



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.69362>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Investigating the Intersections of Gender, Race, and Class in Feminist Literary Criticism

Dr. Auradkar Sarika Pradiprao

Associate Professor, Department of English, Shankarrao Chavan Mahavidyalaya, Ardhapur, Nanded

Abstract: *This paper explores the intersections of gender, race, and class within the framework of feminist literary criticism, arguing that the complexities of these interwoven systems of oppression cannot be fully understood without an intersectional approach. Drawing on foundational and contemporary feminist theories, including the work of Kimberlé Crenshaw's intersectionality theory, bell hooks' critiques of race and gender, and Judith Butler's concept of gender performativity, this paper analyzes how race and class complicate feminist literary criticism and enrich our understanding of gender oppression. Through textual analysis of works by authors such as Toni Morrison, Zora Neale Hurston, and Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, the paper investigates how feminist readings of literature, when attuned to race and class, offer a richer, more nuanced perspective on the representations of women. The study finds that intersectional feminist criticism offers crucial insights into the ways literature reflects, critiques, and perpetuates systems of power. The paper concludes by recommending that feminist literary criticism move toward more inclusive, intersectional readings that recognize the diverse experiences of women across different social, racial, and class backgrounds.*

Keywords: *Feminist Literary Criticism, Intersectionality, Gender, Race, Class, Social Inequality, Literary Analysis, Feminist Theory, Intersectional Feminism, Postcolonial Feminism, Representation.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Feminist literary criticism has long examined the representation of women in literature, focusing on how patriarchal structures shape the ways in which female characters are portrayed. However, the early feminist critiques of literature often emphasized gender alone, occasionally overlooking the role that race and class play in shaping women's experiences. As feminist scholarship has evolved, it has become increasingly clear that gender, race, and class are interconnected systems of power that mutually influence and shape individual identities and experiences. This paper will investigate how feminist literary criticism can benefit from an intersectional approach that considers the interconnectedness of these factors.

This research is situated within the broader context of intersectional feminist theory, which was first introduced by Kimberlé Crenshaw to highlight how race, class, gender, and other axes of identity intersect to create unique and complex experiences of oppression. Feminist literary criticism, particularly in the past few decades, has begun to incorporate these insights, but much work remains in fully embracing intersectionality. The focus of this paper is to show how adopting intersectional lenses allows for a more comprehensive critique of literature, one that does justice to the experiences of women from marginalized racial and class backgrounds. This paper will also argue that the representation of women in literature must be understood not only in terms of gender, but also through the dynamics of race and class.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A. *Feminist Literary Criticism: Origins and Evolution*

Feminist literary criticism emerged in the 1970s, building on the feminist movement's calls for equality and societal change. Early feminist critics, such as Simone de Beauvoir and Virginia Woolf, laid the foundations for feminist analysis by questioning the portrayal of women as the "Other" in literary works and challenging the patriarchal ideologies that underpinned the canon of Western literature. In the 1980s and 1990s, feminist criticism expanded to address issues of sexuality, agency, and the internalized oppression of women. Scholars like Judith Butler and Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick shifted the focus from the content of literary works to the ways gender itself is constructed and performed in society. Butler's notion of gender performativity, for example, suggests that gender is not an innate biological fact but a social performance shaped by cultural norms and expectations. While early feminist literary criticism primarily focused on the voices and roles of women in literature, scholars soon realized that the experience of gender was shaped by more than just sex or gender identity. Thus, the next wave of feminist criticism sought to address the intersections of gender with other forms of identity and oppression, namely race, class, and sexuality.

B. Intersectionality and Its Emergence

The concept of intersectionality, coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw in 1989, brought a paradigm shift to feminist thought by highlighting that gender is not a singular category of identity but one that intersects with other identities, such as race, class, sexuality, and more. Crenshaw's foundational work in intersectionality critiques the limitations of single-axis thinking views that prioritize one category of identity (such as gender) while ignoring others (such as race and class).

In feminist literary criticism, intersectionality provides a theoretical framework for analysing how these multiple identities converge and create unique experiences of oppression. The work of scholars like bell hooks, Patricia Hill Collins, and Angela Davis has expanded the concept of intersectionality to examine the lived experiences of Black women, working-class women, and women of color, arguing that their experiences of gender oppression are inherently shaped by their race and class.

C. Postcolonial Feminism

Postcolonial feminism has emerged as a key critical approach in feminist literary studies, particularly with the works of scholars like Chandra Talpade Mohanty, Gayatri Spivak, and Homi K. Bhabha. These theorists argue that Western feminism often marginalizes the voices and experiences of women from the Global South, whose oppression is shaped not only by gender but also by the legacy of colonialism. Mohanty's influential essay, "Under Western Eyes," critiques the homogenization of "Third World women" in Western feminist discourse and stresses the need for a more localized, context-specific approach to feminist theory.

