iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 9 **Issue:** X **Month of publication:** October 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.38594

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

Telecommunication Tower on Residential Apartment at Jabalpur City with Building Improvement Analysis

Vaibhav Italy[1], Prof. Rahul Sharma[2]
[1]*P. G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Prashanti Institute of Technology and Science, Ujjain (M. P.), India*
[2]*Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Prashanti Institute of Technology and Science, Ujjain (M. P.), India*

Abstract: ***The building is analysis and study under the load of seismic behaviour, the six diverse cases are taken and the end outcome considerations are analysed, and it showed that building is well-organized as evaluate to other. Many analyses have been performed on various structures along diverse boundaries. The cases are supposed to be situated in earthquake zone III. Total 6 cases consider for this study and after that result of the worst case among all cases we have set another case with outrigger system then analysis the whole cases. G+19 building is considered for the research. The study obtained by using STAAD pro software. Graphs and different constraints are shown in tabular form. In this research work we have especially adaptation for the worst case for enhancing its property so structure will not be considered as worst.***
Keywords: Telecommunication Towers, Seismic Activities, High-Rise, Tower Location, Seismic Analysis Method, Tower

I. INTRODUCTION

In this age of communication and networking telecommunication towers plays important role in human society. At times of occurrence of natural disasters, telecommunication towers have the crucial task of instant transmission of information from the affected areas to the rescue centres. In addition, performance of infrastructure such as dams, electric, gas, and fuel transmission stations, depends extensively on the information being transmitted via these telecommunication towers. Military and defence industries in addition to television, radio, and telecommunication industries are other areas of application for such towers and thus create the necessity for further research on telecommunication towers. Telecommunication towers are tall structure usually designed for supporting parabolic antennas which are normally used for microwave transmission for communication, also used for sending radio, television signals to remote places and they are installed at a specific height. These towers are self-supporting structures and categorized as three-legged and four-legged space trussed structures. The self-supporting towers are normally square or triangular in plan and are supported on ground or on buildings. They act as cantilever trusses and are designed to carry wind and seismic loads. These towers even though demand more steel but cover less base area, due to which they are suitable in many situations.

II. OBJECTIVES

To find the most efficient and Worst location of Tower, following objectives have been decided for Residential Apartment Building:-

1) To obtain the minimum values of Nodal Displacement and Base Shear in both X and Z direction
2) To determine Time period and Mass participation factor in both X and Z direction.
3) To find Maximum Axial Forces, Shear Force and Bending Moment in Column.
4) To compare Maximum Shear Forces, Bending Moments and Torsional Moments in beams parallel to X and Z direction.

To find the most efficient and Worst location of Tower, following objectives have been decided for Tower:-

a) To obtain the minimum values of Nodal Displacement and Base Shear in both X and Z direction
b) To determine Time period and Mass participation factor in both X and Z direction.
c) To find Maximum Axial Forces, Shear Force and Bending Moment in Column.

To obtain the most efficient parametric case and worst case by comparing all and then to erect the worst one, following objectives have been decided for both Residential Apartment Building and Tower:-

- To obtain the minimum values of Nodal Displacement and Base Shear in both X and Z direction
- To determine Time period and Mass participation factor in both X and Z direction.
- To find Maximum Axial Forces, Shear Force and Bending Moment in Column.
- To compare Maximum Shear Forces, Bending Moments and Torsional Moments in beams parallel to X and Z direction
- To obtain the minimum values of Nodal Displacement and Base Shear in both X and Z direction
- To determine Time period and Mass participation factor in both X and Z direction.
- To find Maximum Axial Forces, Shear Force and Bending Moment in Column.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

III.METHODOLOGY AND MODELING




Fig. 1: Plan of all buildings




Fig. 2: 3D view of the Tower

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com




Fig. 3: Case TTRA1: - Residential Apartment with tower at location 1




Fig. 4: Case TTRA2: - Residential Apartment with tower at location 2




Fig. 5: Case TTRA1: - Residential Apartment with tower at location 3




Fig. 6: Case TTRA1: - Residential Apartment with tower at location 4

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com




Fig. 7: Case TTRA5: - Residential Apartment with tower at location 5




Fig. 8: Case TTRA3-OT: - Worst case optimized by implementing outrigger and belt supported system

Table 1: Data taken for analysis of structure

Constraint	Assumed data for all buildings
Soil type	Medium Soil
Seismic zone	III (Jabalpur City, M. P.)
Response reduction factor (ordinary shear wall with SMRF)	4
Importance factor (For all semi commercial building)	1.2
Damping ratio	5%
Plinth area of building	625 sq. m
Floors configuration	G + 19 (Residential Apartment)
Depth of foundation	4 m
Floor to floor height	GF-4 m, All floors-3.5 m each
Fundamental natural period of vibration (T_a)	$0.09*h/(d)^{0.5}$
Earthquake parameters	Zone III with RF 4 & 5% damping ratio
Period in X & Z direction	1.404 sec. & 1.404 sec. for both direction
Slab thickness	140 mm (0.140 m)
Shear wall and Outrigger thickness	135 mm (0.135 m)
Tower horizontal and Vertical elements	ISA 130x130x16
Tower bracing elements	ISA 100x100x15
Tower steel standing plate	25mm thick steel plate
Beam sizes	Up to 25.50m – 0.55m x0.40m Up to 50m – 0.50m x0.35m Up to 74.50m – 0.45m x0.30m
Column sizes	Up to 25.50m – 0.65m x0.60m Up to 50m – 0.55m x0.50m Up to 74.50m – 0.45m x0.40m
Material properties	M 30 Concrete Fe 500 grade steel

