



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.80677>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Facial Recognition Technology and the Right to Privacy: A Study of Generation Z in India

Vedant Anand Sawant

Abstract: *Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) has rapidly evolved into a widely used tool across digital platforms, security systems, and public surveillance infrastructures. Its ability to identify individuals through biometric analysis offers significant advantages in terms of efficiency, authentication, and safety. However, the increasing reliance on such technology has raised critical concerns regarding privacy, data protection, and individual autonomy. This study examines the perceptions of Generation Z in India toward facial recognition technology, with particular emphasis on awareness, perceived risks, trust in institutions, and the adequacy of legal frameworks governing its use. The research adopts a quantitative methodology supported by limited qualitative insights, using a structured questionnaire administered to 32 respondents aged between 18 and 24. The findings reveal a complex pattern: while respondents demonstrate high familiarity with FRT and acknowledge its benefits, they simultaneously express concerns about surveillance, misuse of biometric data, and lack of control over personal information. The study also highlights a gap between the constitutional recognition of privacy and its perceived enforcement in practice. It concludes that although FRT holds significant potential, its deployment must be accompanied by robust regulatory mechanisms, transparency, and accountability to ensure that fundamental rights are not compromised.*

Keywords: *Facial Recognition Technology; Privacy; Generation Z; Biometric Data; Surveillance; Data Protection; Artificial Intelligence.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has fundamentally reshaped contemporary digital ecosystems, transforming how individuals interact with technology and how personal data is processed. Among the most significant developments within this domain is facial recognition technology (FRT), which enables the identification and verification of individuals based on their facial features. Its applications range from unlocking smartphones and accessing banking services to monitoring public spaces and enhancing security infrastructures. In recent years, the adoption of FRT in India has grown considerably. Government agencies, private corporations, and digital platforms have increasingly integrated biometric systems into their operations. While such integration promises efficiency and improved security, it simultaneously raises serious concerns regarding privacy and data protection. Unlike passwords or identification numbers, biometric data such as facial features are inherently permanent and cannot be easily altered, making any breach or misuse particularly consequential.

The legal recognition of privacy as a fundamental right in Justice K. S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India marked a significant milestone in Indian constitutional law. The Supreme Court emphasized that privacy is intrinsic to life and liberty under Article 21 and must be protected against arbitrary state action. However, the emergence of advanced technologies such as FRT has introduced new challenges that existing legal frameworks are still evolving to address.

An important aspect of this discussion is the role of Generation Z. This demographic group has grown up in a digital environment and is highly accustomed to using technologies that rely on data collection and algorithmic processing. Their perceptions are crucial in understanding how society negotiates the balance between technological advancement and privacy protection. Despite this, there is limited empirical research focusing specifically on how young individuals in India perceive facial recognition technology.

This study seeks to address this gap by examining the awareness, attitudes, and concerns of Generation Z regarding FRT, while also situating these findings within the broader legal and technological context.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing body of literature on facial recognition technology spans multiple disciplines, including computer science, law, and social sciences. A recurring theme across these studies is the tension between technological innovation and ethical responsibility.

Wang et al. (2024) explore the implications of facial recognition systems in surveillance contexts and argue that while such technologies enhance operational efficiency, they also raise significant ethical concerns related to consent and individual autonomy. Their study highlights the need for regulatory oversight to prevent misuse.

Similarly, Sun and Liu (2025) focus on technical vulnerabilities within facial recognition systems, particularly issues related to data storage, algorithmic bias, and unauthorized access. Their findings suggest that technological improvements must be accompanied by robust security measures.

A study published in *Computers in Human Behavior* (2024) examines user perceptions and identifies a phenomenon where individuals continue to use FRT despite being aware of privacy risks. This behavior reflects a broader pattern in digital environments, often described as the privacy paradox, where users prioritize convenience over long-term implications.

Yuvasini et al. (2024) discuss advancements in deep learning algorithms used in surveillance systems and note that increased accuracy often comes at the cost of greater intrusion into personal privacy. Their work underscores the dual nature of technological progress.

Raji (2023) analyzes the legal framework governing facial recognition technology in India and identifies significant gaps in regulation, particularly in relation to biometric data protection and accountability mechanisms.

