



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.83529>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Determinants of Organic Farming Adoption among Smallholder Farmers: Implications for Sustainable Agricultural Development

Dr. P. Mohanraj¹, Mr. K. Sivakumar²

¹Associate Professor & Head, Department of Management Studies, Nandha Arts & Science College, Erode.

²Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Nandha Arts & Science College, Erode. Mobile Number – 96884 57785

Abstract: Organic farming has gained increasing attention as a sustainable agricultural practice capable of addressing environmental degradation, food safety concerns, and long-term agricultural productivity. Despite its advantages, the adoption of organic farming among smallholder farmers remains limited. This study investigates the determinants influencing organic farming adoption and examines their implications for sustainable agricultural development. A survey-based approach involving 300 smallholder farmers was employed. Descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis were used to examine the relationships among awareness, training support, government support, market accessibility, environmental consciousness, and organic farming adoption. The findings indicate that environmental consciousness, awareness, and training support significantly influence adoption decisions. The study recommends strengthening extension services, improving market infrastructure, and enhancing policy support to promote organic farming adoption and sustainable agricultural development.

Keywords: Organic Farming, Sustainable Agriculture, Smallholder Farmers, Adoption Behaviour, Sustainability, Agricultural Development

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains a fundamental sector supporting economic growth and food security worldwide. However, the widespread use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides has resulted in environmental challenges such as soil degradation, water contamination, biodiversity loss, and health-related concerns. These challenges have prompted increased interest in sustainable agricultural practices.

Organic farming is recognized as an environmentally friendly production system that minimizes synthetic inputs while promoting ecological balance and biodiversity conservation. Organic agriculture enhances soil fertility, improves ecosystem health, and contributes to sustainable rural livelihoods. The increasing consumer demand for organic food products has further stimulated interest among farmers and policymakers.

Despite these benefits, the adoption of organic farming among smallholder farmers remains relatively low due to economic, institutional, technological, and market-related barriers. Understanding the determinants influencing adoption decisions is essential for designing policies that support sustainable agricultural development.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Previous studies have identified several factors influencing the adoption of organic farming practices. Education and awareness enhance farmers' understanding of sustainable agricultural practices and encourage innovation adoption. Training and extension services provide technical knowledge and practical skills necessary for successful implementation of organic farming methods.

Government support, including subsidies, certification assistance, and financial incentives, plays an important role in reducing the risks associated with transitioning to organic production. Market accessibility is another critical factor, as farmers require stable demand and premium prices to justify the additional effort involved in organic cultivation.

Environmental consciousness has emerged as a strong motivational factor, with environmentally aware farmers demonstrating a greater willingness to adopt sustainable farming practices. Collectively, these factors influence farmers' adoption decisions and contribute to sustainable agricultural development.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- 1) To assess awareness levels regarding organic farming.
- 2) To identify determinants influencing organic farming adoption.
- 3) To examine the role of government support in adoption decisions.
- 4) To evaluate the influence of market accessibility on adoption.
- 5) To analyze the implications of organic farming adoption for sustainable agricultural development.

IV. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

- H1: Awareness positively influences organic farming adoption.
H2: Training support positively influences organic farming adoption.
H3: Government support positively influences organic farming adoption.
H4: Market accessibility positively influences organic farming adoption.
H5: Environmental consciousness positively influences organic farming adoption.
H6: Organic farming adoption positively contributes to sustainable agricultural development.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

The present study adopts a **descriptive and analytical research design** to investigate the determinants influencing the adoption of organic farming among smallholder farmers. A descriptive research design was employed to systematically describe the demographic characteristics of farmers, their awareness levels, perceptions, and adoption behavior toward organic farming practices. This approach enabled the researcher to gain a comprehensive understanding of the existing conditions and patterns associated with organic farming adoption.

B. Population of the Study

The target population for this study comprised smallholder farmers engaged in agricultural activities within the selected study area. Smallholder farmers were chosen because they represent a significant proportion of the agricultural workforce and play a crucial role in promoting sustainable agricultural development. The population included both farmers who had adopted organic farming practices and those who continued to practice conventional farming methods.

