



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79977>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Employer Branding and Its Impact on Talent Acquisition

Yogita Suresh Kulkarni, Dr. Deepmala Biradar (HALLALE)

MBA Human Resources Management, International Centre of Excellence in Engineering and Management Chh Sambhaji Nagar,
(Aurangabad), India

Associate Professor & HOD Department of MBA, International Centre of Excellence in Engineering and Management Chh
Sambhaji Nagar, (Aurangabad), India

Abstract: *In an era of intensifying competition for skilled professionals, employer branding has emerged as a cornerstone of strategic human resource management. Organizations that invest in building a strong and authentic employer brand not only attract high-calibre candidates but also retain existing talent more effectively, resulting in measurable improvements in recruitment efficiency and workforce quality. This research paper investigates the relationship between employer branding and talent acquisition outcomes in the contemporary Indian corporate landscape, with a particular focus on organizations operating in the manufacturing and services sectors of the Marathwada region, Maharashtra.*

The study draws on primary data collected through structured questionnaires administered to 210 respondents comprising HR managers, recruitment professionals, and job-seeking candidates across 15 organizations in Chh. Sambhaji Nagar and surrounding areas. Secondary data from HR industry reports, academic journals, and LinkedIn Talent Solutions surveys supplement the primary findings. The research evaluates the impact of employer branding elements — including organizational reputation, employee value proposition (EVP), workplace culture, career development opportunities, and digital branding presence — on key talent acquisition metrics such as application conversion rates, offer acceptance rates, time-to-fill, cost-per-hire, and quality of hire.

Findings reveal that organizations with well-defined employer brands demonstrate significantly superior talent acquisition outcomes across all measured parameters. Notably, a strong EVP increases offer acceptance rates by nearly 28 percentage points compared to organizations with weak branding. The study identifies key barriers to employer branding adoption among Indian SMEs and proposes a structured Employer Branding Implementation Framework (EBIF) tailored to resource-constrained organizations. Recommendations are offered for HR practitioners, organizational leaders, and policymakers aiming to leverage employer branding as a sustainable competitive advantage in talent markets.

Keywords: *Employer Branding, Talent Acquisition, Employee Value Proposition (EVP), Recruitment Marketing, Organizational Attractiveness, HR Strategy, Talent Retention, Workforce Competitiveness*

I. INTRODUCTION

The global talent landscape has undergone a profound structural transformation over the past decade. Technological disruption, demographic shifts, and the rapid expansion of the knowledge economy have collectively elevated the strategic importance of human capital to unprecedented levels. In this environment, the ability to attract, recruit, and retain high-performing talent has become a primary determinant of organizational competitiveness — one that many enterprises are ill-equipped to manage with traditional recruitment approaches.

The concept of employer branding, first formally articulated by Ambler and Barrow (1996) in their seminal study published in the *Journal of Brand Management*, refers to the package of functional, economic, and psychological benefits provided by employment and identified with the employing organization. In essence, employer branding applies the logic and disciplines of consumer marketing to the challenge of talent attraction, positioning the organization as a preferred employer in the eyes of both prospective and current employees.

India's corporate sector presents a particularly compelling context for the study of employer branding and talent acquisition. The country's rapidly expanding workforce — projected to add over 100 million new entrants to the labour market by 2030 — coexists with acute skill shortages in high-demand domains such as advanced manufacturing, data science, digital technology, and healthcare management. This paradox of simultaneous talent surplus and scarcity makes the strategic communication of employer value — which employer branding enables — especially consequential.

The Marathwada region of Maharashtra, and Chh. Sambhaji Nagar in particular, is a significant industrial hub housing manufacturing enterprises, engineering companies, pharmaceutical units, and a growing services sector. Organizations in this region increasingly compete for a limited pool of qualified engineering, management, and technical graduates — creating fertile conditions for examining the practical impact of employer branding on talent acquisition effectiveness.

This research addresses three interconnected questions: What constitutes employer branding in the Indian organizational context, and how do organizations operationalize it? What is the empirical relationship between employer branding strength and talent acquisition outcomes? And what structural and organizational barriers constrain the adoption of employer branding practices, particularly among small and medium enterprises?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Conceptual Foundations of Employer Branding

Employer branding as a formal field of study originated in the mid-1990s, with Ambler and Barrow (1996) providing the first academic definition of the construct. Subsequent scholarship has refined and expanded this foundational framework. Backhaus and Tikoo (2004) developed a comprehensive model linking employer branding to employer attractiveness and organizational commitment, distinguishing between external employer branding — directed at prospective employees — and internal employer branding — directed at current employees. Their model established the Employee Value Proposition (EVP) as the central construct of employer branding, representing the totality of what an organization offers to employees in exchange for their skills, capabilities, and commitment.

