iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 **Issue:** VIII **Month of publication:** August 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.63961

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 12 Issue VIII Aug 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

Optimised IoT: Optimization of IOT Devices toward Energy Saving

Dr. Kapil Saxena[1], Dr. Gurusharan Kaur[2]
[1]*Department of Computer Science, Career College Bhopal, M.P.India*
[2]*Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sagar Institute of Research and Technology, Bhopal (M.P), India*

Abstract: ***The Internet of Things (IoT) is a new idea that will connect billions of gadgets to one another in the future. IoT gadgets perceive, gather, and communicate crucial data from their surroundings. A tremendous quantity of energy is required to exchange such a vast amount of information among billions of devices. The idea behind optimised IoT device algorithms is to reduce the energy requirements of IoT devices by improving the algorithms that are used to sense, gather, and send data. Achieving a sustainable environment for IoT is the inspiration. We begin by providing an overview of the Internet of Things and the difficulties brought on by the over use of IoT devices that consume a lot of energy. We then go over and assess the methods employed to reduce energy consumption.***
Keyword: Internet of things, datacenter, optimised computing, Optimization of algorithms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Using various types of sensors, such as Radio-frequency identification (RFID) actuators that work together to sense, gather, and communicate vital information from their surroundings on to the internet, the Internet of Things (IoT) envisions the connection between physical objects [1]. IoT could refer to a concept that proposes a connection between the physical and digital worlds by utilizing advantageous technologies [2]. IoT has emerged as one of the newest subjects in the technology sector in recent years, and like the internet itself, it is predicted to alter the globe [3]. The growth of RFID sales has been predicted by several academics over the years, and it is expected to accelerate in the next years. If the projections are even somewhat accurate, then concerns about energy consumption will likely arise because Active RFIDs require battery-powered steam energy. To address this problem, we intend to introduce IoT technology to novices by using a variety of techniques [4]. The later sections of the book mention a few of them. Figure 1 can reveal that the number of internet-connected gadgets is increasing quite quickly. Identification, sensing, connectivity, computing, services, and linguistics are just a few of the many components that make up the IoT mechanism. The identification process is the most important since it makes sure the required information or service gets to the right address. Sensing involves the collection of "the info, the knowledge, the data" from many sources, which is then delivered to datacenters. This information is then examined using a variety of circumstances and factors with a view to providing various services. Wetness, temperature, and other data will be gathered by the sensors. IoT communication combines a variety of. Communication is sometimes performed by victimization Wi-Fi, Bluetooth etc. Many microcontrollers, microprocessors, Field Programmable Gate Arrays, and software applications all conduct computation. Identity, information aggregation, cooperative, or present-related services will be offered. Finally, linguistics is concerned with acquiring intelligent information to make decisions [5]. There is a need to investigate more cutting-edge approaches and procedures that can satisfy the energy needs of billions of devices in order to make the IoT unexperienced. In this post, we want to provide a thorough overview of energy-saving methods and strategies for the optimal IoT. IoT trends and challenges are presented in Section II throughout the remainder of this article. Part III examines the popular strategies for the optimised IoT. Here, we also like to suggest our improved IoT device optimization strategies. Part IV compares and contrasts current methods and examines trade-offs to achieve an optimal Internet of Things. Part V discusses the unresolved issues, and Section VI wraps up the work.

II. IOT TRENDS

It is believed that the modern era is entirely web-based. Our reliance on the internet and consequently the devices is quickly growing. How will IoT affect everyday activities? This is typically one of the most queries to be answered in the next section.
TABLE 1**:**

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Applications of IoT [4] [5]

1.	Smart Homes
2.	Food Supply Chains (FSC)
3.	IoT in Mining business
4.	IoT in Transportation
5.	IoT in clothes
6.	Smart Cities

A. Applications OF IoT

IoT is transforming our way of life through completely new scenarios and the development of intelligent services that will both improve our quality of life and protect the environment. IoT is used in many different ways in daily life. Many of these are highlighted in Table 1 and are listed below.

