



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 11 **Issue:** XI **Month of publication:** November 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.56941>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Impact of Industry 4.0 on Occupational Safety and Health

Ms. Pallavi Singh¹, Dr. Priyanka²

¹Research Scholar, ²Asst. Professor (Supervisor), Department of Management, Faculty of Management and Commerce, Mewar University, Chittorgarh

Abstract: In recent years, the emergence of the "Fourth Industrial Revolution", commonly referred to as Industry 4.0, has been propelled by the global surge in consumer goods demand and the imperative for environmentally sustainable manufacturing practices. The fourth technological revolution, commonly referred to as Industry 4.0, is characterized by the heightened utilization of computers and robotics. The primary objective of this revolution is to enhance the caliber, efficacy, and versatility of industrial production. As a consequence of this prevailing inclination, there shall arise alterations in the manner by which tasks are structured and executed, potentially engendering an impact upon the overall welfare of employees. Should the technologies propelling the advent of Industry 4.0 continue to evolve in isolated compartments, with enterprises' operations remaining segregated and disjointed, the attendant hazards shall escalate, thereby culminating in an overall detrimental effect on Occupational Health and Safety (OHS). The potential compromise of the advancements achieved in the proactive administration of occupational health and safety may arise when substantial modifications are implemented. In order to avert the potential clash between technological advancement and occupational health and safety, it is imperative that a collaborative effort be undertaken by researchers, field specialists, and industry professionals. This collective endeavor aims to facilitate a seamless transition towards the era of Industry 4.0.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, Occupational Health and Safety (OHS), Technology, Safety Concerns, Industrialization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The process of industrialization has undergone significant evolution since its inception in the 18th century. The inaugural significant transformation transpired during the nascent years of the 19th century, precipitated by the emergence of electricity. This groundbreaking development facilitated the extensive dissemination of power emanating from a centralized establishment, thereby liberating production from its reliance on the toil of human manual labor. This epochal event is commonly referred to as the first industrial revolution. The emergence of electricity facilitated the progression of machinery towards enhanced compactness and efficiency, thereby ushering in the era of Industry 4.0. The advent of powered assembly lines in the 20th century marked a significant milestone in industrial development. With the continuous advancements in electronics, the production process underwent a transformative shift towards increased automation and a heightened focus on performance. This era, commonly referred to as Industry 4.0, witnessed the integration of advanced technologies that propelled manufacturing to new heights of efficiency and effectiveness. The advent of automation has facilitated the emergence of equipment that is characterized by enhanced adaptability, ergonomics, and security. Consequently, this has engendered a notable augmentation in the optimization of manufacturing processes and a concomitant increase in productivity (Mesi, 2016).

The term "Industry 4.0" emerged within the past few years. The term in question alludes to the inexorable convergence of the manufacturing sector with the information age, artificial intelligence (AI), the internet of things (IOT), and other advanced "smart" technologies. The primary objective of this system is to facilitate enhanced utilization of adaptable and agile machinery within manufacturing facilities, thereby enabling them to effectively adapt to the ever-changing preferences and requirements of consumers. The aforementioned notion has transcended the mere design of singular apparatuses, encompassing a profound transformation in the realm of manufacturing that extends its influence on a worldwide level (Park & Kim, 2023). Numerous other advanced nations have embraced this objective, as evidenced by the substantial financial commitments made by several countries in order to narrow the gap with Germany (Macdougall, 2014; Mesi, 2016).

In order to maintain a competitive edge within the contemporary global economy, enterprises are compelled to allocate resources towards the acquisition and implementation of cutting-edge technologies. These technologies encompass real-time communication, big data analytics, collaborative efforts between humans and machines, remote sensing capabilities, monitoring and control systems, as well as autonomous equipment and interconnectivity.

