



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: X Month of publication: October 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.74717

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue X Oct 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

### Optimizing Efficiency: A Case Study on Maintenance Best Practices in Seed Processing Facilities

Jhobel G. Delmo<sup>1</sup>, Grace A. Llobrera-Diamse<sup>2</sup>

Don Mariano Marcos Memorial State University, College of Graduate Studies

Mid La Union Campus, City of San Fernando, La Union, Philippines

Abstract: Maintenance plays a critical role in ensuring operational efficiency in seed processing facilities, directly influencing seed quality, production costs, and overall productivity. This case study investigates the maintenance strategies employed in a mid-sized seed processing plant, focusing on preventive, corrective, and predictive maintenance approaches. Data were collected using structured questionnaires, interviews, direct observations, and document analysis. Findings reveal that while preventive maintenance is widely implemented, predictive maintenance adoption is limited, and skill gaps among maintenance personnel hinder optimal performance. Environmental factors, including dust and humidity, further accelerate equipment wear. The study recommends the integration of predictive and condition-based maintenance, staff training, environmental mitigation strategies, and enhanced technological adoption to optimize operational efficiency. The insights from this study provide actionable strategies for managers and engineers seeking to improve seed processing facility performance.

Keywords: Seed processing, maintenance practices, predictive maintenance, preventive maintenance, operational efficiency

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Maintenance plays a pivotal role in ensuring the efficiency of seed processing facilities, directly affecting seed quality, operational costs, and overall productivity. A typical seed processing plant consists of sections for receiving and sorting, drying and shelling, cleaning and conditioning, and treating and packaging. Each stage significantly influences the final product's quality and the facility's profitability, highlighting maintenance as a crucial factor in operational success.

In corn seed processing, harvested ear corn with high moisture content and impurities passes through receiving lines for weighing and sorting. The corn is then dried to suitable moisture levels before being shelled and cleaned using specialized equipment such as gravity separators and cleaner machines. Finally, seeds are treated with chemical solutions for growth enhancement and protection before packaging. Failures in these processes due to insufficient maintenance can lead to productivity losses, compromised seed quality, and increased operational costs.

Modern seed processing facilities employ preventive, predictive, and corrective maintenance strategies. Efficient maintenance ensures minimal downtime, prolongs equipment life, and sustains seed quality. This study explores current maintenance practices, identifies gaps, and provides actionable recommendations to optimize operational efficiency.

#### A. Objectives and Problem Statement

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate maintenance best practices in seed processing facilities and identify strategies to enhance operational efficiency. Specifically, it seeks to answer the following research questions:

- 1) What are the common maintenance practices used in seed processing facilities?
- 2) What are the primary causes of equipment failure in seed processing facilities?
- 3) What maintenance best practices can be drawn from seed processing facilities?

Seed processing relies on complex machinery for sorting, drying, cleaning, treating, and packaging seeds. Equipment failures and unplanned downtime, often stemming from inadequate maintenance, disrupt production schedules, increase costs, and compromise seed quality. This case study examines these challenges to identify best practices that enhance equipment reliability, optimize maintenance routines, and improve overall operational efficiency.



#### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue X Oct 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### II. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a mixed-methods case study design to investigate maintenance practices and their impact on operational efficiency in a seed processing facility. Quantitative data were collected via structured questionnaires distributed to plant managers, engineers, supervisors, and maintenance staff. These instruments focused on maintenance frequency, strategies employed, equipment downtime, and personnel skills.

Qualitative insights were obtained through interviews and direct observations of equipment handling, maintenance routines, and environmental conditions. Documentation analysis included maintenance logs, operational records, and performance reports, providing information on equipment downtime, maintenance costs, and productivity levels. While the study is limited by sample size and specific operational context, it offers valuable insights applicable to similar facilities.

#### A. Case Presentation

Prasad Seeds Philippines, Inc. (PSPI), a mid-sized seed processing plant affiliated with Prasad Seeds Pvt. Ltd., India, was the focus of this case study. The facility specializes in receiving and sorting, drying and shelling, cleaning and conditioning, and treating and packaging seeds. It operates complex machinery, including conveyors, dryers, shellers, cleaners, gravity separators, treater machines, and packaging lines, all requiring consistent maintenance for uninterrupted operations.

