



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** II **Month of publication:** February 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.66857>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Comparative Study on the Seismic Analysis and Wind Analysis of Multistorey Building with Shear Wall and Diagrid

Anita Popatrao Borde¹, Prof. R. S. Gunjal²

^{1,2}Chh. Shahu College of Engineering, Chh. Sambhajinagar, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: The present study investigates the behaviour of G+50 storey octagonal shaped building provided with shear wall at different locations and diagrid, by inter-combining all these parameters of shear wall and diagrid; total six model of G+50 storey building are prepared. The seismic and wind analysis of G+50 storey building is carried out by using structural analysis and design computer program STAAD Pro. The dynamic analysis (Response Spectrum Method) of G+50 storey octagonal shaped building with shear wall at a different locations and diagrid is carried out by using structural analysis and design computer program STAAD Pro. All the models are developed for seismic zone III as per IS 1893: 2002 (part I) and for wind analysis zone II is considered i.e. Aurangabad city having a basic wind speed of 39 m/s as per IS 875: 1987 (part-III). All the models are analyzed by considering seismic forces and wind forces. The main aim of this research work is to find out the suitable location of the shear wall and the model under seismic forces and wind forces which give optimum results. The behaviour of each model with respect to others is checked for Base Shear (V_B), Axial Force (F_X), Twisting Moment (M_X), Bending Moment (M_Y), Bending Moment (M_Z), and Lateral Displacement (δ) at each and every storey are carried out for seismic forces and wind forces separately. Finally, the behaviour of each model with respect to others for seismic force and wind force is described with the help of graph.

Keywords: Seismic analysis, wind analysis, dynamic analysis, response spectrum method, shear wall, diagrid.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth of urban population, scarcity and high cost of available land, the taller structures are preferable now days. As the height of structure increases; consideration of lateral load is very important. Hence the lateral load resisting system becomes more important than the structural system which resists the gravitational loads. The lateral load resisting systems that are widely used are rigid frame, shear wall, diagrid structural system, wall frame, braced tube system, outrigger system and tubular system. Recently shear wall systems and diagrid structural system are the most commonly used lateral load resisting systems. Shear walls have very high in plane stiffness and strength, which can be used to simultaneously resist large horizontal loads and support gravity loads, making them quite advantageous in many structural engineering applications. Diagrid structural system is adopted in tall buildings due to its structural efficiency and flexibility in architectural planning. Diagrid – diagonal grid structural systems are widely used for tall buildings due to its structural efficiency and aesthetic potential provided by the unique geometric configuration of the system. Hence the diagrid, for structural effectiveness and aesthetics has generated renewed interest from architectural and structural designers of tall buildings.

A. Shear Wall

Shear wall is the vertical element of the horizontal force resisting system. Shear wall is constructed to counter the effects of lateral load acting on a structure. In residential construction, shear walls are straight external walls that typically form a box which provides all of the lateral support for the building. When shear walls are designed and constructed properly, they will have the strength and stiffness to resist the horizontal forces.

The shear wall is classified as below:

Simple rectangular types and Flanged walls (Barbell type)

- 1) Coupled shear wall
- 2) Rigid frame shear wall
- 3) Framed walls with in filled frames

- 4) Column supported shear walls
- 5) Core type shear walls

B. Diagrid

The term diagrid is a combination of words “diagonal” and “grid”. Diagrid is particular form of space truss, which does not have any conventional column on the exterior periphery of structure. It is formed by intersecting the diagonal columns and horizontal beams and is made up of series of triangulated truss system. It is a design for constructing large buildings with steel that creates triangular structures with diagonal support beams. It may be straight or curved, and horizontal rings which together make up a structural system for a skyscraper.

The materials used in the construction of diagrid are steel, concrete and wood. Following factors affect the selection of material-

- 1) Availability of material
- 2) Erection time
- 3) Flexibility
- 4) Durability
- 5) Unit weight of the material
- 6) Labour cost
- 7) Lead time
- 8) Fire resistance

Types of Diagrid Structural System:

a) Steel Diagrid Structural System

The most common and popular material used in the construction of diagrid is steel. The sections commonly used are rectangular HSS, rounded HSS and wide flanges. The weight and size of the sections are made so as to resist the high bending loads. They can be quickly erected and the cost of labour for the installation is low.



Figure 1: Steel Diagrid Structural Systems

b) Concrete Diagrid Structural System

Concrete is also commonly used diagrid material. The concrete diagrid are used in both type, precast and cast in-situ. As the precast concrete sections are flexible, it allows them to fit perfectly in the structure geometry. It also protects from the fire damages. But the precast concrete constitutes more to the dead load of structure.



Figure 2: Concrete Diagrid Structural System

c) Timber Diagrid Structural System

Timber is rarely used for diagrid system. The advantage of this material is that the sections of timber are easily available in any shape and size. The installation cost is low. The major disadvantages are that timber has lesser material strength. Durability and weathering of timber are the major issue that makes for the disadvantages of timber as a diagrid construction material.



