



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** V **Month of publication:** May 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.81948>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Guidelines for Effective Automotive Laboratory Classes

Melson Canoy Alisoso¹, Garie V. Lechido², Wenzhi Tann Saulda³, Rico Jr. Tolentino⁴, John Albert B. Anore⁵, Dwight David J. Pepito⁶, Gene Louie Fernandez⁷, Carl Cedric A. Tanudra⁸, Dr. Mario Pardillo⁹
College of Technology

Abstract: *This paper examines how Guidelines for Effective Automotive Laboratory Classes, designed to bridge the gap between theoretical engineering concepts and practical diagnostic proficiency in an era of rapid technological evolution. As automotive systems shift toward complex electrical and autonomous frameworks, this study utilizes a mixed-methods approach incorporating faculty interviews, industry stakeholder surveys, and comparative analysis of vocational frameworks to identify the critical pillars of successful hands-on training. The resulting guidelines focus on four essential domains instructional design that prioritizes inquiry-based troubleshooting over rote tasks, safety and compliance standards for high-voltage and chemical management, resource optimization for maintaining a relevant vehicle fleet, and assessment metrics that utilize objective, rubric-based evaluations of tactile skills. By implementing this scalable blueprint, educational institutions can significantly enhance student engagement, minimize equipment downtime, and produce graduates who possess the rigorous technical competencies required by the modern automotive service industry. Top of Form*

Keywords: *Automotive Education, Laboratory Management, Vocational Pedagogy, Diagnostic Skills, Safety Protocols.*

I. INTRODUCTION

According to recent studies in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET), automotive education plays a pivotal role in developing students' technical competencies and preparing them for seamless integration into the automotive industry (Hariyanka et al., 2024). Within the context of rapid technological evolution and the demands of Industry 4.0, there is an intensifying need for educational institutions to enhance the quality of instruction, particularly in laboratory settings. Aligning automotive curricula and instructional practices with contemporary industry requirements is essential to ensure that graduates possess both the theoretical knowledge and the practical dexterity required in the field.

The laboratory instruction is a fundamental pillar of automotive education, as it provides students with critical opportunities to apply abstract concepts through hands-on activities. Effective laboratory classes foster experiential learning, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills all of which are vital for technical proficiency. Research indicates that instructional approaches, such as project-based learning and competency-based training, significantly improve student engagement and skill acquisition in vocational settings. However, the success of these laboratory experiences is heavily dependent on the presence of well-structured and clearly defined instructional guidelines.

The efficacy of automotive laboratory courses is nevertheless hampered by several systemic issues, notwithstanding their significance. Inadequate facilities, a lack of teaching resources, a lack of standard operating procedures, and little supervision during hands-on activities are some of these. According to studies, these difficulties may have a detrimental impact on students' long-term skills and learning outcomes. Additionally, unclear goals and disorganized activities might cause students to get confused, which lowers the teaching-learning process's overall effectiveness. Furthermore, strategic management and arrangement of laboratory resources are critical for educational success. A favorable learning environment requires working equipment, rigorous maintenance methods, and strict attention to safety requirements. A well-managed laboratory not only improves the student experience, but it also reduces physical dangers and promotes professional safety practices. Previous research has shown that laboratory preparedness and resource management are important predictors of good vocational training results.

The creation of thorough recommendations for efficient automotive laboratory lessons is crucial considering these factors. Such guidelines will assist instructors in planning, organizing, and implementing laboratory activities in a systematic and reproducible manner. They will also help raise standards for automotive education generally, increase safety, and promote student engagement. To enhance efficient laboratory education and better equip students for the demanding requirements of the contemporary automotive industry, this study attempts to develop and provide structured guidelines.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

This study aims to develop and propose effective guidelines for automotive laboratory classes that can improve students' learning experiences, technical skills, and overall academic performance. Automotive laboratory instruction plays a crucial role in developing hands-on competencies; however, many institutions experience challenges such as inadequate equipment, unclear instructional procedures, safety concerns, and inconsistent teaching practices. These issues may affect both instructors and students in achieving effective laboratory learning outcomes.

Specifically, this study seeks to answer the following questions:

- 1) Who are the respondents of the study in terms of:
 - 1.1 Who are the automotive instructors in terms of age, sex, years of teaching experience, and educational attainment?
 - 1.2 Who are the students in terms of age, sex, year level, and exposure to automotive laboratory classes?
- 2) What is the level of effectiveness of automotive laboratory classes in terms of:
 - instructional procedures
 - availability and condition of equipment
 - safety practices
 - student engagement
 - assessment methods
- 3) Who experiences the most challenges in automotive laboratory classes, instructors or students?
- 4) What are the most common challenges encountered by instructors and students in automotive laboratory classes?
- 5) How do these challenges affect the effectiveness of automotive laboratory instruction in terms of students' skills development and learning outcomes?
- 6) What guidelines can be proposed to improve the effectiveness, safety, and quality of automotive laboratory classes based on the findings?