The plant employs preventive, corrective, and limited predictive maintenance strategies. Preventive maintenance includes scheduled inspections, part replacements, and cleaning. Corrective maintenance addresses breakdowns after they occur, while predictive maintenance is underutilized due to skill gaps and partial integration of sensor technology. Environmental factors such as dust and humidity further impact equipment longevity.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study revealed that preventive maintenance in the seed processing facility effectively reduces equipment failures, though rigid schedules can lead to over-maintenance and inefficient resource allocation. Corrective maintenance remains critical for addressing unexpected breakdowns but contributes to longer downtimes and higher operational costs. Predictive maintenance adoption is limited, with staff lacking sufficient training in data interpretation and advanced maintenance techniques. Environmental conditions, particularly high humidity and dust, exacerbate machinery wear, affecting components such as bearings and motors. Overall, maintenance effectiveness is constrained by a combination of staff skills, technological integration, and environmental adaptation.

- A. Key Findings
- 1) Preventive maintenance reduces equipment breakdowns but can result in inefficient resource allocation due to rigid scheduling.
- 2) Corrective maintenance contributes to longer downtimes and higher repair costs.
- 3) Predictive maintenance is underutilized because of insufficient expertise and limited integration with existing systems.
- 4) Environmental factors such as dust and humidity significantly accelerate equipment wear.
- 5) Corrective maintenance constitutes a substantial portion of the maintenance budget, underscoring the need for condition-based and predictive strategies.

#### B. Discussion and Literature Corroboration

The facility's reliance on preventive and corrective maintenance aligns with common industry practices, yet this approach limits overall operational efficiency. Literature indicates that preventive maintenance is essential to reduce unplanned downtime, but strict schedules can sometimes lead to over-maintenance, which reduces resource efficiency (Mobley, 2002). Corrective maintenance, while necessary for unexpected failures, often results in higher repair costs and prolonged downtimes, consistent with observations by Jardine et al. (2005).

The limited use of predictive maintenance mirrors broader industry challenges, where lack of expertise and insufficient technology integration hinder condition-based strategies (Al-Turki et al., 2014). Integrating predictive maintenance into a centralized system can enhance decision-making and prevent failures (Al-Turki, 2011). Skilled personnel are required to interpret sensor data for data-driven decisions (Tsang et al., 1999).

Environmental factors, such as high humidity and dust, accelerate wear on machinery components. Effective mitigation measures such as dust covers, corrosion-resistant materials, and specialized lubrication - can prolong equipment life (Waeyenbergh & Pintelon, 2002; Jardine et al., 2006).



#### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue X Oct 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This study evaluated maintenance practices in a seed processing facility, identifying strengths and areas for improvement. Preventive maintenance is well-established, but limited predictive maintenance adoption, skill gaps, and environmental factors restrict operational efficiency. Transitioning to condition-based and predictive maintenance, improving staff training, integrating technologies, and mitigating environmental challenges can enhance equipment reliability, reduce downtime, lower costs, and improve productivity.

#### V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Implement predictive maintenance systems Fully integrate sensors with a CMMS for real-time monitoring.
- 2) Transition to condition-based maintenance Develop flexible maintenance plans based on equipment performance.
- 3) Enhance staff training Conduct workshops and certifications on predictive maintenance and advanced repair techniques.
- 4) Mitigate environmental impacts Use protective covers, corrosion-resistant materials, and tailored cleaning regimens.
- 5) Optimize resource allocation Align maintenance tasks with actual equipment needs to avoid over-maintenance.
- 6) Conduct regular audits Review maintenance plans and outcomes to continuously improve efficiency.
- 7) Foster a maintenance-centric culture Encourage proactive communication and recognize employee contributions.

#### A. Ethical Considerations

All participants provided informed consent before participating in interviews and questionnaires. Data confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained.

#### B. Author's Declaration

We hereby declare that this manuscript is our original work and has not been submitted or published elsewhere.

#### VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express sincere gratitude to the management and staff of Prasad Seeds Philippines, Inc. for their cooperation and support; likewise to the Don Mariano Marcos Memorial State University Mid La Union Campus, College of Graduate Studies.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Turki, U. (2011). Integrating predictive maintenance into industrial operations. International Journal of Production Research, 49(19), 5691–5705.
- [2] Al-Turki, U., Khan, R., & Al-Harbi, K. (2014). Maintenance management strategies in industrial facilities. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 20(3), 234–247.
- [3] Jardine, A. K. S., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2005). A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20(7), 1483–1510.
- [4] Mobley, R. K. (2002). An introduction to predictive maintenance. Elsevier.
- [5] Tsang, A. H. C., Jardine, A. K. S., & Kolodny, H. (1999). Maintenance performance metrics and their impact on organizational efficiency. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 16(1), 54–72.
- [6] Waeyenbergh, G., & Pintelon, L. (2002). A structured approach for maintenance strategy selection. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 8(4), 287–303.









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)