



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** V **Month of publication:** May 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.70664>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Enhancing Deepfake Detection through Hybrid Mobile Net-LSTM Model with Real-Time Image and Video Analysis

Ewan Ulysses P F¹, Varun Prakash M², Dr. Raghavendra V³

^{1,2}B Tech CSE, ³Assistant Professor, SRM Institution of Science & Technology Chennai, India

Abstract: *The race to crush information integrity and public trust is being won by one thing: deepfakes, AI manipulated media. The goal is to enhance our Deepfake detection using MobileNet and LSTM network. MobileNet's lightweight CNN architecture is able to coreinduce thevisual features in an image by an image and in a videoand can pick up the slight visual clues of textures and facial structure. A temporal inconsistencies that cannotbeseenby image base methods are then analyzed using an LSTM network. The hybrid model is trained on real and deepfake media datasets, and is thus adaptable to emerging deepfake techniques. This has auserfaceinterfacetoanalyzethereal time and fly mediatogetheanalysisandanalysis scoreand visual feedback of the identified artifacts. Unique to this system is its versatility for images and videos, and its real time capability, making it a suitable choice forpracticaluse in social media,journalism,andlawenforcementcombating the spread of misinformation with a guarantee of digital media authenticity.*

Keywords: *Media integrity, real-time detection,temporal modeling,imageanalysis,videoanalysis,mo bilenet lstm, real time detection, deepfake detection.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Advancements in artificial intelligence have produced deepfake tech which leverages to create highly convincing manipulated media that might be images or videos. Deepfakes startedoutasseenbysomeasaform of creative expression, but have quickly turned into abigconcerninallfields—frompoliticstonewsmediato social platforms. Deepfake algorithms have enabled voters to create synthetic content where they appear to perform actions or make statements they neverdid,and this medium has now become a powerful purveyor of misinformation, a reputation wrecker andadestroyerof public trust in digital information.

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) at the heartof most deepfake generation techniques permit it to generate a person's likeness or actions with extremely high precision. Although deepfake generation has become more accessible (and creates near authentic content with or without specializedtechnicalexpertise), this technology continues to grow in impact,questioning media authenticity and digital integrity.

Most of the existing detection methods are trained to find visual inconsistencies or artifacts like the presence of facial articulation irregularity or some mismatch of lighting from one frame to another. Although very effective against standard manipulations, they do not work well against sophisticated deepfake algorithms aimed at eliminating or camouflaging such cues. In addition, image-based analysis is the main limitationof many systems, restricting them toanalysisofsequential patterns (e.g., video based contents).

In this work we propose a hybrid model for deepfake detection using MobileNet, a lightweight CNN optimized for spatial feature extraction and Long Short Term Memory networks (LSTM) specialized for temporal analysis. Satisfyingly, MobileNet can successfully localize spatial inconsistencies inindividual frames, including texture anomalies and misaligned facial features. This is complemented by LSTM which analyzes sequential dependency among frames to detect temporal inconsistencies characteristic of manipulated videos.

The proposed system addresses the problem using a unified approach using a combination of spatial and temporal features by integrating MobileNet andLSTM. In MobileNet, each video frame are processed independently to extract spatial features, followed by sequential analysis by LSTM on the temporal pattern represented by the extracted spatial features. The system's ability to capture subtle, sophisticated, and high value manipulations is improved due to this dual focus architecture.

On a large and diversified dataset including real and deep fake images and videos created through many methods, the model is trained.

This comprehensive training boosts adaptability to the future in the deepfake generation space. In addition, the lightweight design of the model supports near real time analysis, a prerequisite for real world applications including social media monitoring, journalism, and public safety.

The system provides an easy to use interface enabling uploading of images, videos or URLs for analysis. It provides visual explanations of detected anomalies while being accessible to non-technical users, and outputs a detection score so that the process is transparent. Through their application to a range of different domains, this system provides evidence of its ability to fight misinformation and maintain digital media authenticity.

To this end, it can be summarized that the presented model of this project is a robust, efficient and user-friendly hybrid model that involves spatial and temporal analysis. Its real time capabilities, and the appeal it carries in real time application to safeguard digitized information from manipulation and misuse makes it a nice to have tool.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

1) *J. Choy, S.W. Lee, and C.P.M. Chan See (2021)*

A deepfake detection model was proposed in [1], which integrates deep learning and biometric analysis, based on iris patterns as detection metrics. Their model took advantage of these iris replication challenges to improve upon detection accuracy by real fine-grained details. Nevertheless, the model's addressing of high resolution media is restricted in cases of low video quality. Furthermore, since temporal inconsistencies in video sequences are crucial for a robust detection, this approach does not address them.

