iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** I **Month of publication:** January 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.66566

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue I Jan 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Harnessing Climate Data for Accurate Crop Yield Predictions

Abhinay Kumar Nallabelli[1], Madhuri Yenugula[2]
[1]*B.Tech, Computer Science and Engineering (AI & ML), CVR College of Engineering, Hyderabad, India*
[2]*M.S, Computer Science, University of North Texas, Denton, USA*

Abstract: ***The fusion of environment-related data and machine learning is quite an innovative route to addressing global issues in agriculture. This report zooms in on the possibilities of advancing climate data analytics and machine learning techniques toward the prediction of crop yields, thereby increasing sustainable agricultural practices and ensuring food security. For this, variables like rainfall, temperature, and pesticide usage are integrated and analyzed through multi-linear regression and random forest models for critical insights into crop-climate interaction. Its use of visualizations with heat maps and predictive maps illustrates its significant trends and model performance. Angles such as data privacy and access equity are ethically addressed, while the report also counter argues the criticisms of predictive models based on their defined limits. The findings will underpin the way technology can be integrated with traditional agricultural knowledge to build resilient, data-based systems that answer climate variability and informed decision-making in agriculture.***

Index Terms: ***Crop yield prediction, Climate data Analytics, Agricultural Forecasting, Traditional Farming, Yearly yield measurement, Data-Driven Farming, Ethical AI in Agriculture, Geospatial Analysis.***

I. INTRODUCTION

Food security has remained one of the greatest challenges global agriculture faces under climate change. The changes would also bring variability in climate conditions, leading to the need for novel and new ways of understanding and modeling crop yields. Empirical analysis stands in support of the application of advanced data analytics and machine learning techniques to the problems that challenge agriculture. Our approach can be evaluated from the lens of a utilitarian ethics frame work. We seek to maximize overall benefit for society in the pursuit of technology-based innovations. It is no wonder that such research cannot be overrated; with the world's population expected at around 9.7 billion by the year 2050, it could have made the difference between food self sufficiency and a large population of vulnerable agriculturalists regarding increasing crop yield prediction and optimization methodologies. The question stimulating this research is deceptively simple yet very deep: can one actually use climate data to predict crop yield accurately enough for the needs of agricultural decision-making? It aims at showing that advanced data analytics will give actionable insights that will help farmers, policy-makers, and agriculture strategists to meet any changed environmental conditions.

II. RELATED WORK

Research in crop yield prediction has evolved significantly over time, with advancements in methodologies and technologies. Early studies focused on traditional statistical methods, while recent works emphasize machine learning and deep learning techniques, showcasing improved accuracy and integration of diverse data sources.

A. Crop yield Prediction using Machine Learning (by Smith et al., 2018)

The work by Smith et al. (2018) focuses on predicting crop yield using machine learning techniques. The study employs data preprocessing, feature selection, and regression algorithms to optimize prediction accuracy. It integrates climatic, soil, and crop-specific data for comprehensive modeling. The approach aids in improving agricultural productivity and informed resource management.

B. Integrating Climate Data with Machine Learning for Crop Yield Forecasting (by Lee et al., 2021)

The study by Lee et al. (2021) explores the integration of climate data with machine learning for crop yield forecasting. It leverages advanced models, including deep learning, to analyze weather patterns and agricultural data.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

The approach enhances prediction accuracy by capturing complex relationships in climatic variables. This work supports sustainable farming practices and proactive decision-making.

C. Advancements in Time-Series Analysis for Crop Yield Prediction (by Patel et al., 2022)

Patel et al. (2022) present advancements in time-series analysis for crop yield prediction using machine learning. The study incorporates temporal patterns in climatic and agricultural data to improve forecasting accuracy. It evaluates traditional and neural network-based models for dynamic yield trends. This work enables precise, time-sensitive predictions to support agricultural planning and resource optimization.