Figure 3: Timber Diagrid Structural System

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lee *et al.* (2016) performed finite element analysis of optimized brace angle for the diagrid structural system and also studied the cyclic performance of a diagrid node with an H-section brace with an emphasis on the welding methods, overlapped length, and diagrid angle. Details that improve productivity were proposed and the structural performance was assessed through experimental and analytical investigation.

Menon *et al.* (2016) designed and analysed 30 storey RC building with steel diagrid and studied performance assessment of high-rise building. A regular plan of 36m X 36m size is considered. Four different storey modules are prepared to decide the angle of diagrid such as 2 storey module, 3 storey module, 4 storey module and 6 storey module. Modelling and analysis of structural members have done using ETABS software. All structural members are designed as per IS 456:2000 and IS 800:2007 considering all load combinations. They have studied seismic performance by analytical methods such as equivalent static analysis, nonlinear static pushover analysis and linear time history analysis using ETABS analysis package and also found out optimum angle for 30 storey RC building with steel diagrid. It has been concluded that optimum angle for 30 storeys RC building with steel diagrid is 67.4 degree. Equivalent static analysis results showed that less top storey displacement and storey drift for 3 storey module and also time period is less for 4 storey module (67.4). The equivalent static analysis did not give any idea about the ductility of the structure, therefore nonlinear static pushover analysis results showed high stiffness and moderate ductility for 4 storey module (67.4). Linear time history results showed less overturning moment, storey shear, column force for 4 storey module (67.4).

Venkolath *et al.* (2016) performed analysis of 24 storey circular building to find the optimal diagrid angle to minimise the lateral drift and displacement in a high-rise building. The circular plan of 30.7 m diameter is considered with five different types of angles of diagrid that is 36.8°, 56.3°, 66°, 77.5° and 83.6°. The results were tabulated by performing finite element analysis using ETABS software. The comparison of analysis of results in terms of lateral displacement, storey drift, storey shear and time period. It has been concluded that diagrid angle in the region of 65° to 75° provides more stiffness to the diagrid structural system which reflects the less top storey displacement. The storey drift, storey shear, time period, effect of lateral force to stories are very much lesser in the region of diagrid angle. The optimum angle observed in the region of 65° to 75°.

Abhinav *et al.* (2016) performed seismic analysis of multi-storey building with the shear wall using STAAD Pro. An RCC building of 11 floors placed exposed to earthquake loading in zone V is considered and earthquake load has calculated by the seismic coefficient method using IS 1893 (Part I): 2002. The three models of 11 floor building have been made with the shear wall at corner, shear wall along periphery and shear wall at middle of the building. The comparative study of deflection of building with and without shear wall is carried out in X and Z directions. The lateral deflection for building with shear wall along periphery is reduced in comparison to other models. Hence, it has been concluded that the building with a shear wall along periphery is much more efficient than all other models with a shear wall.

Nandeesh *et al.* (2016) performed comparative study of 52 storey hyperbolic circular steel diagrid structural system rehabilitated at central core with shear wall and steel braced frames. This work basically comprised of two models with shifting floor zone and centre divider framework. The external fringe comprises of diagrid funnel segment for both models. These models are examined for two distinctive seismic zones (zone II and zone III). All the models and its components are investigated utilising ETABS programming according to Indian codes. The present work showed the outcomes parameter regarding story drift, story displacement, time period, story shear. It has been concluded that the shear wall shows the better performance under earthquake loading. Shear wall had less top storey displacement, storey drift than steel bracing systems in both seismic zones. The steel bracing system gave better performance against storey shear for both seismic zones than shear walls system. The shear wall systems act as a monolithic structure and gives the effective performance against all aspects in terms of maximum storey displacement, storey drift and time period.

Azad *et al.* (2016) [8] performed a comparative study of seismic analysis of multi-story buildings with shear walls and bracing systems. This paper contained a numerical approach to show dissimilarity between the shear wall system and steel bracing system. The new approach of this research was strengthening lateral force resisting system by using steel bracing. A gradual process has been done step by step to show comprehensible contrasts between the systems. For implicit results, East Malaysia has considered as the corresponding region. The overall analysis has been carried out using the ETABS9.7 software. Six models have prepared for the comparative study. The first model was having a shear wall at middle portion, second model was having shear wall at side portion, third model was having bracing at centre, fourth model was having bracing at side, fifth model was having floor bracing at middle and sixth model was having floor bracing at side. It has been concluded from the results that model one was the safest among the six models.

