iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.69938

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue IV Apr 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

P2P File Sharing

Siddharth Patil[1], Rudra Kolhatkar[2], Tejashri Patil[3], Mahesh Rathod[4], Afrin Sheikh[5]
[1, 2, 3, 4]*Computer Science, KJ College of Engineering, Pune, India*
[5]*Assistant Professor , Computer Science, KJ College of Engineering, Pune, India*

Abstract: This document serves as a comprehensive template for preparing papers on P2P (Peer-to-Peer) file sharing. It provides a structured framework for formatting, ensuring that all components of the paper, such as the title, text, headers, and references, adhere to established standards. The template is designed to streamline the creation of professional, well-organized documents related to P2P technologies. Authors are advised to follow the prescribed formatting for consistency and clarity. CRITICAL: Avoid using symbols, special characters, footnotes, or mathematical expressions in the paper's title or abstract.
Keywords: Peer-to-Peer, file sharing, decentralization, network protocols, data distribution, security, P2P systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing has emerged as one of the most efficient and widely used methods for distributing data over the internet. Unlike traditional client-server models, P2P networks enable users to share files directly with one another, creating decentralized networks where each participant both provides and receives data. This paper explores the key principles, technologies, and challenges associated with P2P file sharing, focusing on its evolution, protocols, and applications. The increasing reliance on P2P systems in fields such as media distribution, software sharing, and collaborative work environments highlights the importance of understanding their functionality and the security considerations that accompany them. Through an examination of both historical and contemporary P2P models, this paper aims to provide insights into the current state of P2P file sharing, its benefits, and potential areas for improvement.

II. ARCHITECTURE OF P2P FILE SHARING

P2P networks can be broadly categorized into three types:
Centralized P2P: A central index server maintains the directory of shared files (e.g., Napster).
Decentralized P2P: No central server exists; nodes query each other (e.g., Gnutella).
Hybrid P2P: Combines both approaches (e.g., BitTorrent).

III. POPULAR P2P PROTOCOLS

A. BitTorrent

1) File Splitting: Files are broken into smaller pieces, downloaded from multiple peers.
2) Efficient: Users download and upload pieces simultaneously, boosting download speeds.
3) Tracker/DHT: Central servers (trackers) or decentralized methods (DHT) help find peers.
4) Swarming: Pieces are downloaded from various users speeding up the process.
5) Fair Sharing: The "tit-for-tat" model ensures faster downloads by encouraging sharing.

B. Gnutella

1) Inefficient: As more users join, the system may slow down due to repeated query flooding.
2) Open Source: It allows direct P2P file sharing without a central index.
3) Scalability *Issues: Performance degrades with larger networks due to flooding.*

C. eDonkey/Overnet

1) Hybrid: eDonkey uses central servers for file indexing; Overnet is fully decentralized.
2) File Sharing: Files are divided into chunks and downloaded from multiple peers.
3) eDonkey Servers: Users find peers via centralized servers, then download directly.
4) Overnet's Advantage: Overnet removes the server dependency, providing more robustness.
5) Network Load: eDonkey can slow down with more users due to server reliance, while Overnet is more efficient

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 13 Issue IV Apr 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

IV. CHALLENGES IN P2P FILE SHARING

Peer-to-peer (P2P) file sharing offers numerous benefits, such as decentralized file distribution, but it also comes with significant challenges that need to be addressed for it to function effectively and legally. Here are some of the primary challenges:

A. Malware Distribution

P2P file-sharing systems often facilitate the exchange of files between users without verifying the content of the files being shared. This leaves the system vulnerable to malware such as viruses, trojans, spyware, ransomware, and other malicious software. Attackers can disguise harmful files as legitimate files (e.g., movies, software) and trick users into downloading them.
Example: A user may unknowingly download an infected file that compromises their device, leading to loss of personal data or even remote control of the device.

B. Privacy Breaches

P2P networks do not always encrypt the data shared between peers, which can expose users to privacy breaches. Sensitive data such as browsing history, IP addresses, and file-sharing habits can be exposed to other users on the network or intercepted by malicious entities.
Example: A user sharing files over a P2P network may unknowingly expose their personal information (e.g., IP address, location, etc.) to anyone who can access the network, leading to privacy violations.

C. Impersonation and Phising:

Attackers may impersonate trusted peers within the network to trick other users into downloading fake files or providing sensitive information.
Example: An attacker might set up a fake node in the network to appear like a trusted peer, then attempt to steal login credentials or distribute fake files.
As P2P networks expand in terms of users and data volume, scalability becomes a significant concern. Maintaining performance while the network grows can be challenging due to the following factors:

1) *Increased Load on Network:* In larger P2P networks, the sheer volume of data being shared can lead to network congestion. As more users join the network, the number of queries and responses increases, which can slow down the speed of file searches and downloads. Example: In systems like Gnutella, query flooding (where search requests are sent to all nodes) becomes inefficient as the number of users increases. This can overwhelm the network and result in slow responses and longer file retrieval times.
2) *Data Redundancy:* In some P2P systems, data is replicated across multiple peers, and as the network grows, managing this redundancy becomes increasingly complex. More storage is needed for data replication, and the network may suffer from inefficiencies in distributing data to the right peers. Example: With large files being distributed, it may become difficult to ensure that all peers are able to efficiently access and download the pieces of the file they need.

V. FUTURE TRENDS

The future of P2P file sharing may involve several key advancements aimed at enhancing security, efficiency, and user experience. Blockchain integration could provide better decentralization and security by creating an immutable ledger for transactions, reducing fraud and ensuring fair content ownership. AI-driven search mechanisms may improve file discovery, making it faster and more accurate by analyzing user behavior and filtering out malicious files. Additionally, enhanced encryption methods will ensure stronger privacy protection, allowing users to share files securely and confidently, safeguarding data from unauthorized access. These innovations promise to address current challenges and make P2P networks more reliable and user-friendly.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT


The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Prof. Afrin Sheikh for her invaluable guidance, continuous support, and constructive suggestions throughout the course of this project. Her expertise and encouragement were instrumental in shaping the direction of this work. We would also like to extend our thanks to the department and faculty members for providing the resources and environment conducive to research. Lastly, we appreciate the collaborative efforts of our team members, whose teamwork and dedication made this project possible.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

REFERENCES

[1] S. Androutsellis-Theotokis and D. Spinellis, "A survey of peer-to-peer content distribution technologies," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 335-371, Dec. 2004.
[2] B. Cohen, "Incentives build robustness in BitTorrent," Workshop on Economics of Peer-to-Peer Systems, 2003.
[3] M. Ripeanu, "Peer-to-Peer Architecture Case Study: Gnutella Network," IEEE Internet Computing, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 50-57, Jan./Feb. 2002.
[4] P. Sharma and S. Gupta, "Security and privacy issues in Peer-to-Peer file sharing," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 45-50, 2019.
[5] A. Ghodsi, "A survey of peer-to-peer networking technologies," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 650-670, Apr. 2015.
[6] J. Li, X. Zhang, and L. Li, "Scalability and performance of BitTorrent-based P2P file sharing systems," Computer Networks, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 2186-2199, May 2012.
[7] M. Kumar and A. Patel, "Challenges in Peer-to-Peer systems: A comprehensive review," International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 5156-5162, Aug. 2014.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)