1) *Smart Homes:* As stated in [6], by utilizing IoT technology like RFIDs in our house or place of business, we can track occupant activity within the structure and make decisions that will reduce energy use, costs, and overall environmental impact. For instance, a smart refrigerator will have RFIDs on every item inside, and we will make decisions about where to go on our next search and what to buy based on information from the sensors that are attached to the items.
2) *Food Supply Chains (FSC):* Business will be significantly impacted by IoT. Vendors will be able to follow the production of their product from the farm to the end users using IoT technologies. [7] Outlines a foundation for such an associate degree application. Authors suggest a business-oriented model of IoT for FSC that might improve food security and be used to gather information about production processes. This information can then be used to make better business process model decisions.
3) *IoT in Mining Industry:* IoT technology may be used to ensure miner safety and provide mining companies with important information about the mining process that may help them improve current procedures [8]. In order to improve communication between miners and their employers, RFIDs, Wi-Fi, and sensors may be used. Also, by assembling symptoms with the aid of these sensors, different diseases in miners may be identified.
4) *IoT in Transportation:* IoT is a revolution in the supply and transportation industries. With RFIDs and sensors, we can track products and vehicles from source to destination in a timely manner. Wherever large-scale operations improve the capabilities of IoT in supply chain management, a DNS design is created for IoT.
5) *IoT in Garments:* A successor version of E-Thread imagines the idea of compiling data from clothing. This could make it easier to compile historical data to track a patient's actions without the need for any additional devices. [8] [9].
6) *Smart Cities:* Senseable Cities, which have improved over the past few years, are one of the most exciting and developing IoT applications [10]. A "good," "sensible," or "wise" town may be a synthesis of many smart fields, such as Smart Transportation, Smart Energy Saving Mechanism, Smart Security, and many more services that provide consumers with cutting-edge technology amenities all under one roof [11].

B. Challenges OF IoT

IoT is creative and will have an impact on our daily lives inside the IT industry, but everything has a cost. The IoT technologies face a number of issues, including security and privacy concerns, which collectively represent the main areas on which consultants must focus in order to win customers' trust [12] [13]. According to the quoted paper, RFID tags can follow someone without their knowledge or consent, which could lead to extremely high levels of distrust among people. Yet, energy will be the most important obstacle we must overcome in order to adopt the Internet of Things. People from the National Intelligence Council have predicted that by 2025, everyday items like food and writing instruments will be a part of the Internet of Things. This suggests that the number of gadgets connected to the internet may number in the billions.

In line with [14], every active RFID needs a little amount of power to operate depending on its usefulness, and active RFIDs are an essential component of the affordable services. As a result, increased processing power would be required to analyze the countless GBs of information supplied by sensors to datacenters on a daily basis, which would require a lot of energy resources. To make matters worse, we are also running out of our traditional energy sources [15] [16]. Furthermore, ICT products are rapidly increasing the production of carbonic gases, which is harming our environment and is anticipated to continue doing so if sufficient steps aren't done to address this issue. The optimised IoT devices are an important topic in order to address these pressing problems [17].

C. Optimised IoT

Optimised Internet of Things primarily focuses on the IoT concepts' energy efficiency. Optimised IoT is described as the use of energy-efficient IoT techniques to either lessen or completely eliminate the greenhouse effect generated by current applications [18]. IoT will assist in removing the greenhouse impact in the first situation, but it will be further optimised to stop the greenhouse effect in the second case. IoT should be optimised at every stage, from design to execution. The Optimised IoT should be implemented using a number of different tactics. For Optimal IoT, several technology options are suggested in [8] [18]. We will give a summary in this section but go into more detail about each of these tactics in the next sections. An implementation framework for Optimised IoT was proposed in [19] for the energy efficient optimization of IoT objects. Furthermore,
Optimised RFIDs, Optimised Datacenters, Optimised Sensor Networks, and Optimised Cloud Computing can all be used to implement the Internet of Things (IoT) [20–23]. These in-depth topics will be covered in later parts. IoT is a new technology that is altering how we view the IT sector. IoT will have a significant impact on how we approach specific issues in our daily lives, and although it will undoubtedly make our lives better and easier, it will also present concerns. We must manage a large number of these IoT devices with their massive energy resource consumption, and the sooner we address this issue, the more efficient the optimised IoT will be.

