



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 Issue: X Month of publication: October 2024
DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.64850

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Exploring Machine Learning Applications in Sports Injury Prediction Analysis

Vedant Bhatia

Computer engineering Student at Thakur College of engineering and technology

Abstract: Injuries, particularly those resulting from repetitive strain on the body, pose a significant challenge in athletics, where prevention has traditionally relied on historical data and human expertise. Existing methods for injury prevention have struggled to achieve higher precision in practice. However, technological advancements now enable artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) to emerge as promising tools for enhancing both injury mitigation and rehabilitation strategies. This article provides a detailed overview of recent ML advances applied to sports injury prediction and prevention. A comprehensive literature review was conducted using databases such as PubMed/Medline, IEEE/IET, and Science Direct, with additional resources from Ovid Discovery and Google Scholar, including a grey literature search. Focus was placed on studies published between 2017 and 2022, examining algorithms including K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), K-means, decision trees, random forest, gradient boosting, AdaBoost, and neural networks. A total of 42 original research papers were reviewed and their findings summarized. While the current lack of open-source, standardized datasets and the reliance on dated regression models limit strong conclusions about ML's real-world efficacy in sports injury prediction, addressing these challenges could enable the deployment of advanced ML architectures, thereby accelerating progress in this field and supporting the development of validated clinical tools.

I. INTRODUCTION

Machine learning (ML) is a sophisticated field broadly defined by the development of computer systems capable of learning from experience and adapting without direct programming to produce predictive insights [1,2]. As computational capabilities have advanced, so too has the adoption of ML across various disciplines, including sports medicine. Injury assessment, mitigation, and prevention are crucial in this field, as injuries are both widespread and capable of inflicting serious physical, emotional, and financial impacts, particularly in professional sports. To better understand the complex factors influencing athlete injuries and to achieve more accurate predictions, numerous ML models have been proposed in recent studies [3-6]. With continual advancements in computational technology, larger and more intricate ML algorithms, as well as the application of previously theoretical approaches, are now feasible. Periodically reviewing literature in this area is valuable, both to understand current trends and to support future model development. Existing reviews, however, tend to have limitations: some focus on data mining perspectives without emphasizing recent findings [5], others concentrate on specific sports [7-9], limit their scope [3,4,10], or focus solely on team sports [6]. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of ML applications in sports injury prediction across various sports and algorithms. By categorizing algorithms based on their function, limitations, and current or potential use in sports medicine, we provide a foundation for exploring novel ML models and methodologies in this evolving field.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper presents a comprehensive solution for automated attendance tracking within educational institutions, addressing the inefficiencies and limitations of traditional systems through a GPS and navigation-based wireless transmission system. The proposed design incorporates GPS-enabled barcode identification and continuous navigation tracking to provide accurate, hands-free attendance verification without requiring physical interactions from students.

The system functions by detecting students' proximity to a classroom through GPS, automatically reading barcodes embedded on their ID cards, and employing navigation tracking to confirm continued presence within the classroom. Wireless transmission of data to a central database allows real-time updates, enabling streamlined attendance management and eliminating the need for manual entry. Testing demonstrated high accuracy and reliability, with feedback indicating an improved user experience and convenience. By reducing physical wear on equipment and offering contactless functionality, this system enhances efficiency, minimises errors, and provides administrators with dependable attendance data.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue X Oct 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

The proposed model also introduces data encryption and privacy measures to address potential concerns over continuous tracking.Looking forward, this solution represents a scalable, practical application of smart technologies within campus settings, providing a pathway toward more efficient and secure attendance management. Future development could explore energy-efficient modules, advanced indoor positioning systems, and expanded privacy protections to further refine and enhance system functionality.

III. REVIEW

A. Methods

A thorough literature review was conducted across multiple databases. Results were compiled using Ovid Discovery and Google Scholar, with specific searches performed on PubMed/Medline, IEEE/IET, and ScienceDirect. The review focused on papers published from 2017 to 2022. Algorithms were selected based on a preliminary literature scan and included K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), K-means, decision trees, random forest, gradient boosting, AdaBoost, and neural networks (NNs). Search terms combined each algorithm with keywords like "sport" and "injury" (e.g., "neural network" + "sport" + "injury"), and variations in algorithm names and abbreviations were explored. Only articles focused on sports injury prediction and analysis were included, excluding inaccessible or non-English papers. Forty-two original research articles and eight reviews met the inclusion criteria. Notably, papers primarily using linear or logistic regression were excluded, as these approaches do not represent recent advancements in predictive analysis. This article was initially posted on the SportRxiv preprint server on November 16, 2022.

