



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 10 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.45665

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

# **Hand Splint: A Review**

Dr. M. Sohail Pervez<sup>1</sup>, Ankit Nagrare<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Anjuman College of Engineering, Nagpur University.

<sup>2</sup>Mechanical Engineering Design, Anjuman College of Engineering, Nagpur University,

Abstract: A splint is a device consisting of bone, wood, metal, polymers, composites, or plaster of Paris that is used to stabilise, join, or protect a damaged body part. It may be movable or immovable. There are numerous methods of rehabilitation currently in use, but we are going to specifically study hand splints with their definition, advantages, disadvantages, uses, etc. in this review paper. We are also going to review the literature that is available in bibliographic databases and compare their findings. The search for these splints was conducted through bibliographic databases and internet searches until May 2022. These searches were also backed by scanning their references one by one, personal reference collections, and talking to physiotherapists. All static splints used in this review paper have been shown to be effective in reducing pain during any motion as well as at rest.

Keywords: Stroke, Splints, Hand Motion, Wrist Extension, Spasticity.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In stroke, not only are the abilities to stand, balance, and walk affected, but also the ability to use the upper limb and hand in their diversity of functions in everyday life. Loss of upper limb freedom causes significant functional handicap, jeopardising quality of life and independence in "basic" (washing, grooming, feeding, dressing, and so on) and "instrumental" (shopping, home/financial management, and so on) daily activities [1]. The patient's ability to do daily duties may be harmed if he or she suffers a stroke in the dominant hand. In fact, 80% of stroke patients have severe limitations in activities of daily living that require the use of upper limbs [2]. There has been extensive research on the factors that influence QOL in stroke patients. ADL and depression are perhaps the most important factors affecting QOL after a stroke. However, no studies have investigated whether loss of function in the dominant hand affects QOL [3]. Treatment of these patients relies on rehabilitation. The cornerstone of this strategy is helping patients adapt to impairment, while treatments aimed at reducing impairment are less well developed. This will require a better understanding of impairment and, more significantly, recovery mechanisms.

Hand and wrist splints are a common component of occupational therapy programmes for people with strokes. Recent literature suggests splinting has the potential to improve hand function by attempting to support the proximal joints, applying counterbalanced force to deforming joints, and improving biomechanical advantage. Hand splints have both biomechanical and biological rationales for their use and action. However, evidence to support their clinical effectiveness is still emerging [4]. The hand and wrist splints can be used for decreasing soft tissue and joint pain [5], for resting weakened joint structures and decreasing local inflammation [6], for correctly positioning joints [7], for increasing joint stability [8], for increasing hand function (e.g., grasping or pinching) [5], for contributing towards self-management strategies in long-term disease management [9], for minimising joint contractures and hand deformities [10]. In this review paper we are going to identify, describe, and state their limitations.

#### II. TYPES OF SPLINTS

#### A. Static Hand Splint

Static resting splints are external devices applied to a body segment, whose aim is to decrease localised pain and inflammation by resting the joint in a correct anatomical position, and realigning drifting metacarpophalangeal [MCP] joints by providing an ulnar border to the splint and restricting carpal movement [11]. It helps maintain a biomechanically functional hand unit [12]. These splints do not permit wrist and hand movement and are recommended to be worn whilst resting and/or during the night. A static hand splint can indeed be recommended to rest an injured and postoperative hand. Resting splints for soft tissue injuries to the hand, like bruises, lacerations, or scars, facilitate the process of healing. Circumferential splinting to maintain postbone fracture reduction is applied to humeral and forearm sites [13].





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 1 Static Hand Splint

#### B. Static Progressive Splint

The employment of inelastic components to provide torque to a joint in order to statically place it as close to the end of this range as possible is known as static progressive splinting. It maximises total end range time, thus increasing passive range of motion. In static progressive splinting torque is applied with accurate position of the joint to create an approach powerful enough to succeed when no other treatment approach does [14]. Static progressive splint applies stress on subjected tissues due to which that tissue gets elongated after that doctor or patient can strengthen the stress for making the subjected tissue more tolerable. Unlike dynamic splinting where load is placed constantly on the tissue, here it is stretched from time to time.

Dynamic splints have an advantage over static progressive splints because dynamic splints have moving parts that allow the individual a range of voluntary controlled movement, which can prevent contractures while allowing resultant muscle force to counter the force of the spastic muscle. Results suggested more normalised muscle activation with the device application. Another study utilising static hand splints found decreased motor activation, which can also contribute towards neglected degeneration in the wrist muscles and misuse of much more distal muscles over time. In contrast, dynamic wrist splints that provide wrist support for more optimal hand function allowed some movement, may not produce this additional strain on proximal muscles [15].

#### C. Serial Static Splint

A serial static splint aids in tissue elongation by bringing soft tissues to the threshold of their range of motion. Serial splinting has been characterised as a pre-surgical treatment method for contractures. The timing of surgical intervention in post-burn abnormalities is a point of contention. Some authors urge early surgical intervention, while others prefer to wait for the scars to settle for roughly half a year. It has been reported that waiting for some time decreases the risk of postoperative infections [16].

