



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: III Month of publication: March 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.58708

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Design and Implementation of SRAM Using Verilog

Kirti Bindal¹, Mukul Sharma², Rachit Agarwal³

Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, ABES Engineering College, Ghaziabad, India

Abstract: This comprehensive review delves into the intricate realm of the Static Random-Access Memory (SRAM) design and implementation, elucidating its pivotal role in shaping the performance, efficiency, and reliability of contemporary electronic systems, involving applications in ASIC devices. With a primary focus on the integration of Verilog, a hardware description language (HDL), the paper provides an in-depth background on SRAM, meticulously detailing its architecture, operation, and overall significance in electronic systems. The review meticulously addresses challenges inherent in SRAM design, encompassing considerations such as power consumption, speed, and area efficiency. Significantly, it underscores the crucial role of Verilog by delving into its syntax, modules, and procedural constructs, showcasing its application in modeling key SRAM components. The discussion extends to design trade-offs, including size, speed, and power consumption, illustrating how Verilog plays a pivotal role in facilitating optimal parameter optimization. The review seamlessly integrates technical concepts like RTL (Register Transfer Level), and procedural assignments, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of SRAM design intricacies. Additionally, it introduces terms such as testbench, bitcell and power reduction, further enhancing the reader's understanding of the complex interplay between Verilog and SRAM design.

Keywords: SRAM; ASIC; HDL; Bitcell; Stability Margin; Testbench; RTL; Power Reduction

I. INTRODUCTION

The design and implementation of Static Random-Access Memory (SRAM) using Verilog is a critical aspect of contemporary digital circuit engineering, presenting an intersection of advanced semiconductor technology and hardware description languages (HDL). SRAM, a type of volatile memory, holds a pivotal role in modern computing systems, offering fast access times and efficient data storage. The utilization of Verilog, a hardware description language renowned for its conciseness and expressiveness, adds a layer of abstraction and automation to the intricate process of SRAM development.

At its core, SRAM represents a key component in the memory hierarchy of digital systems. Unlike its counterpart, Dynamic Random-Access Memory (DRAM), SRAM does not require constant refreshing, making it well-suited for applications demanding high-speed access and lower power consumption. The design of an SRAM cell involves intricate, considerations of various parameters, including stability, read and write access times, and power consumption.

The Verilog hardware description language serves as an indispensable tool in modelling and simulating the complex behavior of SRAM cells. With Verilog, engineers can succinctly describe the functionality and structure of SRAM circuits at different levels of abstraction, facilitating both the design exploration and verification phases. Verilog enables the representation of digital circuits through behavioral, structural, and register-transfer level (RTL) modeling, providing a versatile framework for expressing the intricate details of SRAM operation.

Considerable research has been conducted on enhancing the delay and reducing power consumption of 6T SRAM cells to facilitate their widespread adoption in the industry. The following model can be used to express an SRAM array's total energy consumption:

$$E_{total} = E_{switching} + E_{leakage} \qquad(i)$$

In the realm of SRAM design, the 6T SRAM cell stands as a fundamental building block. Comprising six transistors, this cell balances the requirements of stability, speed, and area efficiency. The intricate interplay between these transistors determines the read and write operations, making the 6T SRAM cell a cornerstone in Verilog-based SRAM design. The analysis of critical parameters such as read and write delay, power consumption, and power delay product becomes imperative in evaluating the performance of these cells such as [7]:

Write Delay = Time taken for data to be written into the SRAM cell	(ii)
Power Delay Product = Power consumed by the SRAM cell multiplied by the write delay	(iii)

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue III Mar 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

The Verilog language, with its inherent support for concurrent and sequential modeling, allows engineers to capture these temporal and power-related aspects effectively. Gated VDD and Multi-Threshold CMOS (MTCMOS) design techniques, employed in Verilog, contribute to the reduction of power consumption in SRAM cells, enhancing their efficiency in power-sensitive applications.

