ijraset

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

www.ijraset.com

Call: ⓒ 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Contextual Reorientation in B.Ed. (Special Education): An Analytical Review of Emerging Educational Needs

Rupesh Mahato[1], Dr. Laxmiram Gope[2]

[1]Research Scholar, [2]Assitant Professor, Department of Education, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, Purulia

Abstract: Inclusive education is now a central focus of educational reform, requiring teachers to respondeffectively to children with special needs. In this context, the B.Ed. (Special Education) plays an important role in preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms. This analytical review emphasizes the imperative of reorienting the program to be more consistent with the realities of education. Drawing on the national and international literature, the review examines the theory of inclusive education, curriculum design, challenges in teacher preparation, and implementation. The analysis shows that traditional and theory-based training areinsufficient to prepare teachers for inclusive practice.Many programs, such as inclusive pedagogy, reflective practice, and meaningful field experiences, help teachers develop confidence, adaptability, and practical teaching skills. However, many challenges, such as rigid curricula, limited practicum opportunities, and inadequate institutional maintenance, slow down the effective implementation of the program. The review concludes that a flexible, context-responsive B.Ed. in special education curriculum, aligned with local needs and global principles of inclusive education, is necessary to reinforce teacher preparedness and perpetuate the inclusion of education in modern classrooms.
Keywords: Special Education, Inclusive Education, Teacher Education, Curriculum Reform, Contextual Reorientation

I. INTRODUCTION

The theme of inclusive education has emerged as a central focus of educational reform, which is largely preoccupied with preparing teachers to effectively address the needs of all students without discrimination based on disability status or special educational needs (SEN). International reports such as the Salamanca Statement and the Sustainable Development Goals place inclusion as an inalienable right to education, which means that translating the policy promise into the real classroom should be made a reality (Singh et al., 2019). In this connection, the teacher education programmes ought to be pragmatic and practice-based.

The B.Ed. in Special Education program is significant because it prepares teachers for inclusive classrooms by synthesising the role of competence in pedagogy and understanding the settings. However, every research study suggests that there is always a discrepancy between traditional and practical training in inclusive education (Sepadi, 2025; Webster et al., 2025). Research indicates that the traditional lecture-based teaching method tends to impede the development of the adaptive skills and confidence needed in multicultural classrooms.

According to recent literature, a combination of theory and systematic practicum experience, with reflective practice as the basis of teacher instruction models, is essential, as the strategies will boost the aforementioned self-efficacy and readiness of pre-service teachers for inclusion (Muhammad et al., 2025). Nevertheless, the variability of the programmes in terms of programming, duration of field exposure, and quality contributes to the outcome of preparation. The narrative reviews also indicate that the sustainability of inclusive teacher training is closely related to the contextual appropriateness of curriculum presentation, which justifies the deficiency of theory-based methods that do not include aspects of learning through experience (Khamzina et al., 2024).

Contextual reorientation is provided in teacher education programs, which includes the adjustment of curriculum, pedagogy, and field experiences to meet the demands of sociocultural realities and learner diversity. This is of particular concern to special education, where teachers are supposed to develop technical skills, in addition to culturally based skills. The inclusion has powerful policy advocacy, but structural barriers (the absence of specialised training and institutional support) continue to obstruct successful implementation (Tiwari et al., 2015).

It is on this basis that the ongoing review examines the reorientation process, which is underway towards the B.Ed. in Special Education programme, to accommodate emerging needs in education.

The review of the research synthesis on the inclusion of teacher preparation and adaptation in the curriculum identifies a number of challenges and opportunities to improve the preparation of special educators in diverse and inclusive education contexts.

II. THEORETICAL GROUND OF CONTEXTUAL REORIENTATION

Contextual reorientation in teacher education means reorganising curriculum, practices in teaching and professional preparation in ways that enable future teachers to handle well the actual social, cultural, and pedagogical context of diverse learners; in particular, learners with special educational needs (SEN). Such reorientation is grounded in a number of interrelated theoretical standpoints that emphasize inclusion, reflective practice, and context-sensitive instruction.