Minchington (2010) extended this framework by conceptualizing employer branding as a long-term strategy for managing awareness and perceptions of employees, potential employees, and related stakeholders with regard to a particular organization. This perspective situates employer branding within a broader stakeholder communication framework and emphasizes the role of consistency and authenticity in brand communication.

Moroko and Uncles (2008) identified two critical dimensions of employer brand strength: employer brand awareness — the degree to which target talent segments recognize and have an informed perception of the organization as an employer — and employer brand image — the set of associations and evaluations that target segments attach to the employer brand. Both dimensions, they argued, independently influence the talent attraction process and must be strategically managed.

B. Employer Branding and Talent Acquisition

The empirical literature linking employer branding to talent acquisition outcomes is substantial and growing. Berthon, Ewing, and Hah (2005) conducted one of the foundational studies in this area, developing the Employer Attractiveness (EmpAt) scale and demonstrating that employer brand attributes — categorized across interest value, social value, economic value, development value, and application value dimensions — significantly predict an organization's attractiveness to prospective employees. Their work provided a validated psychometric instrument that subsequent researchers have applied across diverse national and industry contexts.

LinkedIn's annual Global Talent Trends reports (2019, 2021, 2023) have consistently documented that organizations with strong employer brands receive up to 50% more qualified applicants and experience 28% lower turnover rates compared to organizations with weak employer brands. These findings, drawn from survey data encompassing millions of professionals across 35 countries, provide compelling large-scale evidence for the business case of employer branding investment.

In the Indian context, Dutta and Khatri (2009) examined the relationship between employer branding and employee retention in Indian IT companies and found that perceived EVP strength was a significant predictor of both job acceptance intentions among candidates and continuance commitment among existing employees. Their study highlighted that career development opportunities and organizational culture were the most influential employer brand attributes for Indian knowledge workers, surpassing compensation as the primary driver of employer preference.

More recently, Tanwar and Prasad (2016) investigated employer branding practices in Indian organizations and identified that companies with formalized EVP communication programs experienced a 22% reduction in average time-to-fill for critical roles and a 19% improvement in new hire retention rates within the first six months of employment. Their study underscored the return on investment potential of employer branding, particularly in competitive talent markets.

C. Digital Employer Branding and Social Media

The proliferation of digital platforms — particularly professional networking sites such as LinkedIn, employer review platforms such as Glassdoor and AmbitionBox, and social media channels including Instagram and YouTube — has fundamentally transformed the employer branding landscape. Sullivan (2004) argued that in the digital age, employer brand management shifts from a broadcast model, in which organizations control the narrative, to a participatory model, in which employee-generated content and peer reviews significantly shape employer perceptions among job seekers.

Sivertzen, Nilsen, and Olafsen (2013) examined the role of social media in employer branding and demonstrated that organizations' presence and activity on social media platforms significantly enhanced employer brand awareness among younger candidate cohorts, particularly Millennials and Generation Z. Their research found that authentic employee-generated content — including behind-the-scenes workplace videos, day-in-the-life testimonials, and community engagement stories — was more influential in shaping employer perceptions than formal recruitment advertising.

Roy (2008) studied employer branding in Indian business process outsourcing organizations and found that candidates increasingly relied on digital sources — company websites, social media pages, and peer reviews on employer rating platforms — as their primary source of employer information, often before any formal recruitment contact. This finding has profound implications for employer branding strategy, suggesting that the employer brand experience begins long before the formal candidate journey.

D. Employee Value Proposition (EVP)

The Employee Value Proposition occupies a central position in employer branding theory and practice. Michaels, Handfield-Jones, and Axelrod (2001), in their influential work on the War for Talent, conceptualized the EVP as what an organization offers prospective and current employees — the complete employment experience encompassing tangible rewards, the work environment, growth opportunities, company culture, and leadership quality. A compelling and differentiated EVP, they argued, is the single most important driver of talent attraction and retention.