#### D. Dynamic Hand Splint

A dynamic splint is a splint made of springs or elastic bands that assists in movements initiated by the patient by regulating the plane and range of motion. Tension on joint tissues causes them to react, and an appropriate extension force has been demonstrated to improve flexion contracture [17]. Dynamic splints are most typically used for protection following tendon reattachment (a flexible but inelastic cord of strong fibrous collagen tissue connecting a muscle to a bone). Dynamic splinting provides the advantages of early mobilisation without the hazards of early free active movement, which can result in increased stress, tendon ischaemia, and decreased tensile strength. To encourage compliance, the optimal dynamic extension splint should deliver a continuous and uniform force across its therapeutic range of digit and be simple to apply. Splints on the market now stretch a spring or an elastic band using a stationary outrigger pulley. Dynamic splinting can aid in the treatment of flexion contractures. Some studies argue against using dynamic splints to treat stiff elbows because they produce swelling and pain, causing patients to discontinue use [18].





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 2 Static Progressive Splint

#### E. Passive Dynamic Hand Splints

Dynamic hand splints are mechanically responsive orthoses that are traditionally used to recover fingers or the entire hand in accordance with predefined permitted motions. In particular, so-called "passive dynamic hand splints" frequently include elastic bands or springs, which merely exert a passive resistance to voluntary elongations of one or more fingers. These types of orthotic systems allow for the treatment of fingers that can still move independently against the negating elastic component's recovery force. The greatest forces generated by commercial splints for this purpose are typically in the range of 1–10 N. Although such a technique is a relatively simple and effective way to execute basic rehabilitation activities, it is bound by an obvious limitation: the inability to modulate the counteracting action. In fact, the mechanical compliance of the system is pre-defined by the fixed mechanical properties of the adopted elastic component [19].

#### F. Active Dynamic Hand Splint

Of course, the solely manual restrictions required for passive splints have inherent limits in comparison to the far more helpful electrical restrictions. Such functionality is offered by 'active dynamic hand splint'. They're designed as orthotic systems with incorporated electromechanical actuation mechanisms, with the goal of allowing electrical control of the system's most important mechanical aspects. Active splints, for example, are designed to provide therapeutic forces that can be accurately and constantly controlled by an electrical input, even in closed-loop systems. As a result, the patient can receive precise rehabilitation programmes by keeping track of the most important rehabilitation criteria. These could include things like the waveform, amplitude, frequency, duration, rising time, and repetition rate of a force signal, among other things. These parameters might be selected from established sets or continuously adjusted during the training phase, depending on the patient's particular response. The choice of actuation technology is critical for the efficacy of the resulting system when developing active orthoses. So far, several electromechanical transduction techniques have been investigated to provide hand systems (not necessarily a splint) with actuation functionalities. These technologies include pneumatic devices [20], electromagnetic motors [21] and shape memory alloys [22]. Additionally, useful means to electrically modulate resistive forces for hand rehabilitation devices have been demonstrated recently by using electrorheological fluids [23]. Given the appealing performance characteristics of all of these actuation methods, these techniques are often characterised by larger, rigid, and bulky devices. They obscure the structure of the orthotic system, making it difficult to wear and transport.

#### G. Wrist Extension Splint

A wrist splint is a brace that looks like a glove but has no fingers. It keeps your wrist steady while it is straight or slightly inclined. Wrist splints ease pressure on the median curve, provide relaxation from activities that aggravate carpal tunnel syndrome, and can stabilise the wrist in a physiologically beneficial wrist position (10–15 degrees), allowing the extrinsic finger flexors to improve muscular endurance [24]. They are also useful for limiting wrist circumduction and decreasing torque during heavy wrist chores [25]. Wrist extension splints are often prescribed and delivered to stroke patients. Wrist splints, as opposed to static resting splints, are intended to allow the user to continue functioning while reducing discomfort and providing support for the wrist. Normally, patients must wear them during strenuous duties, which might lengthen the time it takes the wearer to complete such tasks. Splints can also become unusable due to dirt. Thus, depending on the sorts of activities that patients engage in, both the wearing duration and the quantity and type of stress on the joints would vary significantly across patients. There are researches that underline the need for standardised wearing time [26]. It is more difficult to standardise the amount of tension applied to the joints.





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

Commercial wrist extension splints have been demonstrated to increase power grip strength in those who have had a mild to severe stroke. According to the findings of this research, prescribing wrist extension splints is not an easy task. To design the daily wear schedule, the occupational therapist and the patient must collaborate. There is limited data to support the long-term effectiveness of wrist extension splints, and the quality of evidence provided suggests that these splints have moderate clinical effectiveness [27].



Fig. 3 Wrist Extension Splint

#### H. Finger Splint

Finger splints are devices that keep a damaged finger immobilised and stable. Mallet finger injury, ligamentous sprain and dislocation of the proximal interphalangeal [PIP] joint of fingers, etc., are very common types of simple hand injuries where we can use finger splints. If we protect the injured area and correct the alignment of the joint immediately, we can facilitate early joint movement while maximising functional recovery. There are three simple finger splints to tackle these injuries for quick and effective conservative treatment.

#### I. Mallet Finger Splint

"Drop finger" and "baseball finger" are other names for mallet finger. Due to extensor tendon mechanism damage, the end of a finger cannot be actively straightened out. Treatment involves at least six weeks of splint use. Surgical fixing is sometimes employed [28]. About half of the cases might be cured or considerably improved by simple splintage. According to the studies, the Stack splint is also chosen for the patient's comfort and convenience [29]. This splint has been shown to be quite effective in both tendon injuries and fracture cases, with no need for open reduction of even substantial fracture fragments without distal phalanx dislocation. Despite the fact that this splint was initially published in 1969, specific results and approaches have not yet been described [30].

