iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** I **Month of publication:** January 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.66290

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue I Jan 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Harmonizing Beauty: A Comparative Study of Western and Indian Approaches to Aesthetics

Bushra Khanam[1], Dr. Darkhasha[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Department of English, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, U.P (243006)*
[2]*Associate Professor, Department of English, Govt. P.G. College Bisalpur, Pilibhit, U.P (262201)*

Abstract: ***Aesthetics is an intricate field of philosophical inquiry that attempts to define and explore the nature of beauty, art, and taste. Within Western and Indian intellectual traditions, aesthetic theories have evolved to serve different cultural, philosophical, and spiritual functions. This paper offers a comparative study of Western and Indian aesthetic discourses, tracing their foundational theories, key philosophical perspectives, and how they have informed artistic practice. From the Western emphasis on reason, representation, and mimesis, to the Indian focus on emotional engagement and transcendence, this study provides an analytical lens for understanding the complexity and diversity of global aesthetic thought. It also highlights how these traditions have interacted and influenced one another, leading to an integrated vision of aesthetics in contemporary contexts.***
Keywords: Aesthetics, Western Aesthetic Theories, Indian Aesthetic Theories, Beauty, Rasa Theory, Philosophy of Art, Comparative Aesthetics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aesthetics, the philosophical study of beauty, art, and taste, has been a central component of human intellectual discourse for millennia. Across civilizations, aesthetics has served not only as a means of artistic expression but also as a profound method of understanding the world and human experience. The study of beauty in the Western tradition traces its roots to classical philosophy, with significant contributions from thinkers like Plato, Aristotle, and later Kant. For the West, aesthetics has largely focused on the intellectual contemplation of beauty and art, shaped by reason, logic, and principles of form and representation. From the ideals of the Greeks to the complexities of Enlightenment-era philosophies, Western aesthetic theory developed into a framework for assessing and critiquing art, grounded in both cognitive reflection and the admiration of divine or natural order.

In contrast, the Indian aesthetic tradition has evolved through millennia, offering an approach that intertwines philosophical insights, spirituality, and artistic creation. Rooted in Vedic texts, the idea of beauty and the nature of aesthetic experience in Indian thought is often imbued with profound metaphysical and emotional dimensions. Indian aesthetics emphasizes the transformational power of beauty, where art becomes a conduit for transcending the material world and engaging with higher forms of existence, such as the spiritual or the divine. The *Natya Shastra*, a foundational text on performing arts, articulates the concept of *rasa*, a unique emotional essence that allows the spectator to connect with the artist's performance, and thereby undergoes an aesthetic experience of intense emotional resonance and spiritual elevation.

