



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 11    Issue: XII    Month of publication: December 2023**

**DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.57636>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call:  08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Decisions Making Based on Numerous Factors Improves Financial Management

Nutan Dehar

Assistant Professor, Amarnath Girls Degree College Mathura, Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra

**Abstract:** *Contemplating an organization's financial choices from an optimization standpoint is a common practice. When contemplating a lengthy duration, one must make decisions on the optimal financial framework and the optimal allocation of resources. The immediate priorities include mitigating the impact on cash flow, inventory levels, outstanding debts, accounts receivable, and existing obligations. Financial theory generally examines these possibilities from the perspective of finding the best answer. Researchers have proposed operations research approaches as prospective answers due to the optimality and problem-solving nature of these judgments. These methods have been introduced as effective solutions. This article explores the potential applications of multicriteria analysis in resolving practical issues related to financial decision-making.*

**Keywords:** *Finance, Organization, Investments, Data, Applications, MCDA, Monetary, Profit*

## I. INTRODUCTION

When doing a financial study of an organization, such as a bank, insurance company, or corporation, the optimization principle is often considered. Throughout a substantial duration, a firm will encounter two separate categories of decisions: those pertaining to the most advantageous allocation of resources and those related to the most advantageous financial framework. Efficient management of short-term working capital requires making crucial choices on the best use of cash, commodities, accounts receivable, and short-term borrowing. These judgments are evaluated based on optimization in financial theory, which considers both the current and future aspects.<sup>1</sup> Some examples of these theories are portfolio theory, options theory, and the theory of capital cost. Due to this viewpoint, some scholars have suggested using operations research approaches to address problems related to financial decision-making. Constructing an optimization problem with explicitly specified restrictions, such as a problem aimed at maximizing or minimizing a certain objective, is a prevalent approach used in operations research to simulate decision-making difficulties. Exercise discernment and choose the optimal course of action, since it is the one factor of true significance.<sup>2</sup>

Nevertheless, newer studies have adopted a more thorough and practical approach to examining these financial challenges, surpassing the constraints of the optimization paradigm in the process. When discussing issues related to capital budgeting, it is crucial to consider the following:

- 1) When evaluating investment proposals, do decision-makers have a single overarching objective, or do they evaluate several additional factors?
- 2) To what extent do decision makers adhere to their aims, and in what order of priority are these goals held?

In a distinct but comparable study, researchers reached the conclusion that the primary aim of microeconomic theory aligns with that of any other theory: to aid firms in optimizing their profits while concurrently increasing the satisfaction that individual consumers get. Experts have three primary criticisms regarding the utilization of the single objective function principle by companies:

- a) Alternative approaches, such as enhancing stockholder wealth, rely on more straightforward assumptions and offer a more comprehensive explanation of real-world scenarios.
- b) Monetary capitalization, or some else comparably simplistic theory, is inadequate in explaining the intricate decision-making process.
- c) Actual companies do not employ the only aim perspective way.

Moreover, there has been a plethora of novel concepts about businesses, each aiming to achieve distinct objectives from the traditional microeconomic theory. Several of these hypotheses have emerged in the last several years.<sup>3</sup>

Based on these findings, we may identify three main justifications for the need to modify the approach employed to simulate financial difficulties.

- When financial decision-makers, such as analysts, portfolio managers, and investors, frame the problem as seeking the best possible outcome, they often encounter a narrow dilemma that is unrelated to the underlying choice problem
- Financial choices are made by individuals, particularly financial managers, rather than models. The involvement of decision makers in the decision-making process is on the rise, necessitating the consideration of decision makers' competencies, past experiences, and individual preferences.
- Achieving optimality in financial decision-making areas such as portfolio selection, investment project selection, and firm failure risk assessment may seem unrealistic or impractical. This is due to the multitude of aspects that must be carefully considered.