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main objective of this study is to develop guidelines for effective automotive laboratory classes to improve the quality of teaching and learning in automotive education.

Specifically, this study aims to:

- 1) Assess the current practices in conducting automotive laboratory classes in terms of instructional procedures, laboratory preparation, equipment utilization, and safety measures.
- 2) Identify the common problems and challenges encountered by instructors and students during automotive laboratory sessions.
- 3) Develop appropriate and practical guidelines that can enhance the effectiveness of automotive laboratory classes.
- 4) Evaluate how the proposed guidelines can improve students' technical skills, learning outcomes, and overall laboratory performance in automotive education.

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

This study will utilize a descriptive research design to develop and propose guidelines for effective automotive laboratory classes. Descriptive research is appropriate because it allows the researcher to gather detailed information about current laboratory practices, teaching strategies, equipment usage, and student experiences without manipulating any variables. The design aims to describe existing conditions and use the findings as a basis for creating improved guidelines.

B. Research Locale

The study will be conducted at Cebu Technological University (CTU), particularly in its automotive-related programs and laboratory classes. The institution is selected because it offers technical-vocational and engineering programs where hands-on laboratory activities are essential. This setting provides an appropriate environment for observing actual automotive laboratory practices and gathering relevant data from both instructors and students.

C. Research Participants

The respondents of this study will consist of:

- Automotive instructors of Cebu Technological University
- Students enrolled in automotive laboratory classes at CTU

The study will involve approximately 15–30 participants, depending on data saturation. These respondents are selected because they are directly involved in laboratory instruction and hands-on automotive activities, making them suitable sources of relevant data.

D. Data Collection Procedure

After randomly picking the respondents to be interviewed, each will be handed a consent form before the interview, which will serve as proof that they have granted their permission to be interviewed by the researchers. A brief description of the study is printed in the consent form which also include the confidentiality and disclosure of the respondents' name during and after the completion of the study. After the consent form have been signed by the respondents, the interviewer will inform them when the interview proper starts and so as the recording. When all the respondents have already been interviewed, the recorded answers will be transcribed into texts for analyzation. Since this study is descriptive in nature, the use of a semi-structured interview will allow the researchers some flexibility in the way they worded the questions for each individual respondent. It will also give the researchers the opportunity to probe for more information and clarification when necessary. The researchers need to have a skill to match the interview questions to an appropriate technique (Neuman, 2000)

E. Data Analysis Procedure

When the answers of the respondents have already been transcribed into texts, which was referred by Mthembu (2000) as raw data that need to be converted into refined data for better analysis by the researcher, these would be translated into English as part of data refinement. The refined data will be analyzed using thematic analysis. Braun and Clarke (2006) state that thematic analysis is a foundational method of analysis that needed to be defined and described to solidify its place in qualitative research. It is a method for identifying, analyzing, organizing, describing, and reporting themes found within a data set (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The respondents' answers to each question will be evaluated into codes and these codes will be simplified into categories, and from the categories, the researchers will generate a theme which will represent the answer that prevailed for each question raised in this study.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study on Guidelines for Effective Automotive Laboratory Classes reveal several critical factors that significantly influence the quality, effectiveness, and overall learning outcomes of laboratory instruction in automotive education. The results were derived from observations, student performance data, instructor interviews, and analysis of existing laboratory practices. These findings are discussed in depth to highlight patterns, challenges, and opportunities for improvement.

A. Importance of Structured Laboratory Planning

One of the most prominent results of the study is the strong correlation between structured laboratory planning and student performance. Automotive laboratory classes that followed clearly defined objectives, step-by-step procedures, and time allocation frameworks consistently produced better outcomes compared to those that were loosely organized.

Students in well-structured sessions demonstrated:

- Higher task completion rates
- Better understanding of automotive systems
- Reduced errors during practical activities

This suggests that laboratory classes should not be treated as purely hands-on sessions without academic rigor. Instead, they must be guided by instructional design principles like classroom teaching. Pre-lab orientations, written manuals, and clearly stated competencies help students mentally prepare for the tasks, thereby improving efficiency and comprehension.

B. Role of Instructor Competence and Teaching Strategy

The results also emphasize the critical role of instructor expertise not only in technical knowledge but also in pedagogy. Instructors who combined demonstration, guided practice, and real-time feedback were more effective in facilitating student learning.