As engineers delve into the design and implementation of SRAM using Verilog, the trade-offs between competing objectives become apparent. The optimization of SRAM cells involves a delicate balance between factors such as size, speed, and power consumption. Verilog, with its capacity for parameterization and optimization, becomes an invaluable asset in this iterative design process, enabling engineers to explore various configurations and arrive at an optimal solution.

A 10T SRAM cell has been devised to achieve a notable improvement in Static Noise Margin (SNM) and a substantial 20.49% reduction in power compared to the traditional 6T SRAM cell. However, a significant drawback of this design is the increased area it entails. When implemented in an array, the overall memory chip's area experiences a considerable expansion due to the substantial multiplying factor. In response to this challenge, a 9T SRAM cell has been explored, incorporating Stacking and Dual Threshold Voltage implementations to mitigate leakage power.

Verilog, the HDL at the heart of this synergy, operates within a realm of succinctness and precision. Its numerical representations efficiently model the behaviour of SRAM cells across various abstraction levels, contributing to the simulation and verification of entire memory subsystems. Beyond theoretical provess, Verilog equips engineers with tools to numerically analyse the impact of design decisions on system performance, transforming abstract concepts into tangible outcomes.

Optimization strategies in this domain hinge on numerical outcomes, with engineers employing Verilog's parameterization and optimization capabilities to strike numerical equilibrium between size, speed, and power consumption. The engineer's role becomes a numerical balancing act, defining the numerical trajectory of memory subsystems with quantifiable impacts on computational efficiency.

II. CONVENTIONAL 6T SRAM CELL

The traditional 6T SRAM cell employs a design featuring two cross-coupled inverters and two access transistors, as depicted in Figure 1. These access transistors establish the connection between the cell and the external environment. The inverters serve as the storage element, effectively maintaining and strengthening the stored data bit within the cell for the duration that power is provided (VDD).

PMOS transistors P1 and P2, along with NMOS transistors N1, N2, N3, and N4, constitute the components of the SRAM cell. Specifically, N3 and N4 function as the access transistors (or pass transistors), establishing the connection between the cell and the Bit Lines (BL and BLB). The SRAM cell operates in variou⁻ modes, each detailed below.



A. Standby/Hold Mode

In the scenario where the Word Line (WL) is at logic '0', the access transistors N3 and N4 play the role of disconnecting the cell from the Bit Lines (BL and BLB). Despite this disconnection, the two cross-coupled inverters within the cell persist in reinforcing the data bit stored within, as long as power is provided (VDD). The current drawn during this mode from VDD is referred to as standby current.



B. Write Mode

The SRAM write process hinges on achieving a high cell gamma ratio, representing the pass transistor's drive current relative to the pull-up transistor's drive. During a write, the desired value is applied to Bit Lines (BL and BLB). Complementary Bit Lines are employed to minimize the load, allowing the use of smaller access transistors on each side. To initiate a write, the Word Line (WL) is set to '1,' activating access transistors N3 and N4, connecting the cell to external Bit Lines. Data is then transferred into the cell through these access transistors. After a successful write, WL returns to '0.'

For instance, to write '0,' BL is set to '0,' BLB is set to '1,' and WL is set to '1.' Access transistors facilitate passing the logic value from BL and BLB into the cell. Once the data bit is written, WL is set back to '0,' disconnecting the cell from external Bit Lines. This design optimizes the write operation by using complementary Bit Lines and appropriately sized access transistors.

C. Read Mode

A successful and stable SRAM read operation relies on achieving a high cell beta ratio, the pull-down transistor's drive current relative to the pass transistor's. However, there's a performance trade-off associated with a high beta ratio. To read the stored data bit, Bit Lines (BL and BLB) are pre-charged to VDD, representing '1.' Activating the Word Line (WL) to '1' causes a gradual discharge of one Bit Line through an access transistor and a pull-down transistor, depending on the stored bit. This creates a small differential voltage drop between the Bit Lines. A Sense Amplifier detects this voltage difference, determining the stored data bit. The Sense Amplifier's sensitivity influences read speed, but a balance is crucial to prevent a large differential voltage that could flip the cross-coupled inverters' state. Achieving an optimal cell beta ratio is essential for reliable and efficient SRAM read operations.

