iJRASET
International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79978

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue IV Apr 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

Market Potential and Supply Chain for Solar Multipurpose Farm Equipment Manufacturing

Ankur Sanjay Anpat, Prof. Pratik Dhanayat
[1]*MBA Agri Business Management, International Centre of Excellence in Engineering and Management ChhSambhaji Nagar, (Aurangabad), India*
[2]*Assistant Professor Department of Management Studies (Information Technology) International Centre of Excellence in Engineering and Management ChhSambhaji Nagar, (Aurangabad), India*

Abstract: Indian agriculture is at a critical inflection point. With approximately 146 million operational farm holdings, of which nearly 86 % are classified as marginal or small (below two hectares), the country faces a structural paradox: a growing imperative for mechanization to address rising labour costs, seasonal workforce scarcity, and climate-induced production variability, set against the economic realities of smallholder farming households that lack both the capital and the infrastructure to access conventional fossil-fuel-powered machinery. Diesel-based tractors and implements, which dominate the current mechanization landscape, impose recurring fuel costs that erode thin farm margins, generate greenhouse gas emissions inconsistent with India's climate commitments, and remain operationally inaccessible in remote rain-fed regions where grid electricity and fuel supply chains are unreliable. The confluence of rapidly declining solar photovoltaic costs, expanding government support frameworks including the PM-KUSUM scheme, and India's exceptional solar irradiance potential averaging 4.5 to 6.5 kWh per square metre per day creates a compelling strategic opening for solar-powered multipurpose farm equipment as a transformative solution to these overlapping challenges.

This paper systematically investigates the market potential and supply chain dynamics for solar-powered multipurpose farm equipment in India, with particular focus on three functionally critical field operation domains: solar-assisted primary tillage and ploughing, precision seed sowing and drilling, and plant protection through mechanised spraying. The study extends beyond conventional field machinery to examine a fourth, emerging product category of significant relevance to India's dairy-dependent rural economy: solar-powered hydroponic fodder-growing systems capable of producing nutrient-dense green fodder year-round independent of seasonal crop cycles, thereby addressing chronic feed deficits faced by smallholder livestock keepers. Together, these product domains constitute a coherent ecosystem of solar farm equipment whose combined adoption potential is substantially greater than any single application considered in isolation.

The research draws on a primary dataset of structured questionnaire surveys administered to 80 to 120 farmer respondents across four districts of the Marathwada division of Maharashtra — namely Chh. Sambhaji Nagar, Jalna, Beed, and Latur — selected through stratified random sampling to ensure representation across marginal, small, and medium farm size categories, as well as across diverse crop profiles including cotton, soybean, sorghum, and mixed horticulture. This primary evidence is complemented by an extensive review of secondary literature encompassing agricultural mechanization gap analyses, solar energy technology assessments, hydroponic production research, supply chain economics in rural markets, and policy documentation from the Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Ministry of Agriculture, and National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. The integrated use of primary and secondary data sources enables both empirical grounding and contextual breadth in the study's analytical conclusions.

Methodologically, the study employs a descriptive research design to characterise existing demand conditions, adoption barriers, and supply chain infrastructure across the study geography. Descriptive statistical analysis of survey responses establishes baseline profiles of farmer awareness, technology perception, investment capacity, and service access preferences. Chi-square tests of independence are applied to identify which farmer characteristics — including farm size, access to institutional credit, distance from district headquarters, and prior solar device ownership — are statistically significantly associated with solar equipment adoption intent, enabling the derivation of evidence-based target segment definitions. Cost-benefit analysis is employed to model the financial returns to a representative smallholder farmer investing in solar multipurpose farm equipment, with and without government subsidy, across a five-year horizon using a 10 % discount rate appropriate to rural credit conditions.

Market size projection is constructed by cross-applying estimated adoption rates derived from survey intent data to national farm holding census data, under conservative, moderate, and optimistic scenarios.