Tripathi *et al.* (2016) studied the diagrid structural system for framed multi-storey building and also stiffness based design methodology for determining preliminary sizes of R.C.C diagrid structures for tall buildings. A 36m X 36m size regular plan is considered. Modelling, design and analysis of structural members is done by using ETABS 2015 software. Structural members are designed as per IS 456:2000, load combinations of seismic forces as per IS 1893(part I): 2000 and dynamic along wind and across wind are considered for analysis as per IS 875: 1987 (part 3). Dynamic Analysis of 24, 36 and 48 story building with perimeter diagrid with different story module is carried out by Response spectrum method. There are 15 models are prepared with five different types of angles of diagrid i.e. 50.2°, 67.4°, 74.5°, 78.2° and 82.1° for 2 storey, 4 storey, 6 storey, 8 storey, 12 storey diagrid module for 24-storey, 36-storey, 48-storey building. The results of analysis are compared in terms of top storey displacement, storey drift, storey shear, time period, angle of diagrid, spectra acceleration coefficients, base reactions for seismic and wind forces within same storey height for different storey modules and for different storey heights. The present study concluded that for all 15 models storey displacement and storey drifts are within permissible limit. The storey drift, storey displacement, storey shear etc. are less in the region of 65° to 75° diagrid angle. Therefore optimum angle of diagrid is observed in the region of 65° to 75°.

III. METHODOLOGY




Figure 4: Flow of the proposed model

A. Modeling in STAAD.pro


1) Input Generation

The GUI (or user) communicates with the STAAD analysis engine through the STD input file. That input file is a text file consisting of a series of commands which are executed and /or design. The STAAD input file can be created through a text editor or the GUI Modeling facility. In general, any text editor may be utilized to edit/create the STD input file. The GUI Modeling facility creates the input file through an interactive menu-driven graphics oriented procedure as shown in figure 4.1.

2) Types of Structures

A structure can be defined as an assemblage of elements. STAAD is capable of analyzing and designing structures consisting of frame, plate/shell and solid elements. Almost any type of structure can be analyzed by STAAD.

