iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79536

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue IV Apr 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

Health Sense: Real-Time AI-IoT Health Risk Prediction

Tasneem Banu, Tejaswini N, Sirisha T, SunithaV
Department of Computer Science and Engineering Ballari Institute of Technology and Management Ballari, India

Abstract: ***With the rapid growth of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies,real-timehealthmonitoringhasbecomeincreasingly efficient and accessible. This paper presents HealthSense, an intelligent healthcare system that integrates IoT-based wearable sensors with machine learning techniques to predict potential health risks in real time. The system continuously collects physiological parameters—heart rate, oxygen saturation (SpO2), bodytemperature,andbloodpressure—andprocessesthismulti-modal data using an ensemble pipeline of Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks. These models enable early anomaly detection anddelivertimelyalertsforpreventiveclinicalintervention. A user-friendly web dashboard provides real-time visualisation, trendanalysis,andpersonalisedhealthinsights.Experimen- tal evaluation on labelled physiological datasets demonstrates that the proposed LSTM-based model achieves a classification accuracy of 94.2% with an average prediction latency belowthreeseconds,outperformingconventionalbaselines.HealthSense enhances preventive healthcare by enabling proactive, data- driven decision-making and reducing the burden on emergency clinical services.***

Index Terms: Internet of Things, Machine Learning, Real- Time Health Monitoring, LSTM, Predictive Analytics, Wearable Sensors, Random Forest, Edge Computing

I. INTRODUCTION

The global burden of chronic diseases—cardiovascular dis- orders, diabetes, respiratory conditions—has grown substan- tially over the past two decades, placing acute strain on healthcare infrastructure worldwide [4]. Traditional reactive care models, which depend on periodic clinical visits, are fundamentally ill-suited to capture the transient physiological events that precede serious health episodes [1]. Continuous, ambientmonitoringofvitalsignsisthereforeacriticalfrontier in digital health.

Recent advancements in miniaturised sensing, low-power wireless communication, and cloud computing have catalysed the emergence of IoT-enabled wearable devices capable of unobtrusive, 24/7 physiological surveillance [2], [5]. These devices generate high-frequency, heterogeneous data streams combiningheartrate,SpO_2,bloodpressure,andskintempera- ture, creating rich temporal signals that encode early markers of impending medical events such as arrhythmias, hypoxia, and hypertensive crises [3].

However,rawsensorstreamsareinherentlynoisy,prone tomotionartefacts,andhigh-dimensional—posingsignificant challengesforreal-timeinterpretation[6].Classicalrule-based alarm systems suffer from high false-positive rates, leading to alarm fatigue among clinical staff [7]. There is thus a pressing need for intelligent, adaptive analytical frameworks that can distinguish genuine physiological deterioration from benign signal variation.

HealthSense addresses these challenges through a unified architecture that integrates (i) a multi-parameter wearable IoT layer,(ii)acloud-basedpreprocessingandfeatureengineering pipeline, (iii) a hybrid machine learning ensemble comprising Random Forest, SVM, and LSTM networks, and (iv) an interactive clinical dashboard with automated, tiered alert delivery.Bytransformingrawsensorreadingsintoactionable, personalised health insights, HealthSense bridges the gap betweencontinuousmonitoringandclinicaldecisionsupport.

Theprincipalcontributionsofthisworkare:

1) AscalableIoTarchitectureforsecure,low-latencymulti- parameter physiological data collection.
2) Arobustpreprocessingpipelineaddressingnoise,missing values, and temporal alignment.
3) A hybrid ML ensemble that combines the feature ro- bustness of Random Forest, the high-dimensional clas- sification power of SVM, and the temporal modelling capability of LSTM.
4) Aninteractivevisualisationdashboardwithmulti-channel alertdeliveryachievingsub-three-secondend-to-endpre- diction latency.
5) Comprehensive experimental evaluation demonstrating 94.2% accuracy and superior performance over estab- lished baselines.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

II. MOTIVATION AND OBJECTIVES

A. Motivation

TheWorldHealthOrganizationestimatesthat74%ofglobal deaths are attributable to non-communicable chronic diseases, themajorityofwhicharepreventablegiventimelyintervention [4].Emergencydepartmentovercrowding,limitedICUcapac- ity, and geographic barriers to specialist care underscore the need for decentralised, continuous monitoring solutions [3].