III. KEY TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS

The development of cutting-edge technologies is crucial to the analysis of climate and crop yield data. The following significant technological advancements have been crucial to the advancement of this field:

1) Systems for Comprehensive Climate Data Collection: By facilitating the precise and extensive collection of meteorological and environmental data, these systems guarantee high-quality inputs for analysis.
2) Advanced Machine Learning Algorithms: The use of advanced algorithms improves prediction accuracy by strengthening the capacity to model intricate relationships between variables.
3) High-Performance Computing: Large datasets can be processed and difficult computations take less time with the help of robust computational frameworks.
4) Bioinformatics Integration Data Analysis Platforms: Platforms that integrate bioinformatics with data analysis offer a multidisciplinary framework, enhancing agricultural research and facilitating actionable insights.
5) In this study, the dataset employed is meticulously structured and incorporates a diverse range of critical variables, ensuring comprehensive analysis:
6) Area Information: Detailed spatial data to examine regional agricultural trends.
7) Crop Types: Categorization of crops, enabling tailored predictions and insights.
8) Yearly Yield Measurements: Historical yield data for identifying patterns and assessing productivity over time.
9) Average Rainfall: A key climatic variable impacting crop growth, included for thorough analysis.
10) Pesticide Usage: Quantitative data on pesticide application, aiding in understanding its correlation with yield.
11) Average Temperature: Temperature trends, which are critical for assessing their influence on crop health and output.

IV. ETHICAL FRAMEWORK

An ethical framework provides a structured approach to evaluate the moral implications of actions or decisions. It ensures that benefits are maximized, potential harms are minimized, and the interests of all stakeholders are considered.

A. Utilitarian Perspective

According to the utilitarian ethical paradigm, activities should be judged according to their capacity to maximize advantages for the largest number of people. In light of agricultural forecasts and technology developments, this strategy aims to handle possible obstacles while generating broad, beneficial effects on society.

B. Key Benefits

The application of machine learning and climate data analysis in agriculture offers several transformative advantages:

1) Enhanced Optimized Food Security: Ensures reliable food supply through precise yield predictions and resource allocation.
2) Improved Agricultural Resource Management: Promotes efficient use of water, soil, and fertilizers, minimizing waste.
3) Reduced Environmental Impact: Encourages sustainable practices to lower carbon footprints and mitigate ecological harm.
4) Economic Viability for Farmers: Supports the financial stability of farming communities by increasing productivity and reducing losses.

C. Potential Challenges

While the benefits are substantial, some challenges may arise:

1) Data Privacy Concerns: Ensuring that sensitive agricultural data is securely stored and ethically used.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

2) Access to Technology: Addressing the digital divide to make advanced tools accessible to small-scale farmers.
3) Conflicts with Traditional Skills: Balancing technological advancements with preserving traditional farming knowledge and practices.

D. Stakeholders Involved

A wide range of stakeholders is impacted by advancements in agricultural technology, including:

1) Smallholder Farmers: The primary beneficiaries who rely on these tools for better yields and profitability.
2) Agricultural Policymakers: Decision-makers who regulate and promote the adoption of these technologies.
3) Environmental Conservationists: Advocates for sustainable farming methods and ecological preservation.
4) Global Food Security Networks: Organizations working to ensure equitable food distribution and access worldwide.

V. METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the methodology employed in the project to harness climate data for accurate crop yield predictions. The approach consists of several key stages, including data collection, preprocessing, exploratory data analysis (EDA), model development, evaluation, and visualization. Each stage is described in detail below.

A. Data Collection

The primary dataset utilized in this study was obtained from FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) and World Data Bank. The dataset comprises historical records of crop yields, climate variables, and agricultural practices across various regions. The key features included in the dataset are:

1) Area: The geographical region where the crops were grown.
2) Item: The type of crop (e.g., maize, potatoes, rice).
3) Year: The year of the recorded data.
4) hg/ha_yield: The yield of crops measured in hectograms per hectare.
5) average_rain_fall_mm_per_year: The average annual rainfall in millimeters.
6) pesticides_tonnes: The total amount of pesticides used in tonnes.
7) avg_temp: The average temperature in degrees Celsius.

B. Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing was conducted to ensure the dataset's quality and suitability for analysis. The following steps were undertaken:

1) Handling Missing Values: Any missing values in the dataset were identified and addressed. Depending on the extent and nature of the missing data, imputation techniques or removal of affected rows were applied.
2) Data Type Conversion: The data types of the columns were verified and converted as necessary to ensure compatibility with analysis tools. For instance, categorical variables were encoded using one-hot encoding to facilitate their use in machine learning models.
3) Normalization: Continuous variables were normalized to bring them to a common scale, which is particularly important for algorithms sensitive to the scale of input features.

C. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Exploratory Data Analysis was performed to gain insights into the dataset and understand the relationships between variables. Key activities included:

1) Descriptive Statistics: Summary statistics were generated for numerical features to understand their distributions, central tendencies, and variability.
2) Correlation Analysis: A correlation heatmap was created to visualize the relationships between numerical variables, identifying potential predictors of crop yield.
3) Statistical Tests: T-tests and ANOVA were conducted to assess the significance of differences in climate variables between high and low yield groups.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue I Jan 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

D. Model Development

The project employed multiple regression techniques to predict crop yields based on climate data. The following models were developed:

1) Multi Linear Regression: A linear regression model was trained using features such as average temperature, average rainfall, and pesticide usage. The dataset was split into training and testing sets (80% training, 20% testing) to evaluate model performance.
2) Random Forest Regressor: A Random Forest model was implemented to capture non-linear relationships and interactions between features. The model was trained using the same training set, and hyperparameters were optimized using cross-validation techniques.

E. Model Evaluation

Model performance was assessed using several metrics, including:

1) Mean Absolute Error (MAE): This metric measures the average magnitude of errors in predictions, providing insight into the model's accuracy.
2) Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): RMSE was calculated to assess the model's prediction error, giving more weight to larger errors.
3) R^2 Score: The coefficient of determination was used to evaluate the proportion of variance in the dependent variable that can be explained by the independent variables.

F. Visualization

Data visualization techniques were employed to present the findings effectively:

1) Actual vs. Predicted Plots: Scatter plots were created to compare actual crop yields against predicted values, allowing for visual assessment of model performance.
2) Feature Importance: Bar plots were generated to illustrate the importance of different features in predicting crop yields, as determined by the Random Forest model.
3) Geospatial Visualization: Interactive maps were created using Folium to visualize agricultural yield performance and rainfall patterns across different regions.

G. Utilitarian Ethical Framework

To assess the broader implications of the findings, a utilitarian ethical framework was applied. This involved evaluating the potential benefits of improved crop yield predictions on food security, resource optimization, and economic impact. The analysis aimed to highlight the societal value of the research and its contributions to sustainable agricultural practices.

VI. RESULTS

This section presents the findings obtained from the methodology implementation. The results are organized into several key areas, including model performance metrics, feature importance analysis, and visualizations of the predictions and statistical analysis insights.

A. Model Performance Metrics

The performance of the developed models was evaluated using the test data, and the results are summarized in the following table:

TABLE I
MODEL PERFORMANCE METRICS

Metric	Multi Linear Regression	Random Forest Regressor
Mean Absolute Error (MAE)	1,77,61,16,987.42 hg/ha	9,01,81,642.98 hg/ha
Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)	42,144.00 hg/ha	9496.40 hg/ha
R^2 Score	0.76	0.99

The Multi Linear Regression model achieved a Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of 1,77,61,16,987.42 and an R^2 score of 0.76, indicating a relatively good fit to the data.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

In contrast, the Random Forest Regressor exhibited a significantly lower MAE of 9,01,81,642.98 and a higher R^2 score of 0.99, suggesting that this model performed exceptionally well in capturing the underlying patterns in the data and provided more accurate predictions of crop yields. The results indicate that the Random Forest Regressor is a more effective model for predicting crop yields compared to the Multi Linear Regression model.

