



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: III Month of publication: March 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.67357

www.ijraset.com

Call: © 08813907089 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

### Social Media Regulation and Freedom of Speech in India: Challenges and Opportunities

### Prerna Chavan

LL.B. – Department of BA LLB, KES' Shri. Jayantilal H. Patel Law College, India

Abstract: Social media has transformed how people communicate worldwide, and India is no exception. These platforms provide individuals with a powerful tool to express their thoughts, share opinions, and engage in public discourse like never before. However, this freedom also comes with challenges—misinformation, hate speech, and incitement to violence can spread rapidly, often leading to real-world consequences. This paper examines India's legal framework for social media regulation, focusing on the delicate balance between safeguarding free speech and curbing harmful content. Through an analysis of key legislation, landmark court rulings, and real-world incidents, this study proposes a regulatory approach that upholds free expression while ensuring online safety and accountability.

Keywords: Social Media, Free Speech, Regulation, India, IT Act, Content Moderation, Digital Media

### I. INTRODUCTION

Social media platforms like Facebook, X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, and WhatsApp are no longer just communication tools—they have become central to public discourse, activism, and even political movements. In India, where internet penetration is rapidly increasing, these platforms have played a crucial role in shaping opinions, mobilizing protests, and amplifying voices on national and global issues.

However, while these platforms allow people to share ideas freely, they also create significant challenges. The same tools that enable free expression can also be exploited to spread harmful content such as fake news, hate speech, and inflammatory posts. This paper aims to examine the Indian legal system's approach to regulating social media platforms while safeguarding citizens' fundamental right to free speech.

It explores how India has attempted to strike a balance between these two competing interests and the challenges involved in regulating such a fast-evolving space.

### II. METHODOLOGY

To understand the challenges and opportunities of social media regulation in India, this paper follows a structured approach:

- 1) Legal Analysis We examine key laws and regulations, such as the Information Technology Act, 2000, and the IT Rules, 2021, to understand the legal framework governing social media platforms in India.
- 2) Case Study Approach We analyze landmark cases like Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015) to see how courts have shaped digital free speech laws.
- 3) Comparative Perspective We look at how other countries regulate social media to identify best practices that could be adapted for India.
- 4) Public and Expert Opinions We review discussions from legal scholars, policymakers, and technology experts to get diverse perspectives on the issue.
- 5) Real-World Incidents We explore recent controversies, such as content takedowns and misinformation campaigns, to see how regulations are applied in practice.

By combining these methods, we aim to provide a balanced and practical understanding of India's social media regulatory landscape.

### III. BACKGROUND: SOCIAL MEDIA AND THE INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK

### A. The Role of Social Media in India

Social media platforms have fundamentally changed the way citizens engage with one another and with their government. They have become critical tools for the Indian public to voice their opinions on political and social issues, organize protests, and even bring about significant social change. For example, the #MeToo movement and various election campaigns in India relied heavily on social media to spread awareness and mobilize supporters.



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538

Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

However, the rise of digital media has also introduced challenges. Misinformation spreads rapidly, hate speech fuels societal divisions, and digital propaganda can manipulate public opinion. In response, the Indian government has sought to regulate social media while ensuring that digital freedoms remain intact.

### B. Key Legal Provisions

India's primary legislation governing digital media is the Information Technology Act, 2000 (IT Act), which sets rules for cyber security, digital contracts, and intermediary liability. Under this law, social media platforms are classified as "intermediaries", meaning they must comply with government directives to regulate user-generated content.

In 2021, the government introduced the IT (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, which placed additional responsibilities on platforms. These rules mandate that intermediaries: Appoint grievance officers to address user complaints .Remove unlawful content within a specific timeframe.

Identify the originator of certain messages when required by law enforcement. A pivotal legal case, Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015), shaped India's approach to digital free speech. The Supreme Court struck down Section 66A of the IT Act, which criminalized sending Together, these provisions lay the groundwork for India's current approach to regulating social media. However, as the digital landscape continues to evolve, there is a constant need for legal frameworks to adapt to new technologies and challenges.

### IV. CURRENT LEGAL CHALLENGES

### A. Regulation vs. Free Speech

The Indian Constitution guarantees citizens the right to freedom of speech and expression. However, this right is not absolute; it is subject to certain reasonable restrictions. While the government has the authority to regulate speech to maintain public order, protect national security, and prevent defamation, these regulations must be carefully crafted to avoid infringing on the right to free expression. The challenge in regulating social media lies in finding the right balance. On one hand, the government has an obligation to protect its citizens from harmful online content. On the other hand, overly broad regulations can lead to censorship and inhibit free speech. Critics argue that the **IT Rules, 2021**, which mandate content removal within specific timeframes, could result in excessive censorship if not implemented transparently.