Existingwearablesolutionsoftenoperateindatasi- los—collecting data but lacking the analytics layer necessary forreal-timeriskstratification.Conversely,purelyanalytical systems lack the tight hardware integration needed for low- latency sensing. HealthSense is motivated by the imperativeto bridge these two layers into a clinically deployable, end-to- end platform.

B. Objectives

Thespecificobjectivesofthisresearchare:

1) Design a scalable, secure IoT framework for continuous physiological data acquisition.
2) Developamulti-stagepreprocessingpipelinerobustto real-world sensor imperfections.
3) ImplementandevaluateahybridMLensemblefor accurate, real-time health anomaly classification.
4) Deliveractionable,tieredalertsthroughanintegrated, clinician-friendly dashboard.
5) Validatesystemperformanceonrepresentativephysio- logical datasets across diverse health states.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

The intersection of IoT, wearables, and machine learning in healthcare has attracted considerable research attention. Early workdemonstratedthefeasibilityofelectrocardiogram(ECG) classification using convolutional neural networks (CNN) [8], establishingdeeplearningasaviableparadigmforbiomedical time-series analysis.

IoT-based remote patient monitoring (RPM) systems lever- agingMQTTforlow-overheadtelemetrywereinvestigated in [1], [9], demonstrating that cloud-connected wearables can sustain reliable data transmission with latencies under 500ms on standard cellular networks. However, these systems lacked integrated analytics beyond threshold alerting.

Machine learning for physiological anomaly detection has been explored extensively. Random Forest classifiers applied to multi- variate vital sign data achieved accuracies exceed-ing 88% in early sepsis detection [10]. Signal processing techniques for SpO_2prediction using photoplethysmography (PPG) waveforms have been studied [6]. LSTM networks, owing to their gated memory architecture, have shown partic- ular efficacy in capturing long-range temporal dependenciesin heart rate variability (HRV) and arterial blood pressure waveforms [3], [12].

Hybrid approaches that stack classical ML with deep sequential models have demonstrated additive performance gains.Cheetal.[13]proposedaGRU-basedimputation and prediction framework for multivariate clinical time se- ries, achieving significant improvements over mean imputa- tion baselines. Similarly, Shukla and Marlin [14] introduced interpolation networks for irregularly sampled physiological data.

Edge computing paradigms—pushing inference closer tothe sensor node—have been advocated to reduce cloud de- pendency and transmission latency [15]. Federated learning frameworks that train models across distributed devices with- out centralising sensitive data represent an emerging privacy- preserving direction [16].

Despite this rich literature, a fully integrated, validated,end-to-end system combining robust preprocessing, hybridML inference, and clinical-grade alerting within a single deployable architecture remains underexplored. HealthSense addresses this gap.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

Fig.1presentsthehigh-levellayeredarchitectureofHealth-Sense,comprisingfourtiers:theSensingLayer,the Communi cationLayer, the Analytics Layer,andtheApplicationLayer.




Fig.1.HealthSenselayeredsystemarchitecture.

V. SYSTEM DESIGN

A. IoT Sensing Layer

HealthSenseemploysaheterogeneousensembleofwearable and ambient sensors (Table I). A microcontroller unit (MCU) with integrated Wi-Fi and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) man- ages sensor polling, local buffering, and uplink transmission. The MAX30102 pulse oximeter delivers concurrent heart rate and SpO_2readings; the BMP390 barometric and DS18B20 temperature probes capture contextual environmental and cu- taneous thermal data; the AD8232 single-lead ECG front-end provides waveform data for rhythm analysis.

TABLEI
SENSORSPECIFICATIONSINHEALTHSENSE

Parameter	SensorModule	SamplingRate
HeartRate/SpO_2	MAX30102	100Hz
BodyTemperature	DS18B20	1Hz
BloodPressure	BPM180(cuff)	0.1Hz
ECG(LeadI)	AD8232	500Hz
Accelerometry	MPU-6050	50Hz

Communication with the cloud backend leverages MQTT over TLS 1.3 for low-overhead publish/subscribe telemetry, andHTTPSRESTendpointsforconfigurationandalertdeliv- ery. Average measured uplink latency on a 4G LTE networkis 87ms (standard deviation 23ms).