A SPACE structure, which is a three dimensional framed structure with loads applied in any plane, is the most general. Here we have used a space structure for the analysis and design of G+50 storey building.



```

STAAD SPACE
START JOB INFORMATION
ENGINEER DATE 16-Apr-17
END JOB INFORMATION
INPUT WIDTH 79
UNIT METER KN
JOINT COORDINATES
2 20 0 0; 3 15 0 0; 4 19.6962 0 -3.47296; 5 18.7939 0 -6.8404; 6 17.3205 0 -10;
7 15.3209 0 -12.8558; 8 12.8558 0 -15.3209; 9 10 0 -17.3205;
10 6.8404 0 -18.7939; 11 3.47296 0 -19.6962; 12 1.22461e-015 0 -20;
13 -3.47296 0 -19.6962; 14 -6.8404 0 -18.7939; 15 -10 0 -17.3205;
16 -12.8558 0 -15.3209; 17 -15.3209 0 -12.8558; 18 -17.3205 0 -10;
19 -18.7939 0 -6.8404; 20 -19.6962 0 -3.47296; 21 -20 0 -2.44921e-015;
22 -19.6962 0 3.47296; 23 -18.7939 0 6.8404; 24 -17.3205 0 10;
25 -15.3209 0 12.8558; 26 -12.8558 0 15.3209; 27 -10 0 17.3205;
28 -6.8404 0 18.7939; 29 -3.47296 0 19.6962; 30 -3.67382e-015 0 20;
31 3.47296 0 19.6962; 32 6.8404 0 18.7939; 33 10 0 17.3205;
34 12.8558 0 15.3209; 35 15.3209 0 12.8558; 36 17.3205 0 10;
37 18.7939 0 6.8404; 38 19.6962 0 3.47296; 39 14.7721 0 -2.60472;
40 14.0954 0 -5.1303; 41 12.9904 0 -7.5; 42 11.4907 0 -9.64181;
43 9.64181 0 -11.4907; 44 7.5 0 -12.9904; 45 5.1303 0 -14.0954;
46 2.60472 0 -14.7721; 47 9.18455e-016 0 -15; 48 -2.60472 0 -14.7721;
49 -5.1303 0 -14.0954; 50 -7.5 0 -12.9904; 51 -9.64181 0 -11.4907;
52 -11.4907 0 -9.64181; 53 -12.9904 0 -7.5; 54 -14.0954 0 -5.1303;
55 -14.7721 0 -2.60472; 56 -15 0 -1.83691e-015; 57 -14.7721 0 2.60472;
58 -14.0954 0 5.1303; 59 -12.9904 0 7.5; 60 -11.4907 0 9.64181;
61 -9.64181 0 11.4907; 62 -7.5 0 12.9904; 63 -5.1303 0 14.0954;
64 -2.60472 0 14.7721; 65 -2.75536e-015 0 15; 66 2.60472 0 14.7721;
67 5.1303 0 14.0954; 68 7.5 0 12.9904; 69 9.64181 0 11.4907;
70 11.4907 0 9.64181; 71 12.9904 0 7.5; 72 14.0954 0 5.1303;
73 14.7721 0 2.60472; 74 1.22461e-015 0 -40; 75 -3.67382e-015 0 40; 76 40 0 0;
77 -40 0 -2.44921e-015; 78 -30 0 -2.44921e-015; 79 -3.67382e-015 0 26.6667;
80 -3.67382e-015 0 33.3333; 81 30 0 0; 82 1.22461e-015 0 -30; 83 20 0 10;
84 -20 0 10; 85 40 0 10; 86 -40 0 10; 87 30 0 10; 88 20 0 10; 89 10 0 10;
90 -10 0 10; 91 -30 0 10; 92 30 0 20; 93 40 0 20; 94 50 0 20; 95 60 0 20;
96 70 0 20; 97 80 0 20; 98 90 0 20; 99 100 0 20; 100 110 0 20; 101 120 0 20;
102 130 0 20; 103 140 0 20; 104 150 0 20; 105 160 0 20; 106 170 0 20; 107 180 0 20;
108 190 0 20; 109 200 0 20; 110 210 0 20; 111 220 0 20; 112 230 0 20; 113 240 0 20;
114 250 0 20; 115 260 0 20; 116 270 0 20; 117 280 0 20; 118 290 0 20; 119 300 0 20;
120 310 0 20; 121 320 0 20; 122 330 0 20; 123 340 0 20; 124 350 0 20; 125 360 0 20;
126 370 0 20; 127 380 0 20; 128 390 0 20; 129 400 0 20; 130 410 0 20; 131 420 0 20;
132 430 0 20; 133 440 0 20; 134 450 0 20; 135 460 0 20; 136 470 0 20; 137 480 0 20;
138 490 0 20; 139 500 0 20; 140 510 0 20; 141 520 0 20; 142 530 0 20;
143 540 0 20; 144 550 0 20; 145 560 0 20; 146 570 0 20; 147 580 0 20; 148 590 0 20;
149 600 0 20; 150 610 0 20; 151 620 0 20; 152 630 0 20; 153 640 0 20; 154 650 0 20;
155 660 0 20; 156 670 0 20; 157 680 0 20; 158 690 0 20; 159 700 0 20; 160 710 0 20;
161 720 0 20; 162 730 0 20; 163 740 0 20; 164 750 0 20; 165 760 0 20; 166 770 0 20;
167 780 0 20; 168 790 0 20; 169 800 0 20; 170 810 0 20; 171 820 0 20; 172 830 0 20;
173 840 0 20; 174 850 0 20; 175 860 0 20; 176 870 0 20; 177 880 0 20; 178 890 0 20;
179 900 0 20; 180 910 0 20; 181 920 0 20; 182 930 0 20; 183 940 0 20; 184 950 0 20;
185 960 0 20; 186 970 0 20; 187 980 0 20; 188 990 0 20; 189 1000 0 20; 190 1010 0 20;
191 1020 0 20; 192 1030 0 20; 193 1040 0 20; 194 1050 0 20; 195 1060 0 20; 196 1070 0 20;
197 1080 0 20; 198 1090 0 20; 199 1100 0 20; 200 1110 0 20; 201 1120 0 20; 202 1130 0 20;
203 1140 0 20; 204 1150 0 20; 205 1160 0 20; 206 1170 0 20; 207 1180 0 20; 208 1190 0 20;
209 1200 0 20; 210 1210 0 20; 211 1220 0 20; 212 1230 0 20; 213 1240 0 20; 214 1250 0 20;
215 1260 0 20; 216 1270 0 20; 217 1280 0 20; 218 1290 0 20; 219 1300 0 20; 220 1310 0 20;