2) *W. Wang, J. Dong, Y. Tang, Y. Zhang, and H. Liu (2021)*

The Inception-Res-net architecture coupled with attention mechanisms was proposed by Dong et al. [2] for the implementation of a novel deep fake detection system. Facial regions susceptible to manipulation are selectively isolated by this integration so the model can discern fine artifacts in high fidelity deepfake videos. Attention mechanisms lead to reliability in detection of deepfakes, yet the system is unable to analyze temporal dependencies and thus limits effectiveness in video based deepfake detection.

3) *A. Kurniawan, M. Pamungkas, and M.N. Husen (2020)*

In Husen et al. [3], an Inception-ResNet-v2 architecture mated with LSTM layers was presented to model spatial and temporal features for deepfake detection. CNN components worked well to extract frame specific features and the LSTM layers helped to capture the inconsistencies across the frames. We showed that using the spatial as well as temporal analysis is important, still the computational cost is rather high which hinders real time deployment.

4) *J. Cheng, A. Jaiswal, Y. Wu, L. Nataraj, M. Sabir and S. Chandrasekaran (2020)*

In a sequential inconsistency detection of deepfake videos, Sabir et al. [4] proposed a recurrent convolutional model. The model captured motion and facial expression inconsistencies that are not evident in single frame evaluation by combining CNNs with recurrent layers. However, this method significantly enhanced video analysis by relying on plentiful high quality datasets but it was not efficient in authenticating low resolution deepfakes.

5) *S. Marcel and P. Korshunov (2020)*

He compared human versus machine performance in detecting deepfakes, according to Korshunov and Marcel [5]. However, their findings showed that machine learning models are better at identifying subtle manipulations that are not seen by humans. But the study stressed that automated systems must learn to generalize well to new types of deepfakes, especially in real world applications.

6) *V. Agarwal, R. Chugh, S. Subramanian, and K.R. Ramakrishnan (2021)*

In Chugh et al. [6], a hybrid deepfake detection model consisting of CNNs and Transformer architectures is proposed. Xception addressed limitations of traditional CNNs where the extra temporal context cannot be captured, by utilizing Transformers to leverage long range dependencies. However, the detection accuracy significantly improved for long video sequences at the price of high computational cost.

7) *A.Verma,R.Jain,andS.Mittal(2020)*

Mittal et al. [7] find that transfer learning for deepfake detection can both reduce training time and increase detection accuracy by using pre-trained models. Their results showed the capability of transfer learning on scenarios with little training data. But adaptation to the new manipulation techniques uses a pre-trained model, thus reducing its ability and bias by the sources dataset in the pre-trained model.

8) *M. Llewellyn, T. Hartley, T. S. Ip, M.AhmedandL. Stroebel (2023)*

Stroebel et al. [12] performed a systematic review of deepfake detection techniques and distinguished between them as biological, spatial, and temporal approaches. Most generalization and adaptational problems are highlighted in the study and hybrid models are needed to make generalization and adaptability in effective ways.

9) *Y.Zhang,H.LiuandW.Wang(2020)*

In [8], Wang et al. surveyed deeply a comprehensive survey of deepfake detection methods, classifying approaches directly based on CNNs, RNNs, GANs, and hybrid architectures. Finally, they analyze the strengths and weaknesses of these methods, especially to deal with real time applications and various data formats.

10) *J.Lv,H.Song,Z.Yu,G.Yang,X.Luo(2020)*

Luo et al. [9] proposed a CNN approach on combined facial and environmental stream context in deepfake videos. Dual focus improved detection accuracy by capturing inconsistencies in the background and facial features. Although effective, the approach is computationally expensive, which restricts its real time applicability.

11) *Singh, S.; Kaushal, A.; Negi, S; and Chhaukar, S. (2022)*

In their work, Kaushal et al. [13] compare CNN and Transformer based, and hybrid deepfake detection models, while revealing that the latter outperforms the previous in detecting more advanced manipulations. In addition, they determined that attention mechanisms can improve detection precision.

12) *M.Ma,C.Liu,Z.Lei,Y.Wen,andY.Yang(2022)*

GMM-MobileNet architecture, combining Gaussian Mixture Models for preposing and MobileNet for feature extraction was introduced by Wen et al. [14]. With the focus on inconsistencies in codec based applications this lightweight design has delivered significant efficiency in real time applications, but does restrict versatility.

