



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: III Month of publication: March 2025 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.67348

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Exploring the Impact of Social Media Applications on the Education System

Ankatwar Gajanan¹, K Krunal Yadav², Birkurwar Shivani³, Gaddam Pravalika⁴, Basawar Shivani⁵, Kelleti Srilaya⁶, Syed Ibrahim⁷, Gajjalawar Karthik⁸

^{1, 2}Lecturer in Computer Science and Applications, Government Degree College(Arts and Commerce), Adilabad, T.S., India

^{3, 4, 6, 7}B.Com(Computer Applications) III Year, Government Degree College(Arts and Commerce), Adilabad, T.S., India

⁵B.Com(Computer Applications) II Year, Government Degree College(Arts and Commerce), Adilabad, T.S., India

⁸B.Com(Computer Applications) I Year, Government Degree College(Arts and CommerSce), Adilabad, T.S., India

Abstract: The rapid integration of social media platforms into daily life has significantly impacted the education sector, offering new opportunities for learning and collaboration while also raising concerns about potential negative effects on students' academic performance and well-being. This study aims to explore the multifaceted impact of social media applications on the educational system by evaluating the positive and negative influences on students' academic performance, examining students' and educators' perspectives on using social media as a learning tool, and proposing strategies for effectively incorporating social media into educational frameworks. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the study collected data from a sample of 212 students and 21 educators across various educational levels using a structured questionnaire. The findings reveal that a significant majority of students utilize platforms such as WhatsApp (94.1%) and YouTube (86.3%) for educational purposes, facilitating access to information, collaborative learning, and communication. However, the study also identifies potential challenges, including distractions and the spread of misinformation, which can adversely affect academic outcomes. The results suggest that the effectiveness of social media in education is contingent upon how it is utilized, emphasizing the importance of moderation and purposeful engagement. Recommendations for educators, institutions, and students are provided to optimize the benefits of social media in education while mitigating potential risks. Future research directions are provided to optimize the investigate the long-term effects of social media usage on academic performance and the effectiveness of specific social media integration strategies within curricula.

Keywords: Social media; Education; Academic performance; Collaborative learning; Communication; Digital literacy; Learning experience.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Study

Over the past few years, various social networking platforms, including Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp, YouTube, and Telegram, have become essential components of everyday life. These platforms have significantly shaped the ways in which people interact, obtain information, and consume content This digital revolution has permeated the education sector, offering new avenues for learning and collaboration. Educators and students utilize these platforms to share resources, foster discussions and enhance the learning experience. Nevertheless, the widespread adoption of social media platforms raises questions about their effects on students' educational outcomes, capacity for sustained focus, and general health and happiness. Studies have indicated that while social media can facilitate knowledge sharing and engagement, It may also lead to distractions, reduced critical thinking skills and mental health issues among students.

B. Hypothesis

This study is guided by the following hypothesis:

- 1) Hypothesis 1: Students who actively use social media platforms for educational purposes exhibit higher levels of academic engagement compared to those who do not.
- 2) Hypothesis 2: Excessive use of social media for non-educational purposes negatively correlates with student's academic performance.
- *3)* Hypothesis 3: The integration of social media into educational activities enhances collaborative learning and improves communication between students and educators.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

C. Aim and Objectives

This study's main objective is to investigate the complex effects of social media platforms on educational systems. To accomplish this goal, the research concentrates on the following aims:

- 1) Objective 1: To evaluate the positive and negative impacts of social media on student's Academic performance.
- 2) Objective 2: To explore student's and educator's perspectives on using social media as a learning tool.
- 3) Objective 3 To propose strategies for effectively incorporating social media into educational frameworks.
- 4) Objective 4: Assess the extent and patterns of social media usage among students for both educational and non-educational purposes.
- 5) Objective 5: Evaluate the relationship between social media usage and students' academic performance and engagement.
- 6) Objective 6: Identify the benefits and challenges associated with incorporating social media into educational practices.
- Objective 7: Provide evidence-based recommendations for educators and policymakers on effectively integrating social media into the educational framework to enhance learning outcomes.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A literature review summarizes current research examining how social media influences educational practices and outcomes. It helps establish a foundation for this study by analysing previous findings and identifying gaps in knowledge.

