancements, vulnerabilities, and potential attacks in each system, followed by a thorough performance assessment encompassing transaction speed, scalability, and resource consumption. The interplay between security and performance tradeoffs is explored, culminating in a discussion of the research's implications, future directions, and ethical considerations. The study's significance lies in guiding decision-making, promoting balance, and fostering ongoing innovation in the pursuit of secure and efficient electronic voting systems.

Keywords: Electronic Voting Systems, Blockchain Technology, Security Analysis, Performance Evaluation, Decentralization

### I. INTRODUCTION

In modern democracies, election integrity and trustworthy voting procedures are paramount. Electronic voting systems offer efficiency, accessibility, and reduced errors compared to traditional methods. Yet, concerns about security, transparency, and manipulation persist. Electronic voting brings efficiency, fewer errors, and accessibility benefits. However, digitalization poses challenges like security vulnerabilities and potential manipulation, necessitating addressing for citizen trust.

Blockchain's decentralized ledger offers security and transparency. Immutable records safeguard votes, transparency enables public verification while maintaining privacy, and decentralized consensus mechanisms enhance security.

This study aims to comprehensively compare security and performance between blockchain-based electronic voting and centralized solutions.

- A. Key questions
- 1) Security: How does blockchain's security compare to centralized systems?
- 2) Performance: How does decentralization impact transaction speed, scalability, and resource usage?

The research provides insights for informed decisions by policymakers, election administrators, and technologists, regarding secure and efficient electronic voting. This research highlights electronic voting's importance in maintaining democratic integrity. Blockchain's application offers solutions, and the paper contributes by comparing blockchain-based peer-to-peer electronic voting with centralized systems.

### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent years, extensive research has focused on secure electronic voting systems built upon blockchain technology. Blockchain's decentralized and secure framework offers solutions to traditional voting system issues. Various methods within the realm of blockchain for electronic voting systems have been proposed and examined to boost system performance. Notably, peer-to-peer network-based secure electronic voting systems have been investigated, leveraging blockchain's advantages for cost-effective and secure implementation. Blockchain technology fundamentally reshapes systems by establishing decentralized, fault-tolerant networks for validating and recording online transactions. Its immutability ensures data integrity within interconnected blocks forming the blockchain. Haber et al. (1991) introduced a novel method employing cryptographic hash values as tamper-evident and immutable timestamps for digital documents [6]. The process involves timestamping documents via a server, linking them and preventing tampering.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

Nakamoto's (2008) paper introduced a decentralized electronic transaction system based on blockchain, eliminating reliance on third-party intermediaries and catalyzing the growth of decentralized systems and cryptocurrencies [11].

Amid societies' pursuit of efficient, transparent, and secure democratic processes, the adoption of electronic voting systems has surged. Blockchain offers a promising solution, addressing the shortcomings of centralized electronic voting. This review assesses key research contributions exploring the security and performance of blockchain-based electronic voting systems in comparison to centralized counterparts.

### A. Security Aspects of Blockchain-based Voting Systems

Blockchain's traits, like immutability and cryptographic protection, have been extensively examined for electronic voting security. Agarwal et al. (2013) propose an online voting system based on India's Aadhaar identification, highlighting accessibility, security through biometrics, and reduced costs [1]. Atzei et al. (2017) emphasize cryptographic mechanisms for voter anonymity in blockchain-based systems[2]. Khan et al. (2018) stress blockchain's role in data integrity and tamper prevention [7]. Singh et al. (2018) examined the transformative potential of blockchain technology in various industries [12]. The paper discusses the design and security features of blockchain technology.

### B. Performance Evaluation of Blockchain in Voting

Kshetri et al. (2018) analyze blockchain's potential for enhancing e-voting security and transparency, discussing authentication and immutability [8]. Ehin et al. (2022) study internet voting in Estonia, evaluating its evolution and discussing security and voter turnout [5]. These papers offer valuable insights into the benefits and challenges of blockchain-enabled e-voting and internet voting.

### C. Decentralization and Its Impact on Voting Systems

Buterin (2014) introduces Ethereum, showcasing its potential for decentralized applications [3]. Li et al. (2018) explores trade-offs between decentralization and scalability, suggesting innovative consensus protocols [10]. Kumar et al. (2023) emphasize blockchain's decentralized nature for resilience and data integrity [9].

The literature review highlights the ongoing research into secure electronic voting through blockchain technology. Studies examine security, performance, and decentralization, providing insights into the benefits and challenges of blockchain-based electronic voting systems.