Research Gap

Although these studies provide valuable insights, they largely focus on technical efficiency or regulatory structures. There is a lack of empirical research examining how Generation Z in India perceives these issues in practical terms. This study aims to fill that gap by providing primary data-driven insights.

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of this study is:

To examine the awareness, privacy concerns, and perceptions of Generation Z in India regarding facial recognition technology and its implications for the right to privacy.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a quantitative research design, supplemented by limited qualitative input to provide contextual understanding. Primary data was collected through a structured questionnaire distributed online using convenience sampling. The questionnaire consisted of 12 close-ended questions measured on a 5-point Likert scale, along with one open-ended question.

- Sample Size: 32 respondents
- Age Group: 18–24 years
- Sampling Technique: Convenience sampling

The questionnaire was designed based on established theoretical frameworks, including:

- The Information Privacy Concern (IUIPC) model
- The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

These frameworks helped in measuring key constructs such as awareness, perceived risk, trust, and privacy concern.

Data analysis was conducted using descriptive statistical methods, including percentage distribution and graphical representation.

V. DATA ANALYSIS

The analysis of responses reveals that all participants belong to the Generation Z category, ensuring relevance to the study. The sample shows a balanced gender distribution and is primarily composed of undergraduate students, reflecting a digitally active population.

Respondents demonstrated a high level of familiarity with facial recognition technology and acknowledged its use in everyday applications such as mobile authentication and security systems. However, this awareness was not always accompanied by a detailed understanding of how the technology operates.

A significant proportion of respondents expressed concern regarding the collection and storage of facial data. Many indicated that they felt they had limited control over their biometric information and were apprehensive about its potential misuse without consent. The findings also indicate that respondents perceive facial recognition technology as a potential threat to privacy and associate it with increased surveillance. At the same time, they acknowledged its benefits in terms of convenience and efficiency.

Trust in organizations handling biometric data was found to be moderate to low, suggesting skepticism regarding data management practices. Additionally, respondents expressed dissatisfaction with existing legal frameworks and emphasized the need for stronger regulatory measures.

VI. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reflect a complex and layered understanding of facial recognition technology among Generation Z. One of the most notable observations is the coexistence of acceptance and concern. While respondents continue to use FRT due to its convenience, they remain aware of its potential risks. This reflects the broader privacy–convenience trade-off, where individuals prioritize immediate benefits over long-term implications.

Another important aspect is the perception of limited control over personal data. Respondents feel that once their biometric information is collected, they have little influence over how it is used or stored. This lack of control contributes to heightened privacy concerns.

The study also highlights a significant trust deficit in institutions. Respondents expressed uncertainty regarding how organizations handle biometric data, indicating the need for greater transparency and accountability.

From a legal perspective, the findings reveal a gap between the recognition of privacy as a fundamental right and its practical enforcement. While the legal framework acknowledges the importance of privacy, respondents do not perceive it as adequately protecting them in real-world scenarios.

VII. CONCLUSION

A. Summary

The study concludes that facial recognition technology is widely used and accepted among Generation Z, but it raises significant concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, and data misuse.

B. Limitations

The study is limited by its small sample size and reliance on convenience sampling. It also focuses on a specific age group, which may limit generalizability.

C. Practical Implications

The findings highlight the need for stronger data protection laws, improved transparency, and increased awareness among users regarding their rights.

D. Future Scope

Future research can expand the scope by including larger and more diverse samples and examining cross-cultural perspectives.

REFERENCES (BLUEBOOK FOOTNOTES)

- [1] Xukang Wang et al., Beyond Surveillance: Privacy and Ethics in Face Recognition, 7 *Frontiers Big Data* 1337465 (2024).
- [2] Zhifang Sun & Zhe Liu, Privacy Challenges in Facial Recognition Systems, *Discover Applied Sciences* (2025).
- [3] Privacy-Personalization Trade-off in AI Systems, *Computers in Human Behavior* (2024).
- [4] D. Yuvasini et al., AI-Based Surveillance Systems, *Scientific Reports* (2024).
- [5] Arathy Raji, Legal Regulation of Facial Recognition in India, *SSRN* (2023).



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)