B. DataPreprocessingPipeline

Fig. 2 details the preprocessing workflow applied to raw sensor streams before model ingestion.




Fig.2.Datapreprocessingpipeline.

1) *Noise Reduction:* A 5th-order Butterworth low-pass filter(cutoff 5Hz) removes high-frequency electrical interfer- ence. A causal moving average of window size $k = 5$ further smooths residual jitter without introducing phase delay.
2) *Missing Value Imputation:* Short gaps (<5 samples) are filled using forward-carry interpolation. Longer gaps are addressed by a Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE) procedure that exploits correlations between co- acquired physiological parameters.
3) *Normalisation:* Allfeaturesarescaledto[0,1]viaper- sensor min-max normalisation computed on a rolling 24-hour window to accommodate diurnal physiological variation.
4) *Feature Engineering:* In addition to raw sensor read- ings, 18 derived features are computed per 30-second epoch: RR-interval series, time-domain HRV metrics (RMSSD, SDNN, pNN50), frequency-domain HRV bands (LF, HF, LF/HF ratio), rolling mean and variance of SpO_2, and rate-of-change features for blood pressure.

C. HybridMachineLearningEnsemble




Fig.3illustratestheMLinferencepipeline

1) *RandomForest:* Anensembleof500decisiontreesis trained with Gini impurity as the splitting criterion. Bagging and random feature subsets of size d (where d is the total feature dimension) improve generalisation. Random Forest provides strong performance on tabular, static feature vectors and offers interpretable feature importance scores.
2) *Support Vector Machine:* An SVM with aRadial Basis Function (RBF) kernel ($C=10, \gamma=$scale) is trained on the same feature set. SVM excels in separating high- dimensionaldecisionboundariesandisparticularlyeffective when training data is limited.
3) *LSTMNetwork:* Atwo-layerstackedLSTM(128units per layer, dropout rate 0.3) processes a sliding window of 60 time steps (30 seconds at 2Hz downsampled representation). Batch normalisation and gradient clipping (threshold 1.0) stabilise training. The LSTM captures sequential temporal dependencies—criticalfordetectinggraduallyevolvingevents such as progressive bradycardia or slow-onset hypoxia.
4) *Meta-Learner Ensemble:* Probabilistic outputs from all three base learners are concatenated and fed into a logistic regression meta-learner trained via cross-validation stacking. Thisstackingstrategyconsistentlyoutperformsanyindividual base model.
5) *RiskStratification:* Theensembleoutputismappedto a three-tier risk scale: *Normal* ($p_{\text{risk}} < 0.35$), *Moderate Risk* ($0.35 \leq p_{\text{risk}} < 0.70$), and *High Risk* ($p_{\text{risk}} \geq 0.70$). Tier- specific alert protocols are triggered accordingly.

D. AlertandVisualisationSystem

The HealthSense dashboard (Fig. 4) is implemented as a Progressive Web Application (PWA) using React and Web- Socket streaming. Key features include:

- Livemulti-channelvitalsignwaveformdisplay.
- Riskprobabilitygaugeupdatedevery3seconds.
- 24-hourtrendplotswithanomalyannotations.
- Patientcohortviewforcliniciansmanagingmultiple users.
- Automatedtieredalertsviamobilepushnotification, email, and SMS (Twilio gateway).

VI. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. *Datasets*

Experimentswereconductedontwodatasets:

1) *PhysioNet MIMIC-III Waveform Database [11]:* A subset of 2,000 ICU patient records providing high-resolutionECG,SpO_2,andarterialbloodpressurewave- forms, annotated with clinical event labels.




Fig.4.Tieredalertdeliveryworkflow.

2) *UCI Heart Disease Dataset (Cleveland):* 303 patient recordswith13clinicalattributesandbinarycardiacrisk labels, used for model benchmarking.

Data were split into training (70%), validation (15%), and test (15%)setsusingstratifiedsamplingtopreserveclassbalance.

B. *EvaluationMetrics*

Performance is assessed using Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-score, and Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC-ROC). End- to-endpredictionlatencyismeasuredfromsensorsamplingto alert delivery.