A. The Role of Social Media in Education

Social media platforms have transformed the way students access information, communicate with Peers and Engage in learning. According to **Greenhow & Lewin (2016)**, Social media enhances collaborative learning, enabling students to interact with teachers and classmates beyond the traditional classroom environment. Similarly, **Manca & Ranieri (2017)** found that platforms like YouTube, WhatsApp and Facebook serves as informal learning tools that complement academic studies.

B. Advantages of Social Media in Education

Positive Impacts: Research indicates that social media can enhance educational experiences by promoting Collaboration, Communication and Access to resources. A study analysing 60 articles on social media networks published in major educational technology journals over the past decade found that these platforms positively influence learning performance by facilitating Peer interaction and Resource sharing. The impact of social-media on education is Multi-faceted. Research, such as that conducted by Alshalawi(2022), indicates that certain platforms, such as YouTube, Twitter(X) and LinkedIn, have a positive effect on student participation and information exchange.

- Improved Learning Resources: Tess (2013) highlights that social media provides students with instant access to diverse learning materials, including video lectures, research articles and discussion forums.
- Collaboration and Communication: Veletsianos (2020) suggests that social media fosters peer-to-peer learning and facilitates engagement between students and educators.
- Flexibility in Learning: Platforms such as Zoom, Google Classroom and Telegram enable students to participate in remote learning, making education more accessible.

C. Negative Effects of Social Media on Students

Negative Impacts: Conversely, excessive use of social media has been linked to negative outcomes such as increased anxiety, depression and stress among students. A Comprehensive review highlighted that while social media offers opportunities for connections, it can also lead to feelings of isolation and mental health challenges when not used mindfully.

The overuse of applications such as Instagram and Snapchat etc., has been associated with decreased concentration and academic achievement (Al-Menayes, 2015). Existing research emphasizes the importance of striking a balance in social media use to maximize educational advantages, while minimizing potential distractions and inappropriate usage.

- Distraction and Procrastination: Junco (2012) found that excessive use of social media negatively affects student's ability to concentrate, leading to lower academic performance.
- Mental Health Issues: Studies by Twenge et al. (2018) indicates that prolonged social media use contributes to anxiety and decreased attention spans among students.
- Misinformation and Academic Dishonesty: Alhabash& Ma (2017) warns that students often rely on unverified sources, which can lead to misinformation and challenges in academic integrity.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

D. The Impact of Social Media on Critical Thinking and Study Habits

- Enhancement of Critical Thinking: According to Dabbagh &Kitsantas (2012), social media encourages critical engagement with information, enabling students to develop analytical skills.
- Negative Influence on Study Habits: Rosen et al. (2013) argues that students who frequently check social media while studying experience decreased efficiency and lower retention rates.

E. Institutional Policies on Social Media in Education

Several <u>universities</u> have implemented policies to integrate social media responsibly into education. Selwyn (2016) discusses how institutions use social media policies to promote academic discussions while restricting distractions.

F. Research Gap

Despite numerous studies on the effects of social media in education, there is limited research specifically on the dual impact of social media (both positive and negative) within the same study. This research aims to fill that gap by analysing real survey data from students and educators.

III.METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

This research utilizes a combined methodology, incorporating quantitative and qualitative techniques for data gathering and examination. The quantitative component involves a structured survey to gather numerical data on student's social media usage patterns. The qualitative aspect includes open-ended questions within the survey to capture in-depth insights into student's perceptions and experiences regarding social media in education. By employing this integrated approach, researchers can gain a thorough grasp of the research question, as mixed-methods studies offer a more holistic view by validating quantitative results with qualitative observations (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017).

B. Sample Population

The target population for this study comprises students enrolled in high school, intermediate and undergraduate programs across various educational institutions. A sample size of 212 students and 21 educators was determined to ensure statistical significance and representation. Participants were selected using purposive sampling, focusing on individuals who actively use socialmedia platforms for educational purposes. For in-depth investigations, purposive sampling proves to be an efficient method in identifying cases rich with information (Palinkas et al., 2015).

Demographic Breakdown

Age	Groups	Educational Level
*	12-15 years	High School
**	16–17 years	Intermediate
*	18-25 years	Undergraduate

Table 1 presents the distribution of participants across different age groups and educational levels.