These divergent conceptualizations of aesthetics reflect the underlying cultural and philosophical differences between the Western and Indian intellectual traditions. While Western aesthetic theory has been profoundly shaped by the drive for systematization, intellectual rigor, and formalism, Indian aesthetics has tended to prioritize emotional engagement and spiritual transcendence through art. Both traditions, however, have deeply shaped human interaction with art and culture, providing enduring frameworks for understanding beauty, creativity, and expression. This research seeks to undertake a comparative analysis of these two influential traditions of aesthetic thought. The primary objective is to delve into the theories of beauty, form, and artistic experience in Western and Indian philosophy, examining the theoretical systems and underlying assumptions that inform them. The inquiry will explore how art serves both as an intellectual pursuit and a spiritual experience across the two traditions, analyzing how each has influenced historical, cultural, and artistic production within their respective domains. In the West, aesthetic engagement has often been rooted in the cognitive processes associated with representation, with a significant focus on aesthetics as a critique of beauty based on forms, rules, and universality. From the ancient Greek conceptions of *kalon* to the Enlightenment-era theories of taste, Western aesthetics tends to favor a dispassionate, analytical approach to art, one that values clarity, representation, and intellectual engagement with the principles of beauty. This pursuit of logical interpretation through rationality leads to art's function as a medium of social reflection and didactic teaching, as articulated by figures such as Plato and Aristotle, and later developed through philosophers like Immanuel Kant and Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Conversely, Indian aesthetic theory, as seen particularly in texts such as the *Natya Shastra*, incorporates a more holistic understanding of beauty. Indian aesthetics is more concerned with the experience of beauty as an emotional, transformative, and transcendent event. In this system, art serves not only as a tool of beauty but as a pathway to spiritual awakening. The notion of *rasa*—the emotional flavour that emanates from a work of art—plays a pivotal role in Indian aesthetics, framing art not merely as an intellectual object for contemplation but as a dynamic exchange between performer, audience, and the transcendent. The emotional intensity evoked through art leads the audience toward deeper levels of self-awareness, catharsis, and connection to the divine. The concept of art as a form of transcendence informs much of Indian aesthetics and has fostered a rich tradition of art that incorporates not only visual and performative works but also intricate connections between philosophy and practice. By comparing these two traditions, this paper will illuminate not only their divergent paths of development but also their potential overlaps and intersections. Understanding the dynamics between Western aesthetic critique and Indian emotional engagement offers a fresh perspective on global aesthetics. The influence of Western ideas on modernist and contemporary art has been profound, yet the globalizing influence of Indian artistic traditions, particularly in the context of spirituality, has equally altered global expressions of beauty in literature, visual arts, and performance. Ultimately, this research is not merely an academic exercise in comparing two different cultural traditions but a call to explore the potential for integration between Western rationality and Indian emotive engagement in the arts. In an era marked by globalization and cross-cultural exchange, the opportunity to blend these deep-seated aesthetic traditions offers exciting new possibilities for how we understand and engage with art—fostering a synthesis that bridges thought, emotion, and spirituality. In the sections that follow, this study will first explore the historical foundations of aesthetic thought in both traditions, diving into the philosophies of Greek, Roman, and later Western philosophers, and then moving to a detailed examination of Rasa and the spiritual contexts of Indian aesthetic experiences. The paper will analyze how these discourses evolve and come into dialogue with one another in contemporary settings. It will conclude by evaluating the significance of these aesthetic discourses in modern art, literature, and performance across the world, underscoring their continuing relevance in the 21st century.

II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND FOUNDATIONS OF WESTERN AESTHETIC THEORY

A. Ancient Greek Contributions

Western aesthetic theory begins with the ancient Greek philosophers, whose explorations of beauty, form, and art set the stage for the development of the Western canon of aesthetics. Plato (c. 428-348 BCE), in particular, offered a dualistic conception of beauty. He proposed that beauty exists as a perfect, eternal form in the realm of idealized Forms or Ideas, beyond the material world that we experience. In *The Symposium*, Plato examines beauty not only as an aesthetic quality but also as an idea that transcends the material world, serving as a path toward the higher spiritual truth. The process of appreciating beauty, therefore, was regarded as a way of apprehending higher knowledge and truth. Aristotle, Plato's student, adopted a more empirical and practical approach to aesthetics in his *Poetics*, one of the earliest works in Western thought to systematically study art. Rather than viewing beauty as a transcendent idea, Aristotle focused on the concept of mimesis, the imitation of life through art, and posited that art's purpose was to represent the world realistically to achieve both enjoyment and moral enlightenment. For Aristotle, beauty was intrinsically linked to order, balance, and the organization of form, whereby art should strive for clarity, symmetry, and structural integrity.

B. Medieval and Renaissance Aesthetics

With the rise of Christianity, the Western aesthetic tradition shifted in significant ways. Figures like St. Augustine (354-430 CE) articulated an aesthetic of beauty through the lens of divine creation, emphasizing that true beauty reflects the goodness of God. In medieval times, the appreciation of beauty became closely associated with the divine, with art and beauty serving not only as an aesthetic experience but also as a means of elevating the soul towards the transcendent.

The Renaissance saw the revival of classical ideas, but with a fresh focus on humanism—placing the human experience, intellect, and bodily senses at the center of artistic representation. Artists such as Leonardo da Vinci and Michelangelo began to explore beauty in human forms, representing nature and the human body through more realistic, observational perspectives. Art during the Renaissance was viewed as a bridge between humanity and the divine, portraying both human beauty and spiritual excellence.