Sartain and Schumann (2006) refined this framework by distinguishing between the rational EVP — what the organization explicitly promises through its compensation structures, benefits, and career pathways — and the emotional EVP — the intangible sense of meaning, belonging, and identity that employees derive from their association with the organization. Both dimensions, they argued, must be present for the EVP to be fully compelling, particularly for younger professionals who increasingly evaluate employers on purpose and culture dimensions alongside financial considerations.

Research by CEB (Corporate Executive Board, 2014) demonstrated that organizations with a clearly defined and consistently communicated EVP could reduce new hire compensation premiums by up to 10%, attract 61% more applicants per role, and achieve a 29% improvement in employee commitment levels. These findings underscore the strategic and economic value of investing in EVP development as a core component of employer branding strategy.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

A. Primary Objectives

- 1) To examine the employer branding practices adopted by organizations in Chh. Sambhaji Nagar, including the communication of EVP, digital branding efforts, and internal brand culture initiatives.
- 2) To empirically assess the impact of employer branding strength on key talent acquisition metrics, including application conversion rate, offer acceptance rate, time-to-fill, cost-per-hire, and quality of hire.
- 3) To evaluate candidate perceptions of employer branding elements and their relative influence on organizational attractiveness and job acceptance decisions.
- 4) To identify the primary organizational barriers to employer branding adoption, particularly among small and medium enterprises.

B. Secondary Objectives

- 1) To propose an Employer Branding Implementation Framework (EBIF) tailored to the operational realities of Indian manufacturing and services organizations.
- 2) To provide evidence-based recommendations for HR practitioners aiming to build and sustain a compelling employer brand.
- 3) To contribute empirical evidence from the Marathwada industrial context to the growing academic literature on employer branding in emerging economies.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, integrating quantitative survey data with qualitative insights drawn from structured interviews with HR professionals and recruitment managers. The mixed-methods approach enables triangulation of numerical performance data with the experiential perspectives of talent acquisition practitioners and job-seeking candidates, yielding a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the employer branding phenomenon.

B. Study Population and Sampling

The study was conducted across 15 organizations operating in the manufacturing, engineering, pharmaceutical, and services sectors in Chh. Sambhaji Nagar (Aurangabad) MIDC industrial areas, including Waluj, Chikalthana, and Shendra. A stratified purposive sampling approach was employed to ensure representation across organization size (small, medium, and large) and industry sector. Within each organization, surveys were administered to three respondent categories:

- HR managers and recruitment professionals responsible for employer branding strategy and talent acquisition (n = 65)
- Recently hired employees who underwent the recruitment process within the past 18 months (n = 85)
- Active job seekers currently engaged in the recruitment process with sampled organizations (n = 60)

A total of 210 valid survey responses were collected. In addition, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 18 senior HR professionals and recruitment managers to supplement quantitative data with qualitative insights.

C. Data Collection Instruments

The primary data collection instrument was a structured questionnaire comprising five sections: organizational employer branding practices; EVP communication and digital branding activities; candidate perceptions of employer attractiveness dimensions; talent acquisition performance metrics; and barriers to employer branding implementation. Likert scale items (1-5) were used to capture attitudinal and perceptual data. Secondary data was sourced from LinkedIn Talent Solutions reports, NASSCOM surveys, industry publications, and peer-reviewed academic journals.

D. Data Analysis

Quantitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, standard deviations) and inferential statistics including Pearson correlation analysis and independent samples t-tests to examine relationships between employer branding strength and talent acquisition outcomes. Thematic coding was applied to qualitative interview data to identify patterns and insights that contextualise the quantitative findings.

IV. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

A. Employer Branding Practices in Sampled Organizations

The survey findings reveal significant variation in the maturity and formality of employer branding practices across sampled organizations. Only 40% of organizations had a formally documented employer branding strategy, while 35% reported ad hoc or reactive branding activities, and 25% had no structured employer branding program. Among organizations with a formal strategy, the Employee Value Proposition was explicitly documented in 73% of cases, while 27% relied on an implicit or intuitive understanding of what the organization offered as an employer.

The most widely adopted employer branding channels were the company career website (87% of organizations), LinkedIn presence and job postings (79%), employee referral programs (71%), and campus recruitment partnerships with engineering and management colleges (65%). Social media-based employer branding through Instagram, YouTube, and Twitter/X was less prevalent, adopted by only 48% of sampled organizations — a finding consistent with the relatively nascent state of social employer branding in the Indian Tier-2 city context.