### **III.METHODOLOGY**

### A. Comparative Analysis Criteria

This research paper's comparative analysis focuses on security and performance, each evaluated through specific metrics. The aim is to comprehensively assess the blockchain-based peer-to-peer electronic voting system and the chosen centralized electronic voting solution.

- 1) Security Metrics
- a) Data Integrity: Measure vote tamper-resistance and immutability.
- b) Authentication and Authorization: Evaluate voter eligibility mechanisms and prevent unauthorized access.
- c) Anonymity: Assess voter privacy, preventing traceability.
- d) Resistance to Tampering: Analyze susceptibility to attacks like manipulation, double-spending, and unauthorized access.
- 2) Performance Metrics
- a) Transaction Speed: Quantify vote recording and confirmation time.
- b) Scalability: Examine system performance as voter and transaction numbers increase.
- c) Resource Consumption: Measure computational and storage needs for vote processing.

### B. Blockchain-Based Electronic Voting System Architecture

The blockchain-based system includes key components:

- 1) Voter Identity Management: Registers voters, generates authentication credentials.
- 2) Ballot Creation: Distributes digital ballots to authorized voters.
- 3) Vote Casting: Securely casts encrypted votes with cryptographic credentials.
- 4) Blockchain Network: Nodes maintain distributed ledger, achieve consensus (Proof of Work/Proof of Stake).



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

- 5) Smart Contracts: Implements voting logic on transparent, automated blockchain.
- 6) Verification Mechanism: Enables independent vote integrity verification without revealing voters.
- C. Centralized Electronic Voting Solution Characteristics

For comparison, the selected centralized solution includes:

- 1) Central Server: Manages entire process from registration to result tabulation.
- 2) Database: Stores voter info, ballots, voting records.
- 3) Access Control: Enforces voter authentication and authorization.
- 4) Encryption: Secures data transmission and storage.
- 5) Result Compilation: Aggregates and counts votes for final results.
- 6) Audit Trail: Records voting steps for auditing.

### IV.SECURITY ANALYSIS

The security analysis section evaluates the security aspects of the blockchain-based electronic voting system and the chosen centralized voting solution. This section compares their security features, discusses how blockchain technology enhances security, and examines potential vulnerabilities and attacks in each system.

### A. Comparative Security Features

This subsection provides a comparison of security features in both systems:

- 1) Blockchain-Based System Security
- a) Immutability: Blockchain's records ensure vote integrity and non-alterability.
- b) Decentralization: Distribution mitigates single points of failure and enhances resistance to attacks.
- c) Anonymity: Cryptography keeps voter identities and votes confidential.
- d) Transparency: Blockchain enables independent verification without revealing voters.
- e) Authentication: Cryptographic keys authenticate voters, preventing unauthorized access.
- 2) Centralized System Security
- a) Controlled Access: Centralized servers control access, but breaches risk system compromise.
- b) Data Encryption: Encryption safeguards voter data during transmission and storage.
- c) Audit Trails: Centralized systems maintain action records, but storage could be tampered.
- d) Access Control: Authentication ensures eligible voter participation.
- e) Vulnerability: Centralized systems face single points of failure and server attacks.

### B. Enhancements by Blockchain Technology

This subsection explores blockchain's security enhancements in the blockchain-based electronic voting system:

- 1) Immutability: Blockchain's immutability secures votes against manipulation and fraud.
- 2) Cryptographic Protection: Encryption and digital signatures ensure vote confidentiality and integrity.
- 3) Prevention of Tampering: Decentralized consensus mechanisms require network agreement, bolstering trustworthiness.
- C. Addressing Potential Vulnerabilities and Attacks

This subsection discusses potential vulnerabilities and attacks:

- 1) Blockchain-Based System Vulnerabilities
- a) 51% Attack: Proof-of-work blockchains might be vulnerable to a malicious entity controlling over 50% of network power [4].
- b) Private Key Loss: Lost cryptographic keys risk voter identity and vote security.
- 2) Centralized System Vulnerabilities
- a) Single Point of Failure: A breach compromises the entire voting process.
- b) Data Manipulation: Centralized databases risk data integrity.
- c) Denial-of-Service Attacks: Overwhelming traffic disrupts centralized systems.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

The security analysis contrasts security aspects of both systems. By comparing attributes, exploring blockchain's role, and addressing vulnerabilities, this analysis informs a comprehensive understanding of security.