C. Sample Size

A sample size of **300 smallholder farmers** was selected for the study. The sample size was considered adequate for conducting statistical analyses and ensuring reliable results. According to social science research standards, a sample size exceeding 200 respondents provides sufficient statistical power for reliability analysis, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis. The selected sample size also enhances the representativeness of the study findings and allows for meaningful interpretation of results.

D. Sampling Technique

The study employed a **stratified random sampling technique** to select respondents from the target population. Under this method, the population was first divided into homogeneous subgroups (strata) based on relevant characteristics such as geographical location, farm size, farming experience, or adoption status. Subsequently, respondents were randomly selected from each stratum to ensure proportional representation.

The use of stratified random sampling offers several advantages.

E. Sources of Data

The study utilized both primary and secondary sources of data.

1) Primary Data

Primary data were collected directly from respondents using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was designed to gather information regarding demographic characteristics, awareness of organic farming, training and extension support, government assistance, market accessibility, environmental consciousness, and organic farming adoption behavior.

2) Secondary Data

Secondary data were obtained from various sources including:

- Research journals and scholarly articles
- Government reports and policy documents
- Publications from agricultural institutions
- Books and conference proceedings
- Reports from organic farming associations
- Online databases and official websites related to agriculture and sustainability

The secondary data helped establish the theoretical foundation of the study and supported the interpretation of findings.

F. Instrument for Data Collection

A structured questionnaire was used as the primary instrument for data collection. The questionnaire consisted of two sections:

Section A: Demographic Information

- Gender
- Age
- Educational qualification
- Farming experience
- Farm size
- Annual income

Section B: Study Variables

- Awareness of organic farming
- Training and extension support
- Government support
- Market accessibility
- Environmental consciousness
- Organic farming adoption

The questionnaire items were developed based on previous literature and adapted to suit the objectives of the study.

G. Measurement Scale

A **five-point Likert scale** was employed to measure respondents' perceptions regarding the study variables. The scale was structured as follows:

| Scale Response | |
|----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree |
| 2 | Disagree |
| 3 | Neutral |
| 4 | Agree |
| 5 | Strongly Agree |

The Likert scale facilitated the quantification of respondents' opinions and enabled the application of statistical analyses.

1) Pilot Study

Prior to the final data collection, a pilot study involving 30 respondents was conducted to assess the clarity, reliability, and validity of the questionnaire. Necessary modifications were made based on the feedback received from the pilot respondents. The pilot study ensured that the questionnaire items were easily understandable and relevant to the research objectives.

2) Reliability Analysis

Cronbach's Alpha was used to assess the internal consistency and reliability of the measurement scales. A Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.70 or above was considered acceptable, indicating that the questionnaire items reliably measured the intended constructs.

3) *Statistical Tools*

- Descriptive Statistics
- Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)
- Pearson Correlation Analysis
- Multiple Regression Analysis

VI. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Table 1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

| Variable | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Male | 198 | 66.0 | 33.33 |
| Female | 102 | 34.0 | 23.52 |
| Below 30 Years | 54 | 18.0 | 34.61 |
| 31–40 Years | 96 | 32.0 | 33.33 |
| 41–50 Years | 87 | 29.0 | 33.33 |
| Above 50 Years | 63 | 21.0 | 33.33 |

The majority of respondents were male farmers and belonged to the age group of 31–40 years.

Table 2 Reliability Analysis

| Construct | Cronbach's Alpha |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Awareness | 0.821 |
| Training Support | 0.845 |
| Government Support | 0.798 |
| Market Accessibility | 0.862 |
| Environmental Consciousness | 0.884 |
| Organic Farming Adoption | 0.911 |

All constructs exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating satisfactory reliability.

Table 3 Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | Mean | SD |
|-----------------------------|------|------|
| Awareness | 4.12 | 0.65 |
| Training Support | 3.89 | 0.71 |
| Government Support | 3.74 | 0.82 |
| Market Accessibility | 3.68 | 0.76 |
| Environmental Consciousness | 4.31 | 0.58 |
| Adoption | 4.05 | 0.69 |

Environmental consciousness recorded the highest mean score, suggesting strong concern among farmers regarding environmental sustainability.

Table 4 Correlation Analysis

| Variable | Adoption |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Awareness | 0.72** |
| Training Support | 0.68** |
| Government Support | 0.64** |
| Market Accessibility | 0.61** |
| Environmental Consciousness | 0.76** |

p < 0.01

The results indicate significant positive relationships between all independent variables and organic farming adoption.