### B. Moderating Content

Content moderation is another area where social media platforms face criticism. While the platforms are required to remove harmful or illegal content, the definition of what constitutes "objectionable" content can often be vague. In many cases, platforms rely on automated systems or content moderation teams to make decisions, but these systems can be error-prone and sometimes result in the removal of legitimate speech.

One of the biggest concerns regarding content moderation is the potential for abuse. Critics argue that social media companies might over-remove content to avoid government scrutiny or legal penalties, leading to the suppression of lawful and valuable discourse. The challenge here is to ensure that content moderation is fair, transparent, and consistent, without undermining the fundamental right to free speech.

### C. Cross-Jurisdictional Issues

Social media platforms operate on a global scale, making cross-jurisdictional issues a significant challenge. The content shared on platforms like Facebook or Twitter is often subject to multiple sets of laws, from the U.S. to India, which may have conflicting rules about what can and cannot be posted online. The transnational nature of social media means that India must ensure its regulations align with international standards while respecting local cultural values.

### V. CASE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS

### A. Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)

The Shreya Singhal case is one of the most important legal decisions regarding free speech on the internet in India. The Supreme Court ruled that Section 66A of the IT Act was unconstitutional because it was too vague and gave authorities too much power to restrict online speech. This ruling emphasized the importance of narrowly tailored laws that don't overreach into the domain of free expression. The case has since been cited in numerous discussions about digital regulations, especially in debates over whether content moderation practices might infringe on the right to free speech.



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538 Volume 13 Issue III Mar 2025- Available at www.ijraset.com

### B. Recent Instances of Content Regulation

Over the past few years, incidents such as the spread of fake news during elections and the viral spread of hate speech on social media platforms have brought the issue of content regulation to the forefront. In some cases, government authorities have stepped in, ordering platforms to remove specific posts or take down entire accounts. However, these incidents also raise questions about the transparency and fairness of such actions.

These real-world examples highlight the ongoing struggle between maintaining public order and protecting free speech. They demonstrate the need for clear guidelines that can help strike the right balance.

### VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

To address the challenges outlined above, we offer the following recommendations:

- 1) Clear and Transparent Guidelines: There is a need for clear definitions of what constitutes harmful content. These guidelines should be publicly available and accessible, ensuring that both users and platforms understand the rules they must follow.
- 2) Judicial Oversight: Content removal orders should be subject to judicial review. This would prevent arbitrary censorship and ensure that decisions are made fairly and in accordance with the law.
- 3) Public Consultation: The development of digital content policies should involve input from a variety of stakeholders, including civil society, legal experts, tech companies, and the public. This approach will help create more inclusive and fair regulations.
- 4) Regular Review of Regulations: Given the rapid pace of technological change, it is essential that India's social media regulations are periodically reviewed and updated to keep up with new challenges and innovations.

### VII. CONCLUSION

Social media regulation in India is a complex issue that requires careful consideration of both legal and social factors. On one hand, the right to free speech is enshrined in the Indian Constitution, and it must be protected. On the other hand, the government has a duty to ensure that social media platforms do not become breeding grounds for harmful content. This paper has highlighted the challenges, provided case studies, and offered recommendations for creating a more balanced regulatory framework. By ensuring transparency, accountability, and fairness in the regulation of social media, India can protect its citizens' right to free expression while safeguarding the online space from harmful content.

### VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank our professors and colleagues at Greenfield University for their invaluable feedback and discussions that greatly contributed to shaping this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Shreya Singhal v. Union of India, (2015) 5 SCC 1 (India).
- [2] https://indiankanoon.org/doc/110813550/
- [3] Information Technology Act, No. 21 of 2000, India Code (2000). Information Technology Act, 2000, Government of India.
- [4] N. Bansal & S. Desai, Content Moderation and Free Speech in India, 3 Indian J. Internet L. 58, 58-70 (2019).
- [5] Gupta, A. (2022). Social Media Regulation in India: Balancing Free Expression and Public Order. Journal of Indian Law and Technology, 4(2), 101-115.
- [6] Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, Gazette of India (Feb. 25, 2021).
- [7] https://www.meity.gov.in/writereaddata/files/Intermediary\_Guidelines\_and\_Digital\_Media\_Ethics\_Code\_Rules-2021.pdf
- [8] Information Technology Act, No. 21 of 2000, India Code (2000).
- $[9] \quad https://legislative.gov.in/sites/default/files/A2000-21\_0.pdf$









45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call: 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)