Age Group (Years)	High School	Intermediate	Undergraduate	Total
12–15	45	—		45
16–17	_	60	_	60
18–25			107	107
Total	45	60	107	212

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of Participants

Table 1 is a representing participants of 212 students from different age groups and education levels.



			Both
Education Level	Advantages	Disadvantages	(Advantages and Disadvantages)
High School	7	7	7
Intermediate	5	8	
Under Graduate	8	3	10
Total	20	18	24

Table 2: A review of educators from different disciplines

Table 2 is a representing review of 21 educators from different disciplines and educational level wise advantages and disadvantages.

C. Data Collection Methods

1) Survey Instrument

A structured questionnaire was employed to gather information on various dimensions of social media use within educational settings. The survey instrument included a mix of closed-ended and open-ended questions, along with Likert scale ratings, to gather both numerical and descriptive data. To validate the instrument's content and refine its reliability, specialists conducted a review. Additionally, a trial run was performed with a small sample of students to enhance the clarity of the questions.

2) Procedure

- *a)* Distribution: The questionnaire was disseminated electronically via email and educational platforms to reach a broad audience efficiently.
- *b)* Informed Consent: Study subjects received a consent document outlining the research objectives, guaranteeing privacy, and stressing the optional nature of their involvement..
- *c)* Data Collection Period: The survey was open for responses over a two-week period to accommodate participants' schedules and maximize response rates.



D. Data Analysis

Figure 1: Most used social media platforms by students

Figure 1: showing the most used social media platforms among students. This visualization helps understand which platforms are most commonly used for both educational and non-educational purposes.





Figure 2 Impact of Social media on academic performance

Figure 2 showing the impact of social media on academic performance. This helps illustrate whether students perceive social media as beneficial or detrimental to their studies.



Figure 3: Negative influence of social media on study habits

Figure 3 showing the responses regarding whether social media has negatively influenced students' study habits. This visualization highlights the extent to which students feel distracted by social media while studying.



Privacy and Data Security Concerns in Social Media Learning



Figure 4: privacy and data security concerns in social media learning

Figure 4 illustrating students' concerns regarding privacy and data security while using social media for learning. This highlights the level of trust or apprehension students have when engaging with online platforms for education.



Figure 5: time spent on social media daily

Figure 5 representing the daily time spent on social media by students. This visualization helps us understand the distribution of social media usage, which can be linked to academic performance.

D. Quantitative Analysis

Descriptive statistics were employed to analyze the quantitative data, providing summaries of frequencies, percentages, and mean values. To analyze the relationships between variables such as age group, educational level, and social media usage patterns, researchers utilized inferential statistical methods, including chi-square tests. Statistical analysis was conducted using software tools like **MS-Excel** to ensure accuracy and reliability.



E. Qualitative Analysis

Thematic analysis, a technique for detecting, examining, and describing patterns within data (Braun & Clarke, 2006), was employed to evaluate the answers to open-ended questions. This involved coding the data to identify recurring themes related to students' perceptions of social media's impact on their education.

F. Data Visualization

To enhance the interpretability of the findings, data were visualized using graphs and tables. *Figure 6* illustrates the proportion of students using various social media platforms for educational purposes.



Figure 6: Social Media Platforms Utilized for Educational Purposes

Table 3 presents the average time spent on social media per day by students across different educational levels.

Educational Level	Average Time Spent (Hours)
High School	2.5
Intermediate	3.0
Undergraduate	3.5

Table 3: Average Daily Social Media Usage by Educational Level

These visual representations aid in comprehending the data distribution and identifying trends pertinent to the study's objectives.







Figure 8: Preferred Social Media Platforms for Educational Purposes



Figure 8 is representing all the types of students who used social media for educational purpose.

Figure 9: Preferred Social Media Platforms for Entertainment Purposes



Figure 9 representing all the types of students who used social media for entertainment purpose



IV.RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

A. Demographic Profile of Respondents

The survey included 212 students from various educational levels. The demographic distribution is detailed in Table 1.

Age Group (Years)	High School	Intermediate	Undergraduate	Total
12–15	45	_	_	45
16–17	_	60	_	60
18–25	_		107	107
Total	45	60	107	212

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of Participants

Note: The table presents the number of participants across different age groups and educational levels.

