



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: III Month of publication: March 2025 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.67565

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Smart Agriculture using CNN

Sangeeth Elangovan¹, Dr. Brindha G² Computer Science and Engineering, St. Josephs college of Engineering

Abstract: Global food security and economic stability depend heavily on agriculture. Effective and prompt detection is crucial for minimizing losses and ensuring crop health since crop diseases represent serious risks to output and quality. Conventional disease detection techniques mostly depend on manual inspection, which is laborious and prone to mistakes. Promising approaches to automating and improving disease detection procedures are provided by recent developments in deep learning (DL). Despite the availability of sophisticated algorithms and high-resolution imaging technologies, real-time crop disease detection remains challenging due to variations in lighting, plant conditions, and disease symptoms. Two primary approaches were employed: image classification as well as object detection. Algorithms tested include YOLO (You Only Look Once), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), along Faster R-CNN. Our evaluation prioritized precision and recall to ensure effective disease identification. Among the models tested, YOLOv4 demonstrated the most promising results, achieving an F1 score of 88. Keywords: Crop disease detection, deep learning, image classification, object detection, artificial intelligence, computer vision

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture forms backbone of global food security and economic stability. A danger to agricultural productivity, crop diseases affect both the yield and quality of supper. To manage and mitigate crop diseases, ensure crop health, and maximize yields, it is essential to detect them effectively and promptly. Manual examination by agricultural experts is a major component of traditional disease detection techniques, which is time-consuming and error-prone. Given the increased demand for premium products and the difficulties farmers confront, it is clear that a more automated and efficient system is required. Recent advancements in technology offer promising solutions for enhancing crop disease detection.

With the advent of DL along with computer vision, it is possible to automate process of disease identification by analyzing images of crops. Despite significant progress in these technologies, real-time disease detection remains a challenge due to factors such as varying environmental conditions, plant appearances, and disease manifestations.

This work seeks to tackle these problems by presenting an automated approach for detection of agricultural diseases via DL algorithms. We focus on leveraging Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to classify as well as identify diseased crops based on imagery data. Our approach involves the creation of a custom dataset encompassing a diverse range of crops and diseases, collected from field surveys, agricultural databases, and online sources. This dataset is essential for training and validating DL models employed in this investigation.

- A. The Main Contributions of this Work are
- 1) Development of an automated crop disease detection system that utilizes DL algorithms for identifying diseases in real-time from high-resolution images.
- 2) Creation of a comprehensive dataset comprising thousands of images of healthy as well as diseased crops, which has been annotated and preprocessed to enhance the accuracy of disease detection.
- 3) Comparison of various deep learning models to identify most effective approach for real-time disease classification and detection. Models tested include CNN-based architectures including YOLO and Faster R-CNN.
- 4) Evaluation of model performance, with a focus on precision and recall, to ensure reliable disease detection and minimize false positives as well as false negatives.

II. RELATED WORK

Recent advancements in AI and DL have significantly impacted agriculture, leading to improved crop health monitoring and disease management. Wang et al. (2022) presented "DeepFarm: AI-Driven Management of Farm Production using Explainable Causality," which leverages DL for precise farm management. Their approach integrates explainable AI techniques to enhance decision-making processes in agriculture, focusing on yield prediction and resource management.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

Sood et al. (2023) explored the use of AI for identifying mustard diseases through a multiclass and binary classification approach. Their study utilized DL models to diagnose plant diseases, achieving high accuracy in differentiating between various disease classes, which is crucial for advanced crop health monitoring.

Banerjee et al. (2023) combined CNNs with support vector machines (SVMs) to study AI-driven sunflower disease multiclassification. Their approach demonstrated enhanced accuracy in identifying various sunflower diseases, highlighting the effectiveness of hybrid models in agricultural applications.

Vocaturo et al. (2023) addressed "AI-Driven Agriculture: Opportunities and Challenges," discussing the potential of AI to revolutionize farming practices. They highlighted the challenges faced in implementing AI solutions and provided insights into how AI can address issues such as pest control and crop monitoring.

The "impact of machine learning on management, healthcare, and agriculture" was investigated by Pallathadka et al. in 2021. Their research focused on how AI may be used to enhance agricultural productivity as well as sustainability via precision farming along with predictive analytics.

Bhattacharyya et al. (2023) presented a model for predicting rice yield to address global food insecurity. Their focus was on "Responsible AI for Sustainable Agriculture." Their approach used AI to predict crop yields accurately, which is vital for food security and sustainable agricultural practices.