The inclusion of connectivity and digital manufacturing within the fourth industrial revolution represents merely the surface-level manifestations of its comprehensive scope (Badri et al., 2018). In the era of Industry 4.0, enterprises across the entire value chain undertake the process of digitizing their tangible resources and integrating them within virtual ecosystems. The central premise of "Industry 4.0" lies in the amalgamation of digital production systems with the comprehensive analysis and exchange of all generated data within an intelligent environment. This integration serves as the cornerstone for the anticipated enhancements in productivity. It is evident that the concept of production and the manner in which occupational health and safety (OHS) is managed have undergone a parallel evolution in response to these transformative developments. Owing to the burgeoning demands of the industrial sector, the circumstances surrounding labor became progressively deleterious, to the extent that even juveniles were compelled to jeopardize their well-being and security in order to sustain their familial obligations. The public's insistence on action compelled legislators to respond, following the significant toll inflicted by the lack of expertise among the labor force and the lack of awareness among employers regarding what is now commonly referred to as occupational health and safety (Watterson, 2006). Within the context of developed nations, the emergence of labor unions, labor laws, rules, and standards has transpired over the course of time. The conditions within the workplace have undergone significant amelioration, although certain figures of concern persist. Notwithstanding persistent critique, it is noteworthy to highlight the augmented engagement of both corporations and their workforce in tackling occupational health and safety (OHS) predicaments. In the present discourse, we shall delve into subjects of utmost significance, namely integrated occupational health and safety management, the utilization of sophisticated tools and standards for the effective administration of occupational hazards, the provision of equipment that ensures enhanced safety during usage, and, perhaps most crucially, the establishment of meticulously monitored and regulated working environments and practices. It is imperative to acknowledge that throughout history, significant transformations within the industrial sector have consistently been succeeded by notable advancements in occupational health and safety practices (Badri et al., 2018). The impetus for the implementation of reliable and enduring resolutions to challenges has been instigated by responses to the advancement of technology, alterations in labor methodologies, and the tangible ramifications thereof on occupational health and safety. The concept of proactivity, which has witnessed significant advancements in recent decades, has been bolstered by the enactment of legislation, regulatory measures, and the establishment of standards that underscore the importance of addressing occupational hazards and the obligation to eradicate them at their root cause. As a result, proactivity is currently prevailing in the majority of industrialized nations. The notion of avoidance has transcended its theoretical underpinnings. The acknowledgment of the significance of employee well-being and safety within the industrial sector has become increasingly prevalent, being regarded as a crucial financial determinant in conjunction with overarching considerations of quality, productivity, and cost mitigation. According to the scholarly work of Park and Kim (2023), occupational health and safety is presently regarded as an essential requirement for the prosperity of any thriving organization.

It is conceivable that this occurrence may incite a series of transformative shifts within existing paradigms. The implementation of novel industrial concepts centered around the decentralization of information and decision-making is currently underway. The industrial sector is currently in the process of assessing the positive impacts pertaining to the responsiveness, autonomy, and adaptability of factories. Collaborative robots, commonly referred to as Cobots, represent a prominent exemplification of the forthcoming cohort of sophisticated and interconnected machinery (Mesi, 2016; Beetz et al., 2015). The objective is to fulfill the ceaselessly diverse demands of the human populace. The promotion and subsequent amplification of this industrial effervescence is facilitated by a multitude of conventions, trade exhibitions, and workshops. The emergence of this prevailing inclination prompts the inquiry as to whether or not the novel occupational health and safety imperatives have been duly deliberated upon. Have we duly contemplated the occupational health and safety ramifications, encompassing both the favorable and unfavorable outcomes, of this epochal industrial revolution? Might the advantageous outcomes of proactive measures be rendered null and void?

Might the presence of occupational health and safety concerns serve as a mitigating factor in dampening the fervor surrounding this matter? Are there valid justifications for experiencing fear? The objective underlying the presentation of these matters is to stimulate contemplation regarding the optimal integration of occupational health and safety within the nascent realm of digital manufacturing, commonly referred to as Industry 4.0. In the subsequent section, we shall expound upon our chosen research approach, subsequently presenting the outcomes derived from our comprehensive examination of the existing body of scholarly works pertaining to occupational health and safety within the framework of Industry 4.0. In the subsequent section, we undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the potential ramifications of Industry 4.0 technologies on occupational health and safety. Furthermore, we expound upon a selection of recommendations derived from scholarly sources, while simultaneously shedding light on the current boundaries of research within this domain, including our own limitations. The discourse pertaining to our discoveries is expounded upon within the fifth and ultimate segment.

The structural foundation of Industry 4.0 is a pivotal aspect that warrants scholarly examination. This transformative paradigm, also known as the fourth industrial revolution, encompasses the integration of advanced technologies into various industries, thereby revolutionizing the production process.