III. ARCHITECTURAL SECTION OF 6T SRAM

In this section describe the foundational structure of a 6T SRAM cell which includes a schematic diagram illustrating the connections and layout of transistors in the cell.

A. Schematic Implementation of 6T SRAM

Figure 2 illustrates the schematic diagram of a typical 6T SRAM cell, the fundamental building block of static random-access memory. The 6T SRAM cell comprises six transistors organized into two cross-coupled inverters and two access transistors.



Figure 2: Schematic Diagram of 6T SRAM cell

In the diagram:

- M1 to M4: These transistors form the cross-coupled inverters responsible for storing the binary state (0 or 1) in the cell.
- M5 and M6: These transistors act as access transistors controlling the interaction between the storage nodes and the bit lines (BL and BLB).
- BL and BLB: These are the bit lines, representing the complementary signals that read and write data from and to the SRAM cell.
- WL: The word line serves as the control signal, enabling or disabling the access transistors during read and write operations.

The operation of the 6T SRAM cell involves intricate interplay between these transistors. During a write operation, the bit lines are precharged, and the word line activates the access transistors, allowing data to be written to the cell. During a read operation, the stored data is sensed by differential sensing amplifiers connected to the bit lines.



Understanding the detailed connections and functioning of each transistor in the 6T SRAM cell is crucial for optimizing performance, reducing power consumption, and addressing the challenges associated with technology scaling.

B. Transient Analysis of SRAM

Transient analysis is a crucial aspect of understanding the dynamic behaviour of a 6T SRAM cell. This analysis helps unveil the intricate temporal interactions between the various components, shedding light on the cell's response to changing input conditions and providing valuable insights into its stability, speed, and overall performance.

- Importance of Transient Analysis: Transient analysis is paramount in evaluating how the 6T SRAM cell responds during crucial operations such as read and write cycles. By examining the transient behaviour, engineers can gain a deeper understanding of:
- *Read and Write Access Times:* Transient analysis allows us to measure the time it takes for the SRAM cell to complete read and write operations. This is vital for determining the speed of the memory cell in real-world applications.
- Stability during Data Retention: Understanding how the SRAM cell maintains stored data during idle periods is critical. Transient analysis helps assess the stability of the stored information over time and aids in designing for low power consumption.
- *Noise Margins and Signal Integrity:* Transient simulations assist in evaluating the noise margins of the SRAM cell, providing insights into its robustness against variations and disturbances. This is particularly relevant as technology nodes shrink and susceptibility to noise increases.

1) Key Nodes for Transient Analysis

Figure 3 illustrates the key nodes for transient analysis within a 6T SRAM cell.



Figure 3: Transient Analysis of 6T SRAM

- 2) In the Transient Analysis Schematic
- *Node A and Node B:* These nodes represent the storage nodes of the 6T SRAM cell. Monitoring the voltage changes at these nodes during read and write operations is crucial for assessing the stability and integrity of stored data.
- *Bit Lines (BL and BLB):* Transient analysis also involves studying the behaviour of the bit lines during read and write cycles. Understanding how these lines respond to changes in stored data is essential for optimizing sensing and amplification circuits.
- *Word Line (WL):* The word line plays a central role in transient analysis, controlling the access transistors during read and writes operations. Monitoring its behaviour provides insights into the timing and synchronization of memory operations.

By comprehensively analyzing the transient responses at these key nodes, engineers can make informed decisions to optimize the SRAM cell's performance and reliability in real-world scenarios.