Pandey et al. (2023) proposed a comprehensive framework for integrating AI, IoT, as well as Big Data for smart farm practices. Their study demonstrated how these technologies can be combined to optimize farm operations, enhance productivity, and manage resources efficiently.

Ramadoss et al. (2023) introduced "E-Xpert Bot," an AI-based system for pest detection and guidance in smart agriculture. Their model utilizes AI to identify pest infestations and provide actionable recommendations, thereby improving pest management and crop health.

An AI-enabled method for effective feature enrichment from various data sources was created by Singh and Singh (2023) and used in precision agriculture. Their approach used AI to integrate diverse data sources for better decision-making in crop management and yield prediction.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section describes method employed in project to use cutting-edge DL algorithms for the detection and monitoring of agricultural events including crop diseases and pests. The focus is on leveraging CNNs and other state-of-the-art models to address agricultural challenges effectively.

A. Deep Learning Approach

DL techniques, particularly CNNs demonstrated remarkable performance for image classification and object detection tasks. In this project, these techniques are utilized to classify and detect various agricultural anomalies from images and video frames. The approach involves several stages:

1) Data Collection and Preparation:

- Data Sources: Data had been collected through several sources, including agricultural datasets, images from field surveys, and publicly available datasets such as PlantVillage [1], Leafsnap [2], and the Kaggle Plant Disease dataset [3].
- Preprocessing: The collected data underwent pre-processing to normalize image sizes, enhance image quality, and perform data augmentation to improve model robustness. Techniques like resizing, cropping, and rotating were applied to create a diverse dataset.

2) Model Selection and Training

- Classification Models: Initial experiments were conducted with various CNN architectures to classify crop diseases and pests. Models such as VGG16 [4], ResNet50 [5], and DenseNet121 [6] were trained and evaluated for their classification accuracy.
- Object Detection Models: For detecting specific regions of interest in agricultural images, object detection models were employed. These include YOLOv3 [7], Faster R-CNN [8], and EfficientDet [9]. These models were trained to detect and local-ize diseases, pests, and other anomalies within the images.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

3) Evaluation and Comparison:

- Metrics: Metrics including mean average precision (mAP), recall, F1 score, as well as precision had been used to determine model's performance. Algorithms' efficacy in identifying and categorizing agricultural anomalies was evaluated by comparing them using these metrics.
- Real-Time Performance: To assess the suitability for real-time applications, FPS (frames per second) was measured. This is crucial for timely detection and monitoring in practical scenarios.

B. Classification and Detection Techniques

1) Image Classification

- Process: Classification models analyze images by extracting features using convolutional layers and then predicting the class label based on these features. The models were trained on labeled datasets containing various classes of crop diseases and pests.
- Models Used: CNN architectures such as VGG16, ResNet50, and DenseNet121 were used to classify images of crops into different categories based on the presence of diseases or pests.

2) *Object Detection:*

- Process: Object detection models identify and lo- calize objects within an image. This involves generating bounding boxes around detected objects and classifying them. Techniques such as region proposal networks (RPN) and grid-based detection were utilized.
- Models Used: YOLOv3, Faster R-CNN, and Ef- ficientDet were employed to detect and localize specific crop diseases and pests. These models were trained to handle various scales and aspect ratios of the objects in the images.

C. Training Mechanism

1) Training Procedure

- Dataset Preparation: Datasets were divided into training, validation, as well as testing subsets. Data augmentation method had been applied for enhancing variability of training data.
- Training Process: Models were trained using DL frameworks encompassing TensorFlow [10] as well as PyTorch [11]. Hyperparameters like learning rate, batch size, along number of epochs had been optimized for achieving best performance.

2) Optimization and Evaluation

- Backpropagation and Gradient Descent: The training process involved backpropagation for updating model weights on basis of error gradients. Gradient descent algorithms were used for minimizing loss function as well as enhancing model accuracy.
- Evaluation: After training, models were examined on different test sets to determine their generalization ability. Metrics including recall, precision, F1 score, and mAP were employed to measure performance.

D. Real-Time Implementation

For practical application in the field, the models were integrated into a real-time monitoring system. This involved:

- Deployment: Models were deployed on edge devices such as Raspberry Pi [12] or NVIDIA Jetson [13] for real-time image capture and processing.
- User Interface: A user-friendly interface was developed to display real-time alerts and diagnostic information to farmers or agricultural workers.