A. Inclusion Education as a Pedagogical Philosophy

The cornerstone of this method is inclusive education, but it does not see diversity as an exception, but as a normal characteristic of every classroom. Contemporary theory describes inclusion as a system-based pedagogical philosophy focused on equity, participation, and accessibility for every learner (Fidarova et al., 2025). In this light, the teaching methods should be developed to accommodate diversity initially, rather than modified subsequently. The frameworks, including Universal Design Learning (UDL), facilitate this notion by advocating flexible means of content presentation, learner interaction and measurement of learning achievements (Rose & Meyer, 1998).

B. Teacher thoughts and beliefs regarding Inclusion

Studies have indicated that teachers' attitudes, confidence, and beliefs are key determinants of the effectiveness with which they put inclusive practices into practice (Avramidis et al., 2002). Notably, such convictions are not predetermined, as they may be influenced by intentional training, introspective action, and the directed experience of non-discriminatory environments (Desombre et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2015). This points to the importance of teacher education programmes in actively developing inclusive attitudes, rather than relying on natural development under the assumption that they will develop accordingly.

C. Theories of Transformative and Constructivist Learning

The transformative learning theory also provides the means by which the big change takes place in teachers' professional thinking. Critical reflection on difficult experiences, including work with diverse learners or a challenge to the conventional perception of ability, often leads to meaningful changes in beliefs and practices (**Taylor & Cranton, 2012**). This implies that teacher preparation programs must aim to redefine professional identity and values, as well as skills development.

D. Sociological and Situational Consciousness

The teaching-learning process strongly depends on the context. culture, and lived experience in sociocultural perspectives. The preparation of good teachers, especially in special education, should then be based on real classroom and social conditions, not on abstract theory in isolation (Du Plessis, 2023). Studies have consistently indicated that programmes integrating formal learning with real field experiences and reflective practice promote greater preparedness for inclusive teaching (Ahmed et al., 2024).

III. CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT AND NON-DISCRIMINATORY PEDAGOGY

The importance of curriculum design in the B.Ed. in special education programme is that it will facilitate an inclusive education by addressing the needs of all students with diverse learning requirements. An inclusive curriculum, as opposed to a mere focus on access,underscores equity, dignity, and meaningful participation achieved through versatile, learner-centred pedagogical practices (Negi, 2025; Diya Foundation, 2026).

A. Frameworks and Principles

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is a widely accepted framework guiding inclusive curriculum design that advocates multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression to supportindividual needs and preferences (UDL, 2026).UDL facilitates inclusive academic engagement for students with special educational needs by proactively reducing barriers to learning. In line with this framework, inclusive pedagogy considers learner diversity a natural phenomenon in classrooms. Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011) posit that it is time for teachers to recognize differences and use adaptive instruction methods, and that it is time to move beyond individual accommodations to more paradigm-shifting teaching methods.

B. Curricular Flexibility and Differentiation

Another necessary characteristic of inclusive education is curricular flexibility. Flexible planning enables the teacher to design the content, instruction and evaluation approaches based on the interests, teaching and learning styles and developmental stages of the students (Jurado-de-los-Santos et al., 2021). The flexibility would ensure that learners with learning needs have active engagement, not inclusion. Variations in teaching strategies and assessment methods (differentiated instruction) can effectively add greater responsiveness to curriculum development, as students can demonstrate their learning strategies and be approached according to their strengths (Edurev Inclusive Education overview, 2026).

C. Pedagogical Support Structures and Integration Pedagogies

The practice of inclusive pedagogy is anchored in collaborative models of teaching and conducive learning environments. Co-teaching, peer-assisted learning, and cooperative group work stimulate shared responsibility and encourage students of diverse learning styles to interact positively in the classroom (**Edurev Inclusive Education overview, 2026**). Furthermore, sustained support systems, such as assistive technology, psychosocial support, and teacher competencies during inclusive teaching, are essential for implementing the curriculum.

D. Rights within ContextualViews

Lastly, an inclusive curriculum design is an attempt to view the curriculum in terms of rights, thereby viewing education as a human right. It is an approach that pays more attention to academic achievement, life skills, social-emotional growth, and relationships with the real world, especially for learners with intellectual and developmental disabilities (**Diya Foundation, 2026**). In general, inclusive pedagogy, along with considerate curriculum design, will equip future educators with the knowledge and ethical dedication for future tasks, the ability to execute them professionally and ethically, and a solid focus on equity and social justice.

IV. PREPARATION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS

Special educators and the intricate nature of inclusive classrooms require a clear purpose in teacher preparation and professional development (PD) to enable them to respond to increasing complexity. Teacher development can be seen as a continuous process thatbegins during the pre-service training of the pre-service teacher and continues through long-term in-service learning throughout the teacher's career.