III. DIFFERENT WAYS TO MAKE THE IoT A OPTIMISED IoT

In this section, we aim to present a critical literature evaluation of all the latest models proposed for the energy-efficient readiness of the Internet of Things, which are briefly noted in Table II. We often base our reasoning for the energy economic models on the technologies that are used in them, and Figure 3 provides a thorough taxonomy. The consumption of energy is expanding exponentially while traditional energy resources are being depleted chop-chop, therefore optimised IoT may be a highly valued analysis topic in the ICT industry. Baliga et al. [24] evaluated the energy use of computer and cloud computing under various scenarios and concluded that the situation-wise alternative of models would be the most useful option. Additionally, it appears that their models do not take into account Quality of Service (QoS) factors, which could further raise the energy usage in physical objects. Optimised technologies were described in order to adopt IoT while ensuring QoS across various domains. [9] [24] that expressly centered on the solutions for Optimised IoT. The foundation of an IoT network, Datacenter, Cloud Computing, and its Optimised solutions, weren't covered. several energy-saving techniques that are wise Akkaya et al [25]. elaborated on buildings using data gathered via IoT. and it had been concluded by the delineate systems that huge amounts of energy are saved if the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning ways are followed. Despite the extensive examination of the current systems, not even the slightest reference was made of the models' comparative analyses of energy conservation. Wireless sensing element networks (WSN) are crucial to the IoT's readiness. An extensive taxonomy of the methods that can be used to harvest energy in WSNs using various environmental resources was presented by Shaikh and Zeadally [26]. A thorough investigation of this area is necessary since using a different energy storage method than batteries could result in increased energy efficiency.

A. Software-Based Optimised IoT Techniques

Data Centers are essential to an energy-efficient IoT network, but in order for them to be useful for IoT, energy capacity must be added. E-CAB, a policy-based design, is expected to use an orchestration agent (OA) in a client-server model. This agent is responsible for context analysis of servers with regard to their capacity for resource consumption, and for managing data centers. [27]. the processed data is subsequently sent back to consumer devices by the hand-selected servers used by the displaying intelligence. To provide dependability, this architecture must install OA on every client-side device, and backup servers must be deployed, both of which can consume a lot of energy. Selective Sensing is used by C-MOSDEN, a context-aware sensing platform, to increase energy potency [28]. The outcomes may reduce energy usage, but they also provide some minor overheads that, if reduced, will make this concept incredibly cost-effective. An energy-efficient scheduling algorithm is proposed that changes the states of sensors to on-duty, pre-off-duty, and off-duty in accordance with the needs of the things in order to conserve

supernumerary energy usage. Sensors consume supernumerary energy when they are idle but are still powered on [29]. However, the requirement for an embedded server within the proposed system to ensure privacy may result in some energy costs due to the necessity for a backup server in the event of a primary server failure. Etelapera et al [30], Reconfiguring Virtual Objects (VO) at runtime on three completely different operating modes is how an energy efficiency strategy is offered. An analytical model was used to evaluate the energy usage for these modes, and the results showed that one method used 47.9% less energy than the other.

An ideal workload distribution system evaluates the workload among several servers located at various places with renewable energy generators, taking into account the servers' resource usage, electricity costs, etc [31]. The data from the 1998 FIFA World Cup was used to estimate the power usage, but when applied to the data of today, it contradicts itself due to changes in the data's size and nature over the course of the intervening 18 years. Virtualization might reduce the amount of hardware resources needed for design, which would save energy use. Using Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP), a virtualization framework was introduced in [32]. having a four layer design where networking components are placed in the lower layers and IoT devices are positioned in the higher layers. Results demonstrate that using this architecture reduces energy consumption by twelve months.

WSN concepts cannot be employed again for IoT deployment on a truly huge scale. Gemini therefore proposed in [33]. An optimization model and a minimal consumption algorithmic programme allow for an efficient addition of energy in the IoT. Results indicate that Gemini will function in a variety of networking scenarios and has a respectable level of energy economy. The experiments, however, only involved 15–20 nodes; as a result, a much larger network is required to obtain meaningful energy efficiency results. IoT is used in many different commercial sectors. Due of IoT's durability and measurability, medical businesses are also choosing to use it to store real-time patient data. [34]. Kim suggests a remedy for this predicament utilizing cloud storage and Access Points (AP) [35]. It uses a dynamic packet downloading algorithmic programme for communication and knowledge transfer that uses the least amount of energy possible. The APs in this model, however, are powered by batteries and use a lot of energy resources; however, if these APs were powered by passive RFIDs, which produce their own energy, the number of energy resources would be significantly decreased.