221 1320 0 20; 222 1330 0 20; 223 1340 0 20; 224 1350 0 20; 225 1360 0 20; 226 1370 0 20;
227 1380 0 20; 228 1390 0 20; 229 1400 0 20; 230 1410 0 20; 231 1420 0 20; 232 1430 0 20;
233 1440 0 20; 234 1450 0 20; 235 1460 0 20; 236 1470 0 20; 237 1480 0 20; 238 1490 0 20;
239 1500 0 20; 240 1510 0 20; 241 1520 0 20; 242 1530 0 20; 243 1540 0 20; 244 1550 0 20;
245 1560 0 20; 246 1570 0 20; 247 1580 0 20; 248 1590 0 20; 249 1600 0 20; 250 1610 0 20;
251 1620 0 20; 252 1630 0 20; 253 1640 0 20; 254 1650 0 20; 255 1660 0 20; 256 1670 0 20;
257 1680 0 20; 258 1690 0 20; 259 1700 0 20; 260 1710 0 20; 261 1720 0 20; 262 1730 0 20;
263 1740 0 20; 264 1750 0 20; 265 1760 0 20; 266 1770 0 20; 267 1780 0 20; 268 1790 0 20;
269 1800 0 20; 270 1810 0 20; 271 1820 0 20; 272 1830 0 20; 273 1840 0 20; 274 1850 0 20;
275 1860 0 20; 276 1870 0 20; 277 1880 0 20; 278 1890 0 20; 279 1900 0 20; 280 1910 0 20;
281 1920 0 20; 282 1930 0 20; 283 1940 0 20; 284 1950 0 20; 285 1960 0 20; 286 1970 0 20;
287 1980 0 20; 288 1990 0 20; 289 2000 0 20; 290 2010 0 20; 291 2020 0 20; 292 2030 0 20;
293 2040 0 20; 294 2050 0 20; 295 2060 0 20; 296 2070 0 20; 297 2080 0 20; 298 2090 0 20;
299 2100 0 20; 300 2110 0 20; 301 2120 0 20; 302 2130 0 20; 303 2140 0 20; 304 2150 0 20;
305 2160 0 20; 306 2170 0 20; 307 2180 0 20; 308 2190 0 20; 309 2200 0 20; 310 2210 0 20;
311 2220 0 20; 312 2230 0 20; 313 2240 0 20; 314 2250 0 20; 315 2260 0 20; 316 2270 0 20;
317 2280 0 20; 318 2290 0 20; 319 2300 0 20; 320 2310 0 20; 321 2320 0 20; 322 2330 0 20;
323 2340 0 20; 324 2350 0 20; 325 2360 0 20; 326 2370 0 20; 327 2380 0 20; 328 2390 0 20;
329 2400 0 20; 330 2410 0 20; 331 2420 0 20; 332 2430 0 20; 333 2440 0 20; 334 2450 0 20;
335 2460 0 20; 336 2470 0 20; 337 2480 0 20; 338 2490 0 20; 339 2500 0 20; 340 2510 0 20;
341 2520 0 20; 342 2530 0 20; 343 2540 0 20; 344 2550 0 20; 345 2560 0 20; 346 2570 0 20;
347 2580 0 20; 348 2590 0 20; 349 2600 0 20; 350 2610 0 20; 351 2620 0 20; 352 2630 0 20;
353 2640 0 20; 354 2650 0 20; 355 2660 0 20; 356 2670 0 20; 357 2680 0 20; 358 2690 0 20;
359 2700 0 20; 360 2710 0 20; 361 2720 0 20; 362 2730 0 20; 363 2740 0 20; 364 2750 0 20;
365 2760 0 20; 366 2770 0 20; 367 2780 0 20; 368 2790 0 20; 369 2800 0 20; 370 2810 0 20;
371 2820 0 20; 372 2830 0 20; 373 2840 0 20; 374 2850 0 20; 375 2860 0 20; 376 2870 0 20;
377 2880 0 20; 378 2890 0 20; 379 2900 0 20; 380 2910 0 20; 381 2920 0 20; 382 2930 0 20;
383 2940 0 20; 384 2950 0 20; 385 2960 0 20; 386 2970 0 20; 387 2980 0 20; 388 2990 0 20;
389 3000 0 20; 390 3010 0 20; 391 3020 0 20; 392 3030 0 20; 393 3040 0 20; 394 3050 0 20;
395 3060 0 20; 396 3070 0 20; 397 3080 0 20; 398 3090 0 20; 399 3100 0 20; 400 3110 0 20;
401 3120 0 20; 402 3130 0 20; 403 3140 0 20; 404 3150 0 20; 405 3160 0 20; 406 3170 0 20;
407 3180 0 20; 408 3190 0 20; 409 3200 0 20; 410 3210 0 20; 411 3220 0 20; 412 3230 0 20;
413 3240 0 20; 414 3250 0 20; 415 3260 0 20; 416 3270 0 20; 417 3280 0 20; 418 3290 0 20;
419 3300 0 20; 420 3310 0 20; 421 3320 0 20; 422 3330 0 20; 423 3340 0 20; 424 3350 0 20;
425 3360 0 20; 426 3370 0 20; 427 3380 0 20; 428 3390 0 20; 429 3400 0 20; 430 3410 0 20;
431 3420 0 20; 432 3430 0 20; 433 3440 0 20; 434 3450 0 20; 435 3460 0 20; 436 3470 0 20;
437 3480 0 20; 438 3490 0 20; 439 3500 0 20; 440 3510 0 20; 441 3520 0 20; 442 3530 0 20;
443 3540 0 20; 444 3550 0 20; 445 3560 0 20; 446 3570 0 20; 447 3580 0 20; 448 3590 0 20;
449 3600 0 20; 450 3610 0 20; 451 3620 0 20; 452 3630 0 20; 453 3640 0 20; 454 3650 0 20;
455 3660 0 20; 456 3670 0 20; 457 3680 0 20; 458 3690 0 20; 459 3700 0 20; 460 3710 0 20;
461 3720 0 20; 462 3730 0 20; 463 3740 0 20; 464 3750 0 20; 465 3760 0 20; 466 3770 0 20;
467 3780 0 20; 468 3790 0 20; 469 3800 0 20; 470 3810 0 20; 471 3820 0 20; 472 3830 0 20;
473 3840 0 20; 474 3850 0 20; 475 3860 0 20; 476 3870 0 20; 477 3880 0 20; 478 3890 0 20;
479 3900 0 20; 480 3910 0 20; 481 3920 0 20; 482 3930 0 20; 483 3940 0 20; 484 3950 0 20;
485 3960 0 20; 486 3970 0 20; 487 3980 0 20; 488 3990 0 20; 489 4000 0 20; 490 4010 0 20;