Key findings of the study establish that modular solar equipment designs — in which a single solar power base unit with interchangeable implement attachments serves tillage, seeding, spraying, and fodder production functions across the agricultural calendar — can reduce farm labour dependency by 40 to 50 % relative to fully manual cultivation systems, while delivering simple payback periods of 2.8 to 3.5 years at the post-subsidy cost level, and a positive five-year net present value of approximately Rs. 39,600 for a representative 1.5-hectare smallholder. Institutional credit access emerges as the strongest statistically significant predictor of adoption intent (chi-square = 18.93, p < 0.001), followed by prior ownership of any solar device (chi-square = 14.22, p < 0.001) and farm holding size (chi-square = 12.47, p < 0.01), findings that carry direct implications for targeting strategy and sales channel design. Market size projections under the moderate adoption scenario indicate a cumulative market value exceeding Rs. 1,20,000 crore (approximately USD 14.5 billion) within a seven-year horizon, positioning solar multipurpose farm equipment as one of the highest-growth segments in India's agricultural input industry.

The paper further identifies critical supply chain enablers and bottlenecks across the value chain from upstream component sourcing through to last-mile farmer delivery and aftersales service, recommending a semi-knockdown regional assembly model leveraging India's expanding domestic solar module manufacturing base alongside a three-tier distribution architecture encompassing district dealers, village-level service agents, and a manufacturer-managed digital service platform. Strategic go-to-market recommendations emphasise targeted engagement with institutional credit partners including NABARD and cooperative credit societies to overcome the financial access barrier identified as the primary adoption constraint; SMAM and PM-KUSUM scheme empanelment for subsidy-linked sales; demonstration-led demand generation through KrishiVigyanKendras and farmer producer organisations; and equipment leasing and custom hiring models through farmer collectives to extend market reach below the individual purchase affordability threshold. Taken together, these findings and recommendations provide a comprehensive evidence base for manufacturers, investors, policymakers, and development organisations seeking to build scalable and sustainable market positions in solar agricultural mechanization in India.

Keywords: Solar Farm Equipment, Agricultural Mechanization, Supply Chain Management, Hydroponic Fodder Systems, Smallholder Farmers, Renewable Energy in Agriculture, Market Potential, PM-KUSUM, Rural India, Cost-Benefit Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains the foundation of India's rural economy, employing approximately 42 % of the national workforce and contributing nearly 18 % of GDP as of 2023. Yet Indian farming, particularly at the smallholder level, faces a compounding set of structural challenges: rising input costs, erratic monsoon patterns, labor scarcity driven by rural-to-urban migration, and a chronic mechanization gap that leaves a disproportionate share of field operations dependent on manual effort or fossil-fuel machinery. The average Indian farm holding is approximately 1.08 hectares, a scale at which conventional diesel-powered tractors and multi-row machinery are economically unviable.

Solar energy presents a compelling answer to several of these overlapping problems. India's solar irradiance potential, averaging 4.5 to 6.5 kWh per square meter per day across most agricultural states, creates a natural alignment between farm energy requirements and renewable generation capacity. The government's PM-KUSUM scheme, which incentivizes solar pump installation and grid-connected solar generation on agricultural land, has already demonstrated that rural farmers can be mobilized toward solar adoption when financial barriers are lowered and awareness is adequate.

However, the application of solar energy in Indian agriculture has thus far been predominantly limited to irrigation pumping. The potential for solar-powered multipurpose machinery encompassing tillage, seed sowing, plant protection through precision spraying, and innovative fodder production through hydroponics remains substantially unexploited. This gap represents both a significant market opportunity for manufacturing enterprises and a development imperative for rural livelihoods.

This research systematically investigates the demand landscape, supply chain requirements, and manufacturing scalability for solar multipurpose farm equipment in India. It combines primary survey evidence with secondary literature and economic modelling to provide an evidence-based market assessment and strategic recommendations for manufacturers, investors, and policymakers operating in this emerging segment.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Agricultural Mechanization and the Smallholder Deficit

The economics of agricultural mechanization in developing countries have been studied extensively. Binswanger (1986) established that mechanization decisions by smallholders are primarily driven by labor cost relativities and risk perceptions rather than technological awareness alone. This foundational insight remains highly relevant in the Indian context, where seasonal labor shortages during peak sowing and harvesting windows are increasingly acute, creating price incentives for mechanical substitution even among farmers with limited capital resources.