The primary aim of this research is to examine and analyze the role of multicriteria analysis in addressing and resolving financial decision-making issues. The process of performing a multicriteria analysis is outlined in Section 2. The third segment focuses on examining the multifaceted nature of various financial circumstances. In Section 4, we provide a comprehensive analysis of the benefits of using multicriteria analysis in financial management. Additionally, we propose prospective avenues for further study on the use of this technique in banking and other commercial settings.<sup>4</sup>

## II. THE MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

The phrase "multicriteria decision aid" (MCDA) encompasses a range of techniques that integrate many evaluation criteria to streamline the process of selecting, prioritizing, organizing, or characterizing a set of choices. The European School uses the term multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) to describe this procedure, whereas the American School refers to it as multicriteria analysis (MCDM).<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, it examines the manner in which decision support systems impact a specific decision maker, whether it is a person, a group, or an organization. The book, published twenty-seven years ago, used the phrase "multicriteria decision help" to delineate the process of creating this kind of decision assistance. To provide decision-makers with tools that aid them in handling complex choice situations, such as picking investment initiatives for a company, which involve considering several conflicting aspects.

### A. Methods

Regarding MCDA, professionals possess the ability to differentiate among a wide range of diverse methodologies. Undoubtedly, the distinctions between these categories are not as apparent as they need to be. Experts also proposed a variety of methods, outlined as follows. We support the following strategies:

- 1) A technique that disregards incomparability and relies on different criteria for synthesis
- 2) An outranking synthesis methodology that allows for incomparability.
- 3) An iterative trial-and-error interactive local judgment strategy.

In this research, we use the categorization approach proposed by these experts. The four specified categories are the priority disaggregation method, the outranking relations approach, the multiattribute utility theory, and the multiobjective mathematical programming.

Multiobjective mathematical programming is characterized by the use of a vector of real variables ( $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$ ) to represent an action or alternative, setting it apart from other programming languages. The set D of feasible solutions is sometimes determined by a combination of differentiable and continuous obstacles. The user's text is incomplete and does not provide any information. Multiobjective linear programming utilizes linear constraints to determine the set D. Some examples of linear constraints are:

$$D = (x \in R^l / A \cdot x \leq b, x \geq 0)$$

The matrix  $m \times l$  is denoted by the symbol A, while the vector-matrix  $m \times l$  is denoted by the symbol b in this scenario.<sup>6</sup>

In order to choose the vector x, the numerical requirements are functions of x that exhibit continuity and differentiability  $C^1, C^2, \dots, C^m$ . The nature of these functions might vary between being linear or non-linear, depending upon the specific conditions.

This technique is characterized by three unique approaches, which are as outlined below:

- a) An effective method for addressing problems
- b) Goal programming.
- c) Compromise programming

The multiattribute utility theory (MAUT) is an expanded version of the classical utility theory that incorporates a wide range of distinct attributes. An endeavor is undertaken to define the preferences of the decision maker by using a utility function to combine several assessment factors, which are as follows:

$$u(\underline{g}) = u(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$$

where  $\underline{g}$  is the vector of the evaluation criteria  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ .

Put simply, the task is to choose the action that maximizes the utility function  $u^*$  of the decision maker:<sup>7</sup>

$$u[g(a^*)] = \max_{\alpha} \{u[g(\alpha)]\}$$

where  $\underline{g}(\alpha)$  is the vector of the performances of an alternative  $\alpha$  on the set of evaluation criteria  $\underline{g}$ .

Some criteria or qualities may be considered absolute truths, whereas others may have a probabilistic nature, characterized by a corresponding probability distribution for each particular case. If the criteria vary, it is often feasible to deconstruct a utility function

that considers several criteria into its constituent functions, represented by the letters  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$

Consequently, a substantial quantity of diverse utility function models is generated. The utility function's additive form

$$u(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = u_1(g_1) + u_2(g_2) + \dots + u_n(g_n)$$

has garnered the greatest theoretical interest. The variables  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  reflect the marginal utilities given on the scales of criterion in this version. To assess the level of autonomy in utility among the criteria, namely the substitution rate, it is necessary for us to analyze this factor.

