INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Image Tampering Detection using Error Level Analysis and Concatenated Neural Networks

Siddharth Khachane[1], Deepmalya Mondal[2]

[1]*Computer Science, Vellore Institute of Technology*

[2]*Electronics and Telecommunication, International Institute of Information Technology Bhubaneswar*

Abstract: Image tampering has become a leading issue in the digital age, which has given rise to serious implications in various fields such as journalism, forensics and photography. Detecting manipulated images with high accuracy is important to ensure the authenticity and credibility of visual content. In this research paper, we propose a robust and effective approach for image tampering detection utilizing a concatenated ResNet and XceptionNet model with Error Level Analysis which has achieved an accuracy of 98.58%.

Keywords: Image Tampering, Deep Learning, Computer Vision, Convolutional Neural Networks, Error Level Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Image Tampering detection requires heavy data processing due to the fact that image data is large as each image consists of numerous pixels in contrast to simple numerical or text data, training conventional models on image data doesn't seem promising when comparing it to other methods like deep learning. A type of neural networks, Convolutional neural networks reduce the complexity as compared to dense neural networks and also don't compromise on the accuracy which are the most important points to be considered while training a model. When dealing with such heavy data, its essential to apply image processing techniques which can highlight certain features which are important for training models.

Many image processing techniques like edge detection have been implemented in training deep learning models like object detection. One such technique is error level analysis which has shown a lot of promise in detecting image tampering, as it is becoming more and more difficult to identify between real and tampered images due to rise in the use of image editing tools. Generally, images should have a consistent error level in all their areas otherwise they can be marked as probable anomalies. In order to find the best possible combination of deep learning models, experimentation using different methods is important, one such method known as concatenating different models together has shown the most promising results.

Threats due to image tampering are increasing day by day as it has become extremely easy to tamper images related to crucial areas like journalism where these images can spread like fire over social media and can brainwash the mass audience with false information. The main aim for this research is to provide a probable solution for detecting such tampered images and thus protecting everyone from the challenges caused due to them.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

"Image Processing based on Deep Neural Networks for Detecting Quality Problems in Paper Bag Production" (Syberfeldt et al. 2020) [1]. This paper proposes a deep neural network for detecting quality issues during paper bag production. It highlights certain features of image processing which can be applied before training the model. The trained model can be used for real-time defect detection, providing a powerful tool for quality control and ensuring the consistent production of high-quality paper bags.

"Image Classification Using Deep Neural Network" (Tiwari et al. 2020) [2]. This proposed work implemented the VGG16 model to perform classification of living and non-living things. This work was able to establish an accuracy of 99.89% on the selected dataset.

"Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks" (Ren et al. 2015) [3]. This paper has introduced a Faster R-CNN which does object detection with high accuracy and real time processing using deep learning. The authors propose Region Proposal Networks (RPNs) for object detection. The RPN and a Fast R-CNN network are combined to form Faster R-CNN, which provides better performance.

"Development of Photo Forensics Algorithm by Detecting Photoshop Manipulation Using Error Level Analysis" (Gunawan et al. 2017).[4] This paper has introduced a photo forensics algorithm utilizing Error Level Analysis (ELA) for identifying manipulations in images. Image tampering is successfully detected by the algorithm by observing the varying compression levels spread throughout the image.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 11 Issue VII Jul 2023- Available at www.ijraset.com

"ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks" (Krizhevsky et al. 2012) [5]. The AlexNet architecture has been introduced and the significant improvement it provides over the ImageNet dataset has been demonstrated.

"Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition" (Simonyan et al. 2015) [6]. The Visual Geometry Group architecture has been introduced and the importance of depth in CNNs for image classification has been demonstrated.

"Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition" (He et al. 2016) [7]. The ResNet architecture has been introduced, proper demonstration for the use of residual connections in ResNet has been given along with how it helps for successful training of extremely deep CNNs.

"Image Data Augmentation for Deep Learning: A Comprehensive Survey" (Shorten et al. 2019) [8]. Various methods like flipping, rotation and scaling are used on images in this study for enhancing the data which is fed to models while training. The importance of data augmentation has been presented.

