



# IJRASET

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume:** 14    **Issue:** V    **Month of publication:** May 2026

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79492>

[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)

# Constitutional Morality in India and the UK: A Comparative Analysis

Khushal Jha

Rashtriya Raksha University, Gandhinagar

**Abstract:** *This paper presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of constitutional morality as it manifests in India and the United Kingdom's legal systems. Through examination of judicial decisions, legislative frameworks, and institutional practices, we explore how these two democracies, despite their contrasting constitutional structures, uphold similar principles of constitutional morality. The study demonstrates that while India relies on a written constitution with explicit provisions, and the UK on an unwritten constitution shaped by conventions and common law, both nations have developed robust mechanisms to protect democratic values, human rights, and the rule of law. The research highlights the evolving nature of constitutional morality in response to contemporary challenges, including social polarization, technological advancement, and changing political dynamics.*

**Keywords:** *Constitution, India & UK, Rule of Law.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Constitutional morality represents the bedrock of democratic governance, extending beyond mere adherence to constitutional text to encompass broader principles of justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity. This comparative study examines the evolution, implementation, and challenges of constitutional morality in two significant democracies: India and the United Kingdom. Despite their distinct colonial relationship and different paths to modern democracy, both nations have developed sophisticated approaches to constitutional morality that merit detailed analysis.

The significance of this comparative study lies in understanding how different constitutional frameworks can achieve similar democratic objectives through varying mechanisms. While India operates under one of the world's most comprehensive written constitutions, the UK's unwritten constitution relies on historical conventions, parliamentary acts, and judicial decisions. This contrast provides valuable insights into the versatility and universal applicability of constitutional morality principles.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY

### A. Historical Evolution

Constitutional morality's roots can be traced to ancient Greek philosophy, particularly in Aristotle's conception of the relationship between law and morality. In modern constitutional theory, it has evolved to encompass principles of democratic governance, human rights protection, and institutional integrity. The concept gained prominence in Indian constitutional discourse through Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's constituent assembly speeches, while in British constitutional theory, it emerged through the gradual development of common law principles and parliamentary conventions.

### B. Core Components

Constitutional morality comprises several interconnected elements:

- 1) Rule of Law: Ensuring equal application of laws and preventing arbitrary exercise of power.
- 2) Institutional Integrity: Maintaining separation of powers and institutional independence.
- 3) Democratic Values: Protecting fundamental rights and promoting inclusive governance.
- 4) Social Justice: Addressing historical inequalities and promoting substantive equality.

## III. CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY IN INDIA

### A. Constitutional Framework

India's constitution, adopted in 1950, explicitly incorporates principles of constitutional morality through various provisions:

- Fundamental Rights (Part III),
- Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV),

- Fundamental Duties (Part IVA),
- Basic Structure Doctrine.

### B. Judicial Evolution

The Indian Supreme Court has played a crucial role in developing constitutional morality through landmark judgments: Foundational Cases

#### 1. *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala*

- Established the Basic Structure Doctrine,
- Protected fundamental constitutional features from amendment,
- Recognized constitutional morality as an interpretive principle.

#### 2. *Minerva Mills Ltd. V. Union of India*

- Reinforced limitations on Parliament's amending power,
- Emphasized balance between fundamental rights and directive principles.

#### 3. *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India*

- Decriminalized homosexuality,
- Extended constitutional morality to protect individual autonomy,
- Emphasized transformative constitutionalism.

#### 4. *Indian Young Lawyers Association v. State of Kerala*,

- Applied constitutional morality to religious practices,
- Balanced religious freedom with gender equality.

## IV. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES TO CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY

### 1) *Social and Political Polarization*

The United Kingdom faces significant challenges in maintaining constitutional morality amidst growing social and political divisions. Brexit has served as a catalyst for deepening these divisions, creating unprecedented tensions between different segments of society. The referendum's aftermath has highlighted fundamental questions about direct democracy versus parliamentary sovereignty, challenging traditional constitutional arrangements. These divisions extend beyond Brexit to encompass broader issues of national identity, devolution, and the future of the Union itself.

The rise of nationalism in Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland has created additional strain on constitutional arrangements. The Good Friday Agreement, while successful in bringing peace to Northern Ireland, faces new challenges in the post-Brexit environment. These developments have forced a reconsideration of traditional constitutional principles regarding territorial integrity and devolved powers.