B. Feature Importance Analysis

The Random Forest model provided insights into the importance of various features in predicting crop yields. The following table summarizes the feature importance derived from the model:

TABLE II
FEATURE IMPORTANCE

Feature	Importance Score
Average Temperature	0.442
Pesticides (Tonnes)	0.335
Average Rainfall (mm/year)	0.223

The analysis revealed that average temperature was the most significant predictor of crop yield, accounting for approximately 44.2% of the model's predictive power. Pesticide usage and average rainfall were also important, contributing 33.5% and 22.3%, respectively.

C. Visualizations

Several visualizations were created to illustrate the results and insights derived from the analysis:

1) *Correlation Heatmap:* It is represented on a heat map to clearly identify significant relationships of numerical variables like rainfall, temperature, yield.




Fig. 1 Correlation Heatmap

2) *Violin Plots:* It is used to gain an insight into the climatic features and the distribution of the crop yield per region (Area) and crop types. Additional details such as median values and interquartile ranges are provided by the y-axis boxplots.




Fig. 2 Violin Plot showing distribution of average rainfall mm per year by crop type

3) *Feature Importance Visualization:* The indeed bar plot visualizes the feature importance scores as derived from the Random Forest model.




Fig. 3 Feature Importance

4) *Actual vs Predicted Scatter Plots:* The predicted values and actual values of both Random Forest and Multi Linear Regression are plotted. A diagonal reference line (y = x) highlighted the ideal prediction scenario and made it easy to spot deviations.




Fig. 4 Multi Linear Regression (Actual vs Predicted Crop Yield)




Fig. 5 Random Forest (Actual vs Predicted Crop Yield)

5) *Predictive Yield Map (Agriculture Yield Performance):* The agricultural yield performance, displayed using dot markers, shows green dots indicating above-average yields and red dots representing below-average yields. A concerning pattern emerges, with many areas exhibiting below-average yields (red dots), particularly in Northern Africa, parts of Europe, and large portions of North America. However, some regions show above-average yields (green dots), scattered across parts of South America, some areas in Asia, and isolated locations in Africa.




Fig. 6 Predictive Yield Map using Random Forest

6) *Heatmap of Rainfall Intensity:* The heatmap of rainfall intensity across different regions uses color coding ranging from Very Low (blue) to Very High (red). The highest rainfall intensities are observed in parts of South America and central Africa, while medium to high rainfall patterns are visible in parts of North America and Europe. Distinct rainfall patterns with clear hotspots are noticeable in tropical regions. A correlation appears between rainfall patterns and agricultural yield, although not all high-rainfall areas exhibit high yields, indicating that other factors also influence agricultural performance.




Fig. 7 Heatmap of Rainfall Intensity

D. Reflection on Visualizations Impact

The visualizations provided valuable insights into the dataset and model performance, improving the understanding of key factors influencing crop yields. Below are the insights derived:

1) The correlation heatmap highlighted strong relationships between rainfall, temperature, and crop yields, aiding feature selection.
2) Violin plots revealed regional variations in climate impacts on yields, emphasizing the need for localized analysis.
3) Feature importance visualization underscored the critical role of pesticide application and rainfall in driving productivity.
4) Actual vs. Predicted graphs validated the accuracy of the models, with Random Forest outperforming Multi-Linear Regression.
5) Localized variations in yields and climate factors suggest the necessity for region-specific prediction models.
6) The prominence of pesticide use suggests integrating agricultural input factors more effectively in future models.

E. Statistical Analysis

Statistical tests were conducted to assess the significance of differences in climate variables between high and low yield groups. The results of the t-tests for the features analyzed are as follows:

1) Average Temperature: T-test statistic = -17.166, p-value < 0.001
2) Average Rainfall: T-test statistic = 11.403, p-value < 0.001
3) Pesticides (Tonnes): T-test statistic = 7.068, p-value < 0.001

The results indicate that all three features are statistically significant in differentiating between high and low yield groups, suggesting that they play a crucial role in influencing crop productivity.

VII. FUTURE WORK

Future enhancements could focus on advanced tools, improved visualization techniques, and more granular data to refine predictions and insights.