Within the realm of engineering, the meticulous stages of planning, operational execution, and logistical management are of utmost importance in the context of Industry 4.0. This paradigm emphasizes the significance of adaptability and precision as key factors for success. The phenomenon known as Industry 4.0 is distinguished by the extensive incorporation of information and communication technologies (ICTS), the internet of things (IOT), cyber-physical systems (CPS), and the integration of cloud-based data systems. These advancements serve to enable the digitalization and automation of industrial processes, primarily through the facilitation of automated data exchange (Badri et al., 2018). Industry 4.0 smart factories are able to manufacture products in a flexible manner because of the complex, dynamic, and real-time optimized networks that support them in vertically integrating information at different hierarchical levels of a value creation module, horizontally integrating data across companies and within companies, and digitalizing information throughout the entire product lifecycle (end-to-end engineering). The feasibility of this phenomenon can be attributed to intricate networks of manufacturing resources, encompassing autonomous and self-configuring machinery and robots. These entities are imbued with knowledge-based capabilities, equipped with sensors, and strategically dispersed in space. Moreover, they possess integrated planning and management systems that are pertinent to their operations. Consequently, the production of bespoke items tailored to individual clients becomes economically viable (Watterson, 2006).

In order to expedite the implementation of novel manufacturing methodologies and the partially independent administration of their individual stages, Industry 4.0 relies upon the utilization of intelligent commodities and apparatuses to enable instantaneous communication amongst machinery, operational resources, and human agents. Furthermore, upon reaching the culmination of their utility, manufactured objects possess the capacity to detect preliminary indications of deterioration and subsequently restore themselves to a state of optimal functionality, albeit within specific limitations. The exchange of data within a feedback loop has the potential to significantly influence the self-optimization and real-time planning of manufacturing processes, as noted by Park and Kim (2023).

II. IMPACT OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH IN INDUSTRY 4.0

During the advent of the fourth industrial revolution, the workplace will witness the utilization of devices and equipment that are endowed with automated control mechanisms, knowledge-based capabilities, and sensor-equipped functionalities. This transformative development will culminate in the complete automation and digitization of production processes. The nature of work will shift, and workers will still need to do knowledge-intensive tasks including evaluating the efficacy of different production methods and making independent decisions. Consequently, it is plausible that employees shall be afforded an increased array of prospects to exercise autonomy and foster their professional development, alongside the opportunity to partake in endeavors that are characterized by heightened creativity, exhilaration, and intrinsic worth.

The advent of Industry 4.0 has brought about a notable surge in the intricacy of organizational structures. Consequently, there arises a pressing need to adopt work arrangements that are more flexible and adaptable, enabling individuals to effectively manage and harmonize their professional obligations alongside their personal responsibilities and pursuits. Furthermore, the seamless transmission of data across the entirety of the manufacturing process possesses the inherent capability to enhance the transparency and organization of industrial management, thereby alleviating the onerous weight of hierarchical structures on employees.

By harnessing the capabilities of intelligent safety technologies and virtual engineering, the advent of Industry 4.0 holds the promise of cultivating work environments that are imbued with enhanced levels of safety and well-being for the workforce. Wearable technology, exemplified by the inclusion of integrated sensors in helmets and wristbands, alongside other monitoring technologies, possesses the capacity to ensure the safety of workers operating in environments characterized by elevated risks. These risks may manifest in the form of elevated temperatures, toxic gases, open flames, and various other perilous circumstances. The utilization of such systems facilitates the continuous surveillance of both human well-being (in order to detect sudden irregularities such as cardiac arrest or accidental falls) and the condition of machinery and structures.

In summary, when viewed through the lens of persuasive technology, the act of monitoring can provide timely notifications that indicate the necessity of implementing precautionary measures to halt hazardous actions, reinstate safety protocols, avert injuries, and enable an injured laborer to solicit assistance (Watterson, 2006). Moreover, it is worth noting that the integration of self-aware and self-learning machines, equipped with sophisticated analytics, holds the potential to foresee perilous circumstances that may arise during the course of workplace operations.

By employing prognostics and health management algorithms, these machines can effectively navigate and mitigate unforeseen conditions, thus serving as a proactive measure to avert accidents and safeguard the well-being of both employees and individuals present in the vicinity (Park & Kim, 2023). The technical capabilities of Industry 4.0, in conjunction with cognitive analytics, have the potential to enhance the proficiency of workers by cultivating greater intelligence and ensuring their safety and welfare.