#### J. Buddy Splint

These involve two fingers taped together. When a person has a stretched finger, they use buddy splints. For example, as a result of a jamming (when the tip of the finger is compressed towards the hand) injury. For fractured fingers, this splint is not suggested. The splints are made of rigid material, which optimises the transmission of forces from the uninvolved to the involved digit. The splints are aligned with the anatomic axis of the joints, allowing for finger length discrepancies. The splints distribute the stress over a broader surface area, reducing the amount of strain on the skin. When the buddy splints are utilized on the distal phalanx, the splints do not migrate distally [31].



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### III. COMPARISON OF LITERATURE AVAILABLE OF SPLINTS

Sr.	Name of	Title	Method of Analysis	Result	Conclusion
No.	Author		ř		
1	Natasha A.	Effects of Splinting	63 patients with strokes.	When compared to the	After a stroke, splinting
	Lannin,	on Wrist	They were assigned to	control condition, neutral	the wrist in either the
	Anne	Contracture After	one of two groups:	wrist splinting increased	neutral or extended
	Cusick,	Stroke.	control (routine	wrist extensibility by 1.4°	position for four weeks
	Annie		treatment) or	(95 percent CI, 5.4° to 8.2°)	did not reduce wrist
	McCluskey		intervention (routine	after 4 weeks, while	contracture. This data
	and Robert		therapy with splint in	splinting the wrist in	shows that routine
	D. Herbert		either a neutral or	extension reduced wrist	wrist splinting should
	(32)		extended wrist position).	extensibility by 1.3° (95	be discontinued
	, ,		For 4 weeks, splints	percent CI, 4.9° to 2.4°).	immediately after a
			were worn at night for	,	stroke.
			an average of 9 to 12		
			hours. Assessment was		
			done by a Blind		
			Assessor.		
2	Fujiwara T,	Electrophysiological	15 patients with	FCR and BR muscle	The wrist-hand splint
	Liu M, Hase	And Clinical	hemiparetic stroke. The	activities were reduced	has been shown to help
	K, Tanaka N,	Assessment of a	time from stroke onset	during shoulder flexion with	patients with spastic
	Hara Y (33)	Simple Wrist-Hand	was over 120 days.	the splint, while FDS, FCR,	hemiparesis improve
		Splint For Patients	Assessment was done by	and BR muscle activities	upper limb motor
		With Chronic	electromyography	were reduced during finger	function.
		Spastic Hemiparesis	(EMG) of flexor	flexion with the splint. The	
		Secondary To	digitorum sublimus	H/M ratio of FCR was	
		Stroke.	(FDS), extensor indicis	likewise lowered when the	
			proprius (EIP), flexor	splint was attached.	
			carpi radialis (FCR),		
			extensor carpi radialis		
			(ECR), brachioradialis		
			(BR), and triceps brachii		
			(Tri) during active finger		
			extension and shoulder		
			flexion, without and		
			with the wrist-hand		
			splint.		
3	Yong Jae	The Effect of a	21 chronic hemiplegic	At Pre-1 and Pre 2, the	This stretching device
	Jung, Ji	Stretching Device	stroke patients with	average mean MAS score in	was shown to be useful
	Heon Hong,	on Hand Spasticity	significant finger flexor	the intervention group was	in reducing hand
	Hyeok Gyu	in Chronic	spasticity. The	2.83 and 2.93, respectively.	spasticity in chronic
	Kwon, Jun-	Hemiparetic Stroke	intervention group had	Using the one-way repeated	stroke patients. Further
	Chan Song,	Patients.	11 patients, and the	measures ANOVA test for	research into the
	Chulseung		control group had 10	evaluation of the effect of	device's use for other
	Kim,		patients. The stretched	intervention across all time-	individuals with
	SoHyun		condition was	points, this increased	spasticity, such as
	Park,		maintained for 30	considerably to 1.97 at Inter-	those with cerebral
	Yeung Ki		seconds, followed by 30	1, 1.55 at Inter-2, 1.20 at	palsy, spinal cord
	Kim, Sang		seconds of relaxation.	Inter-3, and 1.97 at Post-1	damage, or traumatic