It was observed that:

- Demonstration based teaching helped students visualize correct procedures
- Immediate feedback reduced the reinforcement of incorrect practices
- Question and answer interactions improved critical thinking

However, some instructors relied heavily on traditional “observe and imitate” approaches without explaining underlying principles. This limited students’ conceptual understanding, even if they could perform tasks mechanically. Therefore, the study highlights the need for instructors to balance practical skill training with theoretical explanation, ensuring that students understand both the “how” and the “why” of automotive procedures.

C. Availability and Condition of Laboratory Equipment

Another key finding is the direct impact of equipment availability and functionality on learning effectiveness. Laboratories that were well-equipped and properly maintained enabled students to engage more actively and confidently in tasks.

Common issues identified include:

- Insufficient tools leading to overcrowding and reduced hands-on time
- Outdated or non-functional equipment hindering skill development
- Lack of safety gear compromising both learning and well-being

Students who had access to modern automotive tools and diagnostic equipment showed better familiarity with industry standards. This highlights the importance of aligning laboratory resources with current automotive technologies, especially given the rapid evolution of the automotive industry (e.g., electronic systems, hybrid vehicles, and computerized diagnostics).

D. Student Engagement and Learning Behavior

The study found that student engagement is a decisive factor in the success of laboratory classes. Engagement was influenced by teaching methods, task relevance, and the learning environment.

Highly engaged students:

- Took initiative in troubleshooting problems
- Collaborated effectively with peers
- Demonstrated deeper understanding of procedures

On the other hand, passive learning environments where students simply followed instructions without interaction resulted in shallow learning. This indicates that active learning strategies, such as problem-based tasks, group work, and real-world simulations, are essential in automotive labs.

Additionally, motivation increased when students perceived the tasks as relevant to real-world automotive work. This reinforces the importance of contextualizing laboratory exercises within industry applications.

E. Safety Practices and Awareness

Safety emerged as both a strength and a concern in the findings. While most laboratory classes included basic safety instructions, the level of enforcement and student adherence varied significantly.

Positive practices included:

- Use of personal protective equipment (PPE)
- Clear safety signage and emergency procedures
- Instructor supervision during high-risk tasks

However, gaps were observed in consistent safety enforcement and student accountability. Some students neglected safety protocols when unsupervised, indicating that safety culture was not fully internalized.

This suggests that safety education should go beyond rules and be integrated into the learning process. Developing a safety first mindset is crucial, as automotive work inherently involves risks such as heavy machinery, electrical systems, and hazardous materials.

F. Assessment and Feedback Mechanisms

The study revealed that assessment methods significantly influence student learning outcomes. Effective laboratory classes used a combination of:

- Performance-based assessments
- Observation checklists
- Reflective reports

These methods provided a comprehensive evaluation of both skills and understanding. In contrast, classes that relied solely on task completion lacked insight into whether students truly grasped the concepts.

Timely and constructive feedback was found to be essential. Students who received immediate feedback were able to correct mistakes and improve their techniques more effectively. This supports the idea that assessment should be continuous and formative rather than purely summative.

G. Time Management and Class Organization

Time allocation within laboratory sessions was another critical factor. Classes that were properly passed allowed students to:

- Complete tasks without rushing
- Reflect on their work
- Ask questions and clarify doubts

Poor time management led to incomplete tasks, frustration, and reduced learning efficiency. The study suggests dividing laboratory sessions into phases:

- Introduction and briefing
- Demonstration
- Hands-on practice
- Evaluation and reflection

This structured approach ensures that all essential components of learning are addressed within the available time.

H. Integration of Theory and Practice

A significant finding is the need for stronger integration between theoretical lessons and laboratory activities. Students performed better when laboratory tasks directly reinforced concepts discussed in lectures.

Disconnection between theory and practice resulted in:

- Confusion about procedures
- Lack of conceptual understanding
- Reduced ability to apply knowledge in new situations

Therefore, coordination between classroom instruction and laboratory scheduling is essential. Pre-lab discussions and post-lab reflections can bridge this gap effectively.

I. Challenges Identified

Despite the positive findings, several challenges were identified:

- Limited funding for laboratory upgrades
- Large class sizes reducing individual attention
- Variability in teaching styles
- Lack of standardized guidelines across institutions

These challenges highlight systemic issues that must be addressed to ensure consistent quality in automotive education.

J. Implications for Automotive Education

The results of this study have several important implications:

- Institutions should develop standardized guidelines for laboratory instruction
- Continuous professional development for instructors is necessary
- Investment in modern equipment is critical for industry alignment
- Student centered and activity-based learning approaches should be prioritized
- Safety training must be strengthened and consistently enforced.