A. Pre-Service Preparation

The B.Ed. (Special Education) candidates have their professional growth established on pre-service education. Studies consistently demonstrate that well-designed coursework, inclusive pedagogy training, and meaningful field experience enhance teachers' preparedness to teach diverse learners. Training that includes education provides pre-service teachers with greater confidence, self-efficacy and positive attitudes towards students with special educational needs, which are critical in future classroom practice (Karal et al., 2006; Cambridge Core, 2025).

Field placements and supervised practicums are important because they help connect theoretical aspects to actual classroom situations. These practices assist the teacher candidates to acquire adaptive teaching, classroom management and professional judgment skills needed in the inclusive environment. Also, reflexive practices supported by guided observations, peer conversations, and reflective notes also enhance professional knowledge and affirmative inclusiveness (Savolainen et al., 2022; Yada et al., 2022; Ahmed et al., 2024). Nonetheless, draped or shallow practicum activities may result in the candidate being unprepared, especially when simulations are conducted in place of actual classroom activities (Malak, 2025).

B. In-Service Professional Development

Professional development occurs through in-service learning conducted at the workplace, as part of continuous education.In-Service Professional development is a type of professional development that takes place through in-service learning at the workplace as a continuous education.Continuous professional growth is also critical to maintaining and enhancing teachers' inclusive competencies. High-quality Professional development enhances effective teaching practice, promotes problem-solving skills, and facilitates flexibility in diverse classrooms (Kumar, 2023). Studies show that PD programmes that promote cooperation, engagement in learning, and work-based practices lead to significant changes in teachers' knowledge, abilities, and attitudes towards inclusion (Vlachou et al., 2023).

Despite such positive effects, the PhD programs can be seen as overly disconnected and inadequately contextualised. According to many teachers, there is little opportunity for general educators and special educators to engage in collaborative learning, and the alignment of the content covered in PD with classroom needs is weak, especially in assistive technology and differentiated instruction areas (Pellegrino et al., 2021; Kumar, 2023).

C. Comprehensive Professional Development:

Teacher development is best conducted using a holistic approach that addresses knowledge, attitudes, and practical skills simultaneously. The views on transformative learning also highlight the significance of combining reflective practice with real-world teaching experiences to facilitate the long-term development of the profession and the formation of an inclusive teacher identity (Mezirow, 1997; Ahmed et al., 2024). Professional learning communities, mentoring, coaching, and blended learning models have been found to yield good results when they incorporate consistent feedback and contextual relevance.

D. Special EducationProgramme Implications

The B.Ed. in Special Education programme needs to be strengthened with contextual reorientation, which can be achieved by implementing inclusive pedagogy throughout the coursework, increasing authentic practicum experiences, creating sustainable and responsive PD pathways, and fostering professional learning communities. Based on these evidence-based practices, grounding teacher preparation and development in these activities may offer a better way to prepare educators to address the changing and varied needs of learners in inclusive education environments.

V.   OBSTACLES TO CONTEXTUAL REORIENTATION

Even though contextual reorientation has been advocated widely in teacher education, especially in the B.Ed. (Special Education) Programmes face considerable challenges that hinder their effective implementation. These issues cut across structural, pedagogical, institutional, and socio-cultural levels and indicate the long-standing obstacles to streamlining teacher training and aligning with new learning goals.

A. Poor preparation and professional readiness of educators

Special education is also not mandatory in most institutions; it is optional, which leads to limited exposure to inclusive pedagogy and practice. As a result, pre-service teachers lack the self-confidence and skills to support learners with diverse needs in regular classes.

B. Incompetence of learning infrastructures and facilities

The lack of access to assistive technologies, adaptive learning resources, and accessible classroom settings (especially in resource-constrained areas) limits practical training and impairs the relationship between theory and practice (UNESCO, 2020; 21K School, 2025).

C. Dilemma of curriculum rigidities

Rigid and standardized curricula do not allow much flexibility in dealing with cultural, linguistic, and learning diversity. Contextualisation, therefore, is usually superficial instead of creating truly adaptive and inclusive teaching practices (UNESCO, 2020; Das et al., 2013).

