



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume: 13    Issue: III    Month of publication: March 2025**

**DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.68081>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call:  08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Have We Engineered a Kafkastrophe?

Aishwarya Vedula

Sun International Institute of Tourism and Management

**Abstract:** *The idea of waiting endlessly for answers feels a lot like the study of names. People keep debating, analyzing, and searching for a final truth about names and identity, but at some point, the door has to close. In this paper, I'm doing just that—putting an end to certain lingering questions in name studies. Just like in Kafka's stories, where characters struggle against confusing systems, Apache Kafka's Pub/Sub model is all about messages moving through a system, sometimes feeling just as abstract and unreachable. Names, too, function in a similar way—they are passed along, categorized, and interpreted differently depending on who receives them. By looking at both the literary and the technological Kafka, I want to explore what it really means to name something, to be named, and to exist within a system where information never stops flowing but clarity remains just out of reach. So, instead of waiting before the door, let's close it and move forward. A name is never just a collection of letters; it carries meaning, history, and expectation. In the case of Franz Kafka, his name has become synonymous with the absurdities of modern life. His novels depict protagonists trapped in surreal bureaucratic nightmares, where logic is paradoxical, authority is faceless, and escape is impossible.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The word *Kafkaesque* has since entered everyday vocabulary to describe real-world situations that mirror these themes, from frustrating legal processes to inescapable government red tape. In contrast, Apache Kafka, a distributed event-streaming system developed by LinkedIn engineers, is anything but absurd. Designed for real-time data processing, it enables seamless, high-speed information flow between digital systems, powering everything from financial transactions to AI-driven analytics. Yet, despite its efficiency, its very name evokes bureaucratic entanglement and inescapable loops, drawing a seemingly paradoxical link between literature and technology. This contradiction leads to an essential question: how does naming affect the way we perceive and interact with systems, both literary and technological? This paper explores the cultural weight of names, investigating how Kafka's name has been reinterpreted across disciplines and whether this linguistic evolution reflects a deeper truth about the systems we build.

Franz Kafka's works are defined by themes of bureaucratic alienation, powerlessness, and existential anxiety. In *The Trial*, Josef K. is arrested for an unspecified crime and spends the entire novel navigating an incomprehensible legal system that offers no answers, only endless procedures. In *The Castle*, the protagonist attempts to gain access to a mysterious bureaucracy that governs everything, yet remains completely inaccessible. Meanwhile, *Metamorphosis* presents the story of Gregor Samsa, who wakes up transformed into a giant insect, yet finds that his greatest struggle is not his own monstrous state, but society's inability to accommodate him.

The essence of Kafka's fiction lies in its portrayal of labyrinthine systems that operate beyond individual control. His characters are often ensnared in structures they cannot escape, subject to authorities that neither explain themselves nor grant resolution. The word *Kafkaesque* emerged from these narratives, coming to describe situations where logic collapses, bureaucracy spirals out of control, and human agency is diminished to the point of absurdity. Today, the term is used beyond literary analysis; it appears in news articles describing nightmarish bureaucracies, legal systems, and government inefficiencies. In this way, Kafka's name has become more than an author's identity; it is now a descriptor of the modern condition.

Given Kafka's literary legacy, the decision to name a software system after him seems, at first glance, counterintuitive. Apache Kafka, created in 2011 by LinkedIn engineers, is designed for handling vast streams of real-time data with efficiency and reliability. Unlike Kafka's novels, which emphasize the chaos of systems that refuse to function rationally, Apache Kafka exists to ensure that systems communicate fluidly, with minimal friction.

Jay Kreps, one of Apache Kafka's creators, has explained that the name was chosen because of Kafka's reputation for writing about complex, interconnected systems. The engineers saw a poetic connection between the tangled bureaucracies of Kafka's novels and the intricate architecture of modern data infrastructure. However, the irony is striking: Kafka's fiction critiques inefficiency, while Apache Kafka is built for speed and reliability. This contradiction raises a deeper question: *does a name like Kafka influence how people perceive and interact with technology?*

## II. THE LINGUISTIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF NAMES

The way we interpret names is deeply connected to language's role in shaping thought, a concept explored in the Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis. This linguistic theory suggests that the words we use frame our perception of reality. If a distributed computing system is called Kafka, does it unconsciously shape user expectations? Would developers approach Apache Kafka differently if it were named something else? Would they assume it is more complex than it actually is, simply because of the Kafkaesque associations of endless bureaucracy?