B. Expected Results

Findings from the comprehensive review are presented below. Studies were categorized by the primary algorithm investigated, with papers featuring multiple algorithms placed in the section of the most effective one, and cross-referenced in other sections where relevant.

Due to the varied study designs and diverse research aims, no quantitative aggregation or direct comparison of results was made; instead, general trends are presented in the Discussion. Challenges and limitations are also addressed in the Discussion. Due to the diversity of neural network applications, these studies are further subdivided into relevant categories.

C. K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN)

KNN has been applied in sports medicine using data from specialized sensors like accelerometers, gyroscopes, infrared sensors, and magnetometers to monitor athlete behavior across different sports. By analyzing data from various body parts, KNN can identify patterns that may predispose athletes to injuries, supporting injury prevention efforts [11]. A 2018 study incorporated KNN as part of a larger model, combining K-means and support vector machines (SVM) for injury prediction [12].

D. K-Means

In a 2020 study, Dingenen et al. used K-means clustering to categorize runners with similar injuries into subgroups, achieving a silhouette coefficient of 0.53 [13]. This helped differentiate kinematic factors contributing to running injuries. Ibáñez et al. (2022) used K-means to classify women's basketball players into skill-based divisions, assisting in personalized training adjustments to enhance performance and injury prevention [14].

E. Support Vector Machines (SVM)

SVMs in sports medicine have been trained with both modifiable (e.g., training load) and non-modifiable factors (e.g., previous injuries) to predict injury risks [4,15]. A 2018 study by Ruddy et al. used SVM alongside other ML algorithms to analyze hamstring strain risk [16]. Similarly, Carey et al. (2018) demonstrated that preprocessing data improved SVM performance for hamstring injury prediction, though logistic regression ultimately outperformed it [17].

F. Decision Trees

Modern decision trees, such as CHAID and CART, have been increasingly used for injury prediction. Connaboy et al. (2018) applied CHAID to identify injury factors in military personnel [22]. A CART decision tree was employed by Mendonça et al. to assess risk factors for patellar tendinopathy in volleyball and basketball players [23]. Variability in decision tree performance has been observed, with high accuracy in certain applications [24] but limited success in other contexts [27].



Volume 12 Issue X Oct 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

G. Random Forest

Studies have reported mixed outcomes with random forests in sports injury prediction. Some studies achieved notable results in predicting injury risk (AUC up to 0.79) [30,31], while others showed limited success [33]. Random forests appear most effective when optimized through rigorous feature selection, yet sensitivity to dataset variations may hinder performance consistency.

H. Gradient Boosting and AdaBoost

Gradient boosting has outperformed baseline regression and other algorithms for some classification problems. Notable studies include Nicholson et al. (2019), who used gradient boosting to predict upper body injuries in baseball players, achieving higher accuracy than other ML algorithms [37]. XGBoost, a variant of gradient boosting, achieved high prediction accuracy for concussion risk among football players [40].

I. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

CNNs have been particularly useful for processing time-series data from wearable sensors, allowing enhanced injury prediction for activities like beach volleyball [43]. Pappalardo et al. used CNNs to analyze time-series data from soccer players' tracking systems, developing an explainable injury prediction model [44]. These studies show CNN's strength in managing complex datasets and automating feature extraction.



J. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks

LSTM networks, particularly useful for time-series analysis, have demonstrated high accuracy when combined with CNNs. Meng et al. (2021) achieved 97% classification accuracy in stratifying athlete injury risk by combining CNN and LSTM nodes for image analysis [19].

K. Deep Gaussian Covariance Networks

A recent 2022 study proposed a prospective study using a deep Gaussian covariance network to investigate internal and external injury risk factors in runners [48]. This approach is promising for real-world sports injury analysis.