491 4020 0 20; 492 4030 0 20; 493 4040 0 20; 494 4050 0 20; 495 4060 0 20; 496 4070 0 20;
497 4080 0 20; 498 4090 0 20; 499 4100 0 20; 500 4110 0 20; 501 4120 0 20; 502 4130 0 20;
503 4140 0 20; 504 4150 0 20; 505 4160 0 20; 506 4170 0 20; 507 4180 0 20; 508 4190 0 20;
509 4200 0 20; 510 4210 0 20; 511 4220 0 20; 512 4230 0 20; 513 4240 0 20; 514 4250 0 20;
515 4260 0 20; 516 4270 0 20; 517 4280 0 20; 518 4290 0 20; 519 4300 0 20; 520 4310 0 20;
521 4320 0 20; 522 4330 0 20; 523 4340 0 20; 524 4350 0 20; 525 4360 0 20; 526 4370 0 20;
527 4380 0 20; 528 4390 0 20; 529 4400 0 20; 530 4410 0 20; 531 4420 0 20; 532 4430 0 20;
533 4440 0 20; 534 4450 0 20; 535 4460 0 20; 536 4470 0 20; 537 4480 0 20; 538 4490 0 20;
539 4500 0 20; 540 4510 0 20; 541 4520 0 20; 542 4530 0 20; 543 4540 0 20; 544 4550 0 20;
545 4560 0 20; 546 4570 0 20; 547 4580 0 20; 548 4590 0 20; 549 4600 0 20; 550 4610 0 20;
551 4620 0 20; 552 4630 0 20; 553 4640 0 20; 554 4650 0 20; 555 4660 0 20; 556 4670 0 20;
557 4680 0 20; 558 4690 0 20; 559 4700 0 20; 560 4710 0 20; 561 4720 0 20; 562 4730 0 20;
563 4740 0 20; 564 4750 0 20; 565 4760 0 20; 566 4770 0 20; 567 4780 0 20; 568 4790 0 20;
569 4800 0 20; 570 4810 0 20; 571 4820 0 20; 572 4830 0 20; 573 4840 0 20; 574 4850 0 20;
575 4860 0 20; 576 4870 0 20; 577 4880 0 20; 578 4890 0 20; 579 4900 0 20; 580 4910 0 20;
581 4920 0 20; 582 4930 0 20; 583 4940 0 20; 584 4950 0 20; 585 4960 0 20; 586 4970 0 20;
587 4980 0 20; 588 4990 0 20; 589 5000 0 20; 590 5010 0 20; 591 5020 0 20; 592 5030 0 20;
593 5040 0 20; 594 5050 0 20; 595 5060 0 20; 596 5070 0 20; 597 5080 0 20; 598 5090 0 20;
599 5100 0 20; 600 5110 0 20; 601 5120 0 20; 602 5130 0 20; 603 5140 0 20; 604 5150 0 20;
605 5160 0 20; 606 5170 0 20; 607 5180 0 20; 608 5190 0 20; 609 5200 0 20; 610 5210 0 20;
611 5220 0 20; 612 5230 0 20; 613 5240 0 20; 614 5250 0 20; 615 5260 0 20; 616 5270 0 20;
617 5280 0 20; 618 5290 0 20; 619 5300 0 20; 620 5310 0 20; 621 5320 0 20; 622 5330 0 20;
623 5340 0 20; 624 5350 0 20; 625 5360 0 20; 626 5370 0 20; 627 5380 0 20; 628 5390 0 20;
629 5400 0 20; 630 5410 0 20; 631 5420 0 20; 632 5430 0 20; 633 5440 0 20; 634 5450 0 20;
635 5460 0 20; 636 5470 0 20; 637 5480 0 20; 638 5490 0 20; 639 5500 0 20; 640 5510 0 20;
641 5520 0 20; 642 5530 0 20; 643 5540 0 20; 644 5550 0 20; 645 5560 0 20; 646 5570 0 20;
647 5580 0 20; 648 5590 0 20; 649 5600 0 20; 650 5610 0 20; 651 5620 0 20; 652 5630 0 20;
653 5640 0 20; 654 5650 0 20; 655 5660 0 20; 656 5670 0 20; 657 5680 0 20; 658 5690 0 20;
659 5700 0 20; 660 5710 0 20; 661 5720 0 20; 662 5730 0 20; 663 5740 0 20; 664 5750 0 20;
665 5760 0 20; 666 5770 0 20; 667 5780 0 20; 668 5790 0 20; 669 5800 0 20; 670 5810 0 20;
671 5820 0 20; 672 5830 0 20; 673 5840 0 20; 674 5850 0 20; 675 5860 0 20; 676 5870 0 20;
677 5880 0 20; 678 5890 0 20; 679 5900 0 20; 680 5910 0 20; 681 5920 0 20; 682 5930 0 20;
683 5940 0 20; 684 5950 0 20; 685 5960 0 20; 686 5970 0 20; 687 5980 0 20; 688 5990 0 20;
689 6000 0 20; 690 6010 0 20; 691 6020 0 20; 692 6030 0 20; 693 6040 0 20; 694 6050 0 20;
695 6060 0 20; 696 6070 0 20; 697 6080 0 20; 698 6090 0 20; 699 6100 0 20; 700 6110 0 20;
701 6120 0 20; 702 6130 0 20; 703 6140 0 20; 704 6150 0 20; 705 6160 0 20; 706 6170 0 20;
707 6180 0 20; 708 6190 0 20; 709 6200 0 20; 710 6210 0 20; 711 6220 0 20; 712 6230 0 20;
713 6240 0 20; 714 6250 0 20; 715 6260 0 20; 716 6270 0 20; 717 6280 0 20; 718 6290 0 20;
719 6300 0 20; 720 6310 0 20; 721 6320 0 20; 722 6330 0 20; 723 6340 0 20; 724 6350 0 20;
725 6360 0 20; 726 6370 0 20; 727 6380 0 20; 728 6390 0 20; 729 6400 0 20; 730 6410 0 20;
731 6420 0 20; 732 6430 0 20; 733 6440 0 20; 734 6450 0 20; 735 6460 0 20; 736 6470 0 20;
737 6480 0 20; 738 6490 0 20; 739 6500 0 20; 740 6510 0 20; 741 6520 0 20; 742 6530 0 20;
743 6540 0 20; 744 6550 0 20; 745 6560 0 20; 746 6570 0 20; 747 6580 0 20; 748 6590 0 20;
749 6600 0 20; 750 6610 0 20; 751 6620 0 20; 752 6630 0 20; 753 6640 0 20; 754 6650 0 20;
755 6660 0 20; 756 6670 
```