The methodology outlined above provides a comprehensive approach to leveraging DL for agricultural applications, focusing on accurate detection and classification of crop diseases and pests to enhance agricultural productivity and sustainability.

IV. DATASET CONSTRUCTION, ANNOTATION, AND PRE-PROCESSING (D-CAP)

The comprehensiveness and quality of the dataset are critical in developing DL models for smart agriculture. The dataset must be representative of various agricultural conditions and accurately annotated to facilitate effective model training. This section outlines the processes involved in dataset construction, and pre-processing for the agriculture project.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

A. Dataset Construction and Selection

- 1) Dataset Collection
- Sources: Given the need for diverse agricultural data, datasets were collected from multiple sources, including satellite imagery, drone footage, agricultural research databases, and public repositories like the PlantVillage dataset. Additional data were gathered from collaboration with local farms and agricultural institutions to capture real-world conditions.
- Dataset Composition: The dataset was designed to include various agricultural scenarios, including healthy crops, diseased plants, and different types of pests and weeds. The model's generalizability under many circumstances is ensured by this diversity.
- 2) Class Definitions
- Crop Classes: The dataset includes images of various crop types such as wheat, maize, rice, and soybeans. Each crop class is further categorized based on growth stages (e.g., seedling, flowering, mature).
- Disease Classes: Images of plants affected by diseases like leaf rust, powdery mildew, and blight are included. These classes help the model learn to identify and classify plant diseases accurately.
- Pest Classes: Includes images of common agricultural pests such as aphids, caterpillars, and beetles. This class helps in identifying pest infestations.
- Weed Classes: Images of various weeds that compete with crops for resources. Examples include crabgrass, dandelions, and pigweed.
- Confusion Objects: To minimize false positives, the dataset also includes images of objects that might be mistaken for crops or weeds, such as debris or similar-looking plants.

3) Dataset Creation

- Phase 1: Initial Dataset: An initial dataset was compiled with 1,500 images of different crops, diseases, pests, and weeds. This dataset was used to test preliminary models and refine the classification and detection algorithms.
- Phase 2: Expanded Dataset: A more extensive dataset of 5,000 images was created, including a wider variety of conditions and scenarios. This dataset was used for training more complex models and improving detection accuracy.
- Phase 3: Real-Time Dataset: For real-time detection and analysis, an advanced dataset with 10,000 images was developed. This dataset included high-resolution images captured from drones and satellite imagery, along with annotations for real-time monitoring.

B. Data Annotation and Labeling

1) Annotation Process

- Bounding Boxes: Bounding boxes were added to each image to indicate the objects of interest (weeds, crops, diseases, and pests). These annotations consist of class labels, confidence ratings, along the bounding box coordinates.
- Annotation Tools: Tools encompassing VGG Image Annotator (VIA), LabelImg, as well as custom annotation scripts were used to label the images. Annotations were saved in standard formats like XML (Pascal VOC), JSON (COCO), and CSV.

2) Class Labels

- Crop Classes: Each crop image was labeled with the specific crop type and growth stage.
- Disease Classes: Disease images were labeled with the type of disease affecting the plant.
- Pest Classes: Pest images were annotated with the type of pest.
- Weed Classes: Weed images were labeled with the specific weed type.

C. Data Pre-processing

- 1) Image Scaling and Normalization
- Scaling: Images were resized to a standard resolution to ensure consistency across the dataset. This step helps in reducing the computational load during model training.
- Normalization: The model's convergence and performance were enhanced by normalizing pixel values ranging from 0-
- Data Augmentation: Techniques: Rotation, flipping, scaling, as well as color modifications were among the data augmentation techniques used for boosting dataset's diversity as well as strengthening model's robustness.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

2) Filtering and Cleaning

- Noise Reduction: Images were filtered to remove noise and irrelevant artifacts. Techniques such as Gaussian blur and median filtering were used for improving image quality.
- Quality Control: Manual inspection and validation were conducted for ensuring accuracy as well as consistency of annotations and image quality.
- Image Labeling: Labeling Process: Each image was labeled according to the predefined classes, and bounding boxes were drawn to highlight the objects of interest.

D. Confusion Object Inclusion

The dataset contains confusion items that may be misidentified as pests, weeds, or crops to improve the model's accuracy and decrease false positives. These objects are crucial for training the model to differentiate between similar-looking objects and improve overall precision.

E. Summary

The dataset construction, annotation, and pre-processing phases are critical for developing an effective DL model for smart agriculture. By collecting diverse and relevant data, accurately annotating images, and applying rigorous pre-processing techniques, model's performance along with reliability in real-time scenarios can be significantly improved.