In the Indian context, Gulati and Juneja (2022) documented that agricultural mechanization levels vary dramatically across crop types and geographies, with combine harvesting achieving high adoption in Punjab and Haryana but primary tillage mechanization remaining below 30 % in states such as Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, and Maharashtra's rain-fed Vidarbha and Marathwada regions. The latter geography is directly relevant to this study, as Chh. Sambhaji Nagar is situated in the Marathwada region and serves as a proximate market for agricultural equipment serving rain-fed smallholders.

The International Finance Corporation (2019) estimated that closing the agricultural mechanization gap in South and Southeast Asia could increase smallholder crop yields by 20 to 35 % and reduce post-harvest losses by up to 25 %, suggesting that the returns to mechanization investment extend well beyond energy savings into productivity and food security dimensions.

B. Solar Energy Applications in Agriculture

The literature on solar energy in agriculture has expanded substantially since the scaling of photovoltaic module cost reductions post-2010. Mekhilef, Saidur, and Safari (2011) provided an early comprehensive review of solar energy technologies for agricultural applications, identifying solar pumping, greenhouse climate control, and crop drying as the primary domains of established application, while noting that mobile solar-powered machinery remained an early-stage research area constrained by battery weight and energy density limitations.

More recently, Gorjian et al. (2021) conducted a global review of agrivoltaic and solar-powered farming systems, documenting emerging applications in precision spraying, autonomous ploughing robots powered by rooftop solar, and solar-powered cold storage for perishable produce. Their review identified India among the highest-potential markets for solar agricultural mechanization, citing the combination of high solar irradiance, large smallholder farm populations, and strong government policy orientation toward rural solar adoption.

Within India, Sharma and Singh (2020) evaluated the technical performance of a solar-powered multi-purpose agricultural vehicle prototype developed at the Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, demonstrating that a 2 kW peak photovoltaic array combined with a 72V lithium-ion battery pack could power a lightweight tillage implement across 0.8 hectares per day under average insolation conditions in Punjab. This technical benchmark is instructive for the present study's cost modelling, though the economic and market dimensions were not explored by those authors.

C. Hydroponic Fodder Production Systems

Hydroponic fodder growing, involving the cultivation of barley, maize, or sorghum sprouts in nutrient-enriched water without soil, has received growing attention as a resource-efficient livestock feed solution in water-stressed agricultural contexts. Dung, Musa, and Yusoff (2010) demonstrated that hydroponic barley fodder achieves dry matter yield of approximately 1.5 to 2.0 kg per kg of seed input within seven days of germination, with nutritional quality comparable to conventional pasture, offering a year-round feed supply independent of seasonal crop cycles.

In the Indian context, hydroponic fodder systems are particularly relevant to the dairy sector, where an estimated 70 % of cattle and buffalo are managed by smallholders who face chronic green fodder deficits during summer and drought periods. The integration of solar energy with automated hydroponic fodder chambers capable of maintaining optimal temperature, humidity, and nutrient cycling represents an innovation with strong market relevance for Maharashtra's significant dairy farmer population.

D. Supply Chain Considerations for Rural Agricultural Equipment

The supply chain literature on agricultural machinery in developing markets highlights the critical role of last-mile distribution, aftersales service, and financing accessibility in determining actual adoption rates. Deininger and Byerlee (2012) identified that even technically superior and economically justified agricultural technologies fail to achieve scale adoption when distribution networks do not reach below district-headquarters level and when financing is not available to bridge the gap between smallholder liquidity and equipment capital costs.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue IV Apr 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

Prahalad (2004), in his influential work on bottom-of-pyramid markets, articulated that rural markets require fundamentally different supply chain architectures than urban or premium markets, with emphasis on low working-capital intermediaries, decentralized service points, and modular product designs that allow incremental capability acquisition. These principles are directly applicable to the design of a go-to-market supply chain for solar farm equipment targeting Indian smallholders.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

A. Primary Objectives

1) To quantify the demand for solar-powered multipurpose farm equipment among smallholder farmers in India, with specific focus on the Marathwada region of Maharashtra, by analyzing survey responses from 80 to 120 farmer respondents across farm size, crop profile, and income strata.
2) To identify the key factors that determine farmer willingness to adopt solar-powered agricultural machinery, including financial, technical, social, and infrastructure variables, and to test the statistical significance of these factors using chi-square analysis.
3) To assess the market size and growth trajectory for solar multipurpose farm equipment in India through cost-benefit modelling, competitive landscape mapping, and regulatory framework analysis.
4) To examine the supply chain requirements for manufacturing, distributing, and servicing solar farm equipment at scale, identifying critical bottlenecks and enablers along the value chain from component sourcing to end-farmer delivery.

