



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.72528>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Semantic Based Image Indexing

Sujal Fethfulwar¹, Drushya Bhaisare², Yash Nakhale³, Ridam Lokhande⁴, Aniket Gongale⁵, Dr. Dhananjay Dumbere⁶

Computer Science Engineering Department, Rajiv Gandhi College of Engineering, Research and Technology Chandrapur

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University Lonere Maharashtra, Chandrapur, India

Abstract: This paper introduces a semantic-based image indexing system utilizing a custom Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for feature extraction and semantic embedding techniques for understanding image content. Traditional image indexing methods rely heavily on low-level visual features, often resulting in inaccurate or irrelevant results. By leveraging deep learning, our proposed system bridges this gap, allowing high-level semantic features to guide indexing and retrieval. Tested on the CIFAR-10 dataset, our approach demonstrates a significant improvement in precision, recall, and overall retrieval performance, showcasing its potential in real-world applications.

Keywords: Image indexing, semantic search, CIFAR-10, deep learning, convolutional neural networks.

I. INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth of digital content, particularly images, has created an urgent need for efficient indexing and retrieval systems. Traditional methods often fail to address the semantic gap—the disconnect between machine-readable low-level features (such as color and texture) and high-level human semantics (e.g., "a dog playing in the park"). Bridging this gap is essential for improving search relevance in applications like multimedia databases, e-commerce, and autonomous systems.

Our research focuses on semantic-based image indexing, utilizing deep learning to map visual data to semantic representations. Specifically, we use a custom Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) to extract robust features from images in the CIFAR-10 dataset, which contains diverse classes like animals, vehicles, and everyday objects. By combining these features with semantic embeddings, we create an efficient and scalable indexing structure that supports high-accuracy retrieval.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews related work; Section 3 details our methodology; Section 4 describes the implementation process; Section 5 presents results and discussion; and Section 6 concludes with key findings and future directions.




Fig. 1. Architecture of Semantic-Based Image Indexing Using Deep Learning.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sr.no	Paper Title	Author	Publication Info	Findings
1	Semantic Content-Based Image Retrieval: A Comprehensive Study	Ahmad alzu'bi, abbes amira	Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, 2020	The paper discusses the increasing complexity of multimedia content and the necessity for effective content-based image retrieval (CBIR) systems.
2	Semantic Based Image Retrieval	Amruta Laxman Mane, A.N. Bhute	Indian Journal of Computer Science and Engineering (IJCSE), 2021	The article addresses the challenges in semantic-based image retrieval, particularly the semantic gap between low-level image features and high-level user intent.
3	A method for semantic-based image retrieval using hierarchical clustering tree and graph	Nguyen Minh Hai, Thanh The Van	TELKOMNIKA Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control, 2022	The article addresses the challenges in semantic-based image retrieval.
4	Semantic-based Image Retrievals: A Survey	Mohammed Elmogy, Hazem M El-Bakry	International Journal of Computer and Information Technology, 2023	the article proposes several semantic image retrieval methods using a hybrid approach.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The problem of semantic-based image indexing refers to the challenge of efficiently organizing, retrieving, and managing large collections of images based on their semantic content—i.e., the meaning or context derived from the image rather than solely from low-level visual features (like color, texture, or shape). Traditional image retrieval methods rely on the extraction of low-level features such as pixel values, histograms, and edges, which can lead to ambiguous or ineffective search results especially in cases where the same visual features can represent different concepts or scenes. Semantic image indexing, on the other hand, aims to bridge the gap between the visual representation of an image and its higher-level meaning, typically related to objects, actions, scenes, or other content categories that the image portrays.

A. Key Challenges

Representation of Semantic Information:

Images often contain complex, multi-dimensional content that requires a robust representation for semantic meaning. Low-level features like colors, textures, and shapes may not be sufficient to describe abstract concepts like "beach," "mountain," or "cityscape." The challenge is to map these images to meaningful tags, keywords, or categories that accurately reflect their content.

Understanding Visual Content:

Determining the semantics of an image requires advanced understanding of what objects, people, and scenes are present in the image, along with their relationships. For instance, an image may show a "dog" on a "beach," but identifying this relationship requires complex models that understand both object recognition (dog) and context (beach).

Large-Scale Image Datasets:

As image collections grow exponentially in various domains (e.g., social media, medical imaging, e-commerce), efficient indexing methods are required to handle large-scale datasets. The semantic indexing system needs to scale to handle millions of images while maintaining accuracy and performance.