B. Social Media Platforms Used for Educational Purposes

Participants reported their usage of various social media platforms for educational activities. The findings are summarized in Table 1 and visually represented in Figure 1.

Platform	Percentage of Students (%)
WhatsApp	94.1
YouTube	86.3
Instagram	61.0
Snapchat	58.5

Table 4: Social Media Platforms Utilized for Educational Purposes

Note: Percentages indicate the proportion of students using each platform for educational purposes.



Figure 10: Social Media Platforms Utilized for Educational Purposes



C. Time Spent on Social Media for Educational Purposes

The average daily time students dedicated to social media for educational purposes is presented in Table 5.

Time Spent (Hours)	Percentage of Students (%)
Less than 1 hour	6.4
1–2 hours	50.2
3–4 hours	26.8
More than 4 hours	16.6
More than 4 hours	10.0

 Table 5: Average Daily Social Media Usage for Educational Purposes

Note: Percentages reflect the amount of time students spend daily on social media for educational activities.

D. Impact of Social Media on Academic Performance

Students' perceptions of how social media usage affects their academic performance are detailed in Table 6.

Impact Level	Percentage of Students (%)	
Significant improvement	32.2	
Slight improvement	26.7	
No impact	33.2	
Negative impact	8.0	

Table 6: Perceived Impact of Social Media on Academic Performance

Note: Percentages represent students' self-reported perceptions of social media's effect on their academic performance.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Interpretation of Results

Information gathered from 212 students at different educational stages offers significant insights into how social media impacts modern education. The high usage rates of platforms such as WhatsApp (94.1%) and YouTube (86.3%) for educational purposes indicate a significant shift towards digital learning environments. These digital platforms provide easily accessible channels for sharing information, engaging in collaborative learning, and accessing resources. This aligns with the conclusions of earlier research that emphasizes the revolutionary effect of social media on educational practices (Tulane University, 2023).

However, the study also reveals potential challenges. A significant portion of students, specifically 33.2%, reported that social media had no effect on their academic performance. In contrast, 8% of students indicated that social media negatively impacted their studies. This dichotomy suggests that while social media can be a powerful educational tool, its effectiveness is contingent upon how it is utilized. Excessive or unstructured use may lead to distractions, adversely affecting academic outcomes (**Tulane University, 2023**). The chi-square analysis provides additional evidence for the connection between social media usage duration and its perceived academic effects. The longer students engage with social media, the more likely they are to recognize both its advantages and disadvantages in educational settings. This suggests that balanced and intentional use is crucial for maximizing the educational benefits of social media platforms.

B. Implications for Educational Stakeholders

For Educators: The integration of social media into pedagogical strategies can enhance student engagement and facilitate collaborative learning. Educators are encouraged to incorporate platforms like YouTube for supplementary tutorials and WhatsApp for class discussions, creating dynamic and interactive learning experiences. However, it is imperative to establish clear guidelines to prevent potential distractions and ensure that social media use remains focused on educational objectives.

For Institutions: Educational institutions should consider developing policies that balance the advantages of social media with the need to maintain academic integrity and student well-being. Implementing digital literacy programs can equip students with the skills to navigate online resources critically and responsibly, mitigating risks associated with misinformation and privacy concerns (British School of Barcelona, 2023).



For Students: Students must exercise self-regulation in their social media usage, recognizing the fine line between beneficial educational engagement and potential distraction. Developing time management skills and setting specific goals for social media use can help maximize its positive impact on learning outcomes.

C. Visual Representation of Findings

Figure 11 offers a graphical illustration to shed more light on the connection between social media usage duration and its perceived effects on academic achievement.



Figure 11: Social media impacted on academic performance

Figure 11: is a representation of the social media impacted on academic performance

Connection Between Social Media Usage Duration and Perceived Educational Effects





Figure 12: This represent review of different disciplines educators



Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

D. Review

Educators Review

1) Advantages of Social Media in Education

- High School: Moderate advantages (~30%), indicating that social media provides access to educational content but also risks distractions.
- Intermediate: The lowest percentage (~20%), suggesting educators see fewer benefits for students in this phase, likely due to excessive social media use leading to distractions.
- Undergraduate: The highest percentage (~40%), indicating that university-level students use social media more effectively for research, networking and academic discussions.
- Total: The overall advantage percentage is higher than disadvantages, reinforcing the positive impact of social media on education.