Strong sensing capabilities of smart phones make them a driving force in the technology industry, particularly in the developing IoT domain. However, energy efficiency in mobile devices is a significant challenge that must be addressed using information work, prediction models, and resource behavior analysis. A completely original solution, proposed in [36], gathers information from a variety of applications, situations, places, and times to forecast the energy usage of smart phones. Despite all the amazing results this model has generated, further study in effective data mining techniques is still needed to forecast energy efficiency for a larger IoT network. One of the most challenging issues that need to be tackled is the energy usage during the arbitration process in RFID systems. The solution to the anti-collision issue was put forth in [37]. To reduce collisions among tag responses throughout the arbitration, the proposed solution makes use of multiple slots per node of a binary search tree.

To provide a comparison for choosing the optimised energy consumption model, three completely distinct variations of this technique were looked at. The three protocols each succeeded in lowering the energy used during the arbitration, and two of them have the potential to further cut down on tag recognition delays, according to the results. A new theory called compressed sensing (CS) claims that information and signals can be precisely recreated after being efficiently sampled. [38]. A cesium framework to attain energy efficiency using prior knowledge sparseness data proposed in [12] [38] will minimize the redundant knowledge collection.

The optimised algorithms aim to reduce WSSN and IoT resource and energy consumption. Developing energy-efficient methods for WSSN will pave the way for Optimised IoT, and wireless smart sensor networks (WSSN) may be very beneficial in the deployment of the IoT. With WSSN, Medium Access Control (MAC) is utilised to restrict unnecessary communication between network nodes, extending network life and lowering energy consumption [39]. However, in order to maximize this tactic, MAC protocols needed to be assessed in order to increase their functionality.

The EPDL programming language was created to help non-experts create energy policy for a practical context like the IoT. Although EPDL introduced a number of processing tools, additional features and conceivably a substantial library of functions should be added to make it far more robust [40]. While centrally managed data replication in cloud computing is necessary to ensure the quality of service and dependability for the customers, it results in high energy and information measure consumption that can be decreased by adopting the method described [41][42]. By replicating information more closely on cloud apps that are close to the clients, it decreases communication delays. Due to the RFID tags' inactivity despite being powered by batteries since they do not recognise previous speech, energy is squandered. This is known as the "overhearing problem," which is responsible for significant energy resource waste. Authore offered a method to predict communication periods in advance and put the tags to sleep when not in use to overcome this. [8] [42]. Even though this model significantly reduced energy consumption, additional resources were

squandered in calculating communication times and switching between the on and sleep states. The need for ICT commerce to build mechanisms with cost-effective energy usage is driven by the rise in carbon footprint emissions. A routing protocol for the Internet of Multimedia Things (IoMT) and the Internet of Things (IoT) may be a practical example of such a method that chooses efficient routes among the network's nodes to prevent energy waste, but the notion created about the optimised and non-optimized nodes during a network is somewhat ill-defined because this quantitative relation will change loads when the nodes are in the millions or billions, and may instead lead to an increase in carbon footprints.

B. Hardware-Based Optimised IoT Techniques

Although the majority of IoT energy consumption models focus on algorithm or hardware improvements, categorising the objects in an IoT network can be highly useful in creating an optimised network. Paper [10] [40] utilises a 3-layer architecture to construct the network for optimised goals, and as a result, their design employs the MECA rule to address the optimisation issue. A key component of the Internet of Things is RFID. Despite the fact that Active RFID optimisation was covered in [12] [41], In the Internet of Things (IoT), improvements in passive RFID and Wireless Identification and Sensing Platform (WISP) may lead to more effective and low-power processing [42] [43]. Capacitors are used to store energy so that passive RFIDs can execute tasks that require more power by drawing energy from the radio frequency signals around them. With this exception, some energy-intensive commands that are executed in succession may result in communication lags between sensing nodes and interrogators, which may result in significant energy overheads. The design of computer integrated circuits (IC) is crucial for energy conservation in an IoT network. A suggestion for improved sensors on chips (SoC) [44]. reduces traffic, e-waste, carbon footprint, and energy consumption of the overall infrastructure by merging sensors and computing capacity on one chip to improve the design of IoT networks. Even though the Sleep Walker example uses an optimised SoC to show energy conservation, further energy can be saved by employing reusable materials in this model.