Naming conventions in technology provide further examples of how names influence perception. Python, the widely used programming language, was named after *Monty Python*, evoking humour and ease of use. Apple, a tech giant, deliberately chose an organic, non-technical name to make computers feel more accessible.

Google, derived from "googol" ( $10^{100}$ ), immediately communicates vastness and infinite possibility. In contrast, Kafka carries an entirely different weight one that suggests convoluted systems, existential struggle, and a dark sense of humour about modernity.

## III. DOES THE NAME KAFKA MATTER?

The significance of Apache Kafka's name is not just theoretical; it has real implications for branding, usability, and user experience. A study could investigate whether the name "Kafka" shapes how developers perceive and approach the system. If given a description of Apache Kafka without its name, would participants perceive it differently than if they were told upfront that it was named after Kafka? Would they expect it to be more difficult to use? Would they assume it had bureaucratic inefficiencies simply because of the cultural weight of Kafkaesque narratives?

A comparative survey could be conducted where one group is introduced to the system under a neutral name, while another group is introduced to it explicitly as "Kafka." The differences in expectation and perception could reveal whether naming truly affects cognitive framing in technology.

Kafka's name, once confined to literature, has transcended its original context, becoming a linguistic and cultural symbol that now influences how we interpret technology. The case of Apache Kafka reveals that names are never neutral; they shape our perception, influence our engagement, and can even create cognitive biases about the systems we use. While Apache Kafka functions efficiently, its name introduces a paradox, embedding literary history into digital infrastructure. This research demonstrates that names, far from being arbitrary, carry immense power. Whether in literature or in technology, they serve as cognitive shortcuts, shaping expectation, perception, and interaction. Kafka's legacy continues to evolve, proving that a name is not just a name; it is a force that can shape how we understand the world. The case of Kafka in literature versus Kafka in technology highlights a much broader phenomenon: the power of linguistic framing in shaping how we perceive systems, institutions, and even reality itself. The act of naming is more than just assigning a label; it is a form of meaning-making that can alter human behaviour, expectations, and interactions with objects, people, and technologies. In literature, Kafka's works describe bureaucracies as vast, unknowable, and inherently frustrating. In technology, Apache Kafka is an attempt to make systems more knowable, efficient, and streamlined; yet its name still evokes the literary Kafka's world of endless loops and inefficiency. This contradiction raises the question: how do names alter usability and interaction? Beyond literature and technology, naming plays a crucial role in corporate branding and psychological association. Companies carefully select names that will resonate with their intended audience. Take, for example, Tesla, a brand that borrows the name of the famed inventor Nikola Tesla to convey a sense of innovation, intelligence, and boundary-pushing technology. Similarly, Nike invokes the Greek goddess of victory, immediately associating the brand with triumph and athletic excellence.

In contrast, names can also carry unintended baggage. The naming of Apache Kafka reflects an ironic branding paradox: while the software is meant to solve complexity, its name comes with connotations of labyrinthine confusion. This raises a critical question for naming in the digital age: do names create an unavoidable cognitive bias about the things they label? In a world increasingly dominated by AI, machine learning, and automation, naming plays an even greater role in shaping how we trust, fear, or embrace technology. Consider the difference between calling an AI system HAL 9000 (after the infamous rogue AI in *2001: A Space Odyssey*) versus calling it Eve (a name that suggests creation, warmth, and intelligence). The act of naming is an act of framing; one that dictates how we relate to the systems around us. One could argue that Kafka's literary themes of bureaucratic entrapment were prophetic rather than purely fictional. Modern society is filled with Kafkaesque structures: government institutions that lose paperwork in endless loops, automated customer service systems that trap users in futile cycles, and corporate bureaucracy that creates rather than resolves inefficiencies. Ironically, Kafka's name being used for a stream-processing system only reinforces the idea that our reality is shaped by the structures we create.