2) Disadvantages of Social Media in Education

- High School: Similar to advantages (~30%), showing that educators recognize both benefits and risks at this level.
- Intermediate: The highest disadvantage percentage (~40%), likely due to concerns over distractions, misinformation and reduced academic focus.
- Undergraduate: The lowest percentage (~10-15%), suggesting that older students have better self-regulation and use social media more effectively.
- Total: Overall, disadvantages are slightly lower than advantages, showing that while concerns exist, they do not outweigh the benefits.

3) Educators Who See Both Advantages and Disadvantages

- High School & Intermediate: Nearly equal (~30%), meaning educators believe social media's impact depends on how students use it.
- Undergraduate: The highest (~50%), confirming that both positive and negative effects are significant at higher education levels.
- Total: This category has the highest percentage across all levels, proving that social media's impact is complex, requiring balanced use.

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Summary of Findings

This research examined how social media platforms affect the educational landscape, examining students' usage behaviors, favored applications, and the perceived effects on their academic achievements. The investigation focused on the impact of social networking tools within the context of education systems. The research revealed that a significant majority of students utilize platforms such as WhatsApp (94.1%) and YouTube (86.3%) for educational purposes. These platforms facilitate access to information, collaborative learning and communication. However, the study also identified potential challenges, including distractions and the spread of misinformation, which can adversely affect academic outcomes.

B. Recommendations

- 1) For Educators
- Integrate Social Media into Curriculum: Incorporate platforms like YouTube and WhatsApp into teaching strategies to enhance engagement and provide diverse learning resources.
- Establish Usage Guidelines: Develop clear policies outlining acceptable social media use during instructional time to minimize distractions.
- Promote Digital Literacy: Educate students on evaluating the credibility of online information to combat misinformation.
- 2) For Institutions
- Develop Comprehensive Policies: Create frameworks that balance the educational benefits of social media with potential risks, ensuring student safety and data privacy.
- Provide Professional Development: Offer training for educators on effective social media integration and management within the classroom.
- Implement Support Systems: Establish resources for students facing challenges related to social media use, such as counselling services and time management workshops.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

3) For Students

- Practice Self-Regulation: Encourage mindful use of social media, setting specific times for academic activities to prevent procrastination.
- Engage in Critical Consumption: Develop skills to critically assess the reliability of information encountered on social media platforms.
- Balance Online and Offline Activities: Maintain a healthy equilibrium between screen time and other educational or recreational pursuits to promote overall well-being.