L. Radial Basis Function (RBF) Neural Networks

In 2021, Xiang applied an RBF-based neural network for injury risk prediction, with stratified injury levels validated by expert coaches [49]. The RBF neural network approach remains largely methodological, lacking robust validation in extensive datasets.

M. Fuzzy and Grey Neural Networks

Recent studies have explored theoretical applications of fuzzy and grey neural networks to sports injury prediction. These methods aim to manage variability inherent in sports injury data but have yet to demonstrate practical real-world applications [51,52].

- Enhanced Accuracy through Continuous Position Verification: With navigation tracking, the system ensures that students are genuinely within the classroom during attendance registration. This feature reduces proxy attendance and prevents students from being mistakenly marked present if they are not in the classroom.
- 2) *Real-Time Data Collection and Monitoring:* Wireless transmission allows for real-time updates to the central database, enabling administration to monitor attendance live and generate accurate reports promptly.
- 3) *Reduced Equipment Maintenance:* The contactless nature of the barcode scanner minimizes physical wear, reducing maintenance costs. Additionally, the use of GPS rather than fixed-position scanners lowers dependence on physical devices, further decreasing maintenance demands.

IV. LITERATURE SURVEY

Table 1. Summary of findings.

Strengths and weaknesses of each algorithm have been presented, along with the number of papers included in this survey. Note that some studies have been counted in more than one category.

| Algorithm | Number of studies | Strengths | Weaknesses | References |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| K-nearest neighbor | 2 | Simple to implement, unsupervised. | Sample size and data set size limitations, may be less accurate than other techniques, struggles with high-dimensionality data. | [11.12] |
| K-means | 2 | Simple to implement, unsupervised, useful for blind feature extraction and data exploration. | Better suited to initial data exploration than final classification when compared to other algorithms. | [<u>13,14</u>] |
| Support vector machines | 9 | Commonly integrated into ensemble models, increasing accuracy, able to handle high- dimensionality data. | Mixed success reported in the literature. | [<u>4.6.15</u> - 21] |

V. IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING

A. Discussion

The K-nearest neighbor (KNN) algorithm exhibits practical limitations concerning the sample sizes it can efficiently analyze. Nonetheless, its simplicity and versatility remain evident. The integration of specialized sensors for precise data collection has significantly enhanced KNN's injury recognition models, enabling a more effective identification of injury risk factors at the individual athlete level. This capability allows coaches and medical staff to adjust training methods proactively to mitigate identified injury risks. However, it's noteworthy that KNN has often been relegated to a comparative role in many studies. Future researchers should not overlook its potential applications.

Similarly, K-means proves effective in feature extraction and biokinetic data classification. Recent literature highlights its use not only for predicting high-performing athletes but also for preprocessing data. Utilizing K-means clustering early in the exploratory phase of analysis can yield valuable insights, and its consideration should not be dismissed in future research.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue X Oct 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com



Support vector machines (SVMs) are valuable for both predicting injuries and identifying associated risk factors. However, recent studies show mixed success with SVM-based models. Despite this variability, SVMs remain relevant, especially for high-dimensional data. The most effective SVM models often incorporate ensemble methods, combining the strengths of multiple algorithms.