	Ho Ahn and		For 20 minutes, this	(P<0.001).	brain injury, is
	Sung Ho		stretching and relaxation	(1 (0.001).	encouraged.
	Jang (34)		routine was repeated		cheodraged.
	Jung (54)		(one session). For the		
			intervention group's		
			patients, the stretching		
			exercise was done twice		
			a day, six days a week		
			for three weeks. The		
			assessment has been		
			done by (MAS) score of		
			finger flexor muscles		
			and (ANOVA) test for		
			evaluation of the effect		
			of intervention.		
4	Jong-Bae	The Effect of	The experiment group (n	Significant differences were	Hand discomfort and
	Choi, Sung-	Resting Hand Splint	= 15) and the control	not found between the two	edema were
	Ryoung Ma	on Hand Pain and	group $(n = 15)$ were	groups in the homogeneity	significantly reduced in
	and Bo-	Edema among	assigned to the	test before intervention.	the group that received
	Kyoung	Patients with Stroke	participants at random.	Significant variations in	a combination of
	Song (35)		For 12 weeks, all	VAS (Visual Analogue	general rehabilitation
			participants received	Scale), hand volume, and	treatment and resting
			general rehabilitation	MAS were seen in the	hand splints, but not in
			therapy for 30 minutes	experimental group before	the control group that
			each day, five days per	and after the intervention.	received only general
			week. Additionally, the	The control group, on the	rehabilitation
			experiment group's	other hand, showed no	treatment.
			participants wore resting	significant variations in	
			hand splints. Analysis	VAS, hand volume, or MAS	
			using SPSS version	before or after intervention.	
			18.0.	Significant variations in	
				VAS and hand volume were	
				identified in the experiment	
				group but not in MAS, when	
				the changes between the	
				groups were compared.	
5	Bürge E,	Neutral Functional	16 patient standard	At the start of the study, two	In the subacute period
	Kupper D,	Realignment	rehabilitation care, 15	patients in each group	of recovery, neutral
	Finckh A,	Orthosis Prevents	patients and a wrist	complained of a sore hand.	functional realignment
	Ryerson S,	Hand Pain in	neutral functional	Hand discomfort was	orthoses have a
	Schnider A,	Patients with	realignment orthosis for	reported by 8 participants in	preventive impact on
	Leemann B	Subacute Stroke: A	13 weeks: Hand pain at	the control group and 1	poststroke hand
	(36)	Randomized Trial.	rest (VAS), wrist range	participant in the orthosis	discomfort, but not on
			of motion (FMA), and	group after 13 weeks. In both	mobility and edema.
			edema of hand and wrist	groups, mobility and edema	
			(circumferences).	progressed in a comparable	
				way.	
6	Eun Hyuk	The Effect of a	8 patients to an	At pre-1 in the intervention	In chronic hemiparetic
	Kim, Min	Hand-Stretching	intervention group and 7	group and at 1st assessment	stroke patients, the



	Cheol Jang,	Device During the	patients to an control	in the control group, mean	designed stretching
	Jeong Pyo	Management of	group. The stretched	MAS (mMAS) scores were	device was proven to
	Seo, Sung	Spasticity in	condition was	not substantially different	successfully reduce
	Ho Jang, Jun	Chronic	maintained for 10	(p>0.05). Furthermore, no	hand spasticity.
	Chan Song	Hemiparetic Stroke	minutes during each	significant differences were	nand spasticity.
	and Hae Min	Patients	exercise session, and the	seen between the	
	Jo (37)	rationts	activity was done twice	intervention group's mMAS	
	30 (37)		a day for four weeks.	scores at pre-1 and pre-2	
			Assessment is done by	(p>0.05). However, in the	
			MAS. Patients in the	intervention group, mMAS	
			intervention group were	scores at post-1 were	
			examined twice (pre-1	substantially lower than	
			and pre-2) before	those at pre-2 (p>0.05).	
			beginning the stretching	There were no significant	
			exercise and once (post-	differences in mMAS scores	
			1) thereafter.	between the first, second,	
			1) thereafter.	and third evaluations in the	
				control group (p>0.05).	
				Furthermore, the	
				intervention group's mMAS	
				scores at post-1 were	
				substantially lower than the	
				control group's at the third	
				assessment (p>0.05).	
7	Aukje	Long-Term Use of a	11 patients and 1	Three patients continue to	Number of chronic
'	Andringa,	Static Hand-Wrist	caregiver. All patients	wear the static orthosis at	stroke patients are
	Ingrid van de	Orthosis in Chronic	were in chronic stage	night after receiving it for at	unable to sustain a
	Port, and	Stroke Patients: A	after stroke and were	least a year, with varying	static orthosis for at
	Jan-Willem	Pilot Study.	advised to use the static	levels of comfort. Four	least 8 hours per day
	Meijer (38)	Tilet Staay.	orthosis for at least one	patients wore the orthosis for	for at least a year. It is
	1.101,01 (8.8)		year ago. SPSS 18.0 was	at least eight hours each day,	useful to look for
			used for the analysis.	and all reported satisfactory	alternate therapies that
				comfort. Due to poor	these stroke patients
				comfort, two patients were	can tolerate.
				unable to use the orthosis for	
				the recommended 8 hours	
				each day. The orthosis was	
				discontinued by two patients,	
				one due to increased	
				spasticity and the other due	
				to increased discomfort.	
8	Eun-Ha	Effects of Resting	The participants were	The circumferences of the	For early stroke
	PARK; Jin-	Hand Splint in Early	divided into intervention	splint and control groups	patients, a night-time
	Young	Stroke Patients	group (splint group) and	were 6.7+/-0.5 cm and 6.7+/-	splint-wearing regimen
	KANG;		control group with	0.8 cm, respectively, before	may not be
	Min-Ho		hemiplegic stroke.	treatment. Both were 6.8+/-	therapeutically useful.
	CHUN (39)		Individual motor	0.6 cm and 6.8+/-0.8 cm	-
			training and upper limb	after 4 weeks, indicating no	
1			•	1	