B. Candidate Perceptions of Employer Branding Elements

Candidates were asked to rate the importance of eight employer branding components in influencing their decision to apply to and accept an offer from an organization. The findings are presented in the table below:

Employer Branding Component	Importance Rating (1-5)	% Candidates Influenced
Company Reputation & Culture	4.7	86%
Work-Life Balance	4.5	81%
Career Growth Opportunities	4.4	79%
Compensation & Benefits	4.3	76%
Social Media Presence	3.9	65%
Employee Testimonials/Reviews	3.8	62%
CSR & Sustainability Initiatives	3.6	54%

Company reputation and culture emerged as the most influential employer branding component, rated as important or very important by 86% of candidates. This finding is consistent with broader research demonstrating that organizational culture fit and reputational perceptions are primary drivers of employer attractiveness, particularly among management and engineering graduates. Career growth opportunities and work-life balance similarly ranked among the top-three influencers, reflecting the priorities of the younger workforce demographic that constitutes the primary talent pool for sampled organizations.

C. Impact of Employer Branding on Talent Acquisition Metrics

To assess the impact of employer branding strength on talent acquisition outcomes, organizations were classified into two groups based on a composite Employer Branding Strength Score (EBSS) computed from survey data. High EBSS organizations (n = 7) demonstrated a formal EVP, active digital branding, and consistent internal culture communication, while Low EBSS organizations (n = 8) lacked one or more of these foundational elements. The comparative talent acquisition performance of the two groups is presented below:

Talent Acquisition Metric	Strong EB Score (%)	Weak EB Score (%)
Application Conversion Rate	68%	37%
Offer Acceptance Rate	82%	54%
Time-to-Fill (Avg. Days)	23 days	41 days
Cost-per-Hire (Relative Index)	Low (0.6x)	High (1.4x)
90-Day Retention Rate	91%	67%
Quality of Hire (Manager-Rated)	4.1/5	2.9/5

The data reveals a consistent and substantial performance differential across all talent acquisition metrics in favour of organizations with strong employer brands. The offer acceptance rate gap of 28 percentage points is particularly striking, indicating that candidates presented with offers from strongly branded organizations were far more likely to accept than those receiving offers from organizations with weaker brand identities. Similarly, the time-to-fill advantage of 18 days represents a significant operational efficiency gain, reducing recruitment cycle times and minimizing the productivity impact of open vacancies.

Pearson correlation analysis revealed a statistically significant positive relationship between EBSS and all six talent acquisition outcome variables ($p < 0.01$ for all), confirming the empirical association between employer branding strength and recruitment performance. The strongest correlation was observed between EBSS and offer acceptance rate ($r = 0.71$), followed by EBSS and 90-day retention rate ($r = 0.68$), suggesting that employer branding exerts its most powerful influence at the point of candidate decision-making and early-tenure retention.

D. Barriers to Employer Branding Adoption

Thematic analysis of qualitative interview data, corroborated by survey responses, identified six primary barriers preventing organizations from fully developing and implementing employer branding strategies:

Barrier to Employer Branding	% Organizations Reporting
Limited HR Budget for Branding	73%
Lack of Defined EVP	61%
Absence of Social Media Strategy	58%
Inadequate Leadership Buy-in	47%
Disconnect Between Brand & Culture	44%
No Measurement Metrics for EB ROI	69%

Limited HR budget for branding emerged as the most commonly cited barrier, reflecting the resource constraints that characterize many SMEs in the Marathwada industrial ecosystem. The absence of a defined EVP — reported by 61% of organizations — indicates a fundamental gap in the strategic foundations of employer branding, as organizations cannot effectively communicate a value proposition they have not yet clearly articulated. Notably, the absence of measurement metrics for employer branding ROI, cited by 69% of organizations, suggests that even resource-constrained organizations might invest more in employer branding if they could more clearly quantify its business impact.

V. PROPOSED EMPLOYER BRANDING IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK (EBIF)

Based on the research findings and drawing on the conceptual frameworks of Backhaus and Tikoo (2004), Minchington (2010), and the EVP literature, this research proposes an Employer Branding Implementation Framework (EBIF) for Indian manufacturing and services organizations. The EBIF is structured around four sequential yet iterative components:

A. EVP Discovery and Articulation

The foundation of the EBIF is a structured process for discovering and articulating the organization's genuine Employee Value Proposition. This involves internal focus groups and surveys with high-performing employees to identify what they value most about working for the organization, external candidate perception surveys to understand how the organization is perceived in the talent market, competitive benchmarking to identify differentiation opportunities, and leadership workshops to validate and commit to an authentic EVP that genuinely reflects the organization's culture and capabilities.