13) *Y.Li,H.JiangandX.Wang(2019)*

CNNs are used by Wang et al. [10] to target compression related artifacts in low quality deepfake videos. For low bandwidth environments, their model worked well at detecting manipulations in compressed media. However, confidence in artifact based detection can suffer, particularly in high quality content.

14) *N.Rosetti(2020)*

In this thesis, I explore the use of Transformers and LSTMs in deepfake detection, where a frame based inconsistency and temporal misalignments are investigated by Rosetti [11]. Although computational complexity is a concern, the study showed that combining these architectures reduces detection accuracy and greatly increases accuracy in multi frame scenarios.

15) *K.S.Charan,M.Abhineswari, and B. N. Shrikarti (2024)*

In transfer learning for deepfake detection, the previous work Abhineswari et al. [15] had noticed good results for fine grained artifacts with models like EfficientNet and ResNet. However, the use of pre-trained architectures revealed the demands of fine tuning to handle specific challenges in the dataset.

16) *Singh,G;Guleria,K;Sharma,S(2024)*

Singh et al. [16] also suggest a fine tuned MobileNetV3 model for detecting subtle distortions in manipulated media. Since the model is lightweight, the model is fit for the edge devices, but it is mainly applicable to image based analysis.

17) Raza,A.,Munir,K.andAlmutairi,M.(2022)

To increase the deepfake detection reliability, Raza et al. used Ensemble learning techniques. While the approach showed higher computational overhead upon implementation, the accuracy was generally improved over a range of datasets.

18) H.S.Chen,S.Hu,S.You,andC.C.J.Kuo(2022)

Defakehop++ is a lightweight detection framework emphasizing computational efficiency which is presented by Chen et al.[18]. Although it is suitable for large scale deployment, its simplicity limits its effectiveness at identifying sophisticated manipulations.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

In this work, a complete deepfake detection model based on MobileNet and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks is proposed. A hybrid approach coupling MobileNet's onboard spatial pattern extraction to LSTM's temporal dependency analysis in video sequences, affords a robust manipulation identification system based on deepfake. The following steps outline the methodology in detail:

A. Data Collection and Preprocessing

A huge and diverse set of real and deepfake media was collected in order to create a robust, versatile model. The dataset encompasses different methods of deepfake generation and it generalizes them over various manipulation techniques.

- 1) Data Augmentation: Transformation of data set such as rotation, flipping, addition of noise, cropping for enhancing dataset diversity to create a real world dataset and make them robust.
- 2) Frame Extraction and Normalization: Resizing frames of videos to have consistent resolution and fix pixel bit values to avoid skewing the data.
- 3) Balanced Dataset: Preventing bias by ensuring repeated distribution of real versus fake data over multiple deepfake techniques. Preprocessing with these techniques provides the same consistent, well structured input dataset that is critical for training models and detecting.

B. Feature Extraction with MobileNet

MobileNet, a lightweight CNN architecture, During individual frames, we use a lightweight CNN architecture, MobileNet, to efficiently extract spatial features. It then makes a prediction on whether the common cues (e.g. texture, facial alignment, lighting variation) imply manipulation. Key improvements include:

- 1) Pre-Trained Weights: Enhanced feature extraction using transfer learning using weights pre trained on ImageNet dataset.
 - 2) Batch Normalization: However, by using batch normalization layers, we can stabilize training and accelerate convergence.
 - 3) Real-Time Capability: Enabling real time applications with same detection precision with MobileNet's fast processing speed.
- These extracted spatial features constitute the input for subsequent temporal analysis used to detect inconsistencies in video sequences.

C. Temporal Analysis with LSTM

An LSTM network is used based on the MobileNet spatial features extracted from video frames to analyze the sequential feature relationships. It is shown that LSTM is able to capture temporal patterns such as motion and expression inconsistency that are difficult to replicate in the deepfake videos. The enhancements include:

- 1) Hyperparameter Tuning: Improving the temporal dependent analysis via optimization of the number of LSTM units, dropout rates and activation functions.
- 2) Temporal Loss Function: Motivation for introducing a loss function for emphasizing sequential consistency and improving temporal feature learning.

This integration allows addressing the manipulation detection over time, which is typically ignored with single shot analysis.