Challenges in Image Annotation and Labeling:

The manual process of annotating large datasets with semantic labels is time-consuming, error-prone, and expensive. Automating the process using machine learning or deep learning techniques introduces the challenge of ensuring the accuracy and consistency of these automated labels.

Semantic Gap:

A key issue in semantic-based image indexing is the "semantic gap," which refers to the difference between low-level visual features (e.g., pixel color, texture) and the high-level concepts that humans associate with images (e.g., "sunset," "celebration").

Bridging this gap requires advanced techniques in computer vision and machine learning, such as deep learning-based feature extraction, natural language processing for interpreting tags and descriptions, and multimodal learning.

Contextual Relevance:

The context in which an image is viewed can significantly affect its interpretation. For example, a picture of a "tree" can have different meanings depending on whether it is part of a "forest," a "park," or a "street." Indexing systems must account for such contextual nuances to ensure accurate retrieval.

Querying and Retrieval:

Users typically search images based on high-level semantic queries, like "sunset on the beach" or "dogs playing in the park." The indexing system must be able to interpret these queries and retrieve images that best match their underlying meaning, even if the query is expressed in different ways. This requires sophisticated natural language processing (NLP) capabilities to parse queries and match them with semantically indexed images.

Evaluation Metrics:

Evaluating the success of a semantic-based image indexing system is challenging. Traditional metrics like precision and recall used in information retrieval may not be directly applicable due to the subjective and context-dependent nature of semantic meaning.

New evaluation methods are needed that account for the accuracy of the semantic labels and the relevance of retrieved images to a user's intent.

IV. MODULES DEVELOPED

Semantic-based image indexing systems can be divided into several key modules, each focusing on a specific aspect of the process. These modules work together to extract, interpret, organize, and retrieve meaningful image data efficiently.

A. Image Preprocessing Module

The Image Preprocessing Module is the foundational step in semantic-based image indexing. It ensures that the raw image data is clean, standardized, and ready for subsequent feature extraction and analysis. Proper preprocessing improves the quality of feature extraction and overall system performance.

Purpose: Prepare raw image data for further analysis.

Key Functions:

- Image resizing, cropping, and format conversion.
- Noise reduction and normalization.
- Enhancing image quality using techniques like histogram equalization.

B. Feature Extraction Module

The Feature Extraction Module is responsible for analyzing images to identify and extract meaningful characteristics or patterns. These features are crucial for understanding the visual content of images and serve as the foundation for semantic mapping and subsequent indexing. The module extracts both low-level and high-level features, bridging the gap between raw pixel data and human-perceivable semantics.

Traditional Methods

- HOG (Histogram of Oriented Gradients)
- SURF (Speeded Up Robust Features)

Deep Learning:

- Pre-trained CNNs (e.g., ResNet, VGG, EfficientNet). Vision Transformers (ViT) for global feature relationships.

Hybrid Approaches:

- Combining traditional methods with deep learning for enhanced accuracy.

C. Training and Callbacks Module

The Training and Callbacks Module handles the process of training machine learning models, especially deep learning models, to extract features, map semantics, and classify images. Callbacks are specialized tools within this module that help monitor, control, and optimize the training process dynamically.

Key Functions of the Training Submodule

Dataset Preparation: Splits the dataset into training, validation, and testing sets. Performs data augmentation to increase dataset diversity and robustness.

Model Training: Fits the model to the training data using techniques like supervised, unsupervised, or transfer learning. Optimizes weights using algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent (SGD), Adam, or RMSprop.

Loss Computation: Measures the error between predicted and actual outputs using loss functions (e.g., cross-entropy, mean squared error). Ensures the model minimizes the loss through backpropagation.

D. Embedding and similarity module

The Embedding and Similarity Module focuses on transforming high-dimensional feature vectors into compact, dense embeddings and efficiently measuring similarity between these embeddings. These embeddings serve as the foundation for identifying and ranking semantically similar images, enabling robust search and retrieval processes in semantic-based image indexing systems.

• **Key Functions of the Embedding Submodule**

Embedding Generation: Transforms extracted feature vectors into low-dimensional representations (embeddings) while retaining semantic relationships.

Embeddings are typically generated using neural network layers or specialized techniques like:

1. Fully connected layers in CNN architectures.
2. Pre-trained models (e.g., ResNet, CLIP, BERT for vision-language tasks).