For Chh. Sambhaji Nagar-based manufacturing organizations, the EVP may centre on themes such as technical skill development and craftsmanship excellence, job stability in a region with a growing industrial base, opportunities for upward mobility in growing organizations, and strong community and collegial workplace culture — all of which represent genuine and differentiated value offerings in the regional context.

B. Brand Communication and Digital Presence

The second component focuses on translating the articulated EVP into consistent, compelling, and multi-channel brand communications. This includes a career-focused section of the company website with employee testimonials, culture videos, and transparent information about career pathways; active management of LinkedIn and relevant social media channels with regular employer brand content; campus engagement programs with regional engineering and management institutions; and participation in employer awards and recognition programs that build external brand credibility.

Organizations with limited budgets should prioritize employee-generated content — authentic peer testimonials and day-in-the-life stories are more cost-effective and credible than professionally produced advertising. The proliferation of mobile video creation tools makes this accessible even to resource-constrained SMEs.

C. Internal Brand Culture and Employee Experience

Employer branding is only sustainable when the internal employee experience genuinely reflects the externally communicated employer brand. The third EBIF component focuses on ensuring that the candidate experience during recruitment — from application through onboarding — and the broader employee experience during tenure are consistently aligned with the EVP promise. This requires structured onboarding programs that connect new hires to the organization's purpose and culture, regular employee engagement surveys with visible follow-up action, investment in learning and development programs that deliver on career growth promises, and recognition and reward mechanisms that reinforce the values communicated in employer branding.

D. Measurement and Continuous Improvement

The fourth component establishes a performance measurement system for employer branding ROI. Key metrics should include application conversion rate, offer acceptance rate, time-to-fill, cost-per-hire, new hire 90-day retention rate, employee Net Promoter Score (eNPS), and employer review ratings on platforms such as AmbitionBox and LinkedIn. Quarterly review of these metrics enables data-driven refinement of employer branding strategies and provides the business case evidence that sustains leadership investment in employer branding over time.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the research findings and the proposed EBIF, the following recommendations are offered to HR practitioners, organizational leaders, and policymakers:

- 1) **Define and Document the EVP:** Organizations should prioritize the formal articulation of their Employee Value Proposition as the foundational step in any employer branding initiative. Without a clear, authentic, and differentiated EVP, all downstream branding activities lack strategic coherence.
- 2) **Leverage Digital Channels Strategically:** Organizations should establish a strong and active presence on LinkedIn and at minimum one additional social media platform, prioritizing authentic employee-generated content over formal advertising. Even modest, consistent investment in digital employer branding yields measurable improvements in application quality and volume.
- 3) **Invest in the Candidate Experience:** The recruitment process is the first live manifestation of the employer brand for candidates. Organizations should audit their candidate experience at every stage — application, screening, interview, offer, and onboarding — and invest in improving responsiveness, transparency, and respect for candidate time.
- 4) **Align Internal Culture with External Branding:** Employer branding that over-promises relative to the actual employee experience is counterproductive, as employee-generated reviews on digital platforms will quickly expose the gap. Organizations must ensure that culture-building investments are made alongside external brand communications.
- 5) **Build HR Analytics Capability:** Organizations should implement basic HR analytics capabilities that allow them to track employer branding ROI metrics consistently over time. The data demonstrates clear business cases for branding investment — organizations that can quantify this ROI will secure greater leadership support for employer branding initiatives.
- 6) **Foster Campus Partnerships:** Regional engineering and management colleges represent a critical talent pipeline for Chh. Sambhaji Nagar-based organizations. Investment in structured campus engagement programs — including guest lectures, live projects, internships, and campus ambassador programs — builds employer brand awareness among target candidate cohorts before formal recruitment.
- 7) **Leverage Existing Employees as Brand Ambassadors:** High-performing, engaged employees are the most credible ambassadors of the employer brand. Organizations should create structured employee advocacy programs that encourage and support employees in sharing authentic employer brand content on personal social media channels.

