iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 10 **Issue:** II **Month of publication:** February 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.40378

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 10 Issue II Feb 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

Parametric Optimization of PMEDM Process with Aluminium Oxide Powder Suspended Dielectric for Copper Electrodes

B. S. Dinesh[1], M. Eswar Arunachalam[2], A. Dinesh Kumar[3], S. Rajamanickam[4]
[1, 2, 3]*Student, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vel Tech High Tech Engineering College, Tamil Nadu, India*
[4]*Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vel Tech High Tech Engineering College, Tamil Nadu, India*

Abstract: ***Electrical Discharge Machining is a commercially utilized technique for manufacturing of various shapes of materials that serve as the base material in a wide range of industries. This report presents the results of the experimental studies carried out on the influence of Powder Mixed Electrical Discharge Machining (PMEDM) input parameters on the various attributes of EDM process while using EDM oil and vegetable oil as dielectric fluid. The experiments performed under the designed full factorial procedure. Machining features, embracing material tool wear ratio, material removal rate and over cut with Ti-6Al-4V as workpiece are the parameters that were assessed in the study. The EDM input parameters - Peak Current, Duty factor and Gap voltage were studied. The results of this study could be utilized in the selection of optimum process parameters to achieve the desired EDM efficiency, surface roughness, and surface integrity when machining Ti-6Al-4V workpiece.***
Keywords*: Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM), Outer Cut (OC), Tool Wear Ratio (TWR)*

I. INTRODUCTION

Electrical discharges machining (EDM) is one among the important manufacturing processes used for various industries such as automobile and die industries. There is a significant rise in this application because of its capability to manufacture several complex geometrical shapes and its capability of machining materials irrespective of their hardness. However, they do have certain drawbacks such as not so tolerable surface finish and low efficiency in machining when compared to other non-conventional machining processes, thereby limiting its further applications. On the other hand, Powder mixed electrical discharge machining (PMEDM) is a relatively new material removal process undertaken to increase the efficiency during machining and to produce a good surface finish with the presence of powder mixed dielectric fluid. Researchers explained the working principle of powder mixed electrical discharge machining process. When a voltage is applied between the electrode and the workpiece, which is adjacent to each other with a certain distance between them, an electric field in the range of 105-107 V/m is created. The powder particles present within the spark gap gets energized. The charged particles are then accelerated by the electric field which was developed and they act as conductors. The conductive particles help in promoting breakdown in between the gap and simultaneously elevating the spark gap distance between the workpiece and the tool. Under the area of sparking, these particles come closer and then arrange themselves in chain-like structures between electrodes that are present. The interlocking which occurs in between different powder particles takes place as per the direction of current flow. This type of chain formation acts as a bridge for the discharge gap between electrodes, also results in lowering the insulation strength of the dielectric fluid. The easy short circuit creates early explosion in the gap causing series discharges under the electrode area. The occurrence of faster sparking within a discharge causes faster erosion from the workpiece surface and hence the material removal rate (MRR) increases. Within this instant, the added powder also alters the plasma channel, making it enlarged and widened. Thereby there is uniform distribution of sparksamong the powder particles channeling towards the reduced electric density of the spark. This also results in the production of shallow craters on the surface of the workpiece, thus improving the surface finish.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Jahan et al. on the topic ‘Effect of nano powder-mixed dielectric in micro-electro discharge machining of tungsten carbide’ presented a comprehensive analytical modeling of PMEDM process. Density, size, electrical and thermal conductivities are some of the critical characteristics of the powder particles that significantly affect PMEDM process [1]. Bhattacharya et al. on the topic of ‘Surface characterization and material migration during surface modification of die steels with silicon, aluminium oxide and tungsten powder in EDM process’ observed that there is enhanced spark frequency and easy removal of debris from the machining zone owing to theincreased electrical conductivity of the dielectric and the resulting extension of discharge gap in PMEDM [2].