Normalization: Scales embeddings (e.g., L2 normalization) to ensure consistent similarity computation. Helps reduce biases caused by scale differences in raw feature vectors.

Dimensionality Reduction (Optional): Uses techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or t-SNE for visualization or to improve computational efficiency.

E. Main Execution Module

The Main Execution Module serves as the central hub for managing and coordinating the various components of a semantic-based image indexing system. It ensures that all interconnected modules (e.g., preprocessing, feature extraction, semantic mapping, embedding, similarity matching, and retrieval) work together seamlessly. This module also acts as the interface between the user and the system, processing inputs and delivering meaningful outputs efficiently.

Key Features of Front-End Design

1. Query Input Interface:

Text Input: Allows users to input natural language queries.

Image Input: Drag-and-drop or upload buttons for image-based queries.

Hybrid Query Support: Combines text and image inputs for more specific searches.

2. Result Display:

Grid or list view of retrieved images with annotations and similarity scores.

Filters and sorting options (e.g., by relevance, upload date, or semantic tags).

3. Navigation and Layout:

User-friendly navigation menus for seamless interaction.

A clear, structured layout that prioritizes ease of use.

4. Interactive Elements:

Clickable tags or annotations for exploring related images.

Zoom-in or enlarge options for viewing detailed image content.

5. Real-Time Search:

Instant query results using asynchro

F. Front-End designing & Development

The Front-End Design and Development module is the user interface (UI) layer of the semantic-based image indexing system. It focuses on creating an intuitive, responsive, and visually appealing interface that enables users to interact with the system efficiently. This module is critical for ensuring a seamless user experience, whether for uploading images, entering queries, or viewing search results.

Key Features of Front-End Design

1. Query Input Interface:

Text Input: Allows users to input natural language queries.

Image Input: Drag-and-drop or upload buttons for image-based queries.

Hybrid Query Support: Combines text and image inputs for more specific searches.

2. Result Display:

Grid or list view of retrieved images with annotations and similarity scores.

Filters and sorting options (e.g., by relevance, upload date, or semantic tags).

3. Navigation and Layout:

User-friendly navigation menus for seamless interaction.

A clear, structured layout that prioritizes ease of use.

4. Interactive Elements:

Clickable tags or annotations for exploring related images.

Zoom-in or enlarge options for viewing detailed image content.

5. Real-Time Search:

Instant query results using asynchronous loading techniques (e.g., AJAX, Fetch API).

G. Image Retrieval

Image Retrieval refers to the process of finding and ranking images from a database that are semantically similar or relevant to a given query. This query can be an image, text, or a combination of both. The goal of image retrieval in semantic-based indexing is to leverage meaningful, high-level semantic information rather than relying solely on low-level features like color or texture.

Key Components of Image Retrieval

1. Query Input:

Text Query: User inputs keywords or descriptions (e.g., "sunset on a beach").

Image Query: User uploads an image for visual similarity search.

Hybrid Query: Combines text and image (e.g., "beach with a similar style to this photo").

2. Feature Representation:

Represents both database images and queries as feature vectors in a high-dimensional space.

Uses embeddings to capture semantic meaning from image content or text annotations.

3. Similarity Matching:

Compares the query's feature vector with those of the indexed images.

Calculates similarity scores using metrics like Cosine Similarity, Euclidean Distance, or Dot Product.

4. Ranking:

Sorts the retrieved images based on their similarity scores in descending order.

Ensures the most relevant images are displayed first.

5. Result Presentation:

Displays a ranked list or grid of images with semantic metadata (e.g., tags, similarity scores, or captions).

H. Model Evaluation and Fine-Tuning

Model evaluation and fine-tuning ensure that the semantic-based image indexing system performs effectively by measuring its accuracy, scalability, and relevance. This process involves assessing the system's components (e.g., feature extraction, embedding generation, similarity matching) and iteratively improving their performance to align with the desired objectives.

.1. Model Evaluation

Evaluation focuses on analyzing the model's performance to identify strengths and weaknesses. It involves the following aspects:

Key Metrics for Evaluation

1. Retrieval Metrics:

Precision@K: Measures the proportion of relevant images in the top K results.

Recall: Evaluates the model's ability to retrieve all relevant images from the dataset.

Mean Average Precision (mAP): Averages precision scores across all queries.

Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG): Considers both relevance and ranking of retrieved images.