VII. CONCLUSION

This research has demonstrated, through empirical evidence drawn from organizations in the Chh. Sambhaji Nagar industrial ecosystem, that employer branding is not merely a reputational nicety but a quantifiable strategic capability that directly drives talent acquisition performance. Organizations with strong, authentic, and consistently communicated employer brands achieve superior outcomes across every dimension of talent acquisition — from application conversion and offer acceptance to new hire retention and quality of hire. The competitive advantage conferred by employer branding is particularly significant in the Indian Tier-2 city context, where talent pool limitations make every percentage point improvement in recruitment efficiency consequential.

The Employer Branding Implementation Framework proposed in this study provides a practical, sequenced roadmap for organizations seeking to build or enhance their employer brand, with particular attention to the resource and capability constraints facing small and medium enterprises. By beginning with honest EVP discovery, investing in authentic multi-channel communication, ensuring that the internal employee experience genuinely reflects the external brand promise, and establishing clear ROI measurement practices, even resource-constrained organizations can progressively build employer brands that confer meaningful competitive advantages in talent markets.

As the manufacturing and services sectors in Marathwada continue to grow and attract investment, the competition for qualified technical and managerial talent will intensify. Organizations that invest in employer branding today are building a long-term competitive moat — one that is difficult for competitors to replicate quickly and that grows more powerful over time as brand reputation compounds through employee advocacy, alumni networks, and word-of-mouth. For HR leaders and organizational decision-makers in Chh. Sambhaji Nagar and comparable industrial contexts, the strategic case for employer branding investment has never been clearer.

Future research should examine the longitudinal impact of formalized EVP communication programs on talent acquisition outcomes in Indian manufacturing SMEs, the role of digital employer branding in reaching talent in remote or rural feeder geographies, and the interaction between employer branding and diversity, equity, and inclusion outcomes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambler, T., & Barrow, S. (1996). The Employer Brand. *Journal of Brand Management*, 4(3), 185-206.
- [2] Aguinis, H. (2013). *Performance Management* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- [3] Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and Researching Employer Branding. *Career Development International*, 9(5), 501-517.
- [4] Berthon, P., Ewing, M., & Hah, L. L. (2005). Captivating Company: Dimensions of Attractiveness in Employer Branding. *International Journal of Advertising*, 24(2), 151-172.
- [5] CEB Corporate Executive Board. (2014). *The EVP Playbook: Creating a Compelling Employee Value Proposition*. CEB Publications.
- [6] Dutta, D., & Khatri, P. (2009). Employer Branding and Employee Retention: Study of Indian IT Companies. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 45(1), 1-15.
- [7] LinkedIn Talent Solutions. (2023). *Global Talent Trends 2023*. LinkedIn Corporation.
- [8] Michaels, E., Handfield-Jones, H., & Axelrod, B. (2001). *The War for Talent*. Harvard Business School Press.
- [9] Minchington, B. (2010). *The Employer Brand Manager's Handbook*. Collective Learning Australia.
- [10] Moroko, L., & Uncles, M. (2008). Characteristics of Successful Employer Brands. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(3), 160-175.
- [11] Roy, S. K. (2008). Measuring Customer Experience in IT Industry with Reference to Employer Branding. *Journal of Management Research*, 8(3), 140-153.
- [12] Sartain, L., & Schumann, M. (2006). *Brand from the Inside: Eight Essentials to Emotionally Connect Your Employees to Your Business*. Jossey-Bass.
- [13] Sivertzen, A. M., Nilsen, E. R., & Olafsen, A. H. (2013). Employer Branding: Employer Attractiveness and the Use of Social Media. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 22(7), 473-483.
- [14] Sullivan, J. (2004). Eight Elements of a Successful Employment Brand. *ER Daily*, 23, 501-517.
- [15] Tanwar, K., & Prasad, A. (2016). Exploring the Relationship between Employer Branding and Employee Retention. *Global Business Review*, 17(3S), 186S-206S.
- [16] National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), Government of India. (2022). *Periodic Labour Force Survey 2021-22*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.
- [17] NASSCOM. (2023). *India Tech Industry: State of the Sector Report 2023*. NASSCOM Publications, New Delhi.
- [18] Confederation of Indian Industry (CII). (2023). *Human Capital Report: Talent Strategies for India's Manufacturing Resurgence*. CII Publications, New Delhi.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)