Table 5 Multiple Regression Analysis

| Predictor | Beta | t-value | p-value |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Awareness | 0.286 | 5.81 | 0.000 |
| Training Support | 0.218 | 4.76 | 0.000 |
| Government Support | 0.171 | 3.92 | 0.000 |
| Market Accessibility | 0.143 | 3.25 | 0.001 |
| Environmental Consciousness | 0.352 | 7.12 | 0.000 |

$R^2 = 0.684$

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.678$

$F = 127.84$ ($p < 0.001$)

The regression model explains 68.4% of the variance in organic farming adoption. Environmental consciousness emerged as the strongest predictor, followed by awareness and training support.

VII. DISCUSSION

- The findings suggest that environmental awareness significantly motivates farmers to adopt organic farming practices. Farmers increasingly recognize the harmful effects of excessive chemical usage and the benefits associated with sustainable agricultural methods.
- Awareness and training support were also found to have substantial impacts on adoption decisions. This highlights the importance of agricultural extension services in disseminating knowledge and practical skills related to organic cultivation.
- Government support and market accessibility positively influenced adoption, indicating the importance of policy interventions and market infrastructure in encouraging farmers to transition toward organic agriculture.

VIII. IMPLICATIONS

- **Policy Implications**
Governments should strengthen subsidy schemes, certification assistance programs, and farmer training initiatives.
- **Managerial Implications**
Agricultural extension agencies should organize regular awareness campaigns and capacity-building programs.
- **Social Implications**
Organic farming can contribute to environmental conservation, food safety, and sustainable rural livelihoods.

IX. CONCLUSION

Organic farming represents a viable solution for addressing environmental and sustainability challenges in modern agriculture. The study identified awareness, training support, government support, market accessibility, and environmental consciousness as significant determinants of organic farming adoption among smallholder farmers. The findings emphasize the need for coordinated efforts among policymakers, extension agencies, and market stakeholders to enhance adoption rates and promote sustainable agricultural development.

Furthermore, the results indicate that farmers are increasingly recognizing the long-term benefits of organic farming in terms of soil fertility improvement, biodiversity conservation, and reduction in environmental pollution. Strengthening farmer education and extension programs can significantly improve awareness and encourage the adoption of sustainable farming practices. Financial incentives, certification assistance, and market linkage support can further reduce the barriers associated with the transition from conventional to organic farming. The development of efficient supply chains and dedicated organic marketplaces can enhance farmers' profitability and ensure stable demand for organic products. Additionally, collaboration among government agencies, research institutions, and agricultural organizations is essential for disseminating innovative organic farming technologies and best practices.

Organic farming not only contributes to environmental protection but also enhances rural livelihoods by creating opportunities for income diversification and value addition. The promotion of organic agriculture can play a crucial role in achieving national and global sustainability goals, including food security and climate resilience. Future studies may incorporate larger samples, different geographical regions, and advanced analytical techniques to provide deeper insights into organic farming adoption behavior. Overall, encouraging organic farming among smallholder farmers can contribute substantially to sustainable agricultural development and the creation of a more resilient agricultural sector.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
- [2] Badgley, C., Moghtader, J., Quintero, E., Zakem, E., Chappell, M., Aviles-Vazquez, K., Samulon, A., & Perfecto, I. (2007). Organic agriculture and the global food supply. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 22(2), 86–108.
- [3] Lampkin, N. (2019). *Organic Farming*. Old Pond Publishing.
- [4] Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., & Seidel, R. (2005). Environmental, energetic, and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. *BioScience*, 55(7), 573–582.
- [5] Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature Plants*, 2(2), 15221.
- [6] Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- [7] Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. (2012). Comparing yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature*, 485(7397), 229–232.
- [8] Thapa, G. B., & Rattanasuteerakul, K. (2011). Adoption and extent of organic vegetable farming in Thailand. *Applied Geography*, 31(1), 201–209.
- [9] Willer, H., & Lernoud, J. (2024). *The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and Emerging Trends*.
- [10] Yadav, A. K., & Supriya, P. (2022). Organic farming and sustainable agricultural development: A review.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)