B. Secondary Objectives

1) To evaluate the technical and economic feasibility of solar-powered hydroponic fodder growing systems as an ancillary product segment with high rural market potential.
2) To develop strategic go-to-market recommendations, including partnership models, financing mechanisms, and distribution channel strategies, for manufacturers seeking to enter or expand in the rural solar farm equipment market.
3) To contribute primary empirical evidence from the Marathwada region to the broader scholarly and policy literature on solar agricultural mechanization in India.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive research design integrated with analytical statistical methods. A descriptive design is appropriate because the primary objective is to characterize the current state of demand, adoption barriers, and supply chain conditions for a product category that is nascent in the Indian market, where limited prior empirical documentation exists. Statistical analysis through chi-square tests of independence supplements the descriptive findings by testing whether observed associations between farmer characteristics and technology adoption intentions are statistically significant or attributable to sampling variation.

B. Survey Sample and Data Collection

Primary data was collected through structured questionnaire surveys administered to 105 farmer respondents across four districts of the Marathwada division of Maharashtra, namely Chh. Sambhaji Nagar, Jalna, Beed, and Latur. Respondents were selected through stratified random sampling to ensure proportional representation across three farm size categories: marginal holdings below one hectare (35 % of sample), small holdings between one and two hectares (40 % of sample), and medium holdings between two and five hectares (25 % of sample).

The questionnaire instrument was structured in five sections: respondent and farm profile; current energy and mechanization practices; awareness and perception of solar farm technology; willingness to adopt and investment capacity; and supply chain and service infrastructure preferences. Likert scale items on a five-point scale were used for attitudinal and perception questions. The survey was administered in Marathi through trained field enumerators to ensure comprehension across all literacy levels.

Secondary data sources included the Agricultural Census of India 2015-16, National Sample Survey Organization reports on farming household expenditure, MNRE annual reports on PM-KUSUM scheme progress, equipment pricing data from manufacturers and dealers, and peer-reviewed literature reviewed in Section II above.

C. Analytical Methods

Descriptive statistics including frequencies, %ages, means, and standard deviations were computed for all survey variables. Chi-square tests of independence were applied to examine relationships between categorical adoption intent variables and categorical farmer characteristic variables including land holding size, current mechanization level, access to institutional credit, and distance from district headquarters. A significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ was applied.

Cost-benefit analysis was conducted to estimate the net present value of solar multipurpose farm equipment investment from the perspective of a representative smallholder farmer, using a five-year project life, discount rate of 10 % reflecting rural credit conditions, and equipment cost assumptions derived from comparable solar-powered agricultural equipment currently available in the Indian market. Market size projection was built on FAO data on the number of eligible farm holdings cross-multiplied by estimated adoption rates under three scenarios: conservative, moderate, and optimistic.

V. FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

A. Farmer Profile and Current Mechanization Status

The survey sample of 105 respondents exhibited a mean holding size of 1.7 hectares, consistent with regional averages for Marathwada. Primary crops were cotton (48 % of respondents), soybean (31 %), sorghum (12 %), and mixed horticulture (9 %). Among the total sample, 62 % owned no motorized farm equipment beyond a mobile phone, relying entirely on animal draft power or hired diesel tractor services for field preparation. Only 14 % had any prior experience with solar-powered devices beyond solar home lighting systems.

Current annual expenditure on farm mechanization services including hired tractor ploughing, manual labour for seeding, and pesticide spray labour averaged Rs. 18,400 per hectare, representing 22 to 28 % of total cultivation costs for the primary crop. This expenditure profile establishes a clear economic baseline against which the cost-competitiveness of solar equipment can be assessed.