9 Erel Suat, S I· brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	done in both the splint and control groups. For four weeks, the splint group's individuals wore resting hand splints for a maximum of 12 hours each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	between the groups. For all parameters, the effects of splinting were statistically insignificant between the control and splint groups.	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	four weeks, the splint group's individuals wore resting hand splints for a maximum of 12 hours each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	splinting were statistically insignificant between the control and splint groups.	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	group's individuals wore resting hand splints for a maximum of 12 hours each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	insignificant between the control and splint groups.	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	resting hand splints for a maximum of 12 hours each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	control and splint groups.	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	maximum of 12 hours each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.		In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	each night. Pain in the hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	hemiplegic upper extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	extremity was assessed using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	using a (VAS), spasticity at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	at the wrist was assessed using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	using the (MAS), passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4- week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	passive range of motion at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	at the wrist was assessed using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	using a goniometer, and functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	functional hand use was assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4- week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	assessed using the manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	manual function test (MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4- week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	(MFT). All measures were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4-week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	were obtained at the start and conclusion of a 4- week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	and conclusion of a 4- week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	week therapy session as part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Short- and Long-	part of normal rehabilitation.	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	. Short- and Long-		The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
I brahim Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	. Short- and Long-	19 chronic stroke	The control group exhibited	In chronic poststroke
Engin, Bek Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur				in chi onic poststi okc
Nilgün, Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Term Effects of an	patients were randomly	no differences over the time	patients, hand splints
Yakut Yavu and Uygur	Inhibitor Hand	assigned to the control	interval in timed within-	with reflex inhibitory
and Uygur	Splint in Poststroke	group with 9 patients	group tests. In the study	properties had no
	z Patients: A	and research groups with	group, there was a positive	influence on balance or
	Randomized	10 patients. Patients in	difference in some of the FR,	functional ambulation
Fatma (40)	Controlled Trial	the splinted group were	TUG, and L test ratings. The	activities.
		instructed to wear their	only difference between the	More research on their
		splints for at least 2	groups was detected for	impact on pain and
		hours each day, either	TUG values at the fourth	related reactions in this
		during ambulation or	evaluation in favour of the	patient group is
		whenever they felt the	study group, according to	needed.
		need. The Berg Balance	intergroup comparisons.	
		Scale, Functional Reach	Patients were cooperative	
		test (FR), Timed Up and	and usually satisfied with	
		Go test (TUG), and L	their splints, according to	
		test were used to assess	qualitative assessments.	
		subjects at the start and		
		after 2, 4, and 6 months		
		of splint use. The control		
		group was evaluated		
		using the same tests. For		
	i	early stroke patients, a		
		night-time splint-		
		after 2, 4, and 6 months of splint use. The control group was evaluated		



	Vagar				
			not be therapeutically		
			useful.		
10	Janet L.	The Effectiveness of	18 patients with	For the upper arm $(F = 8.11,$	This study used 18
	Poole, Susan	Inflatable Pressure	hemiplegia. Intervention	df = 1, 8, P < .05, effect size	hemiplegic subjects
	L. Whitney,	Splints on Motor	group 9 patients and	= .95), wrist and hand (F =	matched for upper
	Nancy	Function in Stroke	control 9 patients.	8.36, df = 1, 8, p< .05, effect	extremity motor
	Hangeland,	Patients	Scores on the (FMA).	size = .96), and feeling (F =	function before being
	Carol Baker		Subjects were then	5.45, df = 1, 8, P< .05, effect	randomly assigned to
	(41)		randomly assigned to a	size =.78), there was a	the splint or non-splint
	` ,		non-splint or splint	significant main effect for	conditions.
			condition. For three	time. These variables' overall	
			weeks, the splinted	averages grew considerably	
			group had the splint	from week 0 to week 3	
			applied for 30 minutes, 5	independent of condition.	
			days a week. 2 groups 3	Although the impact size	
			people with right	was moderate, there was no	
			hemiplegia and 6 people	significant change in the	
			with left hemiplegia.	mean pain score over time.	
11	Mohamed E.	Effect of task	24 patients, randomly	Post-intervention and	The findings of this
11	Khallaf,	specific training and	assigned into two equal	follow-up results showed	study show that task-
	Mariam A.	wrist-fingers	groups. the study	significant improvements in	specific training and
	Ameer and	extension splint on	(intervention) group	nine holes peg test, Fugl-	wrist/finger extension
	Eman E.	hand joints	received task specific	Meyer upper extremity and	splints can help
	Fayed (42)	range of motion and	exercises five times a	hand scores, and ranges of	improve finger
	1 4 9 6 4 (12)	function after stroke	week for an hour	motion when compared to	dexterity, upper
		runction after stroke	concurrently with	pre-intervention (P< 0.05).	extremity function, and
			wrist/fingers extension	pre intervention (1 \ 0.03).	wrist/hand range of
			splint which was used		motion.
			two hours for each three		motion.
			hours (day and night)		
			excluding exercises and		
			sleeping hours for 16		
			weeks. the control group		
			received traditional		
			passive stretch and range		
			of motion exercises.		
			assessment using FMA		
			and nine holes peg test.		
12	Jang, W. H.,	The effect of a	Patients with chronic	Significant changes in wrist	The author came to the
12	Kwon, H. C.,	wrist-hand	hemiparetic stroke	MAS were found in the	conclusion that this
	Yoo, K. J., &	stretching device for	(n=21). Stretching was	intervention group between	stretching gadget is
	Jang, S. H.	spasticity in chronic	done with a wrist and	pre (1.72) and post-2 weeks	useful in decreasing
	(43)	hemiparetic stroke	hand stretching	(0.91), as well as between	spasticity and
	( - /	patients	apparatus, and one	pre (1.72) and post-4 weeks	improving motor
		r	session lasted 14	(0.82) (P0.05). Significant	function.
			minutes. Stretching was	variations were also seen in	
			done three times a day,	the hand MAS (P0.05)	
			six days a week for four	across three evaluation	
			weeks. The assessment	intervals (pre; 2.00), (post-2	
				T - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2	