Decision trees offer a transparent decision-making process, making them suitable for medical decision-making. They provide reasonable classification accuracy alongside a clear representation of knowledge. This transparency facilitates validation by experts, enhancing their utility in high-uncertainty scenarios. In contrast, random forest models improve predictive accuracy compared to decision trees, though they sacrifice some transparency. Both models demonstrate effectiveness in specific contexts, warranting consideration for injury prediction applications. Gradient boosting and AdaBoost represent significant advancements over traditional regression methods and the decision trees upon which they are based. These algorithms are not only easier to implement but also more transparent than neural networks, all while managing large feature sets effectively. They are particularly advantageous in binary classification contexts, offering a balance between complexity and predictive accuracy when the latter is prioritized over transparency. While gradient boosting provides substantial advantages, neural networks stand out as the most accurate and powerful machine learning (ML) algorithms currently available. This superior performance comes with increased complexity, longer training times, greater data requirements, and higher computational costs. Despite these challenges, studies consistently rank convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), and other neural network architectures favorably against their counterparts. However, there remains a lack of robust real-world validation, primarily due to limited access to large datasets. While utilizing player-mounted sensors for raw time series data collection is a valid approach, it neglects the capabilities of CNNs for image recognition and pose estimation, potentially dampening athlete enthusiasm for data collection in real-world situations. There is ample opportunity to explore innovative data collection and structuring methods, as well as to develop rigorous studies using realworld data. The applicability of any model architecture or combination of architectures hinges on properly tuned datasets, underscoring the necessity for larger real-world data sets to assess algorithm utility across different scenarios. If resources and data are available, neural networks should be given serious consideration. Additionally, it is pertinent to examine a recent systematic review by Bullock et al., which analyzed 30 studies applying ML to sports injury prediction. A notable aspect of their selection criteria was the inclusion of logistic and Poisson regression-valid but outdated predictive methods-while excluding newer modeling methodologies. Among the 30 papers reviewed, 22 relied on logistic regression, with two others utilizing Poisson regressionend highlights a significant bottleneck in applying ML to sports medicine, where many quality studies underutilize modern, powerful algorithms, favoring traditional but potentially insufficient regression techniques. While recent research endeavors to move beyond these basic models often yield unreliable and non-generalizable results, they still provide valuable insights for practical ML applications. Thus, it would be unreasonable to dismiss the relevance and applicability of ML in sports injury prediction based on outdated methodologies.

B. Limitations

Several studies on neural networks proposed novel algorithms but validated them on small or artificial datasets. The lack of transparent, real-world data or clear data collection and preparation methodologies limits the assessment of these algorithms' efficacy. Furthermore, while many articles present the mathematical equations utilized, they often do not provide explicit details about the model structures or the corresponding code.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue X Oct 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

Issues related to data and algorithm transparency are not confined to neural network studies. Many other papers discussed in this review also rely on small or artificial datasets, leading to inconsistent validation techniques and a high likelihood of data mishandling. A notable issue is the persistent problem of multicollinearity in physiological datasets, which remains largely unaddressed in the literature. Inter-article variability in algorithm efficacy complicates drawing strong conclusions from this review. It becomes challenging to compare the absolute performance of algorithms presented in different studies unless they are tested in identical conditions with the same datasets. Most studies do not provide the necessary information to facilitate such direct comparisons.

- C. Design Requirements
- 1) Data Collection Infrastructure
- Develop a system for collecting high-quality video footage from various sporting events.
- Integrate player-worn sensors to gather biometric and movement data, ensuring ease of use and minimal disruption to athletes.
- 2) Data Storage
- Create a secure and scalable database to store diverse datasets, including video, sensor data, and injury records.
- Ensure that the database supports rapid data retrieval and is designed to handle large volumes of information.

3) Model Architecture

- Design an adaptable machine learning architecture that can incorporate various algorithms, including CNNs for image processing and KNN for pattern recognition.
- Ensure compatibility with different sports data, allowing for model transferability across various sports disciplines.

4) User Interface

- Develop an intuitive user interface for coaches and medical personnel to input data, view predictions, and monitor athlete performance.
- Include visualization tools for presenting data insights, model predictions, and injury risk factors.

5) Validation Framework

- Establish a validation framework to test and validate the performance of different algorithms on the standardized dataset.
- Implement metrics for assessing model accuracy, precision, recall, and other relevant performance indicators.

D. Functional Requirements

- 1) Data Ingestion
- The system must automatically collect and preprocess data from video footage and sensors.
- Data must be cleaned, normalized, and formatted for analysis.

2) Injury Prediction

- The system should utilize machine learning algorithms to predict potential injuries based on collected data.
- The prediction model should provide insights into contributing factors and risk levels for individual athletes.

3) User Notifications

- The system must generate alerts for coaches and medical personnel when a player is at high risk of injury.
- Provide actionable recommendations to modify training or recovery protocols based on predictions.

4) Reporting and Analytics

- Users should be able to generate reports detailing injury predictions, model performance, and trends over time.
- The system must allow for querying specific data points for in-depth analysis.