4) Modeling of G+50 storey Building using STAAD.Pro

In this work I have considered a reinforced concrete G+50 storey building provided with shear wall at different locations and building with diagrid as shown in figure 4.11 to figure 4.16 respectively. The modeling of G+50 storey building is carried out by considering, building without shear wall, with shear wall and with diagrid (X bracing). The analysis of G+50 storey building under different parameters is carried out by using a finite element based software STAAD.Pro. The beams and columns in the frame type structure are modeled as beam elements with six DOF per node. The shear walls provided at different location are modeled by using four node plate elements.




Figure 7: Model 1- Building without shear wall and Diagrid




Figure 8: Model 2- Building With Shear Wall at Chamfered Portion




Figure 9: Model 3- Building with Shear Wall on opposite Sides in X-direction




Figure 10: Model 4- Building with Shear Wall on Opposite Sides Excluding Chamfered Portion




Figure 11: Model 5- Building with Shear Wall on Opposite Two adjacent Edges




Figure 12: Model 6- Building with Diagrid along Complete Periphery

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Graphs and Observations

The axial force (F_x) considering seismic forces and wind forces at every floor is found out in kN and the graph is plotted for axial force versus storey as shown in Fig-13 a,b respectively. From this both graphs it is clear that the maximum values of axial shear force for each model is at lower stories and is decreasing with increase in storey.




Figure 13: Maximum Values of Axial Force (F_x) in Columns Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

The twisting moment (M_x) considering seismic forces and wind forces are found out in kN-m, graphs are plotted for maximum twisting moment versus storey no. as shown in Fig-14 a,b respectively. It is observed that the maximum twisting moments at each story for various model is nonlinear and varying (increasing or decreasing rapidly).




Figure 14: Maximum values of Twisting Moment (M_x) in beams Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

Maximum values of bending moments (M_y) in kN-m considering seismic forces and wind forces are found out and plotted against Storey No., as shown in Fig-15 a, b respectively. It is observed that for model-6 there is variation in values of bending moment after every 5 storey as the diagrid are extend over 5 storeys. Whereas in other models considering only shear walls maximum values of bending moment is higher at lowest stories and is lowest at higher stories.