1) Interactive Dashboards: Utilize tools like Plotly or Dash to create dynamic, live data visualizations that allow users to explore relationships interactively.
2) Augmented Reality Integration: Incorporate 3D visualizations to analyze temporal changes in crop yields and climate factors more intuitively.
3) Enhanced Data Granularity: Incorporate finer temporal data, such as monthly rainfall instead of yearly averages, to improve the accuracy of predictions and analyses.

VIII. REBUTTAL

A. Addressing Potential Counterarguments

Argument 1: “Machine learning cannot replace traditional agricultural knowledge”

There has always been a machine of knowing, which repeatedly has been showcasing itself as a technology that would stereotype or shadow the wealth of long-built know-how in agriculture. Such critics voice concern that an apparent dependence on such era could drown the intuition and know-how of farmers proved by soundness under many circumstances. These fears stem from the realization that such algorithms could not have the proper context and deep understanding for evaluating the environmental nearby elements, soil fitness, and way of life of farming practices.

Rebuttal: The approach of ours is meant to supplement the traditional knowledge rather than replacing it. Machine learning equips the farmers with alternative tools for improved decision-making through information not otherwise very apparent in the traditional practice. For example, predictive models will find very small pat terns in climate data or help in optimizing resource allocation much better. Significantly, it casts much respect into the use and integration of farmer expertise and in put in refining models and ensuring local relevance. So, this is more of a partnership with technology and tradition for a wholesome well-rounded sustainable agricultural system that preserves cultural knowledge and catches the benefits of modern analytics.

Argument 2: “Data collection is too complex and expensive”

These critics say that the whole fact-checking and maintenance of quality data for deriving the machine learning model is really expensive and would involve a lot of technicality, especially for small-scale farmers. The IoT sensors, satellite images, and so on, along with building a computing infrastructure, were gigantic road blocks.

Besides, another big concern regarding the technologies has to do with scalability and equity, as many are afraid that only the more affluent businesses will have these benefits while smaller farms would be left behind.

Rebuttal: Although collecting data can seem like a pricey and cumbersome task at first, technology eventually provides much easier methods and makes it cheaper. Data-sharing programs among open-source platforms do not burden individual farmers; rather, they create environments for pooling resources and enjoying the benefits together. For example, regional agricultural cooperatives can invest in satellite data subscriptions or shared sensor networks so that all of their members can use those resources. Moreover, since Efficient resource use, waste, and yield savings lead to enormous economic gains, long-term cost benefits far overshadow initial outlays. We provide technology democratizing access so that farmers of all shapes and sizes can benefit from data-linked insights.

Argument 3: “Predictive models are not sufficiently accurate”

There are skeptics who believe that machine learning models are much less reliable, especially when it comes to agriculture, which is a complex and variable domain. Weather changes are unpredictable and outbursts of pests and diseases may add more variability to which the models do not quite work well with. Critics further point out the fact that because of these inevitable errors in predictions, technologies end up ensuring that a very costly operational loss might occur due to a single wrong judgment.

Rebuttal: Always, the models keep changing because they are dynamic systems. The models continuously run over new data, improving their capabilities as new data becomes available. Such changes in machine learning algorithms will result in improved accuracy over time when faced with new ground conditions. Improved model precision is demonstrated through reliable rigorous testing and cross-validation ensured that the tools were still reliable and valid for use. Clear measures of reliability, especially Mean Absolute Error (MAE), R^2 scores, become standard measures for gauging performance at the model level and create trust between the stake-holders. Moreover, diversity in sources of information particularly farmer feedback becomes an enabler of reliability in models. As the predictive powers are sharpened to real-world conditions, it becomes more beneficial for strategic planning on farming. These models may be said to be a safety net rather than a human replacement but a channel through which insights speak in the best place possible toward guiding informed decisions and mitigating risks.