B. Awareness and Perception of Solar Farm Equipment

Awareness of solar-powered agricultural machinery beyond solar pumps was low, with only 31 % of respondents reporting any prior knowledge of solar-powered ploughing or seeding equipment. Awareness of hydroponic fodder growing systems was even lower at 18 %. However, openness to learning was high: 84 % of respondents expressed willingness to attend a demonstration event for solar farm equipment, and 71 % stated they would consider a trial lease arrangement before committing to purchase.

Key perceived benefits cited by respondents aware of solar equipment included reduction in fuel costs (cited by 79 % of aware respondents), reduction in dependence on seasonal hired labour (67 %), lower long-term operational costs (61 %), and environmental benefits including reduced soil compaction from lightweight solar equipment (38 %). Principal concerns included uncertainty about equipment durability (72 % of all respondents), high upfront cost (68 %), and lack of nearby service and repair access (64 %).

C. Chi-Square Analysis of Adoption Factors

Chi-square analysis was conducted to test statistical associations between farmer characteristics and adoption intent, defined as self-reported willingness to invest in solar multipurpose equipment within the next three years assuming subsidy availability. Results of significant tests are summarized in the table below.

Adoption Factor Tested	Chi-Square Value	p-value	Association
Farm Size vs. Adoption Intent	12.47	0.006 **	Significant
Access to Institutional Credit	18.93	0.000 ***	Highly Significant
Distance from District HQ	9.81	0.020 *	Significant
Prior Solar Device Ownership	14.22	0.001 ***	Highly Significant
Age of Farmer (Below / Above 45)	5.14	0.077	Not Significant
Gender of Primary Decision-Maker	3.28	0.194	Not Significant

Table 1: Chi-Square Analysis — Factors Associated with Solar Equipment Adoption Intent

The analysis reveals that access to institutional credit is the strongest predictor of adoption intent, reinforcing the importance of financial infrastructure alongside product design. Farm size shows a significant association, with medium holdings of two to five hectares exhibiting substantially higher adoption intent than marginal holdings, consistent with the economic logic that larger farms generate higher absolute return on mechanization investment. Prior ownership of any solar device, even a home lighting system, significantly raises adoption intent, suggesting that experiential familiarity with solar technology builds trust and reduces perceived risk.

Distance from district headquarters is a significant negative predictor of adoption intent, reflecting farmer concerns about aftersales service access. Age and gender of the primary farm decision-maker show no statistically significant association with adoption intent, an encouraging finding indicating that solar farm equipment demand is not systematically excluded across demographic segments.

D. Cost-Benefit Analysis

A representative cost-benefit model was constructed for a modular solar multipurpose farm unit comprising a 1.5 kW solar panel array, 40 Ah lithium-ion battery storage, and interchangeable implement attachments for rotavation, seed drilling, and power spray functions. Equipment cost was estimated at Rs. 1,85,000 based on comparable products in the market, with a 40 % subsidy under PM-KUSUM and SMAM schemes reducing the farmer's out-of-pocket cost to approximately Rs. 1,11,000.

Cost / Benefit Parameter	Annual Value (Rs.)	Basis
Annual Labour Cost Savings (1.5 ha)	22,000	Survey data
Annual Fuel Cost Savings (vs. hired diesel)	9,500	Market rates
Additional Fodder Revenue (Hydroponic)	14,400	Fodder price data
Total Annual Benefit	45,900	
Annual Operating & Maintenance Cost	6,200	3.5% of equipment cost
Net Annual Benefit	39,700	
Simple Payback Period (post-subsidy)	2.8 years	1,11,000 / 39,700
5-Year NPV (at 10% discount rate)	Rs. 39,600 positive	DCF model

Table 2: Representative Cost-Benefit Analysis — Solar Multipurpose Farm Unit (1.5 ha Smallholder)

The analysis demonstrates a compelling economic case for adoption among smallholders operating holdings of 1.5 hectares and above. The 2.8-year simple payback period compares favorably with typical rural investment expectations of three to five years. Across a five-year equipment life, the NPV is positive at Rs. 39,600 after accounting for the time value of money, indicating that the investment creates genuine economic value for the farmer even without considering productivity gains from more timely field operations.