is done by MAS, FMA, weeks; 1.36), and (post-4	
AROM. weeks; 0.90). Between the	
three evaluation intervals	
(pre; 2.82), (post-2 weeks;	
4.00), and (post-4 weeks;	
4.63), significant variations	
in wrist FMA were identifie	d
(P0.05). We found a	
significant difference	
between three evaluation	
times (pre; 5.55), (post-2	
weeks; 6.18), and (post-4	
weeks; 6.90) (P0.05) in the	
hand FMA. Mean values	
rose with time in the wrist	
and hand AROMs, but no	
significant differences were	
significant differences were seen (P>0.05). There were	
no variations in MAS, FMA	
or AROM between the thre	
	<b>;</b>
evaluation periods in the	
control group (P>0.05).	TII ' '
13 Aukje S. Tolerance and 6 chronic stroke patients During the 6-month period	The innovative
Andringa, effectiveness of a with upper limb five patients were able to	dynamic orthosis was
Ingrid G.L. new dynamic hand- spasticity, provided with tolerate the dynamic orthosi	
van de Port wrist orthosis in a custom-made dynamic without pain for 6 hours eac	
and Jan- chronic stroke orthosis. A goniometer day, when compared to	stroke patients for at
Willem G. patients was used to assess the wearing the static orthosis,	least 6 hours daily, and
Meijer (44) contracture of the wrist self-reported spasticity and	its usage dramatically
and finger flexor discomfort decreased	decreased wrist
muscles. Spasticity in considerably (p< 0.05). The	
the elbow flexors, wrist maximal passive wrist	month period.
flexors and fingers extension increased	
flexors were assessed by considerably from 29 to 12	
the (MAS). (p<0.05) as compared to	
baseline.	
14 Assunta Application of a 47 patients with There was a reduction in	RIS might be utilised
Pizzi, Volar Static Splint hemiplegia and upper- elbow spasticity (F=5.39,	as part of an overall
Giovanna in Poststroke limb spasticity. Patient P=.002), wrist pain (F=2.89)	
Carlucci, Spasticity of the wore splint for 90 P=.04), and spasms (F=4.33)	, stroke upper-limb
Catuscia Upper Limb minutes daily for 3 P=.008), as well as a	stiffness. It can be
Falsini, months. Modified substantial improvement in	
Sonia Ashworth Scale (MAS), wrist PROM (F=8.92,	in selected individuals
Verdesca, passive range of motion P=.001) with bigger	who lack effective
Antonello (PROM) at the wrist and reductions in extension that	hand motions and in
(= 1011) at the wild the first the f	mand motions and m
Grippo. (45) elbow, (Hmax/Mmax in flexion. The Hmax/Mma	
Grippo. (45) elbow, (Hmax/Mmax in flexion. The Hmax/Mma	circumstances where
Grippo. (45) elbow, (Hmax/Mmax in flexion. The Hmax/Mma	circumstances where antispastic medications



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

			shoulder, elbow, and	of RIS (reflex inhibitory	
			wrist.	splinting) were well	
				tolerated. Two patients' toxin	
				injections were reduced.	
15	Johan A.	Effects of a dynamic	8 people who have had a	Patients improved on ARAT	Patients who show
	Franck,	hand orthosis for	subacute stroke. The	(p = 0.001) and ABILHAND	little/modest
	Annick A.A.	functional use of the	orthosis was worn for	(p = 0.005) at the group	improvement in their
	Timmermans	impaired upper limb	six weeks, five days per	level. Three patients whose	ability to conduct tasks
	and Henk	in sub-acute stroke	week, and 45 minutes	baseline ARAT altered	or their perceived level
	A.M. Seelen	patients: A multiple	each day. Action	slightly (0–3 points)	of daily performance in
	(46)	single case	Research Arm Test	improved at follow-up,	the early subacute
		experimental design	(ARAT), ABILHAND,	whereas four stayed the same	period following a
		study	and Intrinsic Motivation	in terms of detrended ARAT	stroke appear to gain
			Inventory were used as	findings. ABILHAND mean	the most from training
			outcome measures	detrended findings were	using a dynamic arm
			(IMI).	greater during follow-up in	orthosis. Patients
				four individuals with	reported a high level of
				comparison to the starting	intrinsic drive and self-
				point.	control.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

While static splinting has been one of the most popular methods of splinting in order to improve hand mobility after a stroke, the evidence backing its efficacy is mixed. Many studies have shown that participants often experience pain or discomfort while wearing the orthosis, limiting its efficacy. Dynamic splints, on the other hand, are generally shown to be effective at improving range of motion, dexterity, and grip and finger strength. Importantly, dynamic splints do not cause as much discomfort as static splints and are generally more affordable. However, the research on dynamic splints and their efficacy is limited.