D. Hybrid Model Training

Optimization abilities towards spatial and temporal pattern of detection are used to train a MobileNet-LSTM hybrid model, over the preprocessed dataset.

Training involves:

- 1) Learning Rate Scheduling: Convergence efficiently using ReduceLR on Plateau for learning rate adjustment dynamically.

- 2) Adversarial Training: To improve model robustness against sophisticated deepfake techniques, we expose the model to adversarial examples.
- 3) Combined Loss Functions: We use a combination of binary cross entropy loss for classification and temporal loss for sequential analysis.

Our hybrid model is intended to be elastic to multiple deepfake approaches, both robust and versatile in detecting.

E. User Interface Development

For practical applications a user friendly interface is developed which permits a user to upload images or video or files to be analyzed. Key features include:

- 1) Real-Time Detection: Real-time deepfake analysis is supported for the interface displaying a detection score as well as visual explanations of identified anomalies.
- 2) Transparency and Usability: Media verification by identifying and highlighting manipulated regions within media targeting user trust and providing actionable insights for the media verifier.

As for the interface, it is designed to be accessible for non technical users which makes it apt at application areas, journalism, social media monitoring, law enforcement, etc.

F. Model Evaluation and Optimization

Metrics like accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score are used to evaluate trained models so that it's reliable. Key steps include:

- 1) Performance Validation: Adaptability and robustness on unseen deepfake techniques.
- 2) Hyperparameter Fine-Tuning: Change of MobileNet and LSTM parameters to achieve high performance.
- 3) Processing Speed Optimization: Architectural adjustment to achieve real time requirement for detection speed.

The optimizations made sure that the model remains stable and efficient in different operational situations.

G. Deployment and Real-World Testing

We optimize the model for deployment on platforms from social media to news agencies and content verification services. Real-world testing involves:

- 1) Diverse Media Sources: Running the model on media from different sources to validate its accuracy and speed under varied conditions.
- 2) User Feedback Integration: Tinkering the model and interface to get better usability and performance through feedback.

New deepfake detection methods are incorporated regularly, taking as an underlying assumption that the model must be regularly updated for the model to stay effective with evolving manipulation techniques.

H. Continuous Improvement and Updates

The model continues to be retrained periodically with the latest deepfake data in order to maintain effectiveness. Key strategies include:

- 1) Online Training: We propose to incorporate online learning to adapt to novel manipulation techniques without full retraining.
- 2) Multimodal Analysis: Improving the model's ability to analyze combined audio and visual data, in addition to expanding its capabilities to detect.
- 3) These improvements guarantee that the MobileNet-LSTM solution continues to be a powerful weapon against deepfake media in an ever evolving digital space.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of the proposed MobileNet-LSTM hybrid model for deepfake detection is compared to baseline models such as standalone MobileNet, standalone LSTM, and a CNN baseline. The proposed approach was evaluated based on accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score for robustness and reliability purposes. The results are presented quantitatively, graphically analyzed, and fully discussed in this section.

A. Quantitative Results

Table 1 gives a comparative performance of the MobileNet-Lstm hybrid model against baseline models. Results show the hybrid approach achieves more accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, compared to the existing policies..

Model	Accuracy (%)	Precision (%)	Recall (%)	F1-Score (%)
Standalone MobileNet	84.5	82.3	85.0	83.6
Standalone LSTM	80.2	78.9	80.0	79.4
CNN Baseline	83.1	81.5	82.0	81.7
MobileNet-LSTM (Proposed)	91.8	89.4	90.5	89.9

Table 1: Comparative Performance Metrics of Proposed Model and Baseline Models

B. Interpretation of Quantitative Results

Results in Table 1 verify that the inclusion of spatial and temporal analysis significantly improves deepfake detection performance.

- 1) **High Accuracy:** All baseline models are outperformed by the MobileNet-LSTM hybrid model with an accuracy of 91.8. Thus, we combined spatial feature extraction with temporal sequence analysis, and showed that this is effective for detecting deepfake manipulations.
- 2) **Improved Precision and Recall:** The hybrid model captures the dilemma between low false positives and false negatives by achieving identification of deepfake content with a precision of 89.4% and recall of 90.5%. The model's reliability is validated by these metrics on practical problems such as media verification and security.
- 3) **Balanced F1-Score:** The F1 score of 89.9% provides evidence the model achieves an appropriate trade off between precision and recall to reliably detect events in diverse test scenarios.