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

IV. RESULTS ANALYSIS

The result parameters obtained by the application of loads and their combinations on various cases as per Indian Standard 1893: 2016 code of practice. Result of each parameter has discussed with its representation in graphical form below:-

A. Discussions for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 9: Graphical Representation of Maximum Displacement in X and Z direction




Fig. 10: Graphical Representation of Base Shear in X and Z direction





Fig. 11: Graphical Representation of Time Period parallel to X and Z directions for all Buildings in Zone III






Fig. 12: Graphical Representation of Mass Participation Factor in X and Z directions for all Buildings in Zone III

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved




Fig. 13: Graphical Representation of Maximum Axial Forces in Column




Fig. 14: Graphical Representation of Maximum Shear Force in Column




Fig. 15: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment in Column




Fig. 16: Graphical Representation of Maximum Shear Force in Beam





Fig. 17: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment in Beams parallel to X and Z directions

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved






Fig. 18: Graphical Representation of Maximum Torsional Moment in Beams parallel to X and Z directions

B. Discussions for Tower




Fig. 19: Graphical Representation of Maximum Displacement in Tower X direction and Z direction




Fig. 20: Graphical Representation of Maximum Axial Forces in Tower




Fig. 21: Graphical Representation of Maximum Shear Force in Tower Column




Fig. 22: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment in Tower

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

As per comparison of the numerous cases against various parameters among each other, it has been pointed out that the optimum case evolved will be Case TTRA1 in total 8 parameters and the worst case will be Case TTRA3 with total 8 cases.
If there is no provision of placing of tower to the optimum case, again the provision at planning stage that the tower will be located at the worst case as per this research, it has to be erected first by providing the outrigger system into it to make it more stable than before. Comparative analysis of worst case with its erected case has shown below:-

C. Discussion on Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 23: Comparative representation of Maximum Displacement in X and Z direction obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 24: Graphical Representation of Base Shear in X direction and Z direction obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building





Fig. 25: Graphical Representation of Time Period obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com






Fig. 26: Graphical Representation of Mass Participation Factor in X and Z direction for obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 27: Graphical Representation of Maximum Axial Forces in Column obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 28: Graphical Representation of Maximum Shear Force in Column obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 29: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment in Column obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building




Fig. 30: Graphical Representation of Maximum Shear Force in Beam obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved





Fig. 31: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment in Beam obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building






Fig. 32: Graphical Representation of Maximum Torsional Moments in beams parallel to Z direction obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Residential Apartment Building

D. Discussion on Worst Case and Erected Case for Tower




Fig. 33: Comparative representation of Maximum Displacement in X and Z direction obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Tower




Fig. 34: Graphical Representation of Maximum Axial Forces in obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Tower

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved




Fig. 35: Comparative representation of Maximum Shear Force obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Tower




Fig. 36: Graphical Representation of Maximum Bending Moment obtained in Worst Case and Erected Case for Tower

V. CONCLUSIONS

As we have studied about Telecommunication Tower on Residential Apartment at Jabalpur City with Building Improvement Analysis in software and there are 5 cases in software model. In this research work we study Telecommunication Tower on Residential Apartment at Jabalpur City with Building Improvement Analysis in software. On the basis of above parameters following results are obtained from this comparative study.

A. Results for Residential Apartment Building

1) On comparing it has been concluded that the maximum displacement in X direction obtained for case TTRA 2 with a minimum value respectively again maximum displacement in Z direction obtained for case TTRA 2 with a minimum value.
2) As per comparative results, TTRA 1 and TTRA 4 for base shear forces in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
3) As per comparative results in axial force, TTRA 2 is very effective than other cases.
4) As per comparative results, TTRA 2 and TTRA 1 for Column shear forces in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
5) As per comparative results, TTRA 1 and TTRA 1 for Column beam bending in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
6) As per comparative results, TTRA 1 and TTRA 1 for Beam shear forces in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
7) As per comparative results, TTRA 1 and TTRA 5 for Beam Bending Moment in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
8) On analyzing the Torsional Moment in beams TTRA 4 is very efficient and Torsional Moment in column TTRA 2 is very efficient

B. Results For Tower

1) On comparing results of Tower it has been concluded that the maximum displacement in X direction obtained for case TTRA 2 with a minimum value respectively again maximum displacement in Z direction obtained for case TTRA 1 with a minimum value.
2) As per comparative results in axial force, TTRA 5 is very effective than other cases.
3) As per comparative results of Tower, TTRA 5 and TTRA 5 for Column shear forces in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.
4) As per comparative results of Tower, TTRA 4 and TTRA 5 for Column beam bending in X direction and Z direction values are respectively efficient among all cases.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

As per comparison of the numerous cases against various parameters among each other, it has been pointed out that the optimum case evolved will be Case TTRA1 in total 8 parameters and the worst case will be Case TTRA3 with total 8 cases.