In the era of Industry 4.0, it is anticipated that a proliferation of employment opportunities shall arise, wherein the utilization of industrial robots shall play a pivotal role in enhancing operational efficiency. This can be attributed to the remarkable attributes possessed by these machines, namely their formidable power, exceptional durability, and unparalleled accuracy. The implementation of this strategy could potentially lead to the avoidance or reduction of musculoskeletal ailments, severe or lethal injuries, as well as expenses associated with products and services. Moreover, it is worth noting that the deployment of professional robots holds the potential to serve as a viable alternative to human labor in perilous undertakings within disaster-stricken areas, thereby safeguarding the well-being and physical integrity of employees (Aslan, 2019).

III. IMPACT OF INDUSTRY 4.0 ON OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY

The pervasive implementation of automation within the context of Industry 4.0 manufacturing vocations shall diminish the necessity for manual exertion and arduous physical tasks, while concurrently augmenting the imperative for the entire labor force to exhibit adeptness in refined managerial acumen, conceptualization, and the resolution of intricate predicaments (Watterson, 2006). As a consequence of the psychological strain and heightened intensity of labor resulting from the increasingly adaptable and dynamic nature of smart manufacturing endeavors, it is anticipated that the prominence of psychological hazards within the workplace will surpass that of physical perils.

In the realm of occupational roles encompassing the surveillance of automated machinery, the execution of decentralized judgments, or the engagement in comprehensive engineering endeavors, it is anticipated that employees shall assume heightened levels of accountability and exhibit proactive conduct. In addition, it is imperative for individuals to possess the capacity to proficiently engage in interpersonal exchanges and skillfully allocate their time resources. Given the necessity of utilizing and managing machines, as well as intervening when necessary, it becomes evident that a greater proportion of skilled personnel, as opposed to unskilled individuals, will be required. Individuals possessing intermediate skill levels may find themselves facing certain disadvantages due to the inherent complexities associated with the successful execution of intricate tasks. The indispensability of lifelong learning in maintaining employability has been underscored by the advent of industrial automation. This reality poses a unique challenge for older workers, who may encounter difficulties due to their potential unfamiliarity with digital tools, thereby impeding their ability to adapt to the changing employment landscape. From a perspective that emphasizes social inclusivity within the occupational realm, it is evident that the various factors discussed in the literature have the potential to exert an unfavorable influence on the demographic composition of firms operating within the context of Industry 4.0 (Badri et al., 2018).

Occupational ambiguity, privacy violation, and psychological strain may result from the use of digital technologies to continually monitor the behavior, performance, and productive output of workers. Moreover, it is plausible that such a phenomenon could potentially diminish the level of interpersonal engagement among employees and their supervisors or colleagues, thereby engendering an unfavorable professional milieu. Consequently, this could give rise to heightened levels of occupational strain, as well as the potential emergence of health-related complications in the foreseeable future. The augmented portability, adaptability, and accessibility of computers have the potential to detrimentally impact the delicate equilibrium between an individual's professional and personal life. The phenomenon of workplace transition anxiety, the displacement of human workers, and the potential for joblessness due to the advent of robotic automation are all plausible scenarios that have been postulated by Park and Kim (2023).

The topic at hand warrants a thorough and comprehensive examination.

The advent of Industry 4.0 has ushered in a rapid and pervasive wave of manufacturing transformations, which hold the potential to furnish the workforce with a plethora of sophisticated digital infrastructures and pragmatic solutions to bolster their operational endeavors. However, it is imperative to acknowledge that these advancements may also engender novel occupational health and safety hazards, thereby exerting a multifaceted impact on various facets of the organization. In order to ensure the preservation of employee well-being during the progression or prototyping of novel applications, it becomes imperative to engage in risk evaluations at every juncture (Aslan, 2019). In order to ensure a thorough evaluation of potential risks, it is imperative to undertake a comprehensive risk assessment that encompasses all aspects of the manufacturing process. This includes, but is not limited to, data management, maintenance records, operating procedures, equipment, raw materials, operator error, and product quality.