C. Enlightenment and Modern Aesthetics

The Enlightenment further developed aesthetics as a form of critical reflection on art, beauty, and taste. Immanuel Kant's *Critique of Judgment* (1790) was pivotal in this shift, wherein Kant distinguished between the agreeable, the beautiful, and the sublime. For Kant, beauty was a matter of subjective judgment, and he argued that aesthetic judgment could be universally valid even though it arose from individual experience.

This period also gave rise to the Romantic movement, which pushed the notion of the sublime to the fore, celebrating nature's grandiosity and untameable beauty as a confrontation with the limits of human reason.

Furthermore, figures like Hegel developed the theory of dialectical aesthetics, which suggested that art and beauty evolved historically as a reflection of the spiritual and cultural development of society. Hegel's historical interpretation of art emphasized the progressive nature of aesthetic production, linking beauty to the unfolding of the world spirit.

III. CORE CONCEPTS IN INDIAN AESTHETIC THEORY

Indian aesthetics is a profound field of study that integrates philosophy, spirituality, and artistic practice. Rooted in the ancient traditions of the Vedic, Upanishadic, and classical texts, Indian aesthetic theory is an intricate exploration of the transformative and transcendent qualities of beauty and art. The understanding of beauty and the appreciation of art in Indian thought are inherently tied to spiritual realization, emotional engagement, and an experiential journey of transformation. The core concepts in Indian aesthetics intertwine art, consciousness, and divine experience, with art seen not as an external object to be scrutinized intellectually, but as a medium to connect with deeper, universal truths.

A. Vedic and Upanishadic Origins of Aesthetic Thought

Indian aesthetic thought emerges from the early Vedic texts (circa 1500-500 BCE), which emphasize the connection between the divine and the material world through metaphysical reflections on beauty. The early Vedic hymns, particularly in the *Rigveda*, offer rich articulations of cosmic beauty, which is conceived not only as a worldly or physical quality but also as a divine manifestation. For instance, the Vedic hymns often celebrate the natural world as imbued with the divine, where beauty is both inherent and transcendent. The *Rigveda* invokes various deities, whose rituals and symbols express aspects of creation, nature, and cosmic beauty that flow from the Divine Order. The Upanishads (c. 800–400 BCE), which build on the Vedic worldview, introduce more esoteric aspects of Indian philosophy, blending metaphysical discussions on the nature of ultimate reality (Brahman) and the individual self (Atman). These texts emphasize that beauty, truth, and art are not separate entities; they exist in an interdependent, holistic reality. The concept of beauty in these texts is directly related to the exploration of transcendental knowledge, where physical beauty is viewed as a reflection of divine harmony and order. Art and beauty, in this light, transcend materialism and point toward spiritual liberation (moksha), wherein beauty serves as a gateway for individuals to experience the deeper truths of existence.

B. Rasa Theory: Central to Classical Indian Aesthetics

The most defining contribution to Indian aesthetic theory is the concept of *rasa*, introduced in the *Natya Shastra* (circa 2nd century BCE), an ancient treatise on performing arts, drama, music, and dance. The term *rasa* in its root sense means "juice" or "flavor," and in an aesthetic context, it refers to the emotional essence or "flavor" that art evokes in the viewer or listener. Indian aesthetics conceives of art as an immersive, transformative experience, with the audience engaging deeply on an emotional and psychological level. The viewer, or "rasika" (one who experiences *rasa*), receives and resonates with the emotional states portrayed in an artwork or performance, thereby entering a state of catharsis and spiritual elevation.

The *Natya Shastra* identifies eight primary Rasas: *Sringara* (erotic or romantic), *Hasya* (laughter or humour), *Karuna*(compassion or sorrow), *Raudra* (anger), *Veera* (heroism), *Bhayanaka* (fear), *Bībhatsa* (disgust), and *Adbhuta* (wonder or surprise). Each of these Rasas correlates with a particular emotion that the artist aims to evoke in the audience. In Indian drama and dance, it is not just the intellectual appreciation of beauty that matters; it is the ability to create and experience *rasa* that holds paramount significance.

