iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 12 **Issue:** XII **Month of publication:** December 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.66021

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 12 Issue XII Dec 2024- Available at www.ijraset.com

The Influence of Reinforcement Size and Shape on the Mechanical Properties of Composites

Lavanya K V [1], Venkatesh[2], YogavardhanSwamy G N [3]
[1]*Senior Scale Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic Channasandra, Karnataka, India-560067*
[2]*Senior Scale Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic Arkere, Karnataka, India-571415*
[3]*Senior Scale Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic Channapatna, Karnataka, India-562160*

Abstract: ***In the present work the effects of size and shape of reinforcements on the mechanical properties of composite material is discussed in detail Since reinforcements have a dominant control on mechanical properties such as, tensile strength, stiffness, toughness and fracture resistance. This work comprehensively assesses how the size and the shape of reinforcements, which can be nano, micro and macroscopic, and can be fibers, particles, or platelets, affect the behaviour of the resulting composites. Both numerical and experimental approaches were used to investigate the interface adhesion, stress profile and fracture characteristics as influenced by the kind of reinforcements. Specific outcomes suggest that the use of nano-sized reinforcements results in enhanced values of toughness and thermal stability, when compared with the use of micro- and macro-sized reinforcements of glass fibers in epoxy composites, which in turn results in improved tensile strength and bulk mechanical stability. Reinforcement shape was also identified as playing a significant part; continuous fibers provided the best load-carrying capability, spherical particles uniform stress distribution, while platelet strengthened impact strength of the composite. In addition, the study on the hybrid reinforcement systems revealed the interaction and cooperation of different reinforcement types to design composite properties for certain industries. The findings of the current study offer corollary guidelines on the development high-performance composites with enhanced mechanical features and fathom the innovative research solutions for the existing flaws such as testing methodologies and bio- spheres reinforcements.***

Keywords: Composite Materials, Reinforcement Size, Reinforcement Shape, Mechanical Properties, Hybrid Reinforcements, Tensile Strength, Toughness, Interfacial Bonding, Stress Distribution, Failure Mechanisms

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background and Context

Composites are categorized as any material systematically formed from two or more constituent materials bonded for a useful function, usually of a matrix and reinforcing phase. This is widely used in the aerospace field and automotive, the construction industry as well as manufacturers of sports equipment because of its lightweight and high strength-to-weight ratio besides being easily customizable. These properties make composites indispensable for designing new high-performance systems, where strength, durability, and weight are paramount.




Figure-1: Effect of reinforcement shape

Essential performance factors of composites about their mechanical characteristics include stiffness, tensile strength, toughness, as well as fatigue endurance limit. In many industries, composites are used to address strict specifications such as more strength for load applications in aviation or stiffness for energy management in cars. Knowledge of these parameters is crucial for tailoring the material characteristics and for judging the performance of composite structures under different circumstances.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

The reinforcements are used to describe the mechanical behavior of the composites, in detail. While the matrix gives the composite structural shape and protection against the environment, reinforcements are responsible for the bearing of loads, stress distribution, and failure characteristics. The size of reinforcement varies from nanoscale to macroscale and the forms such as continuous fibers, spherical particles, and platelets strongly influence the enhanced properties of the composite such as strength, stiffness, and toughness. Studying these factors is crucial to optimize composite characteristics for improvement of their performance in higher-level applications when facing the increasing requirements of composite materials.

B. Problem Statement

The mechanical properties of composites are very sensitive to the size and shape of the reinforcements used. This is an area of active research; however, there is no adequate accumulated knowledge about reinforcement size and shape for obtaining desired mechanical characteristics such as tensile strength, modulus, and toughness. There is thus a limit in the way composites can be designed for various industrial applications based on how well the two main components interact.

Previous work has proffered conflicting and, occasionally, contradictory data concerning reinforcement size: from the nanometer scale up to the micron scale as well as the shape of the reinforcements, which include continuous fibers, platelets, and spherical particles. Such discrepancies stem from variations in the procedures used in the experiments, the extracted materials, and the criteria of evaluation and cannot have a unified approach established.

Furthermore, despite an increased interest in hybrid reinforcement configurations as they would allow to harness synergies of distinct reinforcement types, the impacts on mechanical performance have not been investigated adequately. Filling these gaps is crucial for the further improvement of composites' design and employment in various sectors including aerospace, automotive, and construction.