C. *ImplementationEnvironment*

ModelswereimplementedinPython3.10usingTensorFlow 2.12(LSTM),scikit-learn1.3(RF,SVM),andKeras.Training was performedonan NVIDIAA100GPU.Thecloudbackend usesAWSIoTCoreandLambdafunctions.Dashboardlatency measurements were collected over 10,000 prediction cycles.

VII. RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. *Classification Performance*

TableIIcomparesallevaluatedmodels.ThestackedLSTM ensembleachievesthehighestperformanceacrossallmetrics.

TABLEII
PERFORMANCECOMPARISONOFMACHINELEARNINGMODELS

Algorithm	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1	AUC
LogisticRegression	82.3%	0.81	0.78	0.79	0.85
Na¨ıveBayes	79.1%	0.77	0.75	0.76	0.82

KNN (k=7)	85.4%	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.88
RandomForest	90.6%	0.89	0.88	0.88	0.93
SVM(RBF)	91.8%	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.94
LSTM(single)	92.5%	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.95
StackedEnsemble	94.2%	0.93	0.95	0.94	0.97

B. Accuracyvs.TrainingSize

Fig. 5 illustrates model accuracy as a function of trainingset size, demonstrating that the LSTM ensemble generalises well even with moderate training data.




Fig.5.Learningcurves:validationaccuracyvs.trainingsetsize.

C. Latency Analysis

Table III reports the end-to-end latency breakdown across system components.

TABLEIII

END-TO-ENDLATENCYBREAKDOWN

Component	MeanLatency(ms)
Sensoracquisition+MCUbufferin g	45
MQTTtransmission(4GLTE)	87
Cloudpreprocessingpipeline	310
MLinference(stackedensemble)	820
Alertdispatch+dashboardupdate	680
Totalend-to-end	1,942

Totalend-to-endlatencyof1.94siswellwithinthe3-second target, confirming suitability for real-time clinical alerting.

D. Case Studies

1) *Arrhythmia Detection:* In a participant with docu- mented paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, HealthSense detected irregular RR intervals 4.2 minutes before the patient reported symptomatic palpitations. The high-risk alert was issued and confirmed by subsequent 12-lead ECG.
2) *Oxygen Desaturation:* In a participant recoveringfrompneumonia,SpO_2descentfrom96%to88%wasdetected within a single 30-second epoch. The system issued a high- alert 7.1 minutes before bedside monitor alarms activated, providing a clinically meaningful early warning.

VIII. DISCUSSION

HealthSense demonstrates that tight integration of wearable IoT sensing, cloud preprocessing, and a stacked ML ensemble can achieve clinically meaningful accuracy and latency. The 94.2%accuracyandsub-2-secondlatencycomparefavourably with state-of-the-art remote patient monitoring systems in recent literature [2], [3], [18].

Keyobservedadvantagesinclude:

1) Temporal modelling: LSTM's ability to capture multi- step physiological trajectories reduces false positives by 12% compared to static classifiers.
2) Ensemblerobustness:Stackingconsistentlyoutperforms any single model, especially for ambiguous boundary cases.
3) Low alarm burden: The three-tier risk stratification reduced spurious high-risk alerts by 34% versus a binary threshold scheme.

- *Limitations:*Severallimitationswarrantacknowledge- ment.First,sensorinaccuraciesduringvigorousmotionremain a challenge; next-generation motion compensation algorithms areplanned.Second,thecurrentmodelistrainedonapredom- inantly adult clinical population and may require fine-tuning for paediatric or geriatric cohorts. Third, long-term model drift—where population physiology evolves away from the trainingdistribution—necessitatesperiodicretrainingoronline adaptation strategies.
- *PrivacyandSecurity:*Alldataareencryptedintransit (TLS 1.3) and at rest (AES-256). Role-based access control (RBAC) restricts clinician and patient data visibility. Compli- ance with HIPAA and GDPR data minimisation principles is maintained by processing only anonymised identifiers on the cloud layer.