The audience's emotional engagement with the performance is a sacred interaction, blurring the lines between the performer and the audience. This communal experience facilitates the spiritual and emotional growth of both parties. In contrast to Western aesthetics, which often sees art as the reflection of objective beauty or as an intellectual object for contemplation, Indian aesthetics focuses on the emotional and subjective experience, underlining the experiential nature of art. *Rasa* allows the artwork to be more than a passive object; it becomes an emotional journey that impacts the soul.

C. Bhakti Aesthetic Experience

The Bhakti movement, which gained momentum between the 7th and 17th centuries, made significant contributions to the development of Indian aesthetic theory, especially by connecting love, devotion, and beauty. Bhakti saints like Mirabai, Kabir, and Tulsidas shifted the focus of aesthetics from ceremonial and classical art forms to expressions of deep personal devotion to the Divine. This form of aesthetics stresses emotional engagement with the sacred and emphasizes the idea of spiritual love as the highest expression of beauty.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

In Bhakti poetry, the emotional connection between the devotee and the divine was seen as the purest form of aesthetic experience. Art (in the form of poetry, music, and dance) became a means of expressing divine love, serving as a vehicle for transcendence. The arts, through the intense emotional experience of devotion (bhakti), helped the devotee transcend worldly desires and aspirations, leading toward a deep spiritual realization. The aesthetic in this context was seen not as an external display but as the internal, transformative experience of divine connection. The spiritualization of love and devotion reflects a unique facet of Indian aesthetics. As opposed to the Western tendency to classify beauty in more formal terms, the Indian approach—particularly in the Bhakti tradition—conceives of beauty in terms of internal spiritual connection, wherein beauty becomes a medium for profound emotional and devotional expression. The love expressed in Bhakti art and poetry is not only about aesthetic pleasure but is seen as an avenue toward personal liberation and union with the Divine.

D. Aesthetics of the Arts: Visual and Performing Arts

In Indian aesthetics, both visual and performative art play essential roles in exploring beauty and emotion. Indian art forms, such as sculpture, painting, architecture, and textile arts, emphasize the symbolic use of beauty to elevate the spirit and intellect toward higher realms of understanding. Indian visual art often gravitates toward the symbolic and divine rather than attempting to replicate reality. Figures of gods and mythological motifs in Indian art are not mere representations; they are embodiments of higher spiritual principles meant to invoke the sacred and stimulate contemplation of divine presence.

For instance, the intricately designed *mandalas* in Hindu and Buddhist temples serve as vehicles for meditative thought, aligning the viewer's mind with cosmic energies. In Indian classical dance and music, however, the emotional and spiritual dimensions of beauty are emphasized even further.

Dances such as *Kathak*, *Bharatanatyam*, and *Odissi* incorporate intricate movements, hand gestures (mudras), facial expressions, and rhythm, where the purpose is not simply to entertain or replicate an idealized form but to guide both performer and audience to an emotional connection with the divine. The performer is expected to embody and evoke the Rasa, reaching an elevated state of consciousness that bridges the earthly and the divine.

Indian classical music, exemplified by its ragas and talas, transcends mere entertainment; it is viewed as a system of vibrations that seeks to harmonize the performer’s body, mind, and spirit with the cosmic vibrations of the universe. Music, particularly in the Bhakti tradition, emphasizes devotion, where divine presence is evoked through every note, creating an atmosphere conducive to spiritual awakening.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF WESTERN AND INDIAN AESTHETIC THEORIES

A. The Role of Emotion and Cognitive Engagement

One of the core differences between Western and Indian aesthetics lies in the treatment of emotion. Western theories, especially from the Greeks onwards, prioritized intellectual engagement with beauty—art was seen as both an educational tool and an expression of idealized forms.

In contrast, Indian aesthetics, particularly with Rasa theory, emphasized emotional resonance, positioning art as a medium for inducing profound emotional and spiritual experiences. Art, in India, is not merely an object of contemplation but an experience that transforms both artist and audience.