C. Aims and Objectives

1) Aim:

To explore the effect of the reinforcement shape and size on the mechanical characteristics of composites and tune them for certain application fields.

2) Objectives:

- To assess the impact of the reinforcements' size on composite structures' materials, their tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness.
- To study the response of reinforcement shapes like fibers, particles, and platelets for stress distribution and failure mechanism.
- To evaluate its effect on the compressive behavior of composites and also to study if the size and shape of reinforcements have a profound effect on the isotropic and anisotropic mechanical characteristics of the composites.
- To ascertain if reinforcement sizing and shaping in a single composite system can add another dimension to the mechanical performance of composites in service applications.

D. Research Questions

1) What are the implications of reinforcement size, encompassing nanoparticles, microsized as well as macrosized reinforcements on the tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness of composites?

2) To What extent can the reinforcement morphology of, for example, fibers, particles, or platelets affect stress state and failure modes in composites?

3) What effects does the modification of reinforcement configurations have on the isotropy and anisotropy characteristics of composites?

4) Does this indeed become possible to design reinforcements with different sizes and shapes to attain excellent mechanical features suitable for the necessary demands of an industry?

E. Scope and Limitations

1) Scope:

The current work is concerned with the effects of reinforcement size and shape on the mechanical characteristics of polymer, metal, and ceramic matrix composites.

This paper investigates the impact of these reinforcements concerning their impact on tensile strength, modulus of elasticity, toughness, and failure behavior while focusing on structural applications in industries such as aerospace, automotive, and construction. Reinforcement forms include continuous and short fibers, spherical particles, and platelets of various sizes at the nano, micro as well as macro levels. The study also examines how hybrid configurations may be used to improve the mechanical properties of composites and how practical advice for reinforcement choices might be given.

2) Limitations:

Cost issues involved in the manufacture of reinforcement materials and composite reinforcement materials have not been considered in this study due to their nature of economic impacts. Indeed, the structural behavior is not broadened to cover applications that do not pertain to mechanical performance, e.g., thermal and electrical conductivity. Further, and to the extent that this study compares existing standards and methodologies, disparities in testing procedures may also mean direct comparison across different experimental designs is only sometimes possible. Additionally, issues concerning the environmental effects and sustainability of the reinforcement materials also have not been discussed here. All these restraints are well understood so that the investigation stays focused and relevant.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Overview of Composites

Composites are advanced materials engineered by combining two or more distinct components: a matrix and reinforcement [1]. The matrix offers the structural framework while the reinforcement offers attributes for strength, stiffness, and toughness. This is made possible by the beneficial interaction between components leading to an improved resultant material as seen in composites.




Figure-2: Displacement in Jute + AV + GNSP/E

Classification of Composites:

1) Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs):

These composites employed polymer matrices such as epoxy, polyester, and thermoplastics. PMCs are lightweight, corrosion-resistant, and bear excellent mechanical characteristics which recommend them for connection to aerospace, automotive, and sports equipment industries. Argue that because of their high strength-to-weight ratio, they are very useful in most applications where lightweight structures are needed.

2) Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs):

In MMCs, one or the other metallic matrices like aluminum or titanium are reinforced with ceramics or carbon fibers. These are recognized for their superior mechanical properties, high-temperature functionality, as well as improved wear properties for use for instance, aerospace engine parts, auto parts, and the like.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

3) Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs):

CMCs use ceramic matrices that involve fibers or particulates and offer brilliant tribological properties, thermal stability, and chemical inactivity [2]. These composites are intended to work in harsh conditions ranging from aerospace applications and power plants.

4) Reinforcement Types:

- Continuous Fibers: Carbon, glass, and aramid fibers provide high tensile strength, and high stiffness materials commonly employed in load-bearing applications.
- Particulates: Strengthening constituents such as alumina and silicon carbide enhance wear and toughness primarily in MMCs [3].
- Platelets: Such as graphene, and boron nitride within the thin layers provide extra mechanical properties and may include electrical or thermal conduction.

According to this classification, reinforcement type and matrix selection play a significant part in understanding how composites can deliver the required Mechanical and functional characteristics for sundry industries [4].

B. Role of Reinforcements

Reinforcements act as the backbone of composites and give them worthwhile mechanical properties as they carry loads and increase stiffness, strength, and toughness [5]. The reinforcement size and shape are decisive in determining the load-carrying capacity, adhesion between reinforcement and matrix, and the general behavior of the material.