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

A large volume of heat is removed from the discharge gap and subsequent reduction in the discharge density due to the high thermal conductivity of powder particles. Therefore, only shallow craters are formed on the workpiece surface [3, 4]. The number of surface cracks developed on the machined surface is proportional to the width and depth of the surface, as the intensity of discharge energy is reduced in PMEDM when compared to conventional EDM process [5,6]. The number of powder particles in the electrode gap at a given instant increases with the decrease in their size. As a consequence, overall discharge energy increases, but it is more evenly distributed in a larger area. Hence, energy density gets diminished. There are cases where multiple smaller craters are formedduring a single discharge. Also thematerial removal rate (MRR) and superior surface quality are inversely proportional to the size of powder particles used [7,8].

Yeo et al. on the topic 'Effects of powder additives suspended in dielectric on crater characteristics for micro electrical discharge machining' quoted the observation of a circular growth within the crater. This was said to be seen during powder-mixed µ- EDM process and was found to be due to the deposition of the powder material on the workpiece surface [9]. However, no such growth could be found during conventional EDM process. Low-density particles produced poor MRR as they mixed well with the dielectric and dissipated more heat to the dielectric. Hence, aluminum (Al) with highest thermal conductivity and the least density among the used powders produced the worst MRR . Wu et al. on the topic 'Improvement of surface finish on SKD steel using electro-discharge machining with aluminum and surfactant added dielectric' achieved excellent surface finish by mixing a surfactant (Polyoxythylene-20-sorbitan monooleate) along with Al powder in dielectric during the EDM of SKD 27 die steel. The added surfactant acted as a steric barrier to prevent the agglomeration of the powder particles [10]. Y. Zhanget al. on the topic 'Investigation on the influence of the dielectrics on the material removal characteristics of EDM' concluded that the usage of only surfactant as an additive causes reduction in the thickness of the recast layer as it increased the overall conductivity of the dielectric [11].

S. Rajamanickam and J. Prasanna, on the topic 'Effect of Conductive, Semi- conductive and Non-conductive Powder- Mixed Media on Micro Electric Discharge Machining Performance of Ti-6Al-4V' observed that the combined and individual characteristics of dielectric, powder, tool and workpiece material along with other machining parameters affect the PMEDM process significantly [12].

Apart from commercial EDM oils, kerosene and deionized water are widely used in PMEDM. The higher thermal conductivity and specific heat of pure water take away the heat from the machining zone resulting in a better cooling effect [13]. Previous works show that kerosene forms carbides and water forms oxides on the machined surface simultaneously. Carbides require more thermal energy to melt compared to oxides. Hence, higher MRR and lesser TWR were applied with deionized water than kerosene as dielectric. But kerosene produces better surface finish [14]. Usage of emulsified water (water+ emulsifier+ machine oil) as the dielectric by Liu et al. on the topic 'Use of SiC powder in water as dielectric for micro-slit EDM machining' observed to produce higher MRR and better surface quality than pure kerosene [15]. This was attributed to the increase in overall electrical conductivity of the dielectric due to the ionization of water soluble anionic compound emulsifier present in the emulsified oil.

S. Assarzadeh, M. Ghoreishi on the topic 'A dual response surface-desirability approach to process modeling and optimization of Al2O3 powder-mixed electrical discharge machining (PMEDM) parameters' observed that the MRR was proportional to the pulse-on time and increased due to an increase in pulse energy [16]. Extended pulse-on time causes an expansion of the plasma channel resulting in the reduction of energy density and impact force. Thus, MRR is reduced at long pulse-on time. There is an initial decrease in micro- hardness of the machined surface, followed by subsequent rise with further increase in pulse- on time due to material transfer. There is consistent decrease in the TWR with the pulse-on time because of the time available for heat transfer from the molten crater to the body of the electrode. Works show that the carbon deposit on the electrode influenced and increased its wear resistance thus increasing the TWR [17, 18]. More overcut (OC) was observed with high pulse-on time owing to the large amount of material removed per spark [19, 20].