### V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this section the operational efficiency and effectiveness of the blockchain-based electronic voting system in comparison to the selected centralized voting solution is assessed. By examining key performance metrics and investigating the impact of decentralization on performance, this section sheds light on the trade-offs between security and performance within both systems.

### A. Performance Metrics

This subsection introduces the performance metrics used to evaluate the functionality of each voting system:

- 1) Transaction Speed: The time taken for a vote to be recorded and confirmed is a crucial metric for assessing the efficiency of the voting process. A faster transaction speed implies a more expedited and responsive voting experience.
- 2) Scalability: Scalability addresses the system's ability to maintain optimal performance as the volume of users and transactions increases. It gauges how well the system adapts to accommodate growing participation.
- 3) Resource Consumption: The computational and storage requirements for processing votes are fundamental aspects of performance analysis. This metric offers insights into the system's efficiency in utilizing computing resources.

### B. Impact of Decentralization on Performance

In this subsection, the focus shifts to examining how the decentralized nature of blockchain impacts the performance of the blockchain-based electronic voting system:

- 1) Transaction Speed: The consensus mechanisms inherent to blockchain, such as Proof of Work or Proof of Stake, can result in slightly longer confirmation times compared to centralized systems. This trade-off is made for increased security and consensus-based verification.
- 2) Scalability: Decentralization can present challenges to scalability due to the need for consensus among distributed nodes. While centralized systems may have an advantage in initial scalability, they might face limitations as the user base grows.
- 3) Resource Consumption: The distributed nature of blockchain entails redundancy across nodes, which can contribute to higher computational and storage requirements. This can impact resource consumption when compared to centralized systems.

### C. Trade-offs between Security and Performance

This subsection delves into the intricate relationship between security and performance within both voting systems:

- Blockchain-Based System: The robust security features of the blockchain-based system, such as immutability and cryptographic
  protection, may lead to potential trade-offs in terms of transaction speed and resource consumption. Enhanced security could
  result in slightly slower performance.
- 2) *Centralized System:* While centralized systems may offer faster transaction speeds and potentially better initial scalability, they may compromise security due to single points of failure and centralization vulnerabilities.

By exploring the impact of decentralization on performance and addressing potential trade-offs, this analysis contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the practical implications of adopting either approach. These findings form a critical foundation for the subsequent discussions on the overall evaluation of the two voting systems in terms of both security and performance.

### VI.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results and discussion section presents the findings derived from the comprehensive analysis of security and performance in both the blockchain-based electronic voting system and the selected centralized voting solution. This section highlights the implications of the analysis, addresses research questions, and provides insights into the trade-offs between security and performance.

### A. Security Findings

In this subsection, the research outcomes regarding the security analysis are presented and discussed:

 Comparative Security Analysis: The security features of both systems have been thoroughly compared. The blockchain-based system demonstrates strengths in tamper-resistance, decentralization, and anonymity. Conversely, the centralized system relies on controlled access and data encryption.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

2) Blockchain Technology Enhancements: The discussion elaborates on how blockchain technology enhances security through immutability, cryptographic protection, and prevention of tampering. This reinforces the integrity and trustworthiness of the recorded votes.

### B. Performance Findings

This subsection presents the outcomes of the performance analysis and discusses their implications:

- 1) Performance Metrics: Transaction speed, scalability, and resource consumption have been assessed for both systems. Transaction speed in the blockchain-based system may experience slight delays due to consensus mechanisms, while scalability could be a challenge. Resource consumption in blockchain systems is influenced by decentralization.
- 2) Decentralization Impact: The discussion highlights how decentralization affects performance. While it ensures enhanced security, it can lead to slower transaction speeds and increased resource requirements. This trade-off necessitates a careful balance between security and efficiency.
- 3) Security-Performance Trade-offs: The interplay between security and performance is explored. Blockchain systems offer robust security but may compromise some performance aspects. In contrast, centralized systems might provide faster transaction speeds but can be more vulnerable to security breaches.

The results and discussion section concludes with a reflection on the significance of the research findings in the context of the research paper's objectives. The insights garnered from this analysis lay the groundwork for informed decision-making and future advancements in the realm of electronic voting systems.

### VII. IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The implications and future work section delves into the broader significance of the research findings and suggests potential directions for electronic voting systems.