C. Graphical Performance Analysis

The further illustration of comparison performance can be seen in Fig. 2, in which the accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score are displayed graphically for MobileNet-LSTM and benchmark approaches.




Fig. 2: Comparative Performance Metrics of Proposed and Baseline Models

We show that the MobileNetLSTM hybrid model clearly outperforms all other models in all evaluation metrics. Through spatial and temporal analysis integration, it is evident that the enhancements are real as recall and F1 score are significantly improved.

D. Discussion

Experimental results demonstrate the significant improvements in deepfake detection achieved by the proposed MobileNet-LSTM hybrid model:

- 1) **High Accuracy and Robustness:** We achieved an accuracy of 91.8% which is a power improvement over the standalone MobileNet and LSTM model. Since we demonstrate robustness of the combined spatial-temporal analysis to a wide range of deepfake techniques and scenarios, we are highlighting the ability of this approach to unearth such scenarios through verification.
- 2) **Enhanced Precision and Recall:** The model's ability to detect manipulations has precision and recall values of 89.4% and 90.5%, respectively. Thanks to higher recall reducing the risk of missing deepfake content, and higher precision making fewer false alarms, which is important for public safety use cases and media content authentication.
- 3) **Generalized Performance with Balanced F1-Score:** The good F1 score of 89.9% allows for a precision and recall balanced model for data from different datasets and under different test conditions. The balanced performance of the model guarantees its applicability to the real world scenarios.
- 4) **Real-Time Applicability:** MobileNet allows for real time detection capabilities and coupled with the effort of the LSTM guarantees lightweight architecture, and hence, the system is feasible for applications like social media monitoring, journalism, or law enforcement.
- 5) **Significance of Spatial-Temporal Integration:** By fusing MobileNet for spatial feature extraction and LSTM for sequence level analysis, the model can find these frame level and sequence level inconsistencies. A limitation of the previous approach is that they only consider spatial or temporal features. This integration addresses those limitations.

V. CONCLUSION

This work introduces a hybrid MobileNet-LSTM model resulting in a robust and effective solution for deepfake detection, leveraging the joint usage of spatial and temporal analysis. By virtue of the efficient extraction of fine grained spatial features by the MobileNet component and temporal patterns on sequential frames by the LSTM network, manipulations in the images as well as video are detected. The experimental results verify the superiority of the proposed model compared to standalone architectures and traditional CNN based methods that result in superior accuracy, precision, recall and F1-score.

Finally, we show the accuracy of the MobileNet-LSTM model is 91.8%, which is robust and adaptable to different deep fake techniques. The model achieves a precision of 89.4% and recall of 90.5%, with high reliability in terms of detecting manipulated content and low rate of false positives and negatives. This results in a balanced F1-score of 89.9% which demonstrates that it generalizes well across all sorts of real world testing scenarios rendering it well suited to be used as part of numerous applications.

The proposed model is lightweight and enables real time detection, supporting real time deepfake media detection requiring timely and accurate detection. This capability makes social media monitoring, digital forensics, journalism and public safety practical applications of this capability. The user-friendly interface also improves usability by providing non technical users an intuitive way to get detection results and visual explanations of detected anomalies helping build trust and transparency for business users.

Overall, the proposed hybrid MobileNet-LSTM model makes the contributions of removing the spatial and temporal limitations of deepfake detection systems by using spatial analysis and temporal analysis. And owing to its real time capability, high performance and user friendly design, it is an appropriate tool to combat the persistent problem of deepfake media. Later works may be aimed at incorporating multimodal inputs, e.g. audio and textual analysis, to further enhance detection accuracy and flexibility in dynamic digital environments.

VI. FUTURE WORK

Thereby future work can improve the scalability, adaptability and robustness of mobile deepfake detection model MobileNet LSTM on mobile model either on real world test cases. Pruning techniques and lightweight architectures would make large scale real time deployment in particular on the resource constrained environment of mobile devices. The future of deepfakes is, if we want to catch up with the technology, to include online training or somehow transfer learning to avoid a full restart every time the manipulation technique gets new. To increase detection accuracy even further, we can extend the model by adding multimodal detection by joint audio and visual analysis for consistency across channels, particularly when dealing with video content.

