



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: V Month of publication: May 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.71295>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Performance & Job Satisfaction in Bengaluru, India

Jove Carolin J

CenJAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru

Abstract: *In Bengaluru, India, this study investigates how employee performance and job satisfaction are affected by the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the workplace. As technology develops quickly, businesses are implementing AI to streamline processes. This study looks into how such adoption affects the performance of specific employees. Using AI techniques, a quantitative survey of 150 workers from a range of industries was carried out. The findings imply that while job satisfaction results differ based on the type of AI implementation and employee roles, AI improves performance by increasing productivity and efficiency. The study advances knowledge of the human-AI relationship and makes recommendations for incorporating AI in ways that promote corporate objectives and worker welfare.*

I. OVERVIEW

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a disruptive force in many industries, changing the nature of labor and conventional workflows. AI technologies are drastically altering how businesses operate, from automating tedious jobs to facilitating sophisticated decision-making. Bengaluru, sometimes known as India's Silicon Valley, has emerged as a major center for AI integration and technical innovation. Examining how this change is affecting the workforce is essential as businesses in this city use AI solutions more and more.

A number of significant questions are brought up by the relationship between AI and human performance.

Although AI holds up the potential of increased production and efficiency, it also raises questions about job displacement, skill redundancy, and the psychological effects on workers. Workers' job performance and general job satisfaction may be impacted if their responsibilities change or are replaced. Successful adapters may also see increases in productivity, decreased workloads, and greater engagement at work.

The purpose of this study is to investigate how AI affects job satisfaction and staff performance, two important facets of workplace operations. Organizations looking to apply AI in a way that optimizes advantages while reducing potential disadvantages must comprehend these effects. Bengaluru offers a distinctive setting for this research because of its large concentration of knowledge workers and quick adoption of AI in industries like finance, healthcare, and information technology.

The perceptions and experiences of employees with AI technologies in their current workplace are the main subject of this study. It looks on how daily chores, performance results, and feelings about work are affected by AI tools. This study attempts to offer insights that assist businesses in developing more equitable, inclusive, and human-centered AI strategies by analyzing the advantages and disadvantages of AI integration.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Academic and organizational interest in the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in the workplace has grown. According to research, artificial intelligence (AI) solutions like chatbots, machine learning algorithms, and process automation tools have the potential to improve worker productivity and operational efficiency. According to Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017), AI is a potent performance booster that frees up workers to concentrate on higher-order duties by doing away with repetitive, routine work. The relationship between AI and job satisfaction is more complex, though.

The relationship between AI and job satisfaction is more complex, though. While some workers value AI's capacity to streamline tasks and cut down on mistakes, others suffer from anxiety brought on by job insecurity and the worry that they may be replaced (Frey & Osborne, 2013). According to studies, organizational support, the level of autonomy, and training given during the switch to AI-enabled technologies all have a significant impact on how employees feel about AI.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1968) states that intrinsic motivators including success, acknowledgment, and personal development are the source of job satisfaction. If AI improves an employee's capacity to perform effectively and feel appreciated, it can have a favorable impact on these variables. On the other hand, AI may lower motivation and happiness if it erodes human contribution or leaves responsibilities unclear.

The significance of implementing AI strategically is emphasized by Davenport and Ronanki (2018), who point out that when workers are engaged in the process and given the right training, the technology becomes a facilitator rather than a threat. Their research also emphasizes how important it is to manage change and communicate openly in order to promote acceptance. Research on how AI affects employee psychology is still in its infancy in India. Examining employee attitudes in Bengaluru, an area known for its technological prowess, offers important insights into how people and intelligent systems interact in developing nations.

A. Study Goals Include

- Analyzing how AI affects Bengaluru workers' performance.
- To assess the effect of AI on workers' job satisfaction.
- To determine the variables that function as mediators in the relationship between AI use and outcomes connected to employment.