In this review paper, apart from classifying different types of splints, there is also a collection of data for the effects of splints or orthosis. It has been taken as separating patients into two groups; one is the intervention group, in which orthosis happens, and the control group, which is unexperimented. The analysis is done by different means: Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA), Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS), Action Research Arm Test (ARAT), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Electromyography (EMG), analysis of variance (ANOVA), etc. Splinting is far more effective in improving and maintaining the patient's functional abilities in hand abnormalities following stroke. The relevance of the Assistive device as a compensation for activity limitations has been highlighted in studies. Functional limits and illness severity have been found for predictive application. Because of the link between particular constraints and the use of adaptive devices, it's possible that assistive device prescriptions should be restricted to patients who have impaired or limited device-related activities now or in the future. For certain stroke patients who can tolerate this low-cost orthosis, a static orthosis can be an effective way to avoid contractures. However, there is a subset of chronic stroke patients who cannot tolerate a static orthosis and require further treatment to avoid contractures in the upper limb. Stepped care can be employed in this group; if static orthoses are not tolerated, another intervention, such as a dynamic orthosis, would be used.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this review paper we have studied about six broad types of splints and their seven different sub-types collectively. also compared the efficacy of various hand splints. From the above assessment, we can conclude that throughout the years, splinting techniques have advanced exponentially from various static splints to dynamic splints with their extensions. Every splint serves a different purpose, such as static splints are useful for hands which are in rest position, dynamic splints are useful for the patient who requires some amount of motion, wrist splints, as the name suggests, give assistance to the wrist portion with its extensions, finger splints are used for individual fingers, thumbs specifically, and metacarpal ulnar deviation splints for the metacarpophalangeal joints. But with these studies, one thing is clear, which is that it takes a long time to keep it wearing. Hence, there is a lot of scope for developing dynamic hand splints or dynamic hand splints with finger extension to be used for better recovery, less time consumption, and less dependency on others.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 10 Issue VII July 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hunter S, Crome P. Hand function and stroke. Reviews in Clinical gerontology. 2002;12(1):68-81.
- [2] Harris JE, Eng JJ. Individuals with the dominant hand affected following stroke demonstrate less impairment than those with the nondominant hand affected. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2006;20(3):380-9.
- [3] Pyun SB, Kim SH, Hahn MS, Kwon HK, Lee HJ. Quality of Life after Stroke. Journal of the Korean Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine. 1999;23(2):233-9.
- [4] Adams J, Hammond A, Burridge J, Cooper C. Static orthoses in the prevention of hand dysfunction in rheumatoid arthritis: a review of the literature. Musculoskeletal care. 2005;3(2):85-101.
- [5] Pagnotta A, Korner-Bitensky N, Mazer B, Baron M, Wood-Dauphinee S. Static wrist splint use in the performance of daily activities by individuals with rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2005;32(11):2136-43.
- [6] Janssen M, Phiferons J, van den Velde E. The prevention of hand deformities with resting splints in rheumatoid arthritis patients. A randomized single blind one year follow-up study. Arthritis and Rheumatism. 1990;33:123.
- [7] Ouellette EA, editor The rheumatoid hand: orthotics as preventative. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism; 1991: Elsevier.
- [8] Kjeken I, Møller G, Kvien TK. Use of commercially produced elastic wrist orthoses in chronic arthritis: a controlled study. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 1995;8(2):108-13.
- [9] Hammond A. The use of self-management strategies by people with rheumatoid arthritis. Clinical rehabilitation. 1998;12(1):81-7.
- [10] McClure PW, Blackburn LG, Dusold C. The use of splints in the treatment of joint stiffness: biologic rationale and an algorithm for making clinical decisions. Physical Therapy. 1994;74(12):1101-7.
- [11] Biese J. Therapist's evaluation and conservative management of rheumatoid arthritis in the hand and wrist. Rehabilitation of the hand and upper extremity. 2002:5:1569-81.
- [12] Bielefeld T, Neumann DA. The unstable metacarpophalangeal joint in rheumatoid arthritis: anatomy, pathomechanics, and physical rehabilitation considerations. Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy. 2005;35(8):502-20.
- [13] Rossi J. Concepts and current trends in hand splinting. Occupational Therapy In Health Care. 1988;4(3-4):53-68.
- [14] Schultz-Johnson K. Static progressive splinting. Journal of Hand Therapy. 2002;15(2):163-78.
- [15] Reid DT, Sochaniwskyj A. Influences of a hand positioning device on upper-extremity control of children with cerebral palsy. International Journal of Rehabilitation research Internationale Zeitschrift fur Rehabilitationsforschung Revue Internationale de Recherches de Readaptation. 1992;15(1):15-29.
- [16] Puri V, Khare N, Venkateshwaran N, Bharadwaj S, Choudhary S, Deshpande O, et al. Serial splintage: preoperative treatment of upper limb contracture. Burns. 2013;39(6):1096-100.
- [17] Srinivasan M, Knowles D, Wood J. The Ossy Splint-A New Design of Dynamic Extension Splint. The British Journal of Hand Therapy. 2000;5(3):72-4.
- [18] Gallucci GL, Boretto JG, Dávalos MA, Donndorff A, Alfie VA, De Carli P. Dynamic splint for the treatment of stiff elbow. Shoulder & Elbow. 2011;3(1):52-5.
- [19] Carpi F, Mannini A, De Rossi D. Dynamic splint-like hand orthosis for finger rehabilitation. Biomedical applications of electroactive polymer actuators. 2009:443-61.
- [20] Noritsugu T, Yamamoto H, Sasakil D, Takaiwa M, editors. Wearable power assist device for hand grasping using pneumatic artificial rubber muscle. SICE 2004 annual conference; 2004: IEEE.
- [21] Bouzit M, Burdea G, Popescu G, Boian R. The Rutgers Master II-new design force-feedback glove. IEEE/ASME Transactions on mechatronics. 2002;7(2):256-63.
- [22] Dittmer DK, Buchal RO, MacArthur DE. The SMART wrist-hand orthosis (WHO) for quadriplegic patients. JPO: Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics. 1993;5(3):73.
- [23] Khanicheh A, Muto A, Triantafyllou C, Weinberg B, Astrakas L, Tzika A, et al. fMRI-compatible rehabilitation hand device. Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation. 2006;3(1):1-11.
- [24] Stern EB, Ytterberg SR, Krug HE, Mullin GT, Mahowald ML. Immediate and short-term effects of three commercial wrist extensor orthoses on grip strength and function in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 1996;9(1):42-50.
- [25] Cordery J, Rocchi M. Joint protection and fatigue management. Rheumatologic rehabilitation. 1998;1:279-322.
- [26] Haskett S, Backman C, Porter B, Goyert J, Palejko G. A crossover trial of custom-made and commercially available wrist splints in adults with inflammatory arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 2004;51(5):792-9.
- [27] Curtin M. Development of a tetraplegic hand assessment and splinting protocol. Spinal Cord. 1994;32(3):159-69.
- [28] Handoll HH, Vaghela MV. Interventions for treating mallet finger injuries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2004(3).
- [29] Warren R, Norris S, Ferguson D. Mallet finger: a trial of two splints. Journal of Hand Surgery. 1988;13(2):151-3.
- [30] Crawford GP. The molded polythene splint for mallet finger deformities. The Journal of hand surgery. 1984;9(2):231-7.
- [31] Lamey G. Buddy splint. Journal of hand therapy: official journal of the American Society of Hand Therapists. 1994;7(1):30-1.
- [32] Lannin NA, Cusick A, McCluskey A, Herbert RD. Effects of splinting on wrist contracture after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Stroke. 2007 Jan 1;38(1):111-6.
- [33] Fujiwara T, Liu M, Hase K, Tanaka N, Hara Y. Electrophysiological and clinical assessment of a simple wrist-hand splint for patients with chronic spastic hemiparesis secondary to stroke. Electromyography and clinical neurophysiology. 2004 Oct 1;44(7):423-9.
- [34] Jung YJ, Hong JH, Kwon HG, Song JC, Kim C, Park S, Ki Kim Y, Ahn SH, Jang SH. The effect of a stretching device on hand spasticity in chronic hemiparetic stroke patients. NeuroRehabilitation. 2011 Jan 1;29(1):53-9.
- [35] Choi JB, Ma SR, Song BK. The effect of resting hand splint on hand pain and edema among patients with stroke. Journal of Ecophysiology and Occupational Health. 2017 Jun 7;16(1-2):37-41.
- [36] Bürge E, Kupper D, Finckh A, Ryerson S, Schnider A, Leemann B. Neutral functional realignment orthosis prevents hand pain in patients with subacute stroke: a randomized trial. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2008 Oct 1;89(10):1857-62.
- [37] Kim EH, Jang MC, Seo JP, Jang SH, Song JC, Jo HM. The effect of a hand-stretching device during the management of spasticity in chronic hemiparetic stroke patients. Annals of rehabilitation medicine. 2013 Apr;37(2):235.