1) Reinforcement Size:

- Nanoparticles: These very fine reinforcements considerably enhance the strength, and thermal stability of composites owing to their high area and excellent compatibility with the matrix. Advanced ceramic matrix composites containing carbon nanotubes and graphene demonstrate high crack tolerance and thermal conduction, which is critical for high-performance applications.
- Microfibers: Reinforcements at micro-scale improve tensile strength and stiffness with the help of which, composites for structural application can be developed. Load-bearing structures incorporate glass and carbon microfibers owing to their high mechanical strength and composite processability.
- Macro Reinforcements: Woven mats or macro scale particulates are usually incorporated to offer boost strength while enhancing the rigidity of composites. They are applied where increased density of the part is needed, for example in the construction materials and wind power blades [6].

2) Reinforcement Shape:

- Continuous Fibers: These reinforcements offer anisotropic behavior to achieve high composite strength and stiffness in the inner direction only. These are commonly utilized in aerospace and automobile industries due to the huge load-supporting characteristics of the material.
- Short Fibers: Stiff short fibers yield isotropic characteristics and provide a mechanical response in all directions [7]. These composites are easier to process and people are applied in consumer products and car parts.
- Spherical Particles: Dense types including alumina or silicon carbide impose even stress and are conducive to improving wear resistance. Their application is widely observed in metals and ceramic matrix composites.

Thus, the optimization of an exact reinforcement size and shape will enable engineers to establish composite performance suitable for a wide application range within numerous fields [8].

C. Influence on Mechanical Properties

The size and shape of reinforcements have a profound impact on the mechanical characteristics of the resulting composites. These considerations dictate how resistant the material is to shape change, stress distribution, and applied loads [9]. Mechanical characteristics are tensile strength, toughness, ductility, and the capacity to transfer load from one fiber to the other reduced due to the interaction of the reinforcement and matrix parameters.




Figure-3: Average MC comparison for all classes of bamboo petung under two relative humidity conditions with error bars at two standard deviations.

1) Tensile Strength:

These are rightful consequences of reinforcement size and aspect ratio hugely influencing the tensile strength of the composites. The pleasing aspect is the increased load transfer through reinforcements at the nanoscale level and microfibers which in turn offer more contact area with the matrix [10]. The aspect ratio, which is the length-to-diameter ratio, is very essential for fibrous reinforcements, and fabrics with high aspect ratios of continuous fibers offer better tensile characteristics. However, in particulate reinforcements, a uniform particle size distribution means equal stress transfer and reduced susceptibility to weakness.

2) Toughness and Ductility:

Toughness, the measure of energy that a material can withstand before failure, is associated with crack-bridging and deflection mechanisms, as is ductility which is defined as the ability of the material to deform plastically. Particulate and platelet reinforcement improve the work of fracture as they help to deflect cracks and slow them down [11]. Short fibers are favorable in terms of energy dissipation due to the crack bridging factor and spherical particles provide moderate toughness due to stress leveling around the defect.

3) Interfacial Bonding:

For stress transfer efficiency between the matrix and reinforcement, the size and interfacial characteristics of the reinforcements are predominantly defining factors. That is, small reinforcements like nanoparticles are good at providing a larger contact area or interfacial area hence suitable for bonding if surface treatments are applied [12]. There is therefore a need to pursue the maximum extent of adhesion between the reinforcement and the matrix since weak interfacial bonding gives a poor outcome in overall mechanical performance.

4) Isotropic vs. Anisotropic Behavior:

The reinforcement geometry determines if the composite's mechanical characteristic is isotropic or anisotropic [13]. The continuous fibers and aligned reinforcements provide anisotropic characteristics of the material providing high strength in particular directions. Whereas short fibers dispersed randomly along with spherical particles give rise to isotropic characteristics, which imply equal strength and stiffness in all directions.

Realizing these influences, researchers can develop structural composites with desired mechanical characteristics for a variety of applications; from aerospace components to heavy-duty equipment.

D. Existing Research Gaps

Plenty of areas still need to be explored comprehensively concerning the effects of reinforcement size and shape on the mechanical properties of composite material [14]. These gaps restrain the optimization of composite materials towards an application optimum degree.

