



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: VI Month of publication: June 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.72722

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Revisiting the Foundations of Quality Assurance: Reflections on Most-Cited Research and Their Real-World Impact

Shivani Choudhary Senior Software Test Engineer, Visa Inc.

Abstract: Quality Assurance (QA) continues to serve as the backbone of modern software engineering despite the wave of automation and AI-driven development. In this perspectives article, I reflect on some of the most cited QA research papers from the last decade, drawing from their findings and comparing them to my hands-on experience in large-scale financial infrastructures.

This paper highlights the gaps between academic proposals and industry needs, underlines the timeless relevance of human-led QA strategies, and suggests how the next generation of QA research can be made more actionable, ethical, and aligned with agile realities.'=

I. INTRODUCTION: WHY QA PERSPECTIVES MATTER NOW MORE THAN EVER

In an age where artificial intelligence writes test scripts and DevOps cycles last hours, it's easy to underestimate the human judgment behind 'quality.' Yet, the need for trustworthy, scalable, and secure systems has never been higher—especially in industries like finance where a single bug can result in millions in loss or data breach. As a senior QA engineer at Visa Inc., I have witnessed firsthand how theoretical advancements translate (or fail to translate) into real-world impact. The most cited QA journals in recent years have inspired new tools and frameworks, but they often overlook human constraints, business urgency, and regulatory responsibilities. This piece aims to bridge that gap.

II. LITERATURE OVERVIEW: KEY THEMES IN MOST-CITED QA RESEARCH

Some of the most cited QA publications since 2018 have focused on:

- Test automation frameworks (e.g., 'A survey on automated software testing' 2019)
- Model-based testing and test case prioritization
- Mutation testing as a metric of test suite effectiveness
- Continuous Testing in DevOps Pipelines
- AI-Driven Test Generation using NLP and deep learning

These works have laid foundational pathways for modern QA tooling. However, most of these approaches remain confined to ideal conditions—clean codebases, full documentation, and near-perfect modularization—which are rarely the reality in enterprise systems like those at Visa.

III. GAPS BETWEEN RESEARCH AND REALITY: MY OBSERVATIONS

From my experience managing QA in real-time payment infrastructures:

- AI in Testing Is Not Plug-and-Play: Many papers assume clean, labeled data for training. But test cases from legacy systems are messy, undocumented, and platform-specific. We end up spending more time cleaning than innovating.

- Continuous Testing \neq Quality: DevOps cycles idolize speed. Many cited works prioritize metrics like 'time-to-deploy' but fail to integrate post-deployment QA or regression patterns that only human intuition can detect.

- Test Case Prioritization Misses Business Value: While academic models use code coverage, we prioritize risk—payment gateways over internal HR modules.



Volume 13 Issue VI June 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

IV. SUCCESS STORIES FROM CITED PAPERS (AND HOW WE ACTUALLY USE THEM)

There are several breakthroughs I deeply value:

- Test Flakiness Detection Using AI: One paper introduced the concept of classifying flaky tests based on CI data. We adopted a simplified version internally.

- Code Smell Detection with QA Insights: Inspired by research on static analysis, we created custom rules in SonarQube to reduce common regression issues.

V. OPINION: WHERE QA RESEARCH NEEDS TO HEAD NEXT

As someone on the front lines, I believe QA research should:

- Study Human Factors: Burnout and fatigue affect QA more than complexity, yet rarely appear in literature.
- Focus on Edge Case Automation: AI models catch the obvious bugs, not the ones triggered during daylight saving changes.
- Promote Accountability: Who is liable when AI fails a test case?
- Integrate Business Intelligence: Connect QA insights with real business impact metrics.

VI. CONCLUSION: QA IS STILL HUMAN AT ITS CORE

QA engineers are not just bug-hunters—we're gatekeepers, ethical reviewers, and user advocates. The most cited QA research has moved the field forward, but it's time for convergence. Academic research must align more with the unpredictable, nuanced, and human side of real-world QA.

A. Author Contributions Statement

S.C. conceptualized the perspective, reflected on relevant QA literature, and contributed all personal experiences and industry observations. All views expressed are original and informed by real-world QA experience in the fintech domain.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ammann, P., & Offutt, J. (2019). Introduction to Software Testing. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Anand, S., Burke, E. K., Chen, T. Y., Clark, J., Harman, M., Hierons, R. M., ... & Yoo, S. (2013). An orchestrated survey of methodologies for automated software test case generation. Journal of Systems and Software, 86(8), 1978-2001.
- [3] Li, Z., Harman, M., & Hierons, R. M. (2007). Search algorithms for regression test case prioritization. IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 33(4), 225-237.
- [4] Chen, T. Y., Kuo, F. C., Merkel, R. G., & Tse, T. H. (2010). Adaptive random testing: The ART of test case diversity. Journal of Systems and Software, 83(1), 60-66.
- [5] Garousi, V., Felderer, M., & Mäntylä, M. V. (2019). The need for more industry-academia collaborations in software testing: Opportunities and challenges. Information and Software Technology, 98, 20-38.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)