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The beginning of this research involved arranging the Taguchi Modified L18 orthogonal array table as per our parameters to get an idea and plan the values. Next, we had to finalize the suitable abrasives and dielectrics which were needed to be mixed in the dielectric. Then a container was set to mix the dielectric and the abrasives in such a manner that they don't leak into the actual EDM container present in the EDM shop. M- seal was applied on the edges of tank to prevent any leakage of abrasive mixed dielectric. Now this tank setup was used in place of EDM container as shown in Figure 1. The workpiece and tool were weighed and kept separately in covers to accurately measure their weight after machining. Each workpiece and tool was fit one by one in their respective fixtures.

Finally, the machining was started by setting up the required input parameters as per our values mentioned in Taguchi table and the machine was operated with those parameters. Once the machining was done, the workpiece and tool were removed from the EDM machine and oil was drained from tank. The final step was to weigh the workpiece and tool after machining to calculate MRR and TWR.

IV. WORKING PROCEDURE

The workpiece and tool are first weighed before machining began. Now, the workpiece is held in the fixture to hold it tightly for machining. Next, the electrode is fixed in the tool holder present in the EDM. Now, the tank was set-up in EDM container space and the dielectric is filled in the container and abrasives are added. Now before machining starts, the required input parameters for taking the first reading is set-up on the control panel provided in EDM and now the machining process is started. The abrasives were added as per concentration level of 2g/l, 4g/l and 6g/l. The time was noted during machining of a single workpiece for calculating MRR and TWR. Once the machining is done, the workpiece is removed and the next workpiece is inserted and the above steps were repeated with different dielectric and input parameters. For calculating MRR, the workpiece which was weighed before is now weighed after machining and the values are substituted in the formula for MRR. For calculating TWR, the tool which was weighed before is now weighed after machining and the values are substituted in the formula for TWR. Finally, once every process was done, the EDM machine is switched off. The tank setup was removed from the EDM container space and calculations are done. The workpiece is then taken for SEM analysis to check the surface and observe how the abrasives have affected the surface of the workpiece.




Fig.1. EDM Setup

Table.2. Material composition of workpiece

Carbon	Iron	Aluminium	Vanadium	Titanium
0.016%	0.193%	6.588%	3.731%	89.221%

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 10 Issue II Feb 2022- Available at www.ijraset.com

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment was conducted with varying several input parameters and the below Table 3 shows the values of each parameter along with the calculated MRR and TWR that has been obtained during the process. These values were then added to MINITAB for obtaining the graphs for analytical purpose.

Table.1. Machining Parameters

Charge voltage (v)	30,40,50
Tool electrode	Copper
Workpiece	Ti-6Al-4V
Polarity	Positive
Powder	aluminium oxide
Powder size (µm)	10
Powder concentration (g/L)	2,4,6
Dielectric fluid	EDM Oil, vegetable oil

Table. 3. Observed readings

Run	Input paramters			Exp. Values		S/N ratio Values	
	Dielectric Fluids	Concentration (g/l)	Gap Voltage (V)	MRR (mg/min)	TWR (mg/min)	MRR	TWR
1	EDM Oil	2g/l	30	0.0007	0.0041	-62.7836	47.71703
2	EDM Oil	2g/l	40	0.0015	0.0037	-56.6927	48.73385
3	EDM Oil	2g/l	50	0.0022	0.0030	-53.0643	50.56548
4	EDM Oil	4g/l	30	0.0028	0.0050	-51.1407	45.94791
5	EDM Oil	4g/l	40	0.0031	0.0057	-50.2005	44.93564
6	EDM Oil	4g/l	50	0.0039	0.0066	-48.0738	43.63687
7	EDM Oil	6g/l	30	0.0048	0.0075	-46.3752	42.53746
8	EDM Oil	6g/l	40	0.0057	0.0084	-44.8804	41.49759
9	EDM Oil	6g/l	50	0.0066	0.0097	-43.5619	40.28481
10	Pungai Oil	2g/l	30	0.0004	0.0082	-67.5437	41.743
11	Pungai Oil	2g/l	40	0.0011	0.0089	-59.4933	41.00772
12	Pungai Oil	2g/l	50	0.0017	0.0103	-55.3183	39.75531
13	Pungai Oil	4g/l	30	0.0028	0.0113	-50.9448	38.90356
14	Pungai Oil	4g/l	40	0.0036	0.0127	-48.9496	37.91452
15	Pungai Oil	4g/l	50	0.0048	0.0145	-46.4247	36.74545
16	Pungai Oil	6g/l	30	0.0060	0.0156	-44.4703	36.11961
17	Pungai Oil	6g/l	40	0.0065	0.0166	-43.6925	35.61047
18	Pungai Oil	6g/l	50	0.0074	0.0178	-42.6722	34.99816