"Data Augmentation Generative Adversarial Networks" (Antoniou et al. 2017) [9]. A new approach for leveraging Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) for data augmentation. The DAGAN framework enables to generate synthetic images which prove to make any model more robust while training using the augmented data.

III.DATASET

The casia dataset has been used in this paper, it consists of two sets of images, tampered and non-tampered. In order to provide the models with more information while training, data augmentation has been done using Image Data Generator which appends copies of existing images with slight modifications like rotations and flipping. There are 7492 actual images and 5124 tampered images in the dataset used.

Further, the dataset size has been increased even more through data augmentation.


Fig. 1 Images from Dataset

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

In the proposed work, two simultaneous processes have been tried out which differ in their data preprocessing methods. Error Level Analysis has been applied on the data in one process while it has not been applied in the other process. The next step is data augmentation for generating more images which help to make the model more robust and better in performance. A series of models have been trained on both preprocessings of the dataset which include simple CNN, ResNet, XceptionNet and a concatenated version of ResNet and XceptionNet. Finally, a proper comparison has been made on all the results achieved.


Fig. 2 System Architecture

V. COMPONENTS

A. Error Level Analysis

Error Level Analysis (ELA) is widely used in the field of digital forensics to identify if there are any particular areas in an image which have been manipulated. The main goal of this technique is to detect inconsistencies in the compression levels of an image. If an image is manipulated using any editing software, then the application of different operations on the image can cause it to have different levels of compressions in different parts of it.

Fig. 3 Process for performing ELA on an image

In this research, the compressed used for the purpose of Error Level Analysis is RGB compression, in which the RGB components of a particular image are extracted. Then they are subtracted from the original image and this result is stored in a new image which is our training image on which ELA has been applied.

Fig. 4 Original Vs ELA Image

B. Data Augmentation

Data Augmentation is a process by which we can artificially generate images to increase the size of the existing dataset, thus it helps to improve the generalization and robustness of the models. It has been experimentally verified through various researches that data augmentation increases the accuracy while training the models. In the images given below, one sample training image has been flipped and rotated across various directions. ELA has not been implemented on the image above where as its has been implemented on the image below. Data augmentation has been done in both scenarios to test the accuracy of the models over them.


Fig. 5 Data Augmentation for images with ELA

Fig. 6 Data Augmentation for Images with ELA

C. Model Training

Various different models (pretrained and non-pretrained) have been used in the training process. Like simple CNNs, ResNet, XceptionNet and concatenated ResNet and XceptionNet.

1) Convolutional Neural Network: Convolutional neural networks perform really well at grasping spatially invariant and hierarchical features from raw data. It consists of different layers which include fully connected, convolutional and pooling layers. A convolution operation is applied on input data and certain learnable filters known as kernels. When Convolution operation is performed on the raw data of the image with a particular filter, the result image data shrinks considerably keeping the essential details necessary for training the model while letting go of the redundant data which might cause increased training times. In this research, we utilized a convolutional neural network with 2 convolution layers, followed by 2 dense(fully-connected) layers for classification. We have also used Max-pooling and Dropout as regularization techniques to improve the model performance.

2) ResNet: Resnet, which is short for Residual Neural Network, has changed the way deep learning works as it aims to address the challenges of training multi-layered deep neural networks. The vanishing gradient problem is faced by traditional CNNs as the gradients diminish exponentially with increase in depth, hampering convergence and the overall learning. ResNet introduced the concept of residual blocks, utilizing skip connections to mitigate the vanishing gradient issue effectively. Let x represent the input to a residual block. The output y of the block is then obtained by adding the learned residual mapping F(x) to the input x, followed by a non-linear activation function σ. The equation can be expressed as:

$$y = \sigma(x + F(x))$$

The residual mapping F(x)is actually the difference between the output and the input of the block. In other words:

$$F(x) = y - x$$

By learning the residual mapping, the gradient signal can flow easily through the skip connection, thereby facilitating the training of significantly deeper models. This enables ResNet to be trained much deeper than traditional CNNs, leading to a marked improvement in performance and making them a fundamental building block in modern deep learning architectures for various computer vision and other complex tasks. The Resnet50, which is a special type of residual neural network, has been trained on the dataset for comparison purposes. This model comprises of a 50-layer convolutional neural network, with 48 convolutional layers, 1 max-pooled layer and 1 average pooled layer.