The question remains: if Apache Kafka had a different name, would it still evoke the same intellectual curiosity and cultural resonance?

Would developers approach it differently if it were called *StreamFlow* or *DataMesh* instead? Is the system itself affected by its name, or does the name affect how people engage with the system? These are the deeper questions that linguistics, branding, and cognitive psychology must continue to explore. The Kafka paradox where a name associated with frustration and absurdity is used to describe a system meant to reduce chaos and inefficiency demonstrates that language is never just a label. Whether in literature, technology, branding, or social institutions, names influence perception in ways that are often subtle, subconscious, yet immensely powerful. As AI-driven technologies continue to shape our world, the question of naming and perception will only become more crucial. What we call something dictates how we interact with it, and in an age where technology governs much of our daily lives, these interactions hold immense consequences. Kafka may have written about systems that strip individuals of power, but in a twist of fate, his name now holds power over systems themselves. The irony is Kafkaesque.

A name is a doorway. To speak it is to step inside, to inherit echoes, to wear the past like a borrowed coat. *Kafka*—a name that slithers, sharp as a key turning in a rusted lock. Two syllables. The first, a cough—Kaf—half-choked, as if trying to clear its throat. The second, soft—ka—a fading breath, the last sigh of someone trapped in a labyrinth without walls.

Kafka. A name that began in Prague, shadowed by *castles* and cold light. It belonged to a man who dreamed of *trials* without verdicts, doors that led nowhere, and letters that arrived too late. A man whose words built invisible cages, where men wake up as insects and bureaucracies grow tendrils, tightening around the soul.

*Kafka, Kafka, your name loops like the nightmares you wrote. The Trial never ends—neither does the stream. Your name is a ghost in the machine.*

And then, a second birth. Apache Kafka. The name stolen, repurposed, pressed into a different machine. Not a writer now, but a system, a stream of data, fast and efficient, where messages glide between servers without friction. Yet even here, Kafka lingers in the background, his ghost flickering in the circuits, laughing at the irony. A system meant to prevent chaos, bearing the name of a man who wrote of systems that consume themselves.

Kafka is no longer a man. He is a metaphor, a glitch in language, a word that twists between meaning and unmeaning. Once, it described a world where people could not escape the rules. Now, it names the thing that makes rules work faster. But does the name shape the thing, or does the thing reshape the name?

*In the dark server rooms, data hums like insects' wings.*

Once, it belonged to a man. A quiet clerk in Prague who wrote of impossible trials, of castles that never open their gates, of men condemned without crime. Kafka, the man who saw the world as an engine of futility, where rules multiply like shadows and doors lead only to more doors. A name that became an adjective—*Kafkaesque*—a world where you struggle not against a villain, but against a system that does not care you exist.

*No one is guilty, yet the verdict is passed.*

*The corridors stretch on forever—there is no exit sign.*

But a name never rests. It slips free, is caught, is repurposed. Apache Kafka—a system for streaming data, fast, efficient, tireless. A thing built to prevent chaos, carrying the name of the man who wrote only of chaos. The irony is too perfect. The name meant to conjure fear now fuels the very machines that keep the world running.

Still, something lingers. In the hum of servers, in the endless transmission of messages, in the ceaseless loops of logic and code. A system built to be smooth, seamless—yet the name refuses to vanish. It remains a glitch, a ghost in the machine.

*The code is clean. The process is stable. And yet—Kafka whispers. Another loop begins.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ioshellboy. "Let's Talk About Kafka." Medium, 15 Sept. 2021, <https://ioshellboy.medium.com/lets-talk-about-kafka-dc094decbe1a>.
- [2] anjmo. "Beyond Kafka: The Rise of Kafka-Compatible Alternatives." Medium, 6 Dec. 2023, <https://sanjmo.medium.com/beyond-kafka-the-rise-of-kafka-compatible-alternatives-ed9a91f53e70>.
- [3] Clegg, Stewart, et al. "Kafkaesque Power and Bureaucracy." *Journal of Political Power*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2016, pp. 157–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2016.1191161>.
- [4] Troschianko, Emily. "Kafkaesque Worlds in Real Time." *Language and Literature*, vol. 19, no. 2, 2010, pp. 151–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963947010362913>.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)