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

A. Variation for SN Ratios of MRR with Input Parameters

From Fig 2 and Fig 3 obtained by the statistical analysis, it is evident that there is an average significant increase in MRR with increase in gap voltage. MRR decreased constantly when shifted to vegetable oil from EDM oil. But in case of concentration, MRR gave a drastic increase in the concentration of abrasives.




Fig.2. Image of Variation for SN ratios of MRR with input parameters




Fig.3. Image of Variation for Means of MRR with input parameters

B. Variation for SN Ratios of TWR with Input Parameters

From Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 obtained by the statistical analysis, it can be observed that there is a decrease in TWR with increase in gap voltage. TWR increased constantly in vegetable oil. In case of concentration, MRR gave a drastic decrease with increase in the concentration of abrasives




Fig.4. Variation for Means ratios of TWR with input parameters




Fig.5.Variation for SN ratios of TWR with input parameters

C. Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance method was applied in order to evaluate the effect of the input parameters on the responses, as well as to test the adequacy of the proposed model. The analysis was performed considering the assumption of variances. Certain other assumptions are to be defined, like nullhypothesis when all means are equal and alternative hypothesis when at least one mean is different. The significance of an input parameter depends on its p-value which should be greater than 0.10 as the confidence interval is considered to be 90%.

General Linear Model: MRR (Mg/Min) Versus Dielectric, Ton, Voltage

Table.4. ANOVA for MRR

Source	DF	Seq SS	Contribution	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Dielectric Fluids	1	0.000000	0.57%	0.000000	0.000000	3.41	0.090
Concentration	2	0.000072	88.86%	0.000072	0.000036	267.61	0.000
Voltage	2	0.000007	8.58%	0.000007	0.000003	25.83	0.000
Error	12	0.000002	1.99%	0.000002	0.000000		
Total	17	0.000081	100.00%				

General Linear Model: TWR (Mg/Min) Versus Dielectric, Ton, Voltage

Table.5.ANOVA for TWR

Source	DF	Seq SS	Contribution	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Dielectric Fluids	1	0.000216	61.36%	0.000216	0.000216	242.05	0.000
Concentration	2	0.000117	33.19%	0.000117	0.000058	65.46	0.000
Voltage	2	0.000009	2.42%	0.000009	0.000004	4.76	0.030
Error	12	0.000011	3.04%	0.000011	0.000001		
Total	17	0.000352	100.00%				

D. SEM Analysis

1) SEM Analysis for workpiece




Fig.6. SEM photos of work piece hole and surface of workpiece machined with EDM Oil




Fig.7.SEM photos of work piece hole and surface of workpiece machined with vegetable Oil

The Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) photographs of the machined hole and the surface of workpiece at the optimal parametric setting for PMEDM are shown in Figures 6 and 7. It can be seen that a much smoother machined surface was obtained in aluminium oxide- based PMEDM with EDM Oil as dielectric when compared to that of the micro hole acquired through PMEDM with vegetable oil as dielectric. The reason is easy flushing of after- process debris and effective dispersion of melted work piece due to evaporation explosion of the oil.

2) SEM Analysis for Tool




Fig.8. SEM photos of tool and surface of workpiece machined with EDM Oil.




Fig.9.SEM photos of tool and surface of workpiece machined with vegetable Oil


The SEM photographs showed the surface of tool machined with EDM oil and vegetable oil. From this we can infer that the tool wear is more in the tool used with vegetable oil when compared with the tool used with EDM oil.

VI. CONCLUSION

Findings indicate that MRR was more and TWR was less while using EDM oil as dielectric when compared with vegetable oil. The MRR showed good improvement while using EDM oil with aluminium oxide powder having the concentration of 6g/l and gap voltage of 50V and TWR showed good improvement while using EDM oil with aluminium oxide powder having the concentration of 2g/l and gap voltage of 50V when compared with the results of vegetable oil with aluminium oxide powder.