Modular equipment design is critical to this economic outcome. The interchangeable implement architecture allows a single solar power unit to serve multiple field operation needs across the crop calendar, maximising asset utilisation and spreading the capital cost across a wider range of productivity benefits. This design principle is estimated to reduce effective unit cost per function by 35 to 45 % compared to single-purpose solar machinery, directly supporting the study's finding that modular designs reduce labour dependency by 40 to 50 % relative to fully manual cultivation.

E. Market Size Projection

India has approximately 146 million operational holdings (Agricultural Census 2015-16), of which an estimated 68 % fall in the marginal and small farm categories that constitute the primary target market for solar multipurpose equipment. Applying a realistic adoption rate of 5 % of the addressable market within a seven-year horizon, supported by government subsidy schemes and improving rural credit access, yields an addressable installed base of approximately 5.0 million units.

At a conservative average revenue per unit of Rs. 1,50,000, this implies a cumulative market value of approximately Rs. 75,000 crore (approximately USD 9 billion) over the projection period.

Under a moderate scenario incorporating enhanced supply chain development and expanded subsidy coverage, adoption could reach 8 to 10 % of the addressable market, with market value exceeding Rs. 1,20,000 crore. These projections position solar multipurpose farm equipment as one of the highest-growth segments in India's agricultural input industry for the coming decade.

VI. SUPPLY CHAIN ANALYSIS

A. Upstream Component Sourcing

The solar multipurpose farm equipment value chain is anchored upstream by three critical component categories: photovoltaic panels, battery energy storage systems, and agricultural implement sub-assemblies. India's domestic solar module manufacturing capacity has expanded significantly under the Production-Linked Incentive scheme, with manufacturers such as Adani Solar, Waaree Energies, and Premier Energies collectively producing over 10 GW of panel capacity annually as of 2024. This domestic supply base substantially reduces import dependency and logistics costs for farm equipment assemblers compared to the pre-2020 period.

Battery energy storage, particularly lithium-iron-phosphate chemistry preferred for farm applications due to its thermal stability and cycle life exceeding 2,000 charge-discharge cycles, remains more dependent on imported cells despite early-stage domestic manufacturing investments. The Mahindra Group's battery venture and Tata's energy storage initiative represent emerging domestic sources, though cost competitiveness with Chinese imports remains a near-term challenge that manufacturers must factor into their procurement strategies.

Agricultural implement sub-assemblies including rotavator blades, seed metering mechanisms, and spray pump assemblies are well-served by India's existing agricultural engineering cluster, with major manufacturing hubs in Rajkot, Pune, Ludhiana, and Coimbatore capable of supplying quality components at competitive prices.

B. Manufacturing and Assembly

The optimal manufacturing strategy for solar farm equipment at current market scale is a semi-knockdown assembly model in which major subcomponents are sourced from specialised suppliers and final assembly is conducted at strategically located regional facilities. This approach minimises fixed capital investment, allows rapid response to model iteration, and enables geographic proximity to key markets, reducing finished goods logistics costs.

A regional assembly facility serving the Marathwada market could be viably established at an investment of Rs. 1.5 to 2.5 crore, depending on capacity target, within the existing MIDC industrial estates at Chh. Sambhaji Nagar or Jalna. The Marathwada region's concentration of precision engineering and manufacturing talent, established through the tool room and auto-component industries that anchor the local economy, provides a ready skill base for equipment assembly and quality inspection operations.

C. Distribution Architecture

The greatest supply chain challenge for rural agricultural equipment is last-mile distribution and aftersales service. Survey findings confirm that 64 % of respondents cite proximity of service access as a key adoption barrier. A viable distribution architecture for solar farm equipment in India's smallholder market should incorporate three tiers:

The first tier consists of district-level dealers with demo facilities, stock holding, and trained service technicians. These dealerships should ideally be co-located with or adjacent to existing agricultural input dealers, leveraging established farmer relationships and foot traffic. A district-level dealer would serve a catchment of approximately 50,000 to 80,000 farm households.

The second tier consists of village-level service agents, potentially drawn from the KrishiMitra or similar rural entrepreneur programs, who provide first-line diagnostic services, consumable supply, and farmer liaison functions. These agents serve ten to twenty villages each and are compensated through service fee sharing with the district dealer.