If there is no provision of placing of tower to the optimum case, again the provision at planning stage that the tower will be located at the worst case as per this research, it has to be erected first by providing the outrigger system into it to make it more stable than before. As per comparison between the worst case and the erected case, it has been proved that if such kind of provision situation arises, provision of erection in the analysis phase should be performed before the construction to lessen the higher parametric values as discussed in this research.

REFERENCES

[1] Nitin Bhosale, Prabhat Kumar, Pandey A. D (2012), "Influence of host structure characteristics on response of rooftop telecommunication towers" International Journal Of Civil And Structural Engineering, ISSN 0976-4399, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp 737 - 748.

[2] G. Ghodrati Amiri, M. A. Barkhordari, S.R. Massah and M.R. Vafaei (2007), "Earthquake Amplification Factors for Self-supporting 4-legged Telecommunication Towers", World Applied Sciences Journal, ISSN 1818-4952, Volume 2, Issue 6, pp 635-643.

[3] Ghyslaine Mcclure, Laura Georgi And RolaAssi (2004), "Seismic Considerations For Telecommunication Towers mounted on building rooftops", 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering Vancouver, B.C., Canada, Paper No. 1988.

[4] C. Preeti, K. Jagan Mohan (2013),"Analysis of Transmission Towers with Different Configurations", Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering, Volume 7, Issue 4, pp 450 – 460.

[5] G. Ghodrati Amiri, M. A. Barkhordari, S. R. Massah (2004), "Seismic Behavior Of 4-Legged Self-Supporting Telecommunication Towers", 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, Paper No. 215.

[6] Gholamreza Soltanzadeh, Hossein Shad, Mohammadreza Vafaei, Azlan Adnan (2014), " Seismic performance of 4-legged Self-supporting Telecommunication Towers", International Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering Research, ISSN 2277 – 9442, Volume 3, Issue 2, pp 329 – 332.

[7] Shailesh S. Goral, Prof. S. M. Barelikar (2017), "Review on Wind and Non-Linear Dynamic Analysis of Self-Supporting Telecommunication Tower", International Journal of Science Technology & Engineering, ISSN 2349-784X, Volume 3, Issue 10, pp 183 – 185.

[8] Arpit Chawda, Vijay Baradiya (2015), "Influence Of Structure Characteristics On Earthquake Response Under Different Position Of Rooftop Telecommunication Towers", International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, ISSN: 2277-9655, Volume 4, Issue 10, pp 73 – 78.

[9] Sourabh Rajoriya, K.K. Pathak, Vivekanand Vyas (2016), "Analysis of Transmission Tower for Seismic Loading Considering Different Height and Bracing System", International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology, ISSN: 2321-9653, Volume 4 Issue IX, pp 108 -118.

[10] Patil Vidya M, Lande Abhijeet C, "Structural Response of Lattice Steel Masts for Seismic Loading", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, ISSN: 2278-1684 PP: 36-42.

[11] Siddesha H (2010), "Wind Analysis of Microwave Antenna Towers", International Journal Of Applied Engineering Research, Dindigul, ISSN 0976 - 4259, Volume 1, Issue 3, pp 574 – 584.

[12] Jithesh Rajasekharan, S Vijaya (2014), "Analysis Of Telecommunication Tower Subjected To Seismic & Wind Loading", International Journal of Advancement in Engineering and Technology, Management & Applied Sciences, ISSN 2349 – 3224, Volume 1, Issue 2, pp 68 - 79.


[13] Richa Bhatt, A. D. Pandey, Vipul Prakash (2013), "Influence of modeling in the response of steel lattice mobile tower under wind loading" , International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology, ISSN : 2277-1581, Volume 2, Issue 3, pp 137 - 144.

[14] Hemal J shah, Dr. Atul K Desai (2014), "Seismic Analysis Of Tall Tv Tower Cosidering Different Bracing Systems", International Journal of Engineering, Business and Enterprise Applications, ISSN 2279 – 0039, Volume 14, Issue 178, pp 113 - 119.

[15] Tak, N., Pal, A. and Choudhary, M. (2020).Analysis of Building with Tower on Sloping Ground International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, DOI: https://doi.org/10.14741/ijcet/v.10.2.10 E-ISSN 2277-4106, P-ISSN 2347-5161 pp 247-254

[16] Tak, N., Pal, A. and Choudhary, M. (2020). A Review on Analysis of Tower on Building with Sloping Ground. International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science, 7(2), pp.84-87.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)