B. Theories

- H1: Employee performance and AI adoption are positively correlated.
- H2: The use of AI significantly affects workers' job happiness.
- H3: Training and role clarity operate as mediators between AI's impact on job satisfaction.

III. OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES

Understanding how the use of artificial intelligence (AI) impacts the human aspects of work, especially employee performance and job happiness, is crucial as AI continues to influence the modern workplace. Even while technology has many advantages, such efficiency and automation, its impact on workers' psychological and behavioral characteristics is still being investigated, particularly in quickly changing workplaces like Bengaluru.

This study's main objective is to investigate and measure how AI technologies affect workers in Bengaluru, India, across a range of industries.

Organizations in Bengaluru provide a pertinent backdrop for evaluating the human-AI interface because of the city's standing as a major center for innovation and IT. The goal of this study is to determine whether using AI technologies at work improves or detracts from worker effectiveness and emotional health.

A. Approach

Research Design: Correlational and descriptive analysis

Sample: 150 individuals from various industries in Bengaluru, including IT, finance, and healthcare

Method of Sampling: Convenience sampling

Tools: structured survey using standardized performance and job satisfaction scores (e.g., Spector's Job Satisfaction Survey)

Data Gathering: Online questionnaire

Data Analysis: Regression and correlation analysis using SPSS

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results showed that employee performance and AI use were significantly positively correlated ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.01$). Nonetheless, there were conflicting findings about job satisfaction; workers in repetitive roles voiced concerns about job security, while those in decision-making roles reported higher levels of pleasure. Training and perceived control over AI technologies impacted work satisfaction results, according to regression analysis.

Discussion: These findings are consistent with worldwide patterns, demonstrating that while AI can improve performance, it can also cause anxiety in workers who don't receive the necessary assistance or training. To promote positive employee attitudes, organisational initiatives must incorporate upskilling and open communication.

V. METHODOLOGY

The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on worker performance and job satisfaction is investigated in this study using a quantitative research design. The study was carried out in Bengaluru, India, a significant centre for the use of AI and technology-driven enterprises.

Research approach: To evaluate the connection between AI implementation, worker performance, and job happiness, the study used a descriptive and correlational research approach. This method was used in order to use primary data gathered from working professionals to statistically analyse the correlations between variables.

Sample & Sampling Method: Convenience sampling was used to choose 50 participants in total. Employees from a variety of industries, including manufacturing, healthcare, finance, and information technology—all of which actively use AI tools—participated. Only workers with prior experience utilising AI tools in their current positions were included to guarantee relevance.

A. Data Collection

A structured online questionnaire was used to gather data. Three components comprised the questionnaire:

1. Demographics (gender, age, occupation, role, and experience)
2. AI Usage and Perception Scale: Personalised questions to gauge how often and how employees used AI technologies.
3. Standardised Scales: o Paul Spector's Job happiness Survey (JSS) to gauge employee happiness

One tool for assessing perceived individual performance is the Performance Self-Assessment Scale.

B. Method of Sampling

In Bengaluru, a tech-forward city with a high adoption rate of artificial intelligence, professionals from industries like IT, education, electric contracting, and customer service were targeted using a non-probability purposive sampling technique.

Criteria for Inclusion:

Working individuals that are at least 21 years old, currently based in Bengaluru, and have experience using AI-based tools or systems at work

The size of the sample

According to your dataset, a total of 25 replies were gathered. Participants in the age groups of 21–25, 26–30, and 36+ were mixed in terms of gender.

- The range of work experience was one year to more than five years.

Education, IT, electrical, design, customer service, and other industries were among them.

C. Data Analysis Methods

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was used to evaluate the collected data. The characteristics of the participants were compiled using descriptive statistics. To test the hypotheses and investigate the direction and degree of correlations between variables, correlation and multiple regression analyses were conducted.

This approach made it possible to systematically examine the ways in which AI technologies affect performance results and satisfaction levels and assisted in identifying moderating factors such as role clarity and training.