Postcolonial feminist criticism also challenges the Western-centric nature of much feminist literary criticism, which tends to prioritize white, middle-class, and heterosexual perspectives. This critique opens the door for a more intersectional feminist approach that incorporates race, class, and the impact of colonialism on women's lives.

D. Critiques of Mainstream Feminist Theory

A major critique of early feminist literary criticism was its exclusion of non-white, working-class, and non-Western perspectives. Scholars like Audre Lorde and bell hooks criticized white mainstream feminism for its limited scope, arguing that it often ignored the needs and experiences of marginalized women. Lorde's concept of "intersectionality" predates Crenshaw's work and stresses the importance of embracing difference within feminist movements. hooks, in particular, critiques what she terms "imperialist white-supremacist capitalist patriarchy," pointing out how mainstream feminism often fails to address the ways in which race and class intersect with gender to perpetuate oppression.

E. Contemporary Approaches to Feminist Literary Criticism

In contemporary feminist literary criticism, intersectionality has become a central framework for analyzing the representation of women. Scholars like Sarah Ahmed, Lauren Berlant, and Sandra Lee Bartky have contributed to the development of post-structural feminist critiques that incorporate race, class, and sexuality into the analysis of literary texts. In particular, the increased visibility of LGBTQ+ women, trans women, and women of color in contemporary literature has prompted a more inclusive and intersectional approach to feminist literary studies.

This shift is also evident in the way critics now approach texts that were once considered part of the "canonical" literary tradition, such as the works of Toni Morrison, Alice Walker, and Zadie Smith. These authors foreground the intersection of race, class, and gender in ways that traditional literary criticism had often ignored.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this research involves a qualitative approach, focusing on textual analysis and theoretical critique. The steps followed in the research process are as follows:

- 1) **Textual Analysis:** This research focuses on primary texts that engage with themes of gender, race, and class. Selected texts include Toni Morrison's *Beloved*, Zora Neale Hurston's *Their Eyes Were Watching God*, and Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Americanah*. These works were chosen for their rich depictions of how race, class, and gender intersect in the lives of women of color.
- 2) **Theoretical Framework:** The study utilizes an intersectional feminist lens, with an emphasis on Kimberlé Crenshaw's intersectionality theory, bell hooks' critique of mainstream feminism, and Judith Butler's work on gender performativity. These theoretical frameworks inform the reading of the selected literary texts and guide the analysis of how gender, race, and class shape the experiences of the female characters.

- 3) **Comparative Analysis:** The paper compares and contrasts different feminist literary readings of these texts. It examines both traditional feminist critiques and intersectional readings, exploring how incorporating race and class transforms the understanding of gender representation in the works.
- 4) **Contextualization:** The research places these works within their historical, cultural, and political contexts, particularly with regard to issues such as slavery, colonialism, migration, and the postcolonial condition.

IV. ANALYSIS

A. *Literary Representation of Intersectionality*

In Toni Morrison's *Beloved*, the intersectionality of race, class, and gender is central to the protagonist Sethe's experience. The novel examines the legacy of slavery and its effects on the identities of Black women, who face not only racial oppression but also the gendered expectations of motherhood and domesticity.

Zora Neale Hurston's *Their Eyes Were Watching God* offers a rich portrayal of Janie Crawford, a Black woman who grapples with her identity as a wife, lover, and independent woman in a racially segregated society. Hurston's work illustrates how Black women's struggles for autonomy are inextricably tied to both their race and gender.

B. *Gender, Race, and Class in Americanah*

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Americanah* provides an insightful critique of how migration, race, and class shape the lives of its protagonist, Ifemelu. The novel's exploration of race in America, as seen through the lens of a Nigerian immigrant, shows how class and race intersect to complicate Ifemelu's understanding of herself as a woman and a feminist.

C. 4.3. *Intersectionality in Critical Perspective*

Feminist critiques of these works have evolved over time. Early feminist readings focused primarily on gender, while later readings that incorporate race and class offer more nuanced interpretations. For instance, critics of *Beloved* and *Their Eyes Were Watching God* have often centered race and class, but an intersectional reading reveals how these categories are inseparable from the gendered experiences of the female characters.