By considering these various facets, one can effectively identify and address any vulnerabilities or hazards that may arise throughout the manufacturing process. Within the context of a dynamic and adaptable production system, it becomes imperative to periodically scrutinize, enhance, and validate the procedures pertaining to the assessment and management of occupational health and safety risks, taking into account the latest information on potential hazards. Henceforth, in light of our present state of understanding, it is advisable to adhere to a judicious risk management strategy in order to ensure the enduring viability of the innovations associated with Industry 4.0. From this particular standpoint, it can be argued that an optimal approach to mitigating and reducing occupational hazards, mishaps, ailments, and mortalities lies in the strategic endeavor of "designing out" or, alternatively, minimizing them during the initial stages of technological innovation and implementation. To ascertain the defining attributes of the pertinent applications within the realm of Industry 4.0, as well as the potential ramifications on labor processes, employee well-being, and occupational safety and health, it is imperative that employers, workers, stakeholders, and all involved occupational health specialists collaborate harmoniously during these nascent stages. The aforementioned proposition has the potential to facilitate the active participation of laborers in the process of conducting risk assessments and implementing practical and efficient safety measures (Aslan, 2019). In order to optimize the capabilities of an organization, it is imperative that the design of jobs takes into consideration the diverse backgrounds and experiences of its workforce. This encompasses a range of factors, including but not limited to the varying skill levels of employees, their technical or academic qualifications, disparities in age, educational attainment, life experiences, and cultural backgrounds. It is imperative to provide robust support systems for employees who are bestowed with enhanced autonomy in their professional endeavors, thereby enabling and fostering their ongoing educational and vocational growth. The proposition at hand posits that adopting a more methodical approach towards the cultivation and advancement of personnel may yield a more organized and effective outcome. Amidst the ongoing surge in technological advancements, it is plausible that smart factories could potentially adopt a more employee-centric approach through the implementation of appropriate training endeavors. Further investigation is imperative in order to ascertain the comparative efficacy of traditional classroom instruction, remote online learning, and specialized training. The utilization of virtual reality, as an illustrative example, could be employed to replicate conceivably perilous circumstances within occupational settings, with the intention of enhancing the readiness of workers in confronting such situations. The proper execution of Industry 4.0 within manufacturing facilities is of utmost importance in order to achieve enduring goals. In this regard, the dissemination of contemporary knowledge and experiences through focused seminars can prove to be a valuable approach. Professional organizations shall play a pivotal role in maintaining unhindered channels of communication and fostering close collaboration with social partners, the academic community, and the wider public.

IV. SOCIAL ANALYSIS OF INDUSTRY 4.0

Furthermore, it is imperative to offer specialized instruction pertaining to matters of occupational health and safety. This is particularly crucial when commencing employment, following alterations to the work environment, job responsibilities, work apparatus, or equipment devices, and upon the implementation of novel technologies. The task of advocating for preventive measures to safeguard the well-being and security of this emerging category of laborers is poised to become significantly more arduous, given the advent of automation technology which has the potential to facilitate novel forms of labor and employment that are characterized by immediate responsiveness and fulfillment (Aslan, 2019). In light of the expansive scope, expeditious pace, and intricate nature of the aforementioned transformations, it would behoove the international occupational safety and health community to amalgamate their efforts - whenever feasible - in order to remain abreast of novel advancements, harness their resources for the betterment of workplace safety and health, and exchange insights for the mitigation of nascent or burgeoning hazards. It is highly advisable to promote the active involvement of professionals specializing in the field of occupational health and safety in spearheading the formulation of risk profiles tailored to the unique demands of Industry 4.0 work environments. Additionally, these experts should be entrusted with the responsibility of crafting comprehensive international standards aimed at safeguarding workers against any and all conceivable hazards. The harmonious coexistence of humans and machines within the workplace is contingent upon the establishment and enforcement of a set of regulations and guidelines. This assertion is supported by a critical review conducted in 2022, which specifically examines the applications of Industry 4.0 technologies in relation to occupational safety and health. The inclusion of firms, stakeholders, and workers in the conceptualization and implementation of processes and operational working environments is of paramount importance. Furthermore, it is imperative to assess the worldwide implementation of proactive preventive and protective measures within this occupational framework, as suggested by Badri, et. al. (2018).

In order to foster a beneficial ethical influence of Industry 4.0, it is imperative to adopt a socio-technical perspective. This entails a comprehensive integration of technological advancements, work organization frameworks, and continuous professional development initiatives, all intricately linked to economic and social contexts.

By doing so, a cohesive strategy can be devised to facilitate intelligent interactions between employees and/or technology operating systems across the entirety of the value chain. The establishment of a manufacturing milieu conducive to the attainment of a harmonious equilibrium between work and personal life necessitates a comprehensive contemplation of multifarious factors, including but not limited to the structural framework of the organization, the safeguarding of workers' rights, and the provision of ample avenues for training and professional growth (Aslan, 2019). In light of the impending rise in global unemployment resulting from the advent of the fourth industrial revolution, it is imperative for Industry 4.0 to proactively undertake the task of identifying efficacious resolutions that concurrently uphold social sustainability.