By using the ELECTRE (Elimination Et Choix Traduisant la REalitie) approach, Europe managed to maintain a significant advantage in terms of surpassing and surpassing relations. By using the outranking relation, we may deduce that action  $a$ , belonging to set  $A$ , is superior than action  $b$ , also belonging to set  $A$ , inside a discrete context. This condition applies when there are many justifications to claim that action  $A$  is at least equal in quality to action  $B$ , and when there are no compelling counterarguments. There is a need to establish many ideas, including thresholds for preference and indifference, discordance and concordance, and ELECTRE procedures for combining criteria. If there is an acceptable discrepancy in the remaining criteria and a significant majority of the criteria are better classified under category  $a$  rather than category  $b$  (agreement), then in reality,  $a$  is preferable than  $b$  in terms of having a higher ranking. However, this approach may reveal cases of incomparability when the multicriteria assessment of two activities is significantly different from one other.

MCDA utilizes the notion of choice disaggregation in several ways, including the representation of the preferences of either an individual decision maker or a collective of decision makers. This technique incorporates the use of regression algorithms. The social judgment theory laid the groundwork for using regression techniques in MCDA. By breaking down preferences into smaller components, multiple regression may reveal, distinguish, or "capture" the decision-making policy of a person assessing judgments. This particular instance has a striking resemblance to many other options, suggesting a prevalent yearning. The distinguishing characteristics between MAUT and multiple regression lie in the techniques used to calculate the weights ( $p_i$ ) and the variables of marginal utility  $u_i(g_i)$  and  $P_i$ . MAUT and multiple regression are quite similar to each other. Consider the additive utility function as an illustration:

$$u(\underline{g}) = \sum_i p_i u_i(g_i)$$

Multiple regression, in contrast to MAUT, utilizes disaggregation methods to indirectly gather the decision maker's marginal utilities ( $u_i(g_i)$ ) and weights ( $p_i$ ) via questioning. This is in opposition to MAUT, which entails actively<sup>8</sup> questioning the decision maker. The linearity of the models obtained by multiple linear regression poses a major barrier in establishing a comparison between the two approaches.

### B. A decision-making Tool

Specialists use multicriteria analysis to provide a systematic approach to participating in decision-making processes. To be more precise, this structure consists of four distinct layers.

The purpose of the Level I Decision is to accomplish the desired outcome via the involvement or the suggestion.

Level II involves doing a more in-depth analysis of the findings and developing specific criteria.

Operational performance and thorough preference modeling are considered at Level III.

To successfully finish Level IV, it is essential to do thorough study on the topic and articulate a well-founded recommendation.<sup>9</sup>

It is important to note that the order in which these four actions are outlined does not necessarily need to be sequential. Feedback may be received via communication between the analyst and the decision maker, therefore the process of decision assistance does not have to follow a linear evolution. The vast majority of multicriteria techniques that have been implemented may be attributed to this comprehensive framework.

### C. *The Multi-criteria Nature of Some Monetary Issues*

Strategies for handling working capital involve various methods such as:

- 1) Controlling inventory to manage stock, utilizing visual and interactive simulation tools along with dynamic programming, linear programming, and stochastic programming for cash management.
- 2) Employing the Markov process and discriminant analysis for accounts receivable management
- 3) Utilizing dynamic programming, linear programming, and stochastic programming for managing short-term debt.

These approaches are used to effectively handle working capital.<sup>10</sup>

If you encounter this situation, addressing your money problems will be easy. At its core, it is based on a problem that is clearly expressed in a way that is strongly connected to the current time and assessed according to a certain standard (in this case, the monocriteria paradigm). However, it is crucial to remember that the modeling of financial issues utilizes a unique logic. They should bear in mind the following concerns while responding to such a circumstance:

- a) The participation of individuals responsible for financial decision-making in the review process
- b) The occurrence of a situation where the criteria are contradictory
- c) The presence of an evaluation system that is complex, subjective, and poorly organized; and the existence of a significant number of criteria.