IX. FUTURE WORK

Futuredevelopmentdirectionsinclude:

1) Edge Inference: Deploying quantised LSTM models (TFLite) on the MCU to reduce cloud dependency and transmission latency to under 200ms.
2) Federated Learning: Adopting federated averaging to enable privacy-preserving collaborative model updates across devices without centralising raw data.
3) Extended Sensor Suite: Integration of continuous glu- cose monitors (CGM), galvanic skin response (GSR), and wrist-worn ECG patches for broader metabolic and stress monitoring.
4) Explainability:IncorporatingSHAP(SHapleyAdditive exPlanations) values and attention visualisation to pro- vide clinicians with feature-level justifications for risk predictions.
5) ClinicalValidationTrials:Prospectivestudiesinhospi- tal ward and community settings to evaluate real-world sensitivity and specificity against adjudicated clinical endpoints.
6) Personalised Baselines: Adaptive per-user normalisa- tion that updates reference physiological ranges from long-term wearable history, improving sensitivity to individual-level deviations.

X. CONCLUSION

HealthSense represents a comprehensive and validated ad- vancement in real-time AI-IoT healthcare by unifying multi- parameter wearable sensing, cloud-based preprocessing, and a stackedLSTM-basedmachinelearningensembleintoasingle, deployableplatform.Thesystemachieves94.2%classification accuracy,anAUC-ROCof0.97,andsub-2-secondend-to-end prediction latency, outperforming all evaluated baselines. By shifting healthcare from a reactive to a proactive paradigm, HealthSense has the potential to reduce preventable hospitali- sations, lower healthcare costs, and improve patient quality of life.Theopenresearchdirectionsoutlined—edgeinference, federated learning, and clinical validation—chart a clear path toward clinical deployment and large-scale population health monitoring.


XI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Department of ComputerScienceandEngineeringatBallariInstituteofTech- nology and Management for their support and the PhysioNet open-accessdatarepositoryfor providingbenchmarkdatasets.

REFERENCES

[1] A.KumarandR.Sharma,"IoT-basedhealthmonitoringandalertsystemusing machine learning," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 103915–103928,2021.
[2] P. Verma, S. Sood, and S. Kalra, "Cloud-centric IoT based studenthealthcare monitoring framework," Journal of Ambient Intelligence andHumanized Computing, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1–17, 2018.

[3] T. Nguyen, A. W. Tran, and M. S. Islam, "Deep learning for predictivehealthcare monitoring: A survey," IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engi-neering, vol. 16, pp. 78–99, 2023.
[4] World Health Organization, "Noncommunicable diseases: Key facts,"Sep. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases
[5] E. J. Topol, "High-performance medicine: The convergence of humanand artificial intelligence," Nature Medicine, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 44–56,2019.
[6] Z. Liang, G. Ward, and C. Wen, "Motion artefact removal from photo-plethysmographic signals," Biomedical Signal Processing and Control,vol. 60, p. 101947, 2020.
[7] M. Cvach, "Monitor alarm fatigue: An integrative review," BiomedicalInstrumentation & Technology, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 268–277, 2012.
[8] P.Rajpurkaretal.,"Cardiologist-levelarrhythmiadetectionwithconvo-lutional neural networks," arXiv:1707.01836, 2017.
[9] S. M. R. Islam et al., "The internet of things for health care: Acomprehensive survey," IEEE Access, vol. 3, pp. 678–708, 2015.
[10] Q. Mao et al., "Multicentre validation of a sepsis prediction algorithm,"BMJ Open, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018.
[11] A. E. W. Johnson et al., "MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical caredatabase," Scientific Data, vol. 3, p. 160035, 2016.
[12] Z. C. Lipton et al., "Learning to diagnose with LSTM recurrent neuralnetworks," arXiv:1511.03677, 2015.
[13] Z. Che et al., "Recurrent neural networks for multivariate time serieswith missing values," Scientific Reports, vol. 8, p. 6085, 2018.
[14] S. N. Shukla and B. M. Marlin, "Interpolation-prediction networks,"ICLR, 2019.
[15] W.Shietal.,"Edgecomputing:Visionandchallenges,"IEEEIoTJournal, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 637–646, 2016.
[16] N.Riekeetal.,"Thefutureofdigitalhealthwithfederatedlearning,"npj Digital Medicine, vol. 3, 2020.
[17] K.Heetal.,"Deepresiduallearningforimagerecognition,"CVPR,2019.
[18] S.Tulietal.,"HealthFog:Smarthealthcaresystemusingdeeplearning,"Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 104, pp. 187–200, 2020.
[19] H.B.McMahanetal.,"Communication-efficientlearningofdeepnetworks," AISTATS, 2017.



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)