The third tier is a manufacturer-managed digital service layer including a mobile application for fault reporting, remote diagnostics for IoT-enabled equipment, and a spare-parts ordering platform with courier delivery to village level within 72 hours.

D. Financing and Payment Models

The upfront cost of solar farm equipment, even after subsidy, represents a significant barrier for liquidity-constrained smallholders. Survey data indicates that 68 % of respondents could not mobilise more than Rs. 30,000 from personal savings, well below the post-subsidy equipment cost of Rs. 1,11,000. Bridging this gap requires a multi-layered financing architecture.

Partnerships with NABARD, regional rural banks, and agricultural cooperative credit societies should be cultivated to offer equipment-linked loans at concessional interest rates of 7 to 9 % with repayment structured to align with post-harvest cash flows. Equipment leasing models, in which farmers pay a per-hectare usage fee or a monthly lease rather than purchasing outright, offer an alternative path for farmers who cannot access formal credit. Custom hiring centers at the village level, potentially established by Farmer Producer Organizations, represent a third model that allows shared access to equipment among multiple smallholders, improving asset utilization and reducing per-farmer cost burden.

VII. GO-TO-MARKET STRATEGY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Segmentation and Targeting

Based on the survey findings and chi-square analysis, the primary target segment for solar multipurpose farm equipment is defined by three criteria: farm holding of 1.5 hectares or above, access to institutional credit through a cooperative bank or NABARD-linked institution, and location within 30 kilometres of a district headquarters. This segment encompasses an estimated 28 million farm households nationally, with particularly high concentrations in Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, and Karnataka.

A secondary, longer-horizon segment comprising Farmer Producer Organizations and agricultural cooperatives that can deploy equipment on custom hiring models should be cultivated simultaneously, as this segment's larger transaction sizes and institutional credit access make it easier to reach at lower distribution cost per unit sold.

B. Product Strategy

The modular design architecture should be prioritized as the core product philosophy. A base solar power unit comprising photovoltaic array, battery, controller, and chassis should be offered at an accessible entry price point, with implement attachments for rotavation, seeding, spraying, and hydroponic system integration sold as incremental add-ons. This modularity serves multiple strategic objectives: it lowers the entry price for first-time buyers, creates an ongoing revenue stream through implement add-on sales, and establishes lock-in through proprietary attachment interfaces.

Hydroponic fodder systems should be positioned as a complementary product, marketed to the dairy farmer segment specifically. A compact solar-powered hydroponic chamber capable of producing 50 kg of green fodder per day at an operating cost of Rs. 2 to 4 per kilogram, compared to market green fodder prices of Rs. 8 to 15 per kilogram, offers a compelling value proposition for smallholder dairy farmers who currently face seasonal fodder deficits.

C. Policy Linkage and Subsidy Integration

Effective market entry requires deep integration with government scheme infrastructure. Manufacturers should obtain SMAM (Sub-Mission on Agricultural Mechanization) empanelment to enable subsidy-linked sales through state agriculture departments. PM-KUSUM Component C, which supports solar-powered agriculture, should be leveraged for the solar power unit component of the equipment. NABARD's RIDF (Rural Infrastructure Development Fund) and agri-tech promotion grants offer additional funding pathways for establishing the distribution and service infrastructure described above.

Engagement with KrishiVigyanKendras and state agricultural universities for technology validation, field trial documentation, and farmer training is essential for building technical credibility and generating farmer testimonials that are the most effective communication medium in rural markets.

D. Digital and Physical Communication

Rural market communication for agricultural equipment is most effective through demonstration-led selling, peer networks, and trusted agricultural extension channels rather than conventional mass media. A district-level demonstration circuit in which fully functional solar farm equipment is operated in farmers' fields during peak cultivation seasons, with adjacent farmers invited to observe, provides the highest-quality demand generation at the most targeted cost. Video documentation of demonstration outcomes distributed through WhatsApp farmer groups and KrishiDarshan on Doordarshan further amplifies reach at low marginal cost.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This research has established that the market potential for solar multipurpose farm equipment in India is substantial, economically justified, and structurally enabled by both government policy frameworks and improving component supply chains.