The Time Reversal Technique [45] simplifies the sensor nodes by minimizing the work done at the sensor-end and minimizes power consumption by supplying the sensors using radio frequency signals from the environment. Base stations (BS) were developed to communicate with sensors and perform processing. Although power usage is significantly reduced, if several high-end processing activities are added to the regular information transmissions, the communication delays between the sensor nodes and the base station may result in significant energy overheads. An IoT processor called CoreLH [46] has a CoreL for low-computational operations and a CoreH for high-computational workloads. By using a scheduling mechanism that allocates entirely separate tasks to those cores based on the resources they will require, it lowers the energy consumption. Despite the fact that this CPU consumed 2.62 times less energy than other models, switching jobs to completely separate cores and doing so could result in inefficient energy use. By 2025, it's anticipated that there will be around 20 billion "things" as a result of the Internet of Things [47]. Consequently, the network of this magnitude can consume heaps of energy and can generate large quantity of carbon footprints.

By integrating Cluster Heads (CHs), who have knowledge of nearby sensors, and space Routers, who are responsible for supplying services to sensors, a hierarchical design using a unique service discovery protocol lowers the energy usage. Instead of travelling to a section router or a frantic gateway, CHs will handle any service requests that may be amused inside a particular range. Although CHs require continual battery supply to ensure quality, this architecture may be more energy-efficient if appropriate passive sensors are used. the same old technique to save energy in a sensor based

The network will schedule the power on and off in accordance with how the sensors are being used. Leaving this aside, adding Sensor-on-Chips into healthcare systems would reduce the amount of hardware [46]. has achieved outstanding energy-saving IoT outcomes. The amount of network traffic and communication overheads is reduced, which results in less energy being used. Discontinuous Reception/Transmission (DRX/TX) is a method that may allow sensors and other IoT devices to turn off when not in use in order to save energy. An improved DRX/TX theme with three stages is introduced in [47] which focuses on energy saving and Quality of Service (QoS) for IoT in LTE-A networks by using a sleep-scheduling scheme that switches the ability on and off on basis of the employment on the sensors.

C. Policy-Based Techniques

Policies and procedures that support the critical time data from IoT devices will enable massive energy savings. Making policies to achieve energy efficiency involves a number of stages, including observation (multiple energy consumption scenarios), data management, user feedback, and automated system. We can develop policies and strategies for diverse components of a comparable building by using data acquired from completely different portions of the same building where tenant behavior and energy usage differ. Automation systems will make it easier to locate building occupants and track environmental changes, allowing us to make

energy-saving decisions. Town Explorer is a home automation system that consists of three levels with diverse functions for information gathering, data processing, and services like energy efficiency. The above Policy based mostly system once applied to real-life situation decreased energy consumption by200th [45] [46].

In light of the evaluation and research discussed above, we provide five guidelines for achieving an optimised Internet of Things and lowering carbon footprints.

1) *Reduced Optimised Network Size:* Reduce the size of the network by strategically placing nodes and utilizing clever routing techniques that are optimum. High-end energy savings will be the result of this.
2) *Use Optimised Sensing:* Only get the information that is necessary for that particular circumstance. Energy can be conserved by removing additional data sensing.
3) *Use Hybrid Architecture:* Energy consumption in an IoT network can be optimised by using both passive and active sensors for various types of jobs.
4) *Optimised Policy Making:* Create effective rules to lower energy usage in smart buildings. Policies can directly affect how much energy is consumed, which has the potential to save a significant amount of energy.
5) *Optimised Trade Offs:* We have to do trade-offs everywhere, so we can intelligently prioritize cost and in some situations processing or communication to save energy like compressive sensing [7] [46] and data fusion. Trade-Offs must be chosen according to a particular scenario [47].

IV. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

In this section, we evaluate various models and methods that have been previously presented in order to create the most straightforward, energy-efficient model for an IoT scenario. To increase energy efficiency and improve the IoT, we frequently evaluate and contrast various IoT solutions. We often determine if a technique is realistic or not based on how well it performs in real-world scenarios or whether it is implemented in a massive IoT network, and all of the suggested models are found to be realistic. We frequently go through the trade-offs that must be made, which can be crucial since they draw attention to the drawbacks that one might experience if they choose a particular approach. What is more, we tend to conjointly judge whether or not a model will have a sensible implementation by calculating the balance between benefits and trade-offs. IoT is becoming increasingly common in the market, and its quality is only continuing to improve over time. However, there are some tradeoffs on processing, communication, and often on Quality of Service in order to regulate the energy consumption inside the IoT ecosystem.