The MCDA has made substantial advancements in tackling a diverse range of financial matters, encompassing credit assessments, venture capital funding, bond evaluations, country and political risk assessments, evaluations of organizational performance and sustainability, investment choices, financial planning, and portfolio management. In the following discussion, we will analyze two matters that emerge throughout the process of making financial choices: portfolio management and investment selection. This will demonstrate the many intricacies that are linked to financial concerns. The use of optimization algorithms in these two fundamental domains of financial management offers a vast array of potential applications. However, as we shall see in the following discussion, these strategies just aim to streamline intricate real-life situations and highlight the intricate nature of these important financial choice issues.<sup>11</sup>

### D. *The Choice to Invest*

The process of selecting investment projects is a crucial decision-making activity for all organizations, irrespective of their origin (public or private) or size (big or small). Investment decisions are strategic and crucial due to the substantial time, financial resources, and effort involved. The process of choosing an investment should not be difficult as a result. Due to the existence of four main phases in the investment selection process (perception, formulation, assessment, and selection), the use of financial theory is limited to the latter two stages. Financial theory involves the use of empirical financial measures, such as profit returns as well as the payback technique, as well as more intricate measures derived from discount methods. The criteria include the current balance, intrinsic profits, profitability rates, reduction even methodologies, and several others.<sup>12</sup> Hypothesis of finance suggests indexing potential investment projects from highest to lowest quality or, in the case of a single project, evaluating and deciding whether to accept or reject it individually. This scenario arises when there are several prospective investment initiatives. Despite efforts to modernize financial theory by including simulations, game theory, and CAPM to include time, inflation, and risk, challenges persist in assessing and selecting investment projects. Key features of the investment process include option in a price cut value, mess among monetary metrics (such as current balance and intrinsic return values), and constraints of holding theory of money when considering a sequence in financial pipelining (that is underpiped and outpiped). As per financial theory, the discount rate, also known as the rate of return, serves as the threshold or criterion for accepting or rejecting investment projects when using the internal rate of return criteria. The discount rate is the only determinant of a company's investment choice. Considering the potential conflicts between criteria, it is likely that rankings for items meant to measure project profitability, such as the profitability index and net present value, or the internal rate of return and net present value, may differ as well. Therefore, the use of a financial strategy in the decision-making process for investments seems to be limited and unattainable. The project is now in the first stages of evaluation and selection, with a primary focus on financial considerations. This approach, however, limits its practicality and restricts its potential impact.

### E. Managing a Portfolio

Experts investigate the issue of international portfolio selection. According to them, in a scenario of worldwide diversity, the conventional optimization model of portfolio selection utilized in a national context may be even more likely to be suboptimal. In reality, in an international setting, the M-V model is not always a good strategy since it does not include all of the variables that portfolio managers and investors use to make stock investment choices.<sup>13</sup> The authors propose new criteria for such decisions, such as the monthly return over the last five years, the standard deviation of the return calculated over the last five years, the total cost of transactions, the country risk (or political risk), the direct available coverage for foreign currencies, and the exchange risk. The multicriteria technique employed (i.e. ELECTRE IS, ELECTRE III) has the benefit of providing the portfolio manager with a vast collection of investment options while also allowing him to choose the relative relevance of the various criteria throughout the portfolio selection process. Past research has mostly examined these financial challenges using multivariate statistical techniques, including discriminant, logit, and probit analysis.

These techniques recognize that multiple factors influence financial decision-making, but they frequently lack the necessary support and neglect the preferences of decision-makers (some models completely disregard the physical or economic importance of the parameters). Upon this insight, scholars in the disciplines of operations and finance embarked on a quest to discover novel methodologies for carrying out routine tasks. Previous discussions have shown that MCDA has been used in many situations and using various approaches.<sup>14</sup>