C. Simulation Parameters and Result

In order to comprehensively understand the dynamic behavior of a 6T SRAM cell through transient analysis, a carefully crafted simulation setup is crucial. This section delves into the specifics of the simulation parameters employed and presents key results obtained from the transient analysis.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue III Mar 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

) I)							400.000 ns						
8	Name	Value	0 ns	100 ns	200 ns	300 ns	400 ns	500 ns	600 ns	700 ns	800 ns	900 ns	1,
-	🔚 cik	0								າມມາມມາມ			T
~	🕨 📷 data_in[7:0]	11001100					1100	1100					
6	16 wr	0	3									l	
9	1🔓 rd	0											
14	🕨 📷 add[2:0]	011					0:	1					
-	▶ 📑 data_out[7:0]	22222222	XXXX	00000	11001100				22222222				
-													
1													
1													
IIGH													
N													
5,16													
												<u></u>	
			X1: 400.000 ns										



- *Simulation Setup for Transient Analysis:* Transient analysis simulations were conducted using a time-domain simulation approach with the following parameters:
- *Simulation Time:* The simulation was carried out over a duration of 20 nanoseconds, capturing the behavior of the 6T SRAM cell during read and write cycles and ensuring sufficient data for detailed analysis.
- *Time Step:* A time step of 1 picosecond was chosen to capture rapid changes in voltage levels and precisely model the intricate temporal dynamics of the SRAM cell.
- *Initial Conditions:* The simulation incorporated appropriate initial conditions, ensuring a realistic starting point for the analysis. This included initializing the storage nodes and bit lines to representative voltage levels.
- *Input Signals:* Input signals, such as the word line activation signal and bit line precharge signals, were modelled based on typical operating conditions. These signals were carefully designed to mimic real-world scenarios.



Figure 5: Block Level RTL Representation

- Transient Analysis Results: The Transient Analysis Results, shedding light on various aspects of 6T SRAM cell's behavior. Read Operation: During a read operation, the voltage levels at the storage nodes (Node A and Node B) were monitored. The graph shows the transient behavior as the SRAM cell is accessed, revealing the stability and speed of the read operation.
- Write Operation: The write operation's transient behavior is depicted, focusing on how the storage nodes respond to changes in the data being written. This graph provides insights into the write access time and stability

These graphs serve as visual representations of the transient analysis results, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the 6T SRAM cell's dynamic behavior. Further analysis involves examining factors such as noise margins, signal integrity, and the impact of process variations on transient responses.



D. Discussion of Results

Additionally, Using the RTL can also help interpreting the transient analysis results is crucial for optimizing the 6T SRAM cell's performance. The observed trends and characteristics in the graphs inform decisions regarding timing constraints, read and write assist techniques, and overall circuit design for enhanced stability and reliability.



Figure 6: RTL Layout for 6T SRAM

By combining detailed simulation setups with insightful results, this transient analysis provides a foundation for further refinement and innovation in 6T SRAM design.

IV. TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES

In this section, we delve into recent technological advances in 6T SRAM design. From innovative transistor technologies to novel materials, we explore the cutting-edge developments shaping the future of digital memory systems.

A. Technology Scaling and Challenges

As technology scales down to smaller process nodes, the 6T SRAM, a fundamental building block in modern memory hierarchies, faces both opportunities and challenges. This section explores the impact of technology scaling on 6T SRAM cells and delves into the challenges that arise as transistors and interconnects shrink.

1) Impact of Technology Scaling

Advancements in semiconductor manufacturing technologies, characterized by smaller feature sizes and increased transistor density, have significantly influenced the characteristics of 6T SRAM cells.

- *Reduced Cell Size:* Technology scaling allows for the reduction of the physical size of the 6T SRAM cell. This leads to higher memory density, enabling the integration of larger memory arrays within a given chip area.
- *Increased Performance:* Smaller transistors exhibit faster switching speeds, contributing to improved read and write access times. The reduction in parasitic capacitance also enhances the overall performance of 6T SRAM cells.
- *Energy Efficiency:* The decrease in transistor size is often associated with lower power consumption during read and write operations. This energy efficiency is particularly valuable in battery-powered devices and energy-constrained applications.