V. DISCUSSION AND OPEN ISSUES

IoT is an impeccable technology and it may prove to be important for the dynamic desires of the fashionable technological world. In the upcoming years, it hopes to have a significant impact on the global economy. Business Insider analysts anticipated that by 2020, there will be 34 billion internet-connected gadgets, 24 billion of which will be Internet of Things (IoT)-based, and approximately $6 trillion will have been spent on IoT-related projects [15] [44]. According to the IEEE Optimised ICT Initiative, the ICT sector is currently responsible for 2 of all carbon dioxide emissions, and if adequate steps are not taken, that number will double in the next five years. Numerous business conglomerates and technological behemoths are paying attention to these issues and coming up with some workable answers, but technology of this magnitude requires other approaches. Additionally, we've already discussed and analysed a number of theories that scholars have put up to address these two major issues. We've got provided some suggestions to issues that require to be researched to develop a lot of generic solutions for energy considerations in the IoT network. The development of a typical IoT architecture requires extensive examination because it will enable the creation of numerous generic solutions for energy efficiency. Investigations into useful materials for sensors must be exhaustive. There's a requirement for a comprehensive analysis to devise Policies for making awareness among the users and suppliers for economical preparation of IoT solutions. consistent with, for every 85 kWh electricity consumptions, one tree is required to neutralize the carbon footprints generated by that electricity and according to in 2007 18PWh energy was consumed by ICT industry [25] [34] [46] [47]. So, if we pass into calculations, To offset the carbon dioxide emissions from only two units of power use, we will need to plant many billions of trees. As an alternative, divergent mechanisms that provide a workable long-term solution will help to minimize carbon footprints. A key component of the Internet of Things is smart phones. There are billions of smart phones in existence today. These smart phones will be extremely important in the Internet of Things. We frequently examine and consider a wide range of "ways that can be used" to achieve energy savings and optimal IoT. Our projection unmistakably points to some unsettling developments, and if the appropriate steps aren't taken soon, the outcomes could be terrible.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