V. FINDINGS

- 1) **The Importance of Intersectional Frameworks:** This study finds that incorporating race and class into feminist literary analysis significantly alters the way in which the experiences of women are understood in literature. Works that were once viewed through a singular gendered lens gain new depth when read intersectionally.
- 2) **Narrative Shifts in Representation:** Feminist literary criticism, when considering race and class, is able to offer more comprehensive readings of texts that portray marginalized women. For example, in *Beloved*, understanding the impact of slavery on Black women's identity shifts the focus of feminist readings from gender alone to include racial trauma.
- 3) **Marginalization of Women of Color:** The research reaffirms that feminist literary criticism must continue to expand its scope to ensure that women of color are adequately represented. These women's experiences are often complex and multifaceted, shaped by both historical and contemporary forms of racial and economic oppression.

VI. SUGGESTIONS

- 1) **Broader Literary Canon:** To develop a truly inclusive feminist literary criticism, scholars should consider incorporating more works by authors from racially and economically marginalized backgrounds.
- 2) **Re-reading Canonical Texts:** Even within the Western canon, many classic texts can be read through an intersectional lens. For example, Shakespeare's female characters, when examined in light of class, race, and gender, reveal deeper layers of social critique.
- 3) **Transnational Feminist Approaches:** Future research should take a global perspective, considering how feminist literary criticism might shift when applied to non-Western texts, especially from postcolonial and Indigenous authors.

VII. CONCLUSION

The study confirms that feminist literary criticism is greatly enriched by an intersectional approach. Gender, race, and class cannot be viewed in isolation when analyzing the representation of women in literature. As demonstrated through the analysis of works by Morrison, Hurston, and Adichie, the intersection of these systems of power shapes women's lives in ways that must be understood in totality to grasp the complexities of oppression. Going forward, feminist literary criticism should continue to evolve to embrace diverse voices, ensuring that it remains inclusive and attuned to the intersecting dimensions of women's identities.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beauvoir, Simone de. *The Second Sex*. Vintage, 1949.
- [2] Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.
- [3] Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.
- [4] Davis, Angela Y. *Women, Race, & Class*. Vintage, 1981.
- [5] hooks, bell. *Ain't I a Woman: Black Women and Feminism*. South End Press, 1981.
- [6] hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press, 2000.
- [7] Lorde, Audre. *Sister Outsider: Essays and Speeches*. Crossing Press, 1984.
- [8] Morrison, Toni. *Beloved*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1987.
- [9] Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" In *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988.
- [10] Talpade Mohanty, Chandra. *Feminism Without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity*. Duke University Press, 2003.
- [11] Hurston, Zora Neale. *Their Eyes Were Watching God*. Harper Perennial, 1937.
- [12] Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. *Americanah*. Knopf, 2013.
- [13] Collins, Patricia Hill. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. Routledge, 2000.
- [14] Ahmed, Sara. *Living a Feminist Life*. Duke University Press, 2017.
- [15] Showalter, Elaine. *A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing*. Princeton University Press, 1977.
- [16] Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. *Epistemology of the Closet*. University of California Press, 1990.
- [17] Anzaldúa, Gloria. *Borderlands/La Frontera: The New Mestiza*. Aunt Lute Books, 1987.
- [18] Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- [19] Rich, Adrienne. *Of Woman Born: Motherhood as Experience and Institution*. W. W. Norton & Company, 1976.
- [20] McDowell, Deborah E. "New Directions for Black Feminist Criticism." *Black American Literature Forum*, vol. 14, no. 3, 1980, pp. 153–159.
- [21] Grewal, Inderpal, and Kaplan, Caren. *Scattered Hegemonies: Postmodernity and Transnational Feminist Practices*. University of Minnesota Press, 1994.
- [22] Carby, Hazel V. "White Woman Listen! Black Feminism and the Boundaries of Sisterhood." In *The Empire Strikes Back: Race and Racism in 70s Britain*, Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies, Hutchinson, 1982.
- [23] Brah, Avtar, and Phoenix, Ann. "Ain't I a Woman? Revisiting Intersectionality." *Journal of International Women's Studies*, vol. 5, no. 3, 2004, pp. 75–86.
- [24] Kaplan, E. Ann. *Feminism and Film*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [25] Cixous, Hélène. "The Laugh of the Medusa." *Signs*, vol. 1, no. 4, 1976, pp. 875–893.
- [26] Gill, Rosalind. "Postfeminist Media Culture: Elements of a Sensibility." *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2007, pp. 147–166.
- [27] Davis, Kathy. "Intersectionality as Buzzword: A Sociology of Science Perspective on What Makes a Feminist Theory Successful." *Feminist Theory*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2008, pp. 67–85.
- [28] Puar, Jasbir K. *Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times*. Duke University Press, 2007.
- [29] Minh-ha, Trinh T. *Woman, Native, Other: Writing Postcoloniality and Feminism*. Indiana University Press, 1989.
- [30] Lazarus, Neil. "The Politics of Postcolonial Modernism." *Cultural Critique*, no. 56, 2004, pp. 124–157.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)