Survey evidence from 105 farmer respondents in Marathwada demonstrates a clearly defined demand profile: latent but activatable interest in solar farm technology, with adoption decisions primarily determined by financial access, service proximity, and peer experience rather than age, gender, or crop type.

Chi-square analysis confirms that institutional credit access and prior solar device ownership are the most powerful adoption predictors, pointing manufacturers toward financing partnerships and experiential marketing strategies as the highest-priority commercial investments. Cost-benefit modelling demonstrates a 2.8-year post-subsidy payback period for a representative 1.5-hectare smallholder, delivering a positive five-year NPV and generating net annual benefits of approximately Rs. 39,700 through combined labour savings, fuel cost reduction, and hydroponic fodder revenue.

The modular equipment design principle, which enables a single solar base unit to serve ploughing, seeding, spraying, and fodder production functions through interchangeable implement attachments, is both the key technical differentiator and the primary economic justification for the 40 to 50 % labour dependency reduction identified in this study. Supply chain viability for this market is realistic through a semi-knockdown regional assembly model leveraging India's domestic solar panel manufacturing capacity and existing agricultural engineering component ecosystems.

As India pursues its twin objectives of agricultural income doubling and renewable energy expansion, solar multipurpose farm equipment occupies a unique intersection of both policy priorities. Manufacturers, investors, and policymakers who invest in this segment with appropriate product design, distribution architecture, and subsidy integration strategies are well-positioned to build durable market positions in one of the highest-growth agricultural input categories of the coming decade.

Future research should examine the actual post-adoption performance outcomes of solar farm equipment through longitudinal field studies, the specific technical parameters required for effective hydroponic fodder system solar integration across different Indian climate zones, and the organisational models for Farmer Producer Organizations to operate equipment custom hiring centers efficiently at village level.

REFERENCES

[1] Binswanger, H. P. (1986). Agricultural Mechanization: A Comparative Historical Perspective. World Bank Research Observer, 1(1), 27-56.

[2] Deininger, K., &Byerlee, D. (2012). The Rise of Large Farms in Land-Abundant Countries: Do They Have a Future? World Development, 40(4), 701-714.

[3] Dung, D. V., Musa, H. H., &Yusoff, S. M. (2010). Effects of Hydroponic Fodder Production on Dairy Cattle Performance. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 9(18), 2385-2391.

[4] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2021). The State of Food and Agriculture: Making Agrifood Systems More Resilient to Shocks and Stresses. FAO, Rome.

[5] Gorjian, S., Bousi, E., Ozdemir, O. E., Trommsdorff, M., Kumar, N. M., Chopra, S., &Memon, S. (2021). Progress and Challenges of Crop Production and Electricity Generation in Agrivoltaic Systems Using Semi-Transparent Photovoltaic Technology.Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 143, 110966.

[6] Gulati, A., &Juneja, R. (2022). From Plate to Plough: The Farm-to-Fork Puzzle in India. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations, New Delhi.

[7] International Finance Corporation (IFC). (2019). Agricultural Finance Landscape Report. IFC, World Bank Group, Washington D.C.

[8] Mekhilef, S., Saidur, R., & Safari, A. (2011). A Review of Solar Energy Use in Industry. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 15(4), 1777-1790.

[9] Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. (2023). Agricultural Census 2015-16: Final Report. Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, New Delhi.

[10] Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE), Government of India. (2023). Annual Report 2022-23: PM-KUSUM Scheme Progress. MNRE Publications, New Delhi.

[11] Prahalad, C. K. (2004). The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid: Eradicating Poverty through Profits. Wharton School Publishing, Upper Saddle River.

[12] Sharma, A., & Singh, P. (2020). Design and Performance Evaluation of a Solar-Powered Multi-Purpose Agricultural Vehicle for Smallholder Farms. Energy for Sustainable Development, 58, 112-122.

[13] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). (2023). Status of Microfinance in India 2022-23. NABARD, Mumbai.

[14] Confederation of Indian Industry (CII). (2023). Agricultural Mechanization in India: Opportunities and Policy Interventions. CII Publications, New Delhi.