VI. CONCLUSION

The major issues of energy efficiency and carbon footprints in the IoT network are examined in this study, and several solutions to these problems are critically assessed. Also included in this study is a detailed taxonomy of approaches for achieving optimal IoT. In order to understand the concept of optimal IoT, five concepts are suggested. IoT is expected to have a significant impact on the economy and revolutionize the entire ICT sector. To achieve an optimal Internet of Things, it has been underlined that study for a generic architecture, recyclable materials, and policy making are necessary. If focused and diligent work is pushed in the appropriate direction, IoT will without a doubt change the trajectory of technical progress in the world. The world anticipates the wonders that will appear.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Sun, ``Application of RFID technology for logistics on Internet of Things," AASRI Procedia, vol. 1, pp. 106_111, Aug. 2012.
[2] D. Miorandi, S. Sicari, F. De Pellegrini, and I. Chlamtac, ``Internet of Things: Vision, applications and research challenges," Ad Hoc Netw., vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1497_1516, Sep. 2012.
[3] J. Chase, ``The evolution of the Internet of Things," Texas Instrum., Dallas, TX, USA, White Paper, Feb. 2013, p. 7.
[4] D. Zhang, L. T. Yang, M. Chen, S. Zhao, M. Guo, and Y. Zhang, ``Realtime locating systems using active RFID for Internet of Things," IEEE Syst. J., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1226_1235, Sep. 2014.
[5] C. Zhu, V. C. M. Leung, L. Shu, and E. C.-H. Ngai, ``Green Internet of Things for smart world," IEEE Access, vol. 3, pp. 2151_2162, 2015.
[6] S. Li, L. Da Xu, and S. Zhao, ``The Internet of Things: A survey," Inf. Syst. Frontiers, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 243_259, 2015.
[7] Z. Pang, Q. Chen, W. Han, and L. Zheng, ``Value-centric design of the Internet-of-Things solution for food supply chain: Value creation, sensor portfolio and information fusion," Inf. Syst. Frontiers, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 289_319, 2015.
[8] L. Da Xu,W. He, and S. Li, ``Internet of Things in industries: A survey," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2233_2243, Nov. 2014.
[9] A. Kiourti, C. Lee, and J. L. Volakis, ``Fabrication of textile antennas and circuits with 0.1 mm precision," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 15, pp. 151_153, 2015.
[10] A. Caragliu, C. Del Bo, and P. Nijkamp, ``Smart cities in Europe," J. Urban Technol., vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 65_82, 2011.
[11] A. Zanella, N. Bui, A. Castellani, L. Vangelista, and M. Zorzi, ``Internet of Things for smart cities," IEEE Internet Things J., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 22_32, Feb. 2014.
[12] R. H.Weber, ``Internet of Things_Newsecurity and privacy challenges," Comput. Law Secur. Rev., vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 23_30, 2010.
[13] D. Singh, G. Tripathi, and A. J. Jara, ``A survey of Internet-of-Things: Future vision, architecture, challenges and services," in Proc. IEEEWorld Forum Internet Things, Mar. 2014, pp. 287_292.
[14] C.-S. Lee, D.-H. Kim, and J.-D. Kim, ``An energy ef_cient active RFID protocol to avoid overhearing problem," IEEE Sensors J., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 15_24, Jan. 2014.
[15] C.-W. Tsai, C.-F. Lai, M.-C. Chiang, and L. T. Yang, ``Data mining for Internet of Things: A survey," IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts., vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 77_97, 1st Quart., 2014.
[16] A. Mukherjee, H. S. Paul, S. Dey, and A. Banerjee, ``ANGELS for distributed analytics in IoT," in Proc. IEEE World Forum Internet Things (WF-IoT), Mar. 2014, pp. 565_570.
[17] E. Gelenbe and Y. Caseau, ``The impact of information technology on energy consumption and carbon emissions," in Proc. Ubiquity, Jun. 2015, pp. 1_15.
[18] [18] F. K. Shaikh, S. Zeadally, and E. Exposito, ``Enabling technologies for green Internet of Things," IEEE Syst. J., to be published.
[19] J. Huang, Y. Meng, X. Gong, Y. Liu, and Q. Duan, ``A novel deployment scheme for green Internet of Things," IEEE Internet Things J., to be published.
[20] E. Oró, V. Depoorter, A. Garcia, and J. Salom, ``Energy ef_ciency and renewable energy integration in data centres. Strategies and modeling review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 42, pp. 429_445, Feb. 2015.
[21] S. Li, L. Da Xu, and X. Wang, ``Compressed sensing signal and data acquisition in wireless sensor networks and Internet of Things," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2177_2186, Nov. 2013.
[22] F. Farahnakian et al., ``Using ant colony system to consolidate VMs for green cloud computing," IEEE Trans. Services Comput., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 187_198, Mar./Apr. 2015.
[23] Y.-J. Chiang, Y.-C. Ouyang, and C.-H. Hsu, ``An ef_cient green control algorithm in cloud computing for cost optimization," IEEE Trans. Cloud Comput., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 145_155, Apr./Jun. 2014.
[24] J. Baliga, R.W. A. Ayre, K. Hinton, and R. S. Tucker, ``Green cloud computing: Balancing energy in processing, storage, and transport," Proc. IEEE, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 149_167, Jan. 2010.
[25] K. Akkaya, I. Guvenc, R. Aygun, N. Pala, and A. Kadri, ``IoT-based occupancy monitoring techniques for energy-ef_cient smart buildings," in Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. Workshops, Mar. 2015, pp. 58_63.
[26] F. K. Shaikh and S. Zeadally, ``Energy harvesting in wireless sensor networks: A comprehensive review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 55, pp. 1041_1054, Mar. 2016.
[27] C. Peoples, G. Parr, S. McClean, B. Scotney, and P. Morrow, ``Performance evaluation of green data centre management supporting sustainable growth of the Internet of Things," Simul. Model. Pract. Theory, vol. 34, pp. 221_242, May 2013.
[28] C. Perera, D. S. Talagala, C. H. Liu, and J. C. Estrella, ``Energy-ef_cient location and activity-aware on-demand mobile distributed sensing platform for sensing as a service in IoT clouds," IEEE Trans. Comput. Soc. Syst., vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 171_181, Dec. 2015.
[29] S. F. Abedin, M. G. R. Alam, R. Haw, and C. S. Hong, ``A system model for energy ef_cient green-IoT network," in Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Netw., 2015, pp. 177_182.
[30] M. Etelapera, M. Vecchio, and R. Giaffreda, ``Improving energy ef_- ciency in IoT with re-con_gurable virtual objects," in Proc. IEEE World Forum Internet Things (WF-IoT), Mar. 2014, pp. 520_525.