IX. CONCLUSION

Our analysis presents the huge potential of climate data analytics in making agriculture forecasting a reality. Advanced machine learning techniques should thus be applied in order to get more accurate crop yield prediction and in this way have a conducive environment in which sustainable agricultural practices can thrive besides its contribution to global food security. These technologies also allow developing adaptable tools for such challenges as climate change. Technological innovation in agricultural science therefore opens avenues for innovative solutions on the global agricultural landscape. This research marks a great deal toward achieving resilient, data driven systems to become the very future of agriculture.

REFERENCES

[1] Lobell, D. B., & Field, C. B. (2007). “Global scale climate–crop yield relationships and the impacts of recent warming.” Environmental Research Letters, 2(1), 014002. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/2/1/014002. Published in 2007.

[2] Zhang, Y., & Wang, J. (2017). “A machine learning framework for predicting crop yields based on climate and soil data.” Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 139, 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2017.05.001. Published in 2017.

[3] Challinor, A. J., et al. (2014). “A meta-analysis of crop yield response to climate change.” Nature Climate Change, 4(4), 287-291. DOI: 10.1038/nclimate2153. Published in 2014.

[4] Kearney, J., et al. (2023). “Real-time crop yield prediction using IoT and machine learning.” Agricultural Systems, 203, 103505. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103505. Published in 2023.

[5] Asseng, S., et al. (2015). “Climate change impact and adaptation for wheat protein.” Global Change Biology, 21(5), 1816-1829. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12809. Published in 2015.

[6] Lobell, D. B., et al. (2011). “Climate trends and global crop production since 1980.” Science, 333(6042), 616-620. DOI: 10.1126/science.1204531. Published in 2011.

[7] Mavromatis, K., et al. (2001). “The impact of climate change on crop yields in the Mediterranean region.” Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 107(1), 1-15. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00042-0. Published in 2001.

[8] Cammarano, D., et al. (2016). “The impact of climate change on crop yields: A review of the literature.” Agricultural Systems, 145, 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2016.02.001. Published in 2016.


[9] Ritchie, J. T., & Otter, S. (1985). “Description and performance of the CERES-Wheat model.” In: Wheat Growth and Yield Modeling. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-5050-1_2. Published in 1985.

[10] You, L., et al. (2014). “A global dataset of gridded crop yield for the year 2010.” Scientific Data, 1, 140001. DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2014.1. Published in 2014.

[11] Vermeulen, S. J., et al. (2012). “Climate change and food systems: Global assessments and implications for food security and trade.” Global Food Security, 1(1), 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.gfs.2012.03.001. Published in 2012.

[12] Wang, E., et al. (2011). "The impact of climate change on crop yield in China: A meta-analysis." Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151(1), 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.09.002. Published in 2011.
[13] Liu, B., et al. (2016). "Climate change impacts on global crop yields: A review of the literature." Global Change Biology, 22(12), 3960-3974. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13336. Published in 2016.
[14] Hasegawa, T., et al. (2013). "Climate change impacts on global food security: A review." Global Environmental Change, 23(1), 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.10.001. Published in 2013.
[15] Cline, W. R. (2007). "Global warming and agriculture: Impact estimates by country." Peterson Institute for International Economics. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.1002340. Published in 2007.
[16] Mushidi, P. (2023). "Crop Yield Prediction and Climate Impact Assessment." Retrieved from https://github.com/pmushidi2/CropYieldPrediction.
[17] Sun, H., Diers, B. W., & Jin, Z. (2021). "Crop Yield Prediction Integrating Genotype and Weather Variables Using Deep Learning." PLOS ONE, 16(5), e0252402. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0252402. Retrieved from https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0252402.
[18] Kumar, N., & Singh, R. (2023). "Crop Yield Prediction Algorithm (CYPA) in Precision Agriculture." Neural Computing and Applications. DOI: 10.1007/s00521-023-08619-5. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00521-023-08619-5.
[19] Chen, Y., Wang, L., & Zhang, X. (2023). "Accurate Wheat Yield Prediction Using Machine Learning and Climate-NDVI Data." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. DOI: 10.1109/TGRS.2023.10471549. Retrieved from https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10471549.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)