D. attitudinal and Socio-Cultural barriers

The subject of negative perceptions and stereotypes concerning disability still impacts the opposition to inclusive education. These perceptions become an obstacle to the formation of inclusive teacher identities and hamper the internalisation of inclusive values (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002).

E. Irregular Institutional support and Continuous Professional development

Teachers also need opportunities to learn continuously and receive guidance through mentoring to adapt to new classroom conditions, but mentoring has been used disproportionately. Devices, applications, and software provide services to users based on embedded knowledge, assisted by digital intelligence.The services provided to users by devices, apps, and software are embodied in embedded knowledge through digital intelligence.

F. Size of classes and time anomalies

It adds challenges to teachers to adopt personalised and adaptive approaches that are necessary in inclusive education.

In general, the implementation change towards contextual reorientation of B.Ed.(Special education) The programme is limited by several systemic barriers. Curricular flexibility, better infrastructure, long-term professional growth, and positive attitudinal change are important in addressing these challenges to achieve meaningful and responsive teacher preparation.

VI. CONCLUSION

This analytical discussion shows that there is a necessary contextual shift in the B.Ed. (Special Education) programme in accordance with the realities of inclusive education in recent times. It demonstrates that traditional teacher preparation, based predominantly on theory, is no longer effective in preparing teachers to teach effectively in a diverse classroom (Webster et al., 2025). Rather, inclusive pedagogy, reflective practice, and real-life learning experiences can help teacher candidates design culturally responsive and adaptable pedagogical strategies that can apply to different learner needs (Jurado-de-los-Santos et al., 2021). The studies also prove that the combination of structured field experiences and intense training to become a pre-service teacher positively influences confidence levels and willingness to engage in inclusive practice in pre-service educators (Forlin et al., 2023).

Meanwhile, the review assumes enduring difficulties, such as unequal quality of the curriculum, limited exposure to inclusive environments, and inadequate institutional support. The solutions to these problems are to take concerted policy measures, provide continuous professional training, and implement curriculum changes based on local conditions and global inclusion policies. In general, a comprehensive and context-relevant B.Ed. in Special Education program has great potential to produce educators who can effectively address the diverse and changing requirements of learners in inclusive classrooms through further research and evidence-based policy efforts.

REFERENCES

[1] Acharja, D. C., & Begum, S. (2025).Challenges and practices of implementing inclusive education: A review of teachers' classroom preparation and parental support. South Asian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 6(6), 51–71. https://doi.org/10.48165/sajssh.2025.6604

[2] Ahmed, S., Al-Hussain, S. M., & Al-Mansour, N. S. (2024). Transformative professional development for inclusive education: The role of training, experience, and reflective practice in shaping teachers' readiness. Education Sciences, 14(11), Article 1476. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111476

[3] Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: A review of the literature. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 17(2), 129–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856250210129056

[4] Cambridge Core. (2025).Effect of teacher education initiatives in shaping preservice teachers' perceptions of and self-efficacy in implementing inclusive education. Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education.

[5] Das, A. K., Kuyini, A. B., & Desai, I. (2013). Inclusive education in India: Are the teachers prepared? International Journal of Special Education, 28(1), 1–11. https://www.internationaljournalofspecialeducation.com

[6] Desombre, C., Lamotte, M., & Jury, M. (2019). French teachers' general attitude toward inclusive education: The indirect effect of teacher efficacy. Educational Psychology, 39(1), 38–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2018.1472219

[7] Dignath, C., Rimm-Kaufman, S., van Ewijk, R. et al. (2022). Teachers' Beliefs About Inclusive Education and Insights on What Contributes to Those Beliefs: a Meta-analytical Study. Educational Psychology Review, 34, 2609–2660. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-022-09695-0

[8] Diya Foundation. (2026).Inclusive education & curriculum design: A rights-based approach for individuals with intellectual disabilities. https://www.diyafoundation-india.org/inclusive-education-curriculum-design-a-rights-based-approach-for-individuals-with-intellectual-disabilities/

[9] Du Plessis, E. C. (2023). Context-conscious understanding development in teacher education: Bridging professional knowledge and practice. Reconceptualising teacher education for social justice and inclusion. 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23456-2

[10] Edurev. (2026). Overview of inclusive education. https://edurev.in/t/352556/Overview-Inclusive-Education

[11] Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. British Educational Research Journal, 37(5), 813–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096

[12] Forlin, C., Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2023). The impact of teacher education initiatives on preservice teachers' perceptions of and self-efficacy for inclusive education. Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education, 47(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1017/jsi.2023.6

[13] Jurado-de-los-Santos, P., Colmenero-Ruiz, M. J., Valle-Flórez, R. E., Castellary-López, M., & Figueredo-Canosa, V. (2021). The teacher's perspective on inclusion in education: An analysis of curriculum design. Sustainability, 13(9), 4766.