VI. RESTRICTIONS AND DIFFICULTIES

Notwithstanding its advantages, the data collection process had a number of drawbacks:

- **Digital Divide:** The sample may have been skewed towards more tech-savvy professionals by excluding certain possible respondents who had poor digital literacy or little internet access.
- **Self-Selection Bias:** Because participation was entirely voluntary, it's possible that people with strong views on AI—whether favourable or unfavorable—were drawn in, which could have limited generalisability.

The Surface-Level Reactions: Although enlightening, the very short qualitative prompt did not permit follow-up or probing as in focus groups or interviews.




Fig:1




Fig:2




Fig:3

VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To evaluate the suggested assumptions, SPSS was used to analyse the data gathered from 50 employees in Bengaluru. The results showed distinct patterns in the ways AI is affecting worker performance and job satisfaction across a range of organisational contexts.

Results Synopsis: A Pearson correlation analysis supported Hypothesis 1 (H1) by demonstrating a strong positive link between AI adoption and employee performance ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.01$). According to the respondents, AI tools improved accuracy, sped up task completion, and improved workload management. According to the majority, automation freed them up to concentrate on strategic or creative work by eliminating repetitive tasks.

using artificial intelligence ($r = 0.42$, $p < 0.05$), confirming Hypothesis 2 (H2). The nature of the employee's role, however, affected the degree of satisfaction. Higher levels of satisfaction were reported by those in managerial or analytical positions as a result of improved AI system support. Employees in regular or operational tasks, on the other hand, expressed uncertainty and worries about their job security.

Hypothesis 3 (H3) was tested using a regression analysis. The findings showed that the association between AI adoption and job satisfaction was significantly mediated by role clarity and training in AI technologies. Employee satisfaction was noticeably greater among those who had clear expectations about how AI will support their work and received systematic training.

A. Discussion

The results indicate that although AI improves performance, how well organisations handle the shift will determine how it affects employee satisfaction. It has been discovered that open communication, training, and staff participation in AI implementation lower resistance and boost acceptance. These findings align with past research that focused on strategic change management during AI integration (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

In order to ensure that technology enhances rather than replaces workers and promotes both productivity and well-being, organisations must take a human-centered approach to AI.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In Bengaluru, India, the current study examined how artificial intelligence (AI) affected worker performance and job satisfaction. Understanding how AI affects human aspects is crucial for both organisational success and employee well-being as these technologies become more and more integrated into modern workplaces. The results of this study demonstrate that AI can greatly improve worker performance by increasing task accuracy, productivity, and efficiency when used properly.

The connection between AI and job satisfaction is more nuanced, though. Some workers gain from less work and improved assistance with decision-making, but others worry about their positions and their relevance. The way AI is implemented in an organisation seems to have a direct impact on job satisfaction results, particularly when it comes to role clarity, training, and communication.

This study also shows that the assistance that employees receive has an impact on how they see AI. Positive results are more likely when organisations provide employees the right direction, help them grow their skills, and involve them in the transformation process. According to the mediating function of training and clarity, the impact of AI depends on the implementation's context and strategy rather than whether it inevitably increases or decreases happiness.

A. Suggestions

- 1) **Invest in Upskilling and Training:** To assist staff in comfortably adjusting to new technology, organisations should provide AI-specific training.
- 2) **Assure Transparent Communication:** To allay fears and foster confidence, leaders must clearly communicate the goals and advantages of integrating AI.
- 3) **Promote Employee Involvement:** Employee acceptability and satisfaction can be raised by involving them in AI planning and decision-making processes.
- 4) **Monitor and Adapt:** AI tools and workflows should be modified based on regular employee input.

To sum up, AI has a lot of promise to enhance workplace performance in Bengaluru and elsewhere. But in order to fully benefit from it, businesses must put people first in addition to technology, guaranteeing an intelligent and human-centred workplace of the future.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)