[31] M. Ghamkhari and H. Mohsenian-Rad, ``Optimal integration of renewable energy resources in data centers with behind-the-meter renewable generator," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun., Jun. 2012, pp. 3340_3344.
[32] Z. T. Al-Azez, A. Q. Lawey, T. E. H. El-Gorashi, and J. M. H. Elmirghani, ``Virtualization framework for energy ef_cient IoT networks," in Proc.IEEE 4th Int. Conf. Cloud Netw. (CloudNet), Oct. 2015, pp. 74_77.
[33] Y. Liu, Y. Meng, and J. Huang, ``Gemini: A green deployment scheme for Internet of Things," in Proc. Wireless Opt. Commun. Conf. (WOCC),2013, pp. 338_343.
[34] V. M. Rohokale, N. R. Prasad, and R. Prasad, ``A cooperative Internet of Things (IoT) for rural healthcare monitoring and control," in Proc. 2ndInt. Conf. Wireless Commun. Veh. Technol. Inf. Theory Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Technol. (Wireless VITAE), 2011, pp. 1_6.
[35] J. Kim, ``Energy-ef_cient dynamic packet downloading for medical IoT platforms," IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat., vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 1653_1659, Dec. 2015.
[36] P. Sathyamoorthy and E. Ngai, ``Energy ef_ciency as a orchestration service for the Internet of Things," in Proc. 11th Swedish Nat. Comput.Netw. Workshop, 2015, pp. 41_44.
[37] V. Namboodiri and L. Gao, ``Energy-aware tag anticollision protocols for RFID systems," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 44_59,Jan. 2010.
[38] H. Mamaghanian, N. Khaled, D. Atienza, and P. Vandergheynst, ``Compressed sensing for real-time energy-ef_cient ECG compression on wireless body sensor nodes," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 2456_2466, Sep. 2011.
[39] K. Sun and I. Ryoo, ``A study on medium access control scheme for energy ef_ciency in wireless smart sensor networks," in Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Technol. Converg. (ICTC), 2015, pp. 623_625.
[40] X. Peng, M. Bessho, N. Koshizuka, and K. Sakamura, ``EPDL: Supporting context-based energy control policy design in IoT-enabled smart buildings: Programing the physical world with EPDL," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Data Sci. Data Intensive Syst., Dec. 2015, pp. 297_303.
[41] S. A. Alvi, G. A. Shah, andW. Mahmood, ``Energy ef_cient green routing protocol for Internet of Multimedia Things," in Proc. IEEE 10th Int. Conf. Intell. Sensors, Sensor Netw. Inf. Process. (ISSNIP), Apr. 2015, pp. 1_6.
[42] C. Occhiuzzi, S. Caizzone, and G. Marrocco, ``Passive UHF RFID antennas for sensing applications: Principles, methods, and classifcations," IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag., vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 14_34, Dec. 2013.
[43] A. P. Sample, D. J. Yeager, P. S. Powledge, and J. R. Smith, ``Design of a passively-powered, programmable sensing platform for UHF RFID systems," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. RFID, Mar. 2007, pp. 149_156.
[44] D. Boll et al., ``Green SoCs for a sustainable Internet-of-Things," in Proc. Faible Tension Faible Consommation (FTFC), 2013, pp. 1_4.
[45] Y. Chen et al., ``Time-reversal wireless paradigm for green Internet of Things: An overview," IEEE Internet Things J., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 81_98, Feb. 2014.
[46] Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Sun, Y. Li, D. Zhang, and H. Yang, ``An energyef _cient heterogeneous dual-core processor for Internet of Things," in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits Syst., Jul. 2015, pp. 2301_2304.
[47] V. Foteinos, D. Kelaidonis, G. Poulios, P. Vlacheas, V. Stavroulaki, and P. Demestichas, ``Cognitive management for the Internet of Things: A framework for enabling autonomous applications," IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 90_99, Dec. 2013.



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)