5) Model Training and Updating

- The system must allow for continuous learning by incorporating new data to update and retrain the predictive models regularly.
- Users should have the ability to manually trigger model training or update schedules.



- E. Non-Functional Requirements
- 1) Performance
- The system must handle data processing and prediction tasks in real-time or near real-time to be useful for immediate coaching decisions.
- Ensure that the model training process can scale efficiently with increasing data volume.
- 2) Scalability
- The system should be scalable to accommodate additional data sources, athletes, and sports without significant architectural changes.
- It should support an increasing number of users accessing the platform simultaneously.
- 3) Usability
- The user interface must be user-friendly, allowing users with varying levels of technical expertise to operate the system effectively.
- Provide adequate documentation and training resources for end-users.
- 4) Security
- Implement robust security measures to protect sensitive athlete data, including encryption and access controls.
- Ensure compliance with data protection regulations, such as GDPR or HIPAA, as applicable.
- 5) Reliability
- The system must ensure high availability and minimal downtime, especially during critical training or competition periods.
- Implement backup and disaster recovery processes to safeguard data integrity.

VI. CONCLUSION

The ongoing application of machine learning in sports injury prediction faces several significant challenges. A major issue is the lack of standardized datasets related to sports injuries, which hinders the testing and validation of innovative modeling approaches. Additionally, data collection methods are often inefficient, particularly when utilizing cumbersome player-worn sensors. The individualized nature of machine learning model architectures complicates performance comparisons, further exacerbated by insufficient transparency in algorithm reporting. In some instances, outdated or inappropriate models are employed simply for ease of implementation. For example, logistic regression is frequently classified as a machine learning algorithm due to its capacity to yield categorical outputs. However, it lacks the adaptability of other machine learning techniques and is consistently outperformed by more modern algorithms. Despite this, logistic regression models continue to be utilized as predictive tools, often yielding subpar performance. Many studies on injury prediction rely heavily on these older techniques, leading to the conclusion that machine learning has limited clinical utility.

A potential solution to these challenges lies in the development of open-source, standardized datasets tailored to the strengths of specific algorithms. The wealth of data available to sports teams and broadcasting agencies—especially high-quality video footage—could be harnessed to create extensive databases for training pose-estimation-based convolutional neural networks (CNNs). This approach would provide researchers with a large, reliable, and uniform dataset for training and validation, eliminating the need for less reliable athlete-worn sensors. Furthermore, pose-estimation-based prediction offers the advantage of generalizability, allowing pre-trained networks to be easily adapted to multiple sports. Despite the challenges outlined, significant potential remains in this field. By carefully selecting algorithms and building adequate datasets, researchers can explore innovative approaches and advance the capabilities of machine learning to enhance sports medicine outcomes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. Samuel AL. IBM J Res Dev. 1959;3:210–229. [Google Scholar]
- [2] Alpaydin E. MA: MIT Press; 2020. Introduction to Machine Learning. [Google Scholar]
- [3] Just how confident can we be in predicting sports injuries? A systematic review of the methodological conduct and performance of existing musculoskeletal injury prediction models in sport. Bullock GS, Mylott J, Hughes T, Nicholson KF, Riley RD, Collins GS. Sports Med. 2022;52:2469–2482. doi: 10.1007/s40279-022-01698-9. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 12 Issue X Oct 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