V. CONCLUSIONS

With regard to the prospective fourth industrial revolution, the newly coined term "Industry 4.0" has recently permeated the vernacular. An increasing number of individuals hold the belief that the widespread presence of digital technology, artificial intelligence (AI), the internet of things (IOT), and interconnected "smart" and responsive devices would enable enterprises to more effectively adjust to fluctuations in consumer preferences. The expanse of this notion has transcended the confines of mere industrial apparatus, and presently manifests as a global upheaval poised to fundamentally transform our understanding of the factory as an entity. The scholarly discourse pertaining to the subject matter of Industry 4.0 exhibits a vibrant and dynamic nature, albeit with a dearth of studies that specifically delve into the matter of incorporating occupational health and safety within this context. The predominant focus of the discourse surrounding this metamorphosis has predominantly fixated upon the novel technological advancements that constitute its core, while regrettably allocating only a marginal degree of consideration to the crucial matter of safeguarding the well-being of the labor force. The extant body of literature pertaining to the integration of occupational health and safety within the context of Industry 4.0 is notably scarce. In the event that the technologies associated with Industry 4.0 are cultivated in seclusion and the occupational health and safety endeavors of manufacturers remain disjointed, it is an incontrovertible fact that occupational hazards will escalate during the transitional phase, thereby tarnishing the otherwise commendable track records of certain enterprises in terms of accident prevention. In order to attain a harmonious and safeguarded shift towards the novel paradigm, it is imperative for scholars, experts in the field, and individuals from the industry to collaborate in implementing strategies that are rooted in a comprehensive understanding of change management (Aslan, 2019). In the pursuit of advancing towards the generation of enhanced sustainable economic value, it is imperative for Industry 4.0 to meticulously evaluate the advantages and disadvantages pertaining to the well-being and safety of workers within their occupational milieu. In order to effectively mitigate the emerging risks and ethical implications associated with the advancements in creative industrial 4.0, it is imperative to implement targeted measures that focus on continuous professional development and occupational health and safety training (Badri et al., 2018). These measures should be designed to not only prevent potential hazards but also provide adequate protection for individuals involved in this domain.

REFERENCES

- [1] Badri, A., Boudreau-Trudel, B., & Souissi, A. S. (2018, November). Occupational Health and Safety in The Industry 4.0 Era: A Cause for Major Concern? *Safety Science*, 109, 403–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2018.06.012>
- [2] Fulekar, M. (2020). Coronavirus Disease (Covid-19) Outbreak- A Concern for Occupational Safety and Health. *Diabetes & Obesity International Journal*, 5(2), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.23880/Doij-16000225>
- [3] Gerrard, C. E. (1998, July). Farmers' Occupational Health: Cause for Concern, Cause for Action. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 28(1), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1998.00748.x>
- [4] Aslan, I. (2019, October 11). The Role of Industry 4.0 In Occupational Health and Safety. Researchgate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336699164_The_Role_Of_Industry_4_0_In_Occupational_Health_And_Safety
- [5] Stellman, J. M. (1982, October). Safety in The Health Care Industry. *Occupational Health Nursing*, 30(10), 17–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/216507998203001003>
- [6] Park, D. Y. (2022, January). Occupational Health: Challenges and Solutions in The Covid-19 Era. *Safety and Health at Work*, 13, S3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2021.12.712>
- [7] Lee, b. K. (2011, June). Occupational health management in the lead Industry: the Korean experience. *Safety and health at work*, 2(2), 87–96. <https://doi.org/10.5491/shaw.2011.2.2.87>
- [8] Watterson, a. (2006, January). Regulation of occupational health and safety in the semiconductor Industry: enforcement problems and solutions. *International journal of occupational and environmental health*, 12(1), 72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1179/oeh.2006.12.1.72>
- [9] Occupational health and safety management in the extractive industry: an exploratory study of the Ghanaian oil and gas industry. (2022). *Central European management journal*. <https://doi.org/10.57030/23364890.cemj.30.4.115>
- [10] Güngör, a. (n.d.). Effects of the fourth industrial revolution on occupational health and safety in shipbuilding. www.linkedin.com. Retrieved October 19, 2023, from <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/dördüncü-sanayi-devriminin-gemi-inşaa-sanayinde-iş-ve-güngör-msC>



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)