Apart from user trust and adoption, the model should ideally become more transparent and interpretable, through visualization techniques focused on regions that have been manipulated or at least on temporal inconsistencies developed. But we cannot ignore that we can also make the model more robust to subtle manipulation attempts by also dealing with adversarial model robustness through adversarial training. Such expanded testing of the model on diverse scenarios including live media streams would validate the model's performance in beyond deriving test datasets, which also align with the claims of ethical and privacy concerns raised by journalism and law enforcement. These models will continue to advance MobileNet-LSTM will as a powerful tool for deepfake detection, for an ever evolving media landscape.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chan, C. P. M., Lee, S. W., & See, J. (2021). DeepFake Video Detection Using Deep Learning and Iris Detection. 2021 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Anchorage, AK, USA, pp. 1239–1243. doi: 10.1109/ICIP42928.2021.9506664.
- [2] Dong, J., Wang, W., Tang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Liu, H. (2021). Deepfake Video Detection Using Inception-ResNet and Attention Mechanism. 2021 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), Shenzhen, China, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICME51207.2021.9428434.
- [3] Husen, M. N., Kurniawan, A., & Pamungkas, M. (2020). Deepfake Detection on Video Sequences Using Inception-ResNet-v2 and LSTM. 2020 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Autonomous Systems (ICoIAS), Singapore, pp. 134–138. doi: 10.1109/ICoIAS49312.2020.9081914.
- [4] Sabir, M., Cheng, J., Jaiswal, A., Wu, Y., Nataraj, L., & Chandrasekaran, S. (2020). Recurrent Convolutional Strategies for Face Manipulation Detection in Videos. 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), Seattle, WA, USA, pp. 1643–1652. doi: 10.1109/CVPRW50498.2020.00203.
- [5] Korshunov, P., & Marcel, S. (2020). Human vs. Machine: Benchmarking Humans Against Deepfake Detection Systems. 2020 8th International Conference on Biometrics Theory, Applications and Systems (BTAS), Washington, DC, USA, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/BTAS48898.2020.9528289.
- [6] Chugh, R., Agarwal, V., Subramanian, S., & Ramakrishnan, K. R. (2021). Not Made For Each Other— Combining CNN and Transformers for Deepfake Detection. 2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Montreal, QC, Canada, pp. 15024–15033. doi: 10.1109/ICCV48922.2021.01514.
- [7] Mittal, S., Verma, A., & Jain, R. (2020). Transfer Learning for Deepfake Detection. 2020 6th International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication (ICSC), Noida, India, pp. 69–74. doi: 10.1109/ICSC48311.2020.9182767.
- [8] Wang, W., Zhang, Y., & Liu, H. (2020). Deep Neural Networks for Deepfake Detection: A Survey. 2020 IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Engineering (AIKE), Phuket, Thailand, pp. 290–296. doi: 10.1109/AIKE48582.2020.00048.
- [9] Luo, X., Lv, J., Song, H., Yu, Z., & Yang, G. (2020). Dual-Stream CNNs for Forgery Detection in DeepFake Videos. 2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Abu Dhabi, UAE, pp. 2556–2560. doi: 10.1109/ICIP40778.2020.9191035.
- [10] Wang, X., Li, Y., & Jiang, H. (2019). Fake Video Detection with Convolutional Neural Networks. 2019 10th International Conference on Advanced Computational Intelligence (ICACI), Guilin, China, pp. 182–186. doi: 10.1109/ICACI.2019.8778503.
- [11] Rosetti, N. (2020). Deepfake Detection Using LSTMs, Transformers and Video-Level Artifacts.
- [12] Stroebel, L., Llewellyn, M., Hartley, T., Ip, T. S., & Ahmed, M. (2023). A Systematic Literature Review on the Effectiveness of Deepfake Detection Techniques. *Journal of CyberSecurity Technology*, 7(2), 83–113.
- [13] Kaushal, A., Singh, S., Negi, S., & Chhaukar, S. (2022). A Comparative Study on DeepFake Detection Algorithms. 2022 4th International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication Control and Networking (ICAC3N), pp. 854–860. IEEE.
- [14] Wen, Y., Lei, Z., Yang, Y., Liu, C., & Ma, M. (2022). Multi-Path GMM-MobileNet Based on Attack Algorithms and Codecs for Synthetic Speech and Deepfake Detection. *INTERSPEECH*, pp. 4795–4799.
- [15] Abhineswari, M., Charan, K. S., & Shrikarti, B. N. (2024). Deep Fake Detection Using Transfer Learning: A Comparative Study of Multiple Neural Networks. 2024 International Conference on Signal Processing, Computation, Electronics, Power and Telecommunication (ICONSCEPT), pp. 1–6. IEEE.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)