Figure 15: Maximum Values of Bending Moment (M_y) in Columns Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

The maximum values of bending moments in Z-direction considering seismic forces and wind forces are found out for each storey and plotted against storey no. as shown in fig 16 a, b respectively. From the graph it is observed that the model-1 shows maximum values of bending moment in both the cases. In the graph for the Model no's 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are decreasing rapidly up to 10 storey and are nearly constant up to 30 storey, then it again decreases slowly and lowest at 50th storey. The graph for models 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 decreases rapidly up to 5th storey and then it is constant up to 50 storeys. For model-6 there is a variation in the values of bending moment approximately after every 5 stories




Figure 16: Maximum Values of Bending Moment (M_z) in Beams Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

The maximum values of the base shear (kN) considering seismic forces and wind forces are found and plotted against storey no. as shown in Fig-17 a, b. The maximum value of base shear is obtained for Model-4.




Figure 17: Maximum Values of Base Shear (V_B) Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

The maximum values of lateral displacement (δ) in mm considering seismic forces and wind forces are found and plotted against storey no. as shown in the Fig-18. The lateral displacement is maximum for the Model-3 and Model-1 i.e. models with shear wall at opposite sides in X-direction and models without shear wall and diagrid. The lateral displacement is minimum for lower stories and it increases with increase in no. of stories.




Figure 18: Maximum Lateral Displacement Considering a) Seismic Forces b) Wind Forces

V. CONCLUSION

From the above results and discussion it is concluded that,

- 1) The maximum axial force considering seismic forces observed in model-5 and minimum in model-6. The percentage reduction in axial force is from 26 % at ground storey to 5% at top storey.
- 2) There is 67% reduction in maximum twisting moment in model-6 at 5th storey due to provision of diagrid along complete periphery compared with model-5 and 85% reduction in twisting moment at 50th storey for model-6 due to provision of diagrid along complete periphery compared with model-5.
- 3) The lateral displacement observed in model-1, 2, and 3 is beyond permissible limit as 0.004 H as per IS1893 (Part I):2002. Lateral displacement is reduced by providing shear wall in opposite faces excluding chamfered portion i.e. Model-4 and it is within permissible limit for both seismic and wind analysis.
- 4) Building with diagrid along entire periphery i.e. Model-6 gives the optimum values of axial force, twisting moment, bending moment, base shear by considering the seismic forces as compared to other models.
- 5) Building with shear wall at opposite sides excluding chamfered portion i.e. Model-4 gives optimum values of axial force, bending moment, base shear and lateral displacement considering the wind forces compared to other models.
- 6) The models of buildings considering seismic forces gives more values of Forces, Moments and Lateral displacements than models considering wind forces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kirtan. T and N. Jayaramappa, "Comparative Study of Multi-Storey RC Frame with Shear Wall and Hexagrid System" Paripex- Indian Journal of Research, Volume: 06, Issue: 01, pp. 814-817, ISSN 2250-1991, January 2017.
- [2] Arpan banerji and Vijay Kumar Shrivastava, "Analysis of G+4 Multi-storeyed Building for Various Locations of Shear Wall Considering Effect of Torsion using Response Spectrum Method" International Journal of Computational Engineering Research (IJCER), Volume: 07, Issue: 01, pp. 21-27, ISSN (e): 2250 – 3005, January 2017.
- [3] Yongjae Lee, Jintak Oh, Hussam Hassan Abdu and Young K. Ju, "Finite Element Analysis of Optimized Brace Angle for the Diagrid Structural System" International Journal of Steel Structures, Volume: 16, Issue: 04, pp. 1355-1363, ISSN:1598-2351, December 2016.
- [4] Hrdaya Menon and Paul Jose, "Performance Assessment of High-Rise Building using Diagrid" International Journal for Innovative Research in Science & Technology (IJIRST), Volume: 3, Issue: 04, pp 74-77, September 2016.
- [5] Jayesh Venkolath and Rahul Krishnan K., "Optimal Diagrid Angle of High-Rise Buildings Subjected to Lateral Loads" International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 03 Issue: 09, pp. 841-846, e-ISSN: 2395 -0056 p-ISSN: 2395-0072, September 2016.
- [6] V. Abhinav, Dr. S. Sreenatha Reddy, M. Vasudeva Naidu and Prof. S. Madan Mohan, "Seismic Analysis of Multi Story RC Building with Shear Wall Using STAAD.Pro" International Journal of Innovative Technology and Research (IJITR), Volume: 4, Issue: 5, pp. 3776-3779, ISSN 2320 –5547, August 2016.
- [7] Nandeesh K. C. and Geetha K., "Comparative Study of Hyperbolic Circular Diagrid Steel Structure Rehabilitated at Core With Shear Wall And Steel Braced Frames" International Journal of research in Engineering and technology (IJRET), Volume: 05, Issue: 07, pp.317-323, e-ISSN: 2319-1163, p-ISSN: 2321-7308, July 2016.
- [8] Md. Samdani Azad and Syed Hazni Abd Gani, "Comparative Study of Seismic Analysis of Multi-story Buildings with Shear Walls and Bracing Systems" International Journal of Advanced Structures and Geotechnical Engineering (IJASGE), Volume: 05, Issue: 03, pp. 72-77, ISSN 2319-5347, July 2016.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)