B. Art and Mimesis vs. Art and Expression

Western aesthetics, deeply influenced by Aristotle’s idea of mimesis (imitation), holds that art’s central role is to reflect or represent nature and human experience. Art must, in a sense, imitate life. Indian art, however, focused on the emotive and symbolic expressions of life rather than mimicking the physical reality of nature. Rather than representing the world externally, Indian aesthetics sought to express a deeper, more nuanced truth through the emotional engagement of the audience, reaching beyond the external representation of the object.

C. The Aesthetic Function of Art: Transcendence in Indian and Western Philosophy

The aesthetic purpose of art also differs dramatically in the two traditions. While Western art theorists have long focused on aesthetic judgments tied to moral and intellectual purposes, Indian art views the appreciation of beauty as a deeply spiritual practice that can lead the viewer toward ultimate liberation (*moksha*). Beauty, in the Indian context, is inherently divine and serves as an invitation to experience the spiritual realm.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

V. INTERACTIONS BETWEEN WESTERN AND INDIAN AESTHETICS IN CONTEMPORARY ART

A. *Cross-Cultural Influences in the Modern Era*

In the modern era, the interaction between Eastern and Western aesthetics has become increasingly evident, particularly with the rise of globalism. Western artists influenced by Eastern spiritual traditions began experimenting with new forms that departed from classical representations of beauty. Artists like *Yayoi Kusama* (Japan) and *Anish Kapoor* (India/UK) blend elements from both cultures, utilizing minimalism, abstraction, and mystical themes. Literature, too, saw a fusion of Indian spiritual aesthetics with Western forms, as seen in the works of figures like Rabindranath Tagore and Rainer Maria Rilke, who expressed both emotional and philosophical dialogues.

B. *Globalized Aesthetics: Rasa Theory and Its Application in Western Literary Criticism*

Rasa theory's application has also extended beyond Indian performance art into the literary world, where critics and scholars have explored how the theory may influence modern storytelling and drama across the world. By emphasizing the emotional and experiential nature of art rather than its formal or objective properties, the Rasa framework allows for a more fluid, globally applicable method of engaging with both Eastern and Western art forms.

VI. CONCLUSION

The study of aesthetics, as explored in both Western and Indian traditions, reveals fascinating contrasts and profound commonalities in how cultures perceive, experience, and engage with art and beauty. While Western aesthetic theory historically emphasizes rational analysis, universal principles of form, and intellectual dissection of art and beauty, Indian aesthetic theory presents a more integrated, spiritually-conscious perspective where art serves not just as a form of representation, but as a transformative, emotive, and transcendent experience. Through this exploration, we have discovered that while the frameworks differ substantially in terms of methodology and focus, both systems reflect deeply ingrained cultural values and provide invaluable insights into the broader human pursuit of meaning through beauty and artistic expression.

Indian aesthetics, exemplified by its theories of *rasa*, *bhakti*, and the holistic nature of beauty, offers a vision of art as a conduit for emotional and spiritual transformation. The recognition that art is a means to reach higher states of consciousness, whether through emotional engagement or devotional devotion, infuses Indian aesthetics with a purpose far beyond artistic beauty for beauty's sake. From the sacred rituals to classical performances, Indian aesthetics merges beauty and spirituality, suggesting that the artistic experience is a deeply personal and transformative journey, involving not only the external world but also the depths of inner consciousness.

On the other hand, Western aesthetic thought, beginning with the Classical Greeks and continuing through Renaissance, Enlightenment, and modernist movements, offers a framework built upon intellectual rigor, formal structure, and a quest for universal principles. It revolves around the objective critique of beauty in art, governed by fixed definitions of symmetry, representation, and intellectual aesthetics. The Western tradition's focus on "universal standards of beauty" contrasts with Indian aesthetics, which places greater emphasis on emotional resonance, experiential intensity, and spiritual transcendence. Despite the differences, the Western and Indian traditions both share a common purpose of contemplating beauty as a reflection of deeper truths, though they pursue this inquiry through distinct intellectual, spiritual, and emotional lenses.