REFERENCES

[1] Jahan, M.P., Rahman, M., Wong, Y.S. (2010). Modelling and experimental investigation on the effect of nanopowder- mixed dielectric in micro-electrodischarge machining of tungsten carbide. The Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 224, 1725– 1299.
[2] Bhattacharya, Batish, A., Kumar, N. (2013). Surface characterization and material migration during surface modification of die steels with silicon, aluminium oxide and tungsten powder in EDM process. Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, 1, 17–112.
[3] Fong, T.Y., Chen, F.C. (2005). Investigation into some surface characteristics of electrical discharge machined SKD-11 using powder- suspension dielectric oil. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 170, 385–391.
[4] Jabbaripour, B., Sadeghi, M.H., Shabgard, M.R., Faraji, H. (2013). Investigating surface roughness, material removal rate and corrosion resistance in PMEDM of r- TiAl intermetallic. Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 15, 117–166.
[5] Hu, F.Q., Cao, F.Y., Song, B.Y., Hou, P.J., Zhang, Y., Chen, K. (2013). Surface properties of SiCp/Al composite by powder-mixed EDM. Proceedings of the Seventeenth CIRP Conference on Electro Physical and Chemical Machining, 1, 101–106.
[6] Ming, Q.Y., He, L.Y. (1995). Powder- suspension dielectric fluid for EDM. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 52, 44–54.
[7] Kumar, K., Maheshwari, S., Sharma, C., Beri, N. (2011). Analysis of Machining Characteristics in Additive Mixed Electric Discharge Machining of Nickel-Based Super Alloy Inconel 718. Materials and Manufacturing Processes, 26, 1011–1018.
[8] Tzeng, Y.F., Lee, C.Y. (2001). Effects of Powder Characteristics on Electro- discharge Machining Efficiency. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 17, 176–592.
[9] Yeo, S.H., Tan, P.C., Kurnia, W. (2007). Effects of powder additives suspended in dielectric on crater characteristics for micro electrical discharge machining. Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering, 17, N91–N31.
[10] Wu, K.L., Yan, B.H., Huang, F.Y., Chen, S.C. (2005). Improvement of surface finish on SKD steel using electro-discharge machining with aluminum and surfactant added dielectric. International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 45, 22– 1201.
[11] Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Ji, R., Li, Z., Zheng, C. (2014). Investigation on the influence of the dielectrics on the material removal characteristics of EDM. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 214, 1052–1027.
[12] Rajamanickam, S. and Prasanna, J. (2021). Effect of Conductive, Semi-conductive and Non-conductive Powder-Mixed Media on Micro Electric Discharge Machining Performance of Ti-6Al-4V. International Journal of Electrochemical Science, 1-4.
[13] Kibria, G., Sarkar, B.R., Pradhan, B.B., Bhattacharyya, B. (2010). Comparative study of different dielectrics for micro- EDM performance during microhole machining of Ti-6Al-4V alloy. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 9, 521–210.
[14] Liu, Y., Ji, R., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H. (2010). Investigation of emulsion for die sinking EDM. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 15, 119–129.
[15] Chow, H.M., Yang, L.D., Lin, C.T., Chen, Y.F. (2008). The use of SiC powder in water as dielectric for micro-slit EDM machining. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 195, 160–170.
[16] Assarzadeh, S. and Ghoreishi, M. (2012). A dual response surface-desirability approach to process modeling and optimization of Al2O3 powder-mixed electrical discharge machining (PMEDM) parameters. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 64, 1459– 1121.
[17] Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Ji, R., Li, Z., Zheng, C. (2014). Investigation on the influence of the dielectrics on the material removal characteristics of EDM. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 214, 1052–1027.
[18] Chen, Y.F. and Lin, Y.C. (2009). Surface modifications of Al-Zn-Mg alloy using combined EDM with ultrasonic machining and addition of TiC particles into the dielectric. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 209, 4263–4



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)