2. Embedding Quality:

Clustering Metrics: Use Silhouette Score or Davies-Bouldin Index to evaluate the compactness of embeddings.

t-SNE/UMAP Visualization: Visual inspection of embedding distributions.

3. Similarity Matching:

Compare similarity scores against ground truth labels.

4. Latency and Scalability:

Measure response time for queries.

Evaluate performance with increasing dataset size.

I. Final Integration Module

The Final Integration Module is responsible for bringing together all the individual components and modules of the semantic-based image indexing system into a unified, fully functioning system. This module ensures that all processing steps — from image preprocessing to feature extraction, semantic mapping, indexing, and retrieval — work in harmony. It also handles the deployment of the system for real-world use, ensuring the system is scalable, responsive, and easy to use.

Key Functions

1. System Workflow Integration:

Integrates various modules like image preprocessing, feature extraction, semantic mapping, embedding, and retrieval into a cohesive pipeline

Ensures data flows seamlessly across these modules, maintaining consistency and ensuring that outputs from one module correctly serve as inputs for the next.

2. User Query Handling:

Accepts and processes user queries (both textual and visual) via the interface, routes them through the system pipeline, and returns the most relevant results based on semantic similarity.

Supports both image-to-image and text-to-image query types, ensuring the system can understand and process diverse input forms.

3. System Optimization:

Implements performance improvements, such as parallel processing, caching, and load balancing, to handle large-scale datasets and a high volume of queries.

Uses techniques such as query result caching to improve response times.

4. Scalability and Maintenance:

Ensures the system can handle growing image datasets by integrating scalable database systems or cloud-based solutions (e.g., cloud storage, distributed databases).

Facilitates the addition of new images to the index and ensures the system adapts to changes in data over time.

5. Result Presentation and Feedback:

Aggregates the results of the similarity and ranking process and presents them in a user-friendly format, such as a grid of images with associated metadata or textual descriptions.

Collects user feedback on retrieval results to refine and enhance the system.


6. Deployment and Real-Time Use:

Packages the system for deployment, including server-side components (APIs, databases) and client-side interfaces (web, mobile).


Ensures the system is robust for real-time usage, monitoring system health, uptime, and responsiveness.

V. RESULTS

1) User Interface



2) Final output



VI. METHODOLOGY

The proposed system integrates CNN-based feature extraction with a semantic indexing framework. The workflow comprises the following key steps:

- 1) **Data Preprocessing:** Images from the CIFAR-10 dataset are resized to 32x32 pixels and normalized for uniform input representation. Augmentation techniques like flipping, rotation, and brightness adjustment are applied to enhance model generalization.
- 2) **CNN Model Design:**
 - The CNN architecture consists of three convolutional layers with ReLU activation functions, followed by max-pooling layers to reduce spatial dimensions.
 - Fully connected layers map the extracted features to a semantic space, where each class is represented by a unique vector.
 - Dropout regularization is applied to prevent overfitting.

- 3) **Semantic Mapping:** Each image is assigned a high-dimensional vector embedding derived from the CNN's output. These embeddings capture the semantic essence of the image, such as "cat" or "car."
- 4) **Indexing Mechanism:** We employ a k-d tree structure for efficient indexing and retrieval. The tree allows rapid nearest-neighbor searches in the high-dimensional semantic space.
- 5) **Evaluation Metrics:** Precision, recall, and mean Average Precision (mAP) are used to quantify retrieval performance.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a robust semantic-based image indexing system designed to enhance retrieval accuracy. Using a custom CNN model, we successfully mapped images to high-level semantic representations, enabling precise and efficient retrieval. The system outperformed traditional methods in both quantitative and qualitative evaluations, demonstrating its applicability to real-world scenarios. Future work will focus on scaling the system to larger datasets, incorporating multi-modal data, and exploring real-time retrieval solutions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhu, L., & Zhang, L. (2020). "Deep learning-based image retrieval: A survey." *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 74, 102912.
- [2] Liu, X., & Xu, Z. (2021). "Semantic Image Retrieval Using Deep Convolutional Features and Enhanced Tagging." *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30, 1248-1259.
- [3] Zhang, Z., & Liu, S. (2022). "A semantic-driven deep learning approach for image indexing and retrieval." *Neurocomputing*, 476, 90-101.
- [4] Chen, Y., & Zhao, J. (2023). "Efficient semantic-based image retrieval using multi-scale feature fusion." *Pattern Recognition*, 131, 107755



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)