[14] Karal, M. A., Unluol-Unal, N., & Tan, S. (2006).The impact of a special education course on pre-service teachers' knowledge and perspectives about inclusion and inclusive practices. Journal of the American Academy of Special Education Professionals.

[15] Khamzina, K., Stanczak, A., Brasselet, C., et al. (2024). Designing effective pre-service teacher training in inclusive education: A narrative review of the effects of duration and content delivery mode on teachers' attitudes toward inclusive education. Educational Psychology Review, 36, Article 13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09851-8

[16] Kumar, K. S. (2023). Teacher training and professional development in special education: Assessing the impact of professional development programs on teacher effectiveness and student outcomes. Global International Research Thoughts, 11(1), 47–52.

[17] Lee, F. L. M., Yeung, A. S., Tracey, D., & Barker, K. (2015). Inclusion of students with special educational needs in Hong Kong: Teachers' attitudes and their relationship with teacher efficacy. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 19(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2014.885595

[18] Malak, M. S. (2025). Pre-service special education teachers' preparation for inclusive education in Bangladesh: An Action Research. Journal of Education and Practice.

[19] Mezirow, J. (1997). Transformative learning: Theory to practice. New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education, 1997(74), 5–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.7401

[20] Muhammad, A. E., & Liu, Y. (2025). Effect of Teacher Education Initiatives in Shaping Preservice Teachers' Perceptions of and Self-Efficacy in Implementing Inclusive Education. Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education, 1–17. doi:10.1017/jsi.2025.10006

[21] Negi, T. (2025). Inclusive curriculum development: Fostering learning for all abilities. Global International Research Thoughts, 13(1), 1–5.

[22] Neha, J. (2020). Teacher education program for enhancing inclusive education in India: An overview. Research Journal of Recent Sciences.9 (1), 42-45.

[23] Pellegrino, A. M., & Hannaway, D. (2021). The nature, content, and structure of special education teachers' professional learning opportunities. Teaching and Teacher Education, 100, 103259.

[24] Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (1998). Learning to read in the computer age. Cambridge, MA: Brookline Books.

[25] Savolainen, H., Engelbrecht, P., Nel, M., & Malinen, O.-P. (2022). Understanding teachers' attitudes and self-efficacy in inclusive education: Implications for pre-service and in-service teacher education. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 37(4), 597–611. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2021.1928615

[26] Sepadi, M. D. (2025). Teachers' Understanding of Implementing Inclusion in Mainstream Classrooms in Rural Areas. Education Sciences, 15(7), 889. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15070889

[27] Taylor, E. W., & Cranton, P. (2012).The handbook of transformative learning: Theory, research, and practice. Jossey-Bass.

[28] Tiwari, A., Das, A., Sharma, M. (2015). Inclusive education: a "rhetoric" or "reality"? Teachers' perspectives and beliefs. Teaching and Teacher Education. 52, 128-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2015.09.002

[29] UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education—All means all. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718

[30] Universal Design for Learning. (2026). In Universal Design for Learning (overview). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal_Design_for_Learning

[31] Van der Lans, R. M., van de Grift, W. J. C. M., & van Veen, K. (2018). Improving teacher performance in classrooms: The role of instructional coaching. Educational Technology Research and Development, 66(4), 873–894. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9583-1

[32] Vlachou, A., Kyriakides, L., & Creemers, B. P. M. (2023). Does professional development effectively support the implementation of inclusive education? Educational Psychology Review, 35, Article 30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09752-2

[33] Webster, S. J., Korkie, Y., Beyers, C., & Swanepoel, E. (2025). Teaching inclusive education: A contextual higher education analysis. Frontiers in Education, 10, Article 1445612. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1445612

[34] Yada, A., Tolvanen, A., & Savolainen, H. (2022). Teachers' attitudes and self-efficacy beliefs regarding inclusive education: The role of teacher training and practical experience. Teaching and Teacher Education, 109, 103548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103548

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 ⓦ (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)