- [38] Andringa A, van de Port I, Meijer JW. Long-term use of a static hand-wrist orthosis in chronic stroke patients: a pilot study. Stroke research and treatment. 2013 Feb 27;2013.
- [39] Park EH, Kang JY, Chun MH. Effects of resting hand splint in early stroke patients. Journal of the Korean Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2006:261-5.
- [40] Suat E, İbrahim Engin Ş, Nilgün B, Yavuz Y, Fatma U. Short-and long-term effects of an inhibitor hand splint in poststroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. Topics in stroke rehabilitation. 2011 May 1;18(3):231-7.
- [41] Poole JL, Whitney SL, Hangeland N, Baker C. The effectiveness of inflatable pressure splints on motor function in stroke patients. The Occupational Therapy Journal of Research. 1990 Nov;10(6):360-6.
- [42] Khallaf ME, Ameer MA, Fayed EE. Effect of task specific training and wrist-fingers extension splint on hand joints range of motion and function after stroke. NeuroRehabilitation. 2017 Jan 1;41(2):437-44.
- [43] Jang WH, Kwon HC, Yoo KJ, Jang SH. The effect of a wrist-hand stretching device for spasticity in chronic hemiparetic stroke patients. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2016 Feb 1;52(1):65-71.
- [44] Andringa AS, Van de Port IG, Meijer JW. Tolerance and effectiveness of a new dynamic hand-wrist orthosis in chronic stroke patients. NeuroRehabilitation. 2013 Jan 1;33(2):225-31.
- [45] Pizzi A, Carlucci G, Falsini C, Verdesca S, Grippo A. Application of a volar static splint in poststroke spasticity of the upper limb. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2005 Sep 1;86(9):1855-9.
- [46] Franck JA, Timmermans AA, Seelen HA. Effects of a dynamic hand orthosis for functional use of the impaired upper limb in sub-acute stroke patients: A multiple single case experimental design study. Technology and Disability. 2013 Jan 1;25(3):177-87.





10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)