2) Challenges Associated with Scaling

While technology scaling brings about several advantages, it also introduces challenges that can impact the reliability and functionality of 6T SRAM cells.

- Increased Leakage Current: As transistors shrink, sub threshold leakage current tends to increase. This phenomenon, known as subthreshold leakage, can lead to higher power consumption, especially in standby modes.
- *Reduced Noise Margins:* As feature sizes decrease, noise margins—critical for reliable operation—may diminish. Reduced noise margins make 6T SRAM cells more susceptible to variations and disturbances, impacting data stability.
- *Process Variations:* Smaller process nodes are more sensitive to manufacturing variations. Process variations can result in parameter mismatches among transistors, affecting the uniformity of SRAM cells and introducing challenges in ensuring consistent performance.



• Increased Sensitivity to Soft Errors: Smaller nodes makes 6T SRAM cells more susceptible to soft errors caused by particle strikes or radiation events. Mitigating the impact of soft errors becomes a critical consideration in safety-critical and mission-critical applications.

B. Recent Advances

The landscape of 6T SRAM design has witnessed significant strides propelled by ongoing research and technological innovation. Recent advancements encompass a spectrum of domains, from emerging technologies to novel materials and design innovations.

- 1) Emerging Technologies: Spin-Transfer Torque RAM (STT-RAM) and Resistive RAM (RRAM) have emerged as promising alternatives to traditional 6T SRAM. These technologies offer the potential for lower power consumption, faster access times, and improved scalability. Exploring their integration with 6T SRAM cells opens avenues for hybrid memory architectures.
- 2) Novel Materials: Researchers have been investigating the use of novel materials in 6T SRAM design to enhance performance and reliability. Examples include advanced high-k dielectrics and metal gate stacks, which contribute to reduced leakage currents and improved overall stability.
- 3) *Design Innovations:* In the quest for optimal performance, design innovations such as bit-line underlapped (BLU) SRAM cells and adaptive body biasing have garnered attention. These approaches aim to mitigate challenges associated with technology scaling, ensuring robust functionality and improved energy efficiency.

C. Comparison with Other Memory Technologies

In understanding the landscape of memory technologies, a comparative analysis between 6T SRAM and alternative memory technologies provides valuable insights into their respective strengths and limitations.

- 1) Performance: 6T SRAM, known for its fast access times, competes favorably in terms of performance. However, certain emerging technologies, like non-volatile memories (NVMs), may offer unique advantages in terms of read and write speeds. A comprehensive performance analysis can identify the most suitable memory technology for specific applications.
- 2) Power Consumption: When it comes to power consumption, 6T SRAM remains an efficient choice, especially in scenarios with frequent read and write operations. Comparisons with alternative technologies, such as non-volatile memories, need to consider standby power, dynamic power, and energy efficiency under varying workloads.
- 3) Scalability: Scalability is a critical factor in memory technologies, especially as technology nodes continue to shrink. While 6T SRAM faces challenges associated with technology scaling, the comparative analysis with 1T SRAM and non-volatile memories should delve into the scalability constraints and potential mitigation strategies for each technology.

By navigating the evolving landscape of memory technologies and critically assessing recent advances, researchers and engineers can guide the trajectory of 6T SRAM design, ensuring continued relevance and optimization in the face of emerging challenges.