### A. Implications of Research Findings

This subsection highlights practical implications:

- 1) Informed Decision-Making: The study aids policymakers, administrators, and technologists in choosing electronic voting systems. Understanding security and performance trade-offs informs decisions aligned with specific contexts.
- 2) Balancing Security and Performance: The research emphasizes the need to balance security and performance. Blockchain systems offer enhanced security but may trade speed and resources. Centralized systems may prioritize speed over security.
- 3) Potential Hybrid Solutions: The outcomes suggest exploring hybrid systems to combine strengths and mitigate vulnerabilities of both blockchain and centralized systems.

### B. Future Work

This subsection outlines research avenues:

- 1) Hybrid Approaches: Investigating hybrid systems could optimize security-performance trade-offs.
- 2) Enhanced Consensus Mechanisms: Novel blockchain consensus mechanisms could improve speed and scalability.
- 3) Usability and Adoption: Understanding user experience, accessibility, and trust can inform system design.
- 4) Advanced Security Measures: Exploring biometric authentication and encryption can enhance security.
- 5) Real-World Testing: Conducting real-world trials validates findings and unveils challenges and benefits.

### C. Ethical Considerations

Addressing ethical concerns is vital:

- 1) Transparency and Privacy: Design transparency, data handling, and voter privacy are critical as electronic voting systems
- 2) Equitable Access: Barriers to equitable access must be identified and eliminated for all eligible voters.

### VIII. **CONCLUSION**

This research concludes by integrating security and performance analyses of blockchain-based electronic voting systems and centralized solutions. It emphasizes informed decision-making, the balance between security and performance, and future exploration in electronic voting systems.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 11 Issue XII Dec 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

### A. Informed Decision-Making

Insights from this research aid policymakers, administrators, and technologists in making choices aligned with their needs. The trade-offs between security and performance are crucial considerations.

### B. Balancing Security and Performance

Blockchain systems offer strong security but may trade minor speed and resource efficiency. Centralized systems prioritize speed but risk security. Striking the right balance is essential.

### C. Future Exploration

The pursuit of hybrid solutions, improved consensus, advanced security measures, and real-world testing continues to refine electronic voting. Ethics, including transparency and equitable access, remain vital.

The conclusion underlines the ongoing evolution of electronic voting systems. By merging security and performance insights, it advances the field. Guiding decision-making, ensuring equilibrium, and encouraging progress, this research's impact resonates in the quest for secure, transparent, and inclusive electronic voting systems.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, H. & Pandey, G. N., (2013), "Online voting system for India based on AADHAAR ID", *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on ICT and Knowledge Engineering*, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 1-4.
- [2] Atzei, N., Bartoletti, M., & Cimoli, T., (2017), "A survey of attacks on Ethereum smart contracts", *Proceedings of the* 6<sup>th</sup> International conference on principles of security and trust, pp. 164-186, Springer.
- [3] Buterin, V., (2014), A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform, white paper, Retrieved from https://blockchainlab.com/pdf/Ethereum\_white\_paper-a\_next\_generation\_smart\_contract\_and\_decentralized\_application\_platform-vitalik-buterin.pdf.
- [4] Community, 51% attack, (2023), Retrieved from https://privacycanada.net/cryptocurrency/51-attack/.
- [5] Ehin, P., Solvak, M., Willemson, J., & Vinkel, P., (2022), Internet voting in Estonia 2005–2019: Evidence from eleven elections, Government Information Quarterly, vol. 39(4).
- [6] Haber, S., & Stornetta, W. S., (1991), How To Time-Stamp a Digital Document, Journal of Cryptology, vol. 3, pp. 99-111.
- [7] Khan, K. M., Arshad, J. & Khan, M.M., (2018), Secure Digital Voting System Based on Blockchain Technology, International Journal of Electronic Government Research, vol. 14(1), pp. 53-62.
- [8] Kshetri, N., & Voas, J., (2018), Blockchain-Enabled E-Voting, IEEE Software, vol. 35(4), pp. 95–99.
- [9] Kumar, R., Badwal, L., Avasthi, S., & Prakash, A., (2023). "A decentralized and distributed architecture for e-voting using blockchain smart contracts". Proceedings of the 13<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Confluence the Next Generation Information Technology Summit, pp. 419-424, IEEE.
- [10] Li, X., Jiang, P., Chen, T., Luo, X., & Wen, Q., (2020), A survey on the security of blockchain systems, Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 107, pp. 841.853
- [11] Nakamoto, S., (2008), Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System, white paper, Retrieved from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf.
- [12] Singh, S., Sharma, A., & Jain, P., (2018), A Detailed Study of Blockchain: Changing the World, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 13(14), 11532-11539.









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)