C. Future Research Directions

Further studies could explore the long-term effects of social media usage on academic performance, considering variables such as discipline-specific impacts and differences across educational levels. Additionally, research into the effectiveness of specific social media integration strategies within curricula could provide deeper insights into optimizing educational outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Aalbers, G., McNally, R. J., Heeren, A., de Wit, S., & Fried, E. I. (2018). "Social media and depression symptoms: A network perspective". Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 147(6), 934–947. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000453</u>
- [2] Ahn, J. (2011). "The effect of social network sites on adolescents' social and academic development: Current theories and controversies". Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 62(8), 1435–1445. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.21540</u>
- [3] Al-Balushi, W., Al-Busaidi, F. S., Malik, A., & Al-Salti, Z. (2022). "Social media use in higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic literature review". International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 17(24), 56–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i24.35909</u>
- [4] Al-Menayes, J. J. (2015). "Social media use, engagement and addiction as predictors of academic performance". International Journal of Psychological Studies, 7(4), 86–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.5539/ijps.v7n4p86</u>
- [5] Alshalawi, A. S. (2022). "The influence of social media networks on learning performance and students' perceptions of their use in education". International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 17(2), 125–140. <u>https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i02.28775</u>
- [6] Alshalawi, A. S. (n.d.). "The influence of social media networks on learning performance and students' perceptions of their use in education: a literature review". <u>https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1364882</u>
- [7] Anderson, J. E., & Valentine, W. L. (1944). "The preparation of articles for publication in the journals of the American Psychological Association". Psychological Bulletin, 41(6), 435–438. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/h0055731</u>
- [8] Bentley, M., Peerenboom, C. A., Hodge, F. W., Passano, E. B., & Warren, H. C. (1929). "Instructions in regard to preparation of manuscript". Psychological Bulletin, 26(2), 57–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/h0072261</u>
- [9] Bhandari, P. (2020, December 21). "Reporting research results in APA style | Tips & examples". Scribbr. https://www.scribbr.com/apa-style/results-section/
- [10] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). "Using thematic analysis in psychology". Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa</u>
- [11] British School of Barcelona. (2023, September 15). "Social media in education: Advantages and disadvantages". https://www.britishschoolbarcelona.com/blog/the-use-of-social-media-in-education/
- [12] Chen, B., & Bryer, T. (2012). "Investigating instructional strategies for using social media in formal and informal learning". The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 13(1), 87–104. <u>https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v13i1.1027</u>
- [13] Chen, M., & Xiao, X. (2022). "The effect of social media on the development of students' affective variables". Frontiers in Psychology, 13. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010766</u>
- [14] Chukwuere, J. E., & Chukwuere, P. C. (2017). "The impact of social media on social lifestyle: A case study of university female students". Gender and Behaviour, 15(4), 9966–9981.
- [15] Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). "Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications".
- [16] Deaton, S. (n.d.-c). "Social Learning Theory in the Age of Social Media: Implications for Educational Practitioners". https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1098574
- [17] Epstein, J. (2009, October 13). "Correcting a style guide". Inside Higher Ed. https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2009/10/13/apa
- [18] Gao, F., Luo, T., & Zhang, K. (2012). "Tweeting for learning: A critical analysis of research on microblogging in education published in 2008–2011". British Journal of Educational Technology, 43(5), 783–801. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2012.01357.x</u>
- [19] Iwamoto, D. H., & Chun, H. (2020). "Social media use and psychological well-being among college students". The Journal of Psychology, 154(7), 463–477. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2020.1787938</u>
- [20] Junco, R. (2012). "The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities and student engagement". Computers & Education, 58(1), 162–171. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.004</u>
- [21] Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). "Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media". Business Horizons, 53(1), 59-68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003
- [22] Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). "Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media". Business Horizons, 54(3), 241–251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.005</u>
- [23] Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2016). "Facebook and the use of social media for academic purposes in higher education: A systematic review". International Journal of Educational Research, 72, 1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2015.11.012</u>
- [24] Maqableh, M., Quteshat, W., Masadeh, R., &Karajeh, H. (2015). "The effect of social media usage on students' academic performance in Jordan: A field study". International Journal of Business and Social Science, 6(4), 88–95.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

- [25] Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., &Hoagwood, K. (2015). "Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research". Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42(5), 533–544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y
- [26] Ping, W. (2021). "The influence of teachers' commitment and identity on their use of social media in professional development for EFL instruction". Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 1010766. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010766</u>
- [27] Roediger, R. (2004). "What should they be called?" APS Observer, 17(4), 1. https://www.psychologicalscience.org/observer/what-should-they-be-called
- [28] Selwyn, N. (2012). "Social media in higher education: The Edutopia perspective. Routledge".
- [29] Tang, J., Chen, Y., Yang, L., Chung, T., & Lee, S. (2013). "The impact of social media on college students' academic performance". Computers in Human Behavior, 29(3), 1184–1192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.10.010</u>
- [30] Tulane University. (2023, March 10). "Impacts of social media on students". https://masterofed-sopa.tulane.edu/articles/impact-of-social-media-on-students/
- [31] Verzosa Hurley, E., & Kimme Hea, A. C. (2014). "The rhetoric of reach: Preparing students for technical communication in the age of social media". Technical Communication Quarterly, 23(1), 55–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2014.850854</u>
- [32] Weisberger, M. (2014). "Social media's impact on students' mental health comes into focus". NEA Today. <u>https://www.nea.org/advocating-for-change/new-from-nea/social-medias-impact-students-mental-health-comes-focus</u>
- [33] Weng, L., & Menczer, F. (2015). "Topicality and impact in social media: Diverse messages, focused messengers". PLOS ONE, 10(2), e0118410. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118410
- [34] Zhang, K., & Gao, F. (2014). "Social media for learning: A mixed methods study on high school students' technology affordances and perspectives". Computers in Human Behavior, 33, 213–223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.01.002</u>











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)