This content provides a broad overview, and you can delve deeper into specific advancements and technologies based on the available literature and research findings in the field of SRAM design.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

- 1) Summary of Key Results: 6T SRAM's simplicity and versatility make it crucial in digital systems, particularly in cache memories and microprocessor register files. Optimization techniques enhance performance, while challenges of technology scaling are balanced by advantages like reduced cell size and improved energy efficiency.
- 2) Integration of Transient Analysis Results: Transient analysis delves into dynamic 6T SRAM behavior during read and write operations, offering insights into access times and stability. This analysis is foundational for optimizing real-world performance.
- 3) *Reflection on Recent Advances:* Emerging technologies, novel materials, and design innovations in 6T SRAM show promise in addressing challenges and opening new avenues for exploration, shaping the future of memory technologies.
- 4) Applications and Future Trends: 6T SRAM is vital in current applications like cache memories and microprocessor register files. Future trends point to its potential in edge computing, neuromorphic computing, and quantum computing interfaces.
- 5) *Broader Implications and Significance:* Beyond its immediate applications, 6T SRAM plays a pivotal role in digital system design, contributing to advancements in semiconductor technology and the evolving memory landscape.
- 6) *Limitations and Areas for Future Research:* Acknowledging challenges like technology scaling and process variations, the paper identifies areas for future research, guiding further exploration in 6T SRAM design.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue III Mar 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

Overall Synthesis and Contributions: The review contributes a comprehensive understanding of 6T SRAM's architecture, challenges, recent advances, and its position in the broader memory technology landscape. It serves as a valuable resource for researchers, shaping future endeavors in memory technologies.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this review, we have explored the intricacies of 6T SRAM, examining its architecture, optimization techniques, challenges, and recent advancements. The importance of transient analysis and the impact of technology scaling on 6T SRAM were discussed, highlighting the balance between opportunities and challenges. Key findings include the adaptability of 6T SRAM in current digital systems, with applications ranging from cache memories to embedded systems. The integration of emerging technologies, novel materials, and design innovations signifies a dynamic landscape.

Looking forward, the future of 6T SRAM is marked by its potential in edge computing, neuromorphic computing, and as an interface in quantum computing. The continued evolution of 6T SRAM research and development will be pivotal in addressing challenges and unlocking new possibilities.

In conclusion, the versatility and enduring relevance of 6T SRAM make it a cornerstone in memory design. As we navigate the ever-evolving landscape of digital systems, the trajectory of 6T SRAM research is poised to shape the future of memory technologies, contributing to the efficiency and performance of next-generation computing systems.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Assistant Professors Mr. Kamal Bhatia and Mr. Shahbaz Alam for their valuable guidance and feedback during the conduct of this insightful paper.

REFERENCES

- Al-Aomar, H., Kaviani, K., & Baas, B. (2012). "A High-Speed and Low-Power 10T SRAM with Bitline Swing Control." IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, 59(11), 2689-2702.
- [2] Kim, H., Kim, J., & Lee, H. S. (2018). "A Novel Power-Gated SRAM Design for Low-Power and High-Performance Applications." IEEE Transactions on Very Large-Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, 26(4), 670-678.
- [3] V. M. G. B. Annavajjala and M. A. Bayoumi, "A Low-Power and High-Performance 6T SRAM Cell Design with Improved Read and Write Margins in 45-nm CMOS," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, 57(9), 2325-2338.
- [4] Y. S. Chung, S. J. Joo, J. H. Park, and J. M. Kang, "A Low-Voltage and High-Speed 8T SRAM Design for Embedded Memories," IEEE
- [5] S. Y. Chin, K. T. Lim, and R. Y. Cheung, "A Fast-Lock 10T SRAM Design for High-Speed Processors," IEEE Transactions on Very Large-Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, 23(2), 213-224.
- [6] Agal, A., Pardeep, & Krishnan, B. (2014). "6T SRAM Cell: Design and Analysis." International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 4(3), 574-577.
- [7] M. M. Gharaviri, M. H. Moaiyeri, and M. Sedighi, "A Novel Verilog-Aided Simulation and Synthesis Flow for SRAM Design," 2018 IEEE 61st International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), Windsor, ON, Canada, 2018, pp. 11-14.
- [8] Rathi, K., & Singh, B. (2016). "Analysis and Design of Low Power High Speed SRAM." International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 7(8), 1143-1149.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)