### 2) *Institutional Independence and Judicial Authority*

Recent controversies surrounding judicial independence have highlighted tensions between various branches of government. The Miller cases (*R (Miller) v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union* and *R (Miller) v. The Prime Minister*) demonstrated both the strength and vulnerability of judicial review in the UK system. These cases sparked debate about the proper role of courts in politically sensitive matters and led to calls for reform of judicial review powers.

The relationship between the judiciary and Parliament has become increasingly complex, particularly regarding the interpretation and application of the Human Rights Act 1998. Courts must balance their role as guardians of individual rights with respect for parliamentary sovereignty, creating occasional institutional tension that tests constitutional boundaries.

### 3) *Rights Protection in a Changing Landscape*

The protection of fundamental rights faces new challenges in the contemporary UK context. The potential replacement of the Human Rights Act with a British Bill of Rights raises questions about the future of rights protection. This debate encompasses concerns about:

- The relationship between domestic courts and the European Court of Human Rights,
- The balance between security measures and civil liberties,
- The protection of privacy rights in an increasingly digital world,
- The scope of freedom of expression in the context of social media and online communication.

## V. CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY IN THE UNITED KINGDOM: A DEEPER ANALYSIS

### A. *Historical Foundation and Evolution*

The UK's constitutional morality has evolved through centuries of legal and political development. Unlike codified constitutions, the UK's unwritten constitution relies on:

#### 1) *Constitutional Conventions*

India's constitution, adopted in 1950, explicitly incorporates principles of constitutional morality through various provisions:

- The role of the monarchy in modern democracy,
- The functioning of cabinet government,
- The relationship between ministers and civil servants.

#### 2) *Common Law Principles*

- The development of judicial review,
- The protection of individual rights,
- The concept of rule of law.

#### 3) *Parliamentary Sovereignty in Modern Context*

Parliamentary sovereignty, while remaining a cornerstone of UK constitutional law, has evolved significantly in the modern era. This evolution reflects:

1. The impact of EU membership and subsequent withdrawal,
2. The growth of judicial review powers,
3. The development of devolved administrations,
4. The influence of international human rights obligations.

### B. *The Role of Courts and Constitutional Interpretation:*

British courts have developed sophisticated approaches to constitutional interpretation, particularly in:

#### 1) *Rights Protection*

- Development of common law rights,
- Integration of European Convention rights,
- Balancing competing rights and interests.

#### 2) *Administrative Law*

- Evolution of judicial review principles,
- Development of proportionality doctrine,
- Protection against abuse of power.

### C. *Institutional Reform and Modernization*

The UK has undertaken significant constitutional reforms in recent decades:

#### 1) *Legislative Reforms*

- Constitutional Reform Act 2005,
- Fixed-term Parliaments Act 2011 (and its subsequent repeal),
- Succession to the Crown Act 2013.

#### 2) *Judicial System Reforms*

- Establishment of the Supreme Court,
- Reform of the role of Lord Chancellor,
- Changes to judicial appointments process.

### D. *Judicial Implementation:*

#### A. *R (Miller) v. Prime Minister*

- Reinforced parliamentary sovereignty,
- Limited executive power,
- Protected democratic principles.

#### B. *R (on the application of Privacy International) v. Investigatory Powers Tribunal*

- Enhanced judicial review scope,
- Protected rule of law principles.

## VI. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY IN INDIA AND UK

### A. *Constitutional Framework*

India operates under a written constitution that explicitly codifies fundamental rights, duties, and governmental structure. The UK, conversely, functions with an unwritten constitution based on parliamentary acts, judicial decisions, and constitutional conventions. Despite these structural differences, both systems effectively protect democratic values and individual rights through their respective frameworks.

### B. *Judicial Role*

Indian courts exercise explicit constitutional review powers, with the Supreme Court serving as the constitution's ultimate interpreter. The UK courts, while historically limited by parliamentary sovereignty, have evolved significant review powers, especially post-Human Rights Act 1998. Both jurisdictions' courts actively protect fundamental rights and enforce constitutional principles, though through different legal mechanisms.

### C. *Rights Protection*

India's constitution explicitly guarantees fundamental rights, with courts actively expanding their scope through interpretation. The UK protects rights through common law, statutory provisions, and international obligations (particularly the Human Rights Act). Both systems demonstrate strong commitment to individual rights protection, albeit through different legal sources.

### D. *Institutional Balance*

India maintains separation of powers through constitutional provisions and judicial decisions. The UK relies on constitutional conventions and political practice to maintain institutional balance. Both systems face challenges in preserving institutional independence, particularly regarding judicial appointments and executive oversight.