- [4] Machine learning methods in sport injury prediction and prevention: a systematic review. Van Eetvelde H, Mendonça LD, Ley C, Seil R, Tischer T. J Exp Orthop. 2021;8:27. doi: 10.1186/s40634-021-00346-x. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [5] The use of machine learning in sport outcome prediction: a review. Horvat T, Job J. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Data Min Knowl Discov. 2020;10:0. [Google Scholar]
- [6] Current approaches to the use of artificial intelligence for injury risk assessment and performance prediction in team sports: a systematic review. Claudino JG, Capanema DO, de Souza TV, Serrão JC, Machado Pereira AC, Nassis GP. Sports Med Open. 2019;5:28. doi: 10.1186/s40798-019-0202-3. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [7] Machine learning application in soccer: a systematic review. Rico-González M, Pino-Ortega J, Méndez A, Clemente FM, Baca A. Biol Sport. 2023;40:249– 263. doi: 10.5114/biolsport.2023.112970. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [8] A review of machine learning applications in soccer with an emphasis on injury risk. Nassis GP, Verhagen E, Brito J, Figueiredo P, Krustrup P. Biol Sport. 2023;40:233–239. doi: 10.5114/biolsport.2023.114283. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [9] Machine learning applications in baseball: a systematic literature review. Koseler K, Stephan M. Appl Artif Intell. 2017;31:745–763. [Google Scholar]
- [10] Prediction models for musculoskeletal injuries in professional sporting activities: a systematic review. Seow D, Graham I, Massey A. Transl Sports Med. 2020;3:505–517. [Google Scholar]
- [11] Sports injury rehabilitation intervention algorithm based on visual analysis technology. Chen X, Yuan G. Mob Inf Syst. 2021;2021:9993677. [Google Scholar]
- [12] Athlete-customized injury prediction using training load statistical records and machine learning. Naglah A, Khalifa F, Mahmoud A, et al. 2018 IEEE Int Symp Signal Process Inf Technol. 2018:459–464. [Google Scholar]
- [13] Subclassification of recreational runners with a running-related injury based on running kinematics evaluated with marker-based two-dimensional video analysis. Dingenen B, Staes F, Vanelderen R, Ceyssens L, Malliaras P, Barton CJ, Deschamps K. Phys Ther Sport. 2020;44:99–106. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2020.04.032. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [14] Individualization of intensity thresholds on external workload demands in women's basketball by k-means clustering: differences based on the competitive level. Ibáñez SJ, Gómez-Carmona CD, Mancha-Triguero D. Sensors (Basel) 2022;22:324. doi: 10.3390/s22010324. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] 15.Genomic prediction of tendinopathy risk in elite team sports. Rodas G, Osaba L, Arteta D, Pruna R, Fernández D, Lucia A. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2019;
- [15] :489–495. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2019-0431. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [16] Predictive modeling of hamstring strain injuries in elite Australian footballers. Ruddy JD, Shield AJ, Maniar N, et al. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2018;50:906–914. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001527. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [17] Predictive modelling of training loads and injury in Australian football. Carey DL, Ong K, Whiteley R, Crossley KM, Crow J, Morris ME. Int J Comput Sci Sport. 2018;17:49–66. [Google Scholar]
- [18] Using weather and playing surface to predict the occurrence of injury in major league soccer games: a case study. Landset S, Bergeron MF, Khoshgoftaar TM. 2017 IEEE Int Conf Inf Reuse Integr. 2017:366–371. [Google Scholar]
- [19] Analysis and design of dual-feature fusion neural network for sports injury estimation model. Meng L, Qiao E. Neural Comput Applic. 2023;35:14627–14639.
 [Google Scholar]
- [20] Prediction simulation of sports injury based on embedded system and neural network. Shen H. Microprocess Microsyst. 2021;82:103900. [Google Scholar]
- [21] Evidence-based sports medicine to prevent knee joint injury in triple jump. Wang S, Lyu B. Rev Bras Med Esporte. 2022;28:195–198. [Google Scholar]
- [22] Using machine learning to predict lower-extremity injury in US special forces. Connaboy C, Eagle SR, Johnson CD, Flanagan SD, Mi QI, Nindl BC. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51:1073–1079. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001881. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [23] Association of hip and foot factors with patellar tendinopathy (jumper's knee) in athletes. Mendonça LD, Ocarino JM, Bittencourt NF, Macedo LG, Fonseca ST. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2018;48:676–684. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2018.7426. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [24] Identification of neuromuscular performance parameters as risk factors of non-contact injuries in male elite youth soccer players: a preliminary study on 62 players with 25 non-contact injuries. Kolodziej M, Nolte K, Schmidt M, Alt T, Jaitner T. Front Sports Act Living. 2021;3:615330. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2021.615330. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [25] A field-based approach to determine soft tissue injury risk in elite futsal using novel machine learning techniques. Ruiz-Pérez I, López-Valenciano A, Hernández-Sánchez S, Puerta-Callejón JM, De Ste Croix M, Sainz de Baranda P, Ayala F. Front Psychol. 2021;12:610210. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.610210. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)