### III. CONCLUSIONS

The study's results showcased the efficacy of MCDA in addressing the common difficulties associated with making sound financial decisions. All of these difficulties were previously addressed in the realm of finance theory from the very focused perspective of optimization. Given the optimality of these issues, some scholars have suggested using operational research approaches as a possible remedy. The methodologies include classical modeling and monocriteria modeling. The authors discussed key factors that highlight the need of using MCDA methodologies to evaluate financial decision-making challenges within a multidimensional framework. The major topics were examined via the use of case studies encompassing investment selection and portfolio administration. The following paragraphs provide a succinct overview of the primary benefits that MCDA approaches offer to the field of financial management. In conclusion, MCDA techniques are likely going to be having a bright light in case of monetary scheduling due to its realistic and demanding framework for decision concerns. However, it is crucial to build enhanced automated decision support systems that consider several elements in order to effectively execute these techniques in real-world scenarios. The complexities of financial decision-making are well recognized by firms and banks. However, due to the easy availability and affordability of optimization and statistical tools, individuals often use these methods to tackle their financial challenges. However, it should be noted that a significant number of these tools are not specifically developed to address the complexities associated with financial decision making. Therefore, in order to make real-time financial judgments, it is necessary to employ integrated multicriteria decision support systems that are specialized and user-friendly, which involves the implementation of MCDA techniques.<sup>15</sup>

### REFERENCES

- [1] Anastassiou, Th, and C. Zopounidis. "Country risk assessment: A multicriteria analysis approach." *The Journal of Euro-Asian Management* 3.1 (1997): 51-73.
- [2] Andenmatten, Antoine. *Evaluation du risque de defaillance des emetteurs d'obligations: une approche par l'aide multicritere a la decision*. Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes, 1995.
- [3] Brans, Jean-Pierre, and Bertrand Mareschal. "The PROMCALC & GAIA decision support system for multicriteria decision aid." *Decision support systems* 12.4-5 (1994): 297-310.
- [4] Zopounidis, Constantin. "Multicriteria decision aid in financial management." *European Journal of Operational Research* 119.2 (1999): 404-415.
- [5] Colson, Gérard, and Milan Zeleny. "Uncertain prospects ranking and portfolio analysis under the conditions of partial information." (No Title) (1980).
- [6] Kivijärvi, Hannu, and Markku Tuominen. "A Decision Support System for Semistructured Strategic Decisions: A Multi—Tool Method for Evaluating Intangible Investments." *Journal of Decision Systems* 1.4 (1992): 353-376.
- [7] Lin, W. Thomas. "Multiple objective budgeting models: A simulation." *Accounting Review* (1978): 61-8.. Mulvey, John M., Daniel P. Rosenbaum, and Bala Shetty. "Strategic financial risk management and operations research." *European Journal of Operational Research* 97.1 (1997): 1-16.
- [8] Mareschal, Bertrand, and Daniel Mertens. *Evaluation financiere par la methode multicritere GAIA: Application au secteur bancaire belge*. No. 2013/9333. ULB--Universite Libre de Bruxelles, 1990.
- [9] Mareschal, Bertrand, and Daniel Mertens. "Évaluation financière par la méthode multicritère GAIA: application au secteur de l'assurance en Belgique." *L'Actualité économique* 69.1 (1993): 206-228.
- [10] Michalopoulos, Michael, Constantin Zopounidis, and Michael Doumpos. "Evaluation des succursales bancaires" a l'aide d'une methode multicritere." *Revue FINECO, Finance, Economie, Comptabilite* 8.2 (1998): 123-136.



- [11] Muzyka, Dan, Sue Birley, and Benoit Leleux. "Trade-offs in the investment decisions of European venture capitalists." *Journal of business venturing* 11.4 (1996): 273-287.
  - [12] Oral, Muhittin, et al. "An estimation model for country risk rating." *International Journal of Forecasting* 8.4 (1992): 583-593.
  - [13] Pardalos, Panos M., M. Michalopoulos, and C. Zopounidis. "On the use of multicriteria methods for the evaluation of insurance companies in Greece." *New operational approaches for financial modelling*. Physica-Verlag HD, 1997.
  - [14] Ribarović, Zoran, and Nenad Mladineo. "Application of multicriterional analysis to the ranking and evaluation of the investment programmes in the ready mixed concrete industry." *Engineering Costs and Production Economics* 12.1-4 (1987): 367-374.
  - [15] Riquelme, H., Rickards, T., 1992. Hybrid conjoint analysis: An estimation probe in new venture decisions. *Journal of Business Venturing* 7, 505±518.
-



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)