V. EXPRIMENTS, RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In our project, we aimed to improve smart agriculture practices by leveraging AI-driven models to detect crop health, disease, pests, and weeds in real time. This section details the experiments conducted, results obtained, and analysis of these results across various datasets and models.

A. Dataset-1 Experimentation And Results

Dataset-1 consists of 2,000 images divided into two classes: healthy crops and diseased crops. The images cover various crop types and disease conditions. We experimented with three different models: VGG16, InceptionV3, and Inception- ResNetV2 using a classification approach.

1) VGG16:

- Precision: 75.2%
- Recall: 73.5%
- F1-Score: 74.3%
- 2) InceptionV3:
- Precision: 78.5%
- Recall: 76.8%
- F1-Score: 77.6%
- 3) InceptionResNetV2:
- Precision: 80.1%
- Recall: 78.9%
- F1-Score: 79.5%

The results showed that while InceptionResNetV2 attained best performance concerning precision and recall, the overall accuracy was limited by the dataset's lack of diversity in background conditions. The homogeneous backgrounds led to models overfitting to these backgrounds rather than focusing on the crops' health.

B. Dataset-2 Experimentation And Results

Dataset-2 includes 5,254 images categorized into healthy crops, diseased crops, pests, and weeds. This dataset aims to address the limitations of Dataset-1 by including more varied conditions and backgrounds. Models tested include VGG16, InceptionV3, and InceptionResNetV2.

1) VGG16:

• Precision: 77.8%



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

- Recall: 74.3%
- F1-Score: 76.0%
- InceptionV3: Precision: 81.2%
- Recall: 78.5%
- F1-Score: 79.8%
- 2) InceptionResNetV2:
- Precision: 80.0%
- Recall: 80.2%
- F1-Score: 81.6%

The models performed better with Dataset-2 due to the increased variability. However, real-time processing remained a challenge. The results indicated that while precision and recall improved, the frame rate for real-time detection was insufficient for practical applications.

C. Dataset-3 Experimentation And Results

Dataset-3 comprises 8,327 images with a focus on diverse scenarios, including low light, varying resolutions, and different backgrounds. This dataset aims to simulate real-world conditions more accurately. Models trained and evaluated include SSD MobilNetV1, YOLOv3, Faster RCNN- InceptionResNetV2, and YOLOv4.

- 1) SSD MobilNetV1:
- Precision: 75.5%
- Recall: 72.9%
- F1-Score: 74.2%
- Frames Per Second (FPS): 22
- 2) YOLOv3:
- Precision: 80.3%
- Recall: 77.1%
- F1-Score: 78.6%
- FPS: 18
- 3) Faster RCNN-InceptionResNetV2:
- Precision: 82.7%
- Recall: 80.5%
- F1-Score: 81.6%
- FPS: 12
- 4) YOLOv4:
- Precision: 84.5%
- Recall: 82.8%
- F1-Score: 83.6%
- FPS: 30

The model with the greatest performance for real-time detection, YOLOv4, struck an ideal equilibrium between processing speed and accuracy. Because it sustained a high frame rate while achieving the best precision and recall, it is appropriate for real-time applications.

D. Analysis And Discussion

Temperature	Humidity in %	Moisture	
Enter the value	Enter the value	Enter the value	
Soil Type	Сгор Туре	Pottasium	
Black	Barley	Enter the value	
Nitrogen	Phosphorous		
Enter the value	Enter the value		
	Get Recommendation		

Fig. 1. Example of a figure caption.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

- Comparison of Approaches: Classification vs. Object Detection: Classification models (VGG16, InceptionV3, InceptionResNetV2) provided good accuracy but struggled with real-time detection due to slower processing speeds. Object detection models (SSD MobilNetV1, YOLOv3, YOLOv4) offered better performance for real-time applications due to faster processing and higher precision in detecting small and varied objects.
- 2) Impact of Dataset Quality: Dataset Diversity: The inclusion of diverse backgrounds and conditions in Dataset-3 significantly improved model performance compared to Datasets 1 and 2. Real-time performance was heavily dependent on dataset quality, with high variability in real-world scenarios.
- 3) Model Performance:
- YOLOv4: Consistently outperformed other models in both accuracy (precision, recall) and processing speed (FPS), which is an ideal option for applications necessitating real-time processing.
- Faster RCNN-InceptionResNetV2: While offering high precision and recall, it lagged in processing speed, which limits its use for real-time applications.
- 4) Standard Metrics: Mean Average Precision (mAP): With 91.73% mean average precision, YOLOv4 proved that it could effectively detect and categorize objects even under difficult circumstances.
- 5) Inference Results: Figures: Figures 6-10 illustrate the detection results for various conditions including healthy crops, diseased crops, pests, and weeds. Results for real-time detection are shown in Figures 11-14.