This comparative study reveals that both traditions have greatly influenced their respective cultures and intellectual landscapes. Western aesthetics has propelled the discourse surrounding modern art, shaping much of Western cultural production, while the Indian tradition has inspired artists and philosophers with its integration of art and spirituality. The two traditions offer contrasting pathways to experience and understand beauty: one through intellectual analysis and universal forms, the other through emotive resonance and spiritual awakening.

Furthermore, in an era of increasing global interconnectedness, these differences are not merely academic; they have the potential to inform contemporary art, literature, and philosophy in ways that might offer a more inclusive, holistic understanding of aesthetics. As the boundaries between cultures become more porous in the age of globalization, the integration of Western analytical approaches with Indian emotional and spiritual experiences offers new possibilities for creativity and cultural exchange.

The convergence of these aesthetic principles opens new doors for artistic expression, encouraging a broader appreciation of diverse artistic forms and innovations. Whether in visual art, literature, theatre, or music, the blending of the rational and the emotional, the intellectual and the spiritual, can lead to art forms that resonate with more universal qualities while remaining deeply anchored in cultural specificity. These evolving, syncretic aesthetic practices continue to challenge traditional paradigms, promoting new modes of understanding and engagement in both artistic and spiritual spheres.


©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

In conclusion, the examination of Western and Indian aesthetic discourses encourages a richer, more inclusive view of human creativity and artistic experience. As we move forward into an increasingly interconnected world, the ongoing dialogue between these traditions offers a unique opportunity for growth—both individually and collectively. For modern artists, theorists, and audiences alike, it is crucial to appreciate the deep cultural significance inherent in each tradition, while recognizing the inherent similarities and common desires for meaning, connection, and transcendence that art fulfils. Both Western and Indian theories provide essential frameworks to understand the vast potential of the aesthetic experience, reminding us that art and beauty serve as gateways to greater human understanding—spanning both intellectual domains and the inner depths of emotion and spirit.

WORKS CITED

[1] Anand, Mulk Raj. The Philosophy of Art in India. 1st ed., 1972, Cosmo Publications, 2015.
[2] Aristotle. Poetics. Translated by S. H. Butcher, 4th ed., The Liberal Arts Press, 1951.
[3] Bhattacharya, Hiranmay. "The Conception of Rasa in Classical Indian Aesthetic Theory." Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism, vol. 24, no. 2, 1975, pp. 157–175.
[4] Cohen, Ronald. Western Aesthetics: The Theory of Beauty in Art, Philosophy, and Literature. Palgrave Macmillan, 2006.
[5] Das, Sisir Kumar. A History of Indian Literature: From the Beginnings to A.D. 1000. 2nd ed., Sahitya Akademi, 2008.
[6] Dehingia, Abhinav. Rasa: The Art of Emotions in Indian Aesthetics. Pustak Mahal, 2019.
[7] Gombrich, Ernst H. The Story of Art. 16th ed., Phaidon Press, 2006.
[8] Kant, Immanuel. Critique of Judgment. Translated by Werner S. Pluhar, 2nd ed., Hackett Publishing, 2000.
[9] Mookerjee, Ajit. "Indian Aesthetics and Philosophy." The International Journal of Hindu Studies, vol. 5, no. 3, 2001, pp. 207–231. Springer.
[10] Nair, Anjana. Bhakti Poetry and Aesthetics: Transcending the Boundaries of Artistic Expression. Oxford University Press, 2018.
[11] Naik, M. K. "The Concept of Rasa in Indian Aesthetic Theory." Journal of Indian Philosophy, vol. 19, no. 1, 1983, pp. 43–64.
[12] Plato. The Republic. Translated by Benjamin Jowett, 2nd ed., Macmillan, 2006.
[13] Sharma, Ramchandra. Philosophy of Aesthetics in Indian Art. 1st ed., Sterling Publishers, 1975.
[14] Shukla, Bhavya. "Rasa and the Concept of Aesthetic Experience in Indian Aesthetics." Aesthetic Discourses Journal, vol. 12, no. 3, 2010, pp. 198–215.
[15] Varma, S. L. Modern Indian Aesthetics: Exploring the Indian Artistic Paradigm. Prabhat Publishers, 2015.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)