### E. *Reform Mechanisms*

India's constitution can be amended through prescribed procedures, subject to the basic structure doctrine. The UK's constitutional framework evolves through parliamentary legislation and judicial decisions. This difference reflects their distinct approaches to constitutional adaptation while maintaining core democratic values.

### F. *Implementation Challenges*

#### 1) *Political Pressures*

- Executive influence concerns,
- Populist challenges.

#### 2) *Social Changes*

- Technological impact,
- Demographic shifts,
- Cultural diversity management.

### G. *Reform Mechanisms*

#### 1) *India*

- Judicial appointment reforms,
- Rights implementation mechanisms,
- Federal relationship clarification.

#### 2) *UK*

- Written constitution proposals,
- Human rights framework development,
- Devolution arrangements.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Constitutional morality remains fundamental to democratic governance in both India and the UK, despite their different constitutional approaches. The study reveals that while implementation methods vary, both nations strive to protect similar democratic values and principles.

Future challenges will require continued evolution of constitutional morality frameworks, particularly in addressing technological advancement, environmental concerns, and changing social dynamics. The success of constitutional morality in both systems demonstrates its adaptability to different constitutional frameworks. However, maintaining these principles requires constant vigilance, institutional independence, and public commitment to democratic values. As both nations face emerging challenges, their experiences offer valuable lessons for other democracies in protecting and promoting constitutional morality.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Vernon Bogdanor, *Beyond Brexit: Towards a British Constitution* 15-40 (2019).
- [2] Stephen Tierney, *Constitutional Law and National Pluralism* 87-112 (2nd ed. 2018).
- [3] *R (Miller) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union* [2017] UKSC 5.
- [4] *R (Miller) v The Prime Minister* [2019] UKSC 41.
- [5] Paul Craig, *Administrative Law* 18-45 (9th ed. 2021).
- [6] Conor Gearty, *On Fantasy Island: Britain, Europe, and Human Rights* 55-80 (2016).
- [7] Jeffrey Jowell, *The Rule of Law and its Underlying Values in THE CHANGING CONSTITUTION* 13-37 (Jeffrey Jowell & Dawn Oliver eds., 9th ed. 2019).
- [8] Mark Elliott, *Parliamentary Sovereignty in a Changing Constitutional Landscape* 25 *EUR. PUB. L.* 419 (2019).
- [9] Thomas Poole, *Judicial Review at the Margins: Law, Power, and Prerogative* 60 *U. TORONTO L.J.* 81 (2010).
- [10] Philip Sales, *Rights and Fundamental Rights in English Law* 75 *CAMBRIDGE L.J.* 86 (2016).
- [11] *R (Miller) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union* [2017] UKSC 5.
- [12] *R (Miller) v The Prime Minister* [2019] UKSC 41.
- [13] Paul Craig, *Administrative Law* 18-45 (9th ed. 2021).
- [14] Conor Gearty, *On Fantasy Island: Britain, Europe, and Human Rights* 55-80 (2016).
- [15] Jeffrey Jowell, *The Rule of Law and its Underlying Values in THE CHANGING CONSTITUTION* 13-37 (Jeffrey Jowell & Dawn Oliver eds., 9th ed. 2019).
- [16] Mark Elliott, *Parliamentary Sovereignty in a Changing Constitutional Landscape* 25 *EUR. PUB. L.* 419 (2019).
- [17] Aristotle, *Politics Book III* (Benjamin Jowett trans., Dover Publications 2000).
- [18] B.R. Ambedkar, *Constitutional Morality*, in
- [19] Ronald Dworkin, *Freedom's Law: The Moral Reading of the American Constitution* 1-38 (1996).
- [20] M.P. Singh, V.N. Shukla's *Constitution of India* 1-25 (13th ed. 2017).
- [21] Robert Hazell, *Constitutional Futures Revisited: Britain's Constitution to 2025* 25-50 (2018).
- [22] A.V. Dicey, *Introduction to the Study of the Law of the Constitution* 39 (8th ed. 1915).
- [23] Granville Austin, *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation* 25-49 (1966).
- [24] Vernon Bogdanor, *The New British Constitution* 45-70 (2009).
- [25] M.P. Singh, V.N. Shukla's *Constitution of India* 1-25 (13th ed. 2017).
- [26] Jeffrey Jowell & Dawn Oliver, *The Changing Constitution* 1-20 (9th ed. 2019).
- [27] David Feldman, *Civil Liberties and Human Rights in England and Wales* 70-95 (2nd ed. 2002).
- [28] Paul Craig, *Brexit, A Drama: The Interplay between Constitutional and International Law*, 41 *EUR. L. REV.* 725 (2016).



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)