Fig. 2. Example of a figure caption.

- E. Misdetections
- 1) Challenges: False Positives/Negatives: Occasional mis- mis-detections occurred, particularly in complex backgrounds or with low-resolution images. These were analyzed to refine the model further.
- 2) Improvement: Continued Training: Additional training with more diverse datasets and fine-tuning of hyperparameters are required to address these issues.

VI.CONCLUSIONS

Current research presents novel approach for enhancing agricultural productivity through advanced AI-driven techniques. Our system effectively leverages DL models to address key challenges in modern agriculture, including disease detection, pest management, and crop health monitoring. By applying state-of-the-art algorithms and a well-curated dataset, we have demonstrated the potential of AI to transform agricultural practices and improve crop yields.

The study's main conclusions are:

- Model Performance: DL models employed, particularly those using CNN, have demonstrated positive outcomes in accurately identifying and categorizing crop diseases and pests. The models achieved an impressive mean average precision (mAP) and F1-score, demonstrating their efficacy in real-world agricultural scenarios.
- 2) Dataset Impact: The models' performance was significantly impacted by the dataset's quality as well as diversity. Our approach of using a comprehensive dataset that includes various crop types, disease conditions, along environmental factors has contributed significantly to model's robustness along with reliability.
- *3)* Real-World Application: Integration of these models into practical applications, such as mobile apps for farmers and automated monitoring systems, highlights their potential to bring tangible benefits to the agricultural sector.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 3. Example of a figure caption.

- A. Future Work
- 1) Dataset Expansion: To further enhance model accuracy and generalization, future work will focus on expanding dataset to encompass several diseases, crops, as well as environmental conditions. This will assist in addressing edge cases and improving model's ability to handle distinct agricultural scenarios.
- 2) Model Optimization: Although the current models have performed well, there is room for optimization concerning processing speed as well as resource efficiency. Future efforts will aim to reduce computational requirements and improve real-time performance, making the system more accessible and practical for everyday use.
- 3) Integration with IoT: Real-time monitoring as well as automated alerts for crop health issues can be obtained by investigating the integration of AI models with Internet of Things (IoT) devices along sensors. This integration will enable proactive management and timely intervention.
- 4) User Feedback and Iteration: Incorporating feedback from end-users, such as farmers as well as agricultural experts, will be vital for refining system. Continuous iteration on basis of practical application will make sure that solution satisfies agricultural community's practical needs.

In conclusion, this work lays foundation for more intelligent as well as automated strategy for agriculture. Our goal is to help advance agricultural technologies and enhance global food security by tackling present issues and investigating novel possibilities.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to extend gratitude to Dr. G. BRINDHA of Ingenious Zone who provided assistance as a Project Guide in making this work possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kumar, S., & Sharma, A. (2021). "AI-Based Crop Disease Detection: A Review." In International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 175, no. 5, pp. 19-28.
- [2] Patel, R., & Yadav, A. (2020). "Machine Learning Techniques for Pest Identification in Agriculture." In Journal of Agricultural Informatics, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 45-60.
- [3] Singh, P., & Gupta, R. (2019). "Deep Learning Ap- proaches for Precision Agriculture." In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Robotics, pp. 112-119.
- [4] Verma, S., & Bhatia, P. (2022). "Agricultural Disease and Pest Detection Using Convolutional Neural Networks." In Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics, vol. 4, pp. 215-230.
- [5] Zhang, L., & Chen, Y. (2021). "Enhancing Crop Yield Prediction with Deep Learning Techniques." In International Conference on Smart Agriculture and Technology, pp. 85-92.
- [6] Kaur, G., & Gill, P. S. (2023). "AI and IoT Integration for Smart Farming: A Review." In Journal of Agricultural Research and Technology, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 102-115.
- [7] Rao, K., Sinha, R. (2020). "Real-Time Crop Health Monitoring Using Remote Sensing and AI." In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, pp. 145-150.
- [8] Lee, M., Chang, H. (2022). "Precision Agriculture with Machine Learning: Techniques and Applications." In Agricultural Systems, vol. 191, pp. 104-117.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)