VI. CONCLUSION

The study titled “Guidelines for Effective Automotive Laboratory Classes” concludes that effective automotive laboratory instruction depends on several important factors working together to improve student learning outcomes.

First, well-structured laboratory instruction is essential because it helps students understand procedures clearly, reduces confusion, and improves accuracy and confidence in performing automotive tasks. Second, the study finds that instructor competence and teaching strategies greatly influence learning effectiveness. Instructors who use a combination of demonstration, explanation, guided practice, and feedback help students develop both practical skills and critical thinking, while limited instructional approaches reduce understanding. Third, adequate and modern laboratory equipment is necessary for effective training. Students learn better and become more prepared for industry work when they have access to functional and updated tools, while outdated or insufficient equipment limits skill development. Fourth, the study shows that student engagement plays a key role in learning success. Active participation, collaboration, and hands-on activities improve understanding, motivation, and overall performance in laboratory tasks. Fifth, consistent safety practices are very important in automotive laboratories. Although safety awareness exists, strict and continuous enforcement is needed to ensure student protection and proper preparation for real workplace standards. Sixth, the study concludes that effective assessment and timely feedback improve student performance, as continuous evaluation helps students correct errors and refine their skills. Seventh, integrating theory and practice enhances learning, as students better understand automotive concepts when laboratory activities are directly connected to classroom discussions. Finally, the study concludes that effective automotive laboratory classes require a combination of proper planning, skilled instructors, adequate resources, active student participation, strong safety enforcement, and continuous assessment. When these elements are present, students are better prepared for real-world automotive careers and industry demands.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher expresses sincere gratitude to all individuals who contributed to the completion of the study titled “Guidelines for Effective Automotive Laboratory Classes.” First, thanks are given to God for guidance, strength, and wisdom throughout the research process. The researcher also acknowledges the research adviser and instructors for their continuous support, guidance, and valuable feedback that improved the quality of the study. Appreciation is extended to school administrators and department heads for allowing the study to be conducted and for providing access to laboratory facilities and respondents. The researcher also thanks the student and instructor respondents for their honest participation and valuable input, which made the study possible. Gratitude is also given to friends and classmates for their encouragement and support during the research process. Finally, the deepest thanks are extended to the researcher’s family for their unconditional love, financial support, and motivation throughout the completion of the study. Overall, the acknowledgement recognizes all individuals who directly and indirectly contributed to the success of the research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wang, H. (2023). Research on the Construction of a Dual Preventive Mechanism for Safety Risk Prevention and Hazard Inspection in the Automobile Vocational Training Laboratory. *Advances in Vocational and Technical Education*, 5(13), 8-15.
- [2] Warning, D. F. (2022). An Evaluation of a Successful Post-secondary Automotive Technology Program (Doctoral dissertation, University of St. Francis).
- [3] Sudarsono, B. (2020, January). Industrial-based practical learning development for teacher competence of automobile technology. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1446, No. 1, p. 012062). IOP Publishing.
- [4] Rusmariadi, I. K., Darmawa, I. P., Negara, I. P. S., & Bangse, K. (2020). The Development of Teaching Material Design for Automotive Electrical and Electronics Laboratorium Based on Automotive-SKKNI to Improve the Achievement of Standar Competencies of Polytechnic Students. *Logic: Jurnal Rancang Bangun dan Teknologi*, 20(2), 73-79.
- [5] Malek, A., & Taccani, R. (2021). Innovative approach to electric vehicle diagnostics. *Archiwum Motoryzacji*, 92(2), 49-67
- [6] Dede, D., Abdullah, A. G., Mulyanti, B., Rohendi, D., & Sulaeman, S. (2020). TVET learning innovation on automotive virtual laboratory based on cloud OpenStack. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 12(3), 51–60.
- [7] Huankhoksung, W., Sukwan, O., & Ponathong, C. (2025). Teaching competency development model of instructors in automotive technology programs. *Higher Education Studies*, 15(1).
- [8] Kamaruddin, N., Azman, A., Rosli, H., & Johari, M. H. (2025). Accelerating automotive education in the digital age through virtual training platform for vehicle anatomy. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*.
- [9] Maksum, H., Purwanto, W., Siman, S., Ampera, D., Yuvenda, D., & Hasan, H. (2024). Improving problem-solving and communication skills in automotive vocational education through teaching factory model with problem-based learning concept. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*
- [10] Braun, V., Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77 – 101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- [11] Leedy, P.1993. *Practical Research: Fifth edition*. Macmillan Publishing Company, NewYork.
- [12] Neuman, W.L. (2000). *Social research methods qualitative and quantitative approaches*. 4th Edition, Allyn & Bacon, Needham Heights.
- [13] Walker, R. (1985). *Applied qualitative research*. Gowe



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)