3) XceptionNet: XceptionNet is an extension of inception model which introduces separable convolutions. It divides the normal convolution operation into two steps depth-wise convolution and point-wise convolution. Depth-wise convolution applies filter to each channel in the image to reduce the cost incurred while computation. Where as point-wise convolution performs the combination of resultant channels from previous step in a linear manner. It performs exceptionally well in certain areas including object detection, image generation and semantic segmentation.

4) *Concatenated ResNet and XceptionNet:* In a concatenated model, each individual model processes the input data independently and produces its own output. These outputs are then combined into a single tensor through concatenation along a specified axis. The idea behind concatenated models is to exploit complementary information captured by different models, which can lead to enhanced feature representation and better generalization. This approach is especially useful in transfer learning scenarios, where pre-trained models specialized in specific tasks can be combined to tackle new, related tasks effectively.


Fig. 7 ResNet and XceptionNet concatenated Model

VI. RESULTS

The loss and classification accuracy plots are shown for the best and worst performing models ie. Concatenated ResNet and XceptionNet vs CNN with and without Error Level Analysis. The best accuracies of all the trained models have been mentioned and plotted side by side for comparison. The best achieved accuracy is 98.58%.


Fig. 8 Accuracy and Loss graphs without ELA

Fig. 9 Accuracy and loss graphs with ELA

Model	Accuracy (Without ELA)	Accuracy (With ELA)
CNN	88.54%	95.83%
ResNet	90.55%	96.58%
XceptionNet	91.96%	96.87%
Concatenated ResNet and XceptionNet	95.75%	98.58%

Table. I Comparison of the accuracies of all models


Fig. 10 Bar Plot of Accuracies of all models

VII.   CONCLUSION

The combination of Error Level Analysis (ELA) and a concatenated ResNet and Xception Net architecture demonstrates really promising results for image tampering detection. ELA acts as a tool which is robust and efficient for detecting certain image manipulations by observing the compression differences which might arise during editing. The concatenated model leverages the learnings from both the models and thus its ability to detect tampering in images is enhanced. The highest accuracy achieved is 98.58%.

REFERENCES

[1] Syberfeldt, A. and Vuoluterä, F., 2020. Image processing based on deep neural networks for detecting quality problems in paper bag production. Procedia CIRP, 93, pp.1224-1229.S. Zhang, C. Zhu, J. K. O. Sin, and P. K. T. Mok, "A novel ultrathin elevated channel low-temperature poly-Si TFT," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 20, pp. 569–571, Nov. 1999.

[2] Tiwari, V., Pandey, C., Dwivedi, A. and Yadav, V., 2020, December. Image classification using deep neural network. In 2020 2nd International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication Control and Networking (ICACCCN) (pp. 730-733). IEEE.

[3] Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R. and Sun, J., 2015. Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 28.

[4] Gunawan, T.S., Hanafiah, S.A.M., Kartiwi, M., Ismail, N., Za'bah, N.F. and Nordin, A.N., 2017. Development of photo forensics algorithm by detecting photoshop manipulation using error level analysis. Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 7(1), pp.131-137.

[5] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I. and Hinton, G.E., 2012. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 25.

[6] Simonyan, K. and Zisserman, A., 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.

[7] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S. and Sun, J., 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 770-778).

[8] Shorten, C. and Khoshgoftaar, T.M., 2019. A survey on image data augmentation for deep learning. Journal of big data, 6(1), pp.1-48.

[9] Antoniou, A., Storkey, A. and Edwards, H., 2017. Data augmentation generative adversarial networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.04340.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 ⊘ (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)