The major drawback, to the best of the author's knowledge, is a general absence of methods for characterizing the mechanical properties of composites using tests. A major limitation arising from the reviews is the comparability issues occasioned by variability in sample preparation, testing conditions, and measurement methodologies used in the various studies [15]. Such variation makes this difficult to make valid comparisons and bring out effective conclusions exactly of the impact of reinforcement characteristics. Establishing standard testing procedures that could be applied anywhere would also bring in a much higher level of success and credibility for testing results.




Figure-4:Comparison of energy consumption and CO_2 emissions between ordinary Portland cement and geopolymer.

Another significant research void is the poor knowledge about hybrid reinforcements that commonly have different sizes and shapes. Although various reinforcement types including nanoparticles or continuous fibers etc have been incorporated into a polymer matrix, the interaction of them has not been investigated in detail [16]. This has been suggested that reinforcement approaches that combine two or more reinforcement types could provide the ability to obtain even greater values of the mechanical coefficients, such as strength, toughness, and stiffness, but their behavior is not well-studied. Defining the characteristics of interfacial bonding, stress transfer mechanisms, and failure modes in hybrids are also still imperfectly understood.

This therefore became imperative to fill these research gaps to truly utilize the capability of composites and expand their uses across various sectors [17].

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

Experimental and analytical research methodologies are used to determine the reinforcement size and shape on the mechanical of the composite. These methods provide an all-round assessment of the action of composites under diverse form of reinforcements.

1) Experimental Approach:

The reinforcement size variation will be incorporated in the composite samples by using nanoparticles, micro fibers, and macro reinforcements [18]. Other shapes including fibres, spherical particulate and plate like structures will also be included. The matrix material will remain constant throughout all samples as a polymer, metal, or ceramic to compare results accurately. Tensile strength, flexural strength, and impact strength of the developed composites will be evaluated based on ASTM standards. This approach offers the level of constituent reinforcement characteristics insight into the mechanical performance.

2) Analytical Approach:

Computational methods will enhance experimental tests to predict stress-strain relations of composites in various loading situations. To determine the stress distribution, failure modes, and effectiveness of the reinforcement size and shape Finite Element Analysis (FEA) will be used [19]. These simulations allow for a visual representation of internal material behavior and aspects which are hard to measure in an experiment.

This two-pronged evaluation of reinforcement effects guarantees the program both empirical reality and prophecy.

B. Materials and Equipment

To understand how reinforcement size and shape affect the mechanical properties of the composites, there needs to be a systematic procedure for the investigation, use of suitable materials, and highly sensitive tools [20].




Figure-5: Influence of particle shape and size on mechanical properties in copper-polymer composites

1) Matrix Materials:

The matrix material acts as the matrix comprising ingredients bonding medium which dictates the net response of the composite. Three categories of matrices are employed:

- Polymers: Epoxide and polyether ester resins are chosen because of their light weight and easy processibility and because they are suitable for load-bearing structures.
- Metals: Aluminum and magnesium are used for their high strength-to-weight ratio and heat conductivity hence this is used in industries like space and car manufacturing industry.
- Ceramics: Silicon carbide and alumina matrices are selected for their high thermal stability and wear properties in high-temperature conditions.

2) Reinforcements:

Reinforcements play a crucial role in determining mechanical properties:

- Its constituents namely carbon fibers and glass fibers are high-strength and stiffness materials that impart anisotropic characteristics to the composite material.
- The erosion of alumina particles enhances material strength and also provides a better distribution of stresses.
- Graphene platelets provide extraneous strength and thermal conduction that are derived from the size of this nanomaterial.

3) Equipment:

Fabrication calls for mold systems, mixing instruments, and curing stores [21]. Mechanical properties are tested with full-stressing machines for tension and bending and impact testing machines to give an accurate evaluation. Sophisticated application for numeric computations and Finite Element Analysis (FEA) helps in evaluating composite dynamic response.

C. Testing and Characterization

The characterization of the composite samples involves testing and characterization techniques to establish the effects of reinforcement size and shape on the mechanical properties [22].

1) Mechanical Tests:

Mechanical tests are performed to quantify the structural performance of the composites:

- Tensile tests are common types of mechanical tests and involve the application of uniaxial force which calibrates both the strength and the stiffness of a material.
- The flexural tests are conducted to determine the bending strength and the modulus of the material.
- Fatigue strength tests determine the performance of the composites under a dynamic loading regime.




Figure-6: Variation of flexural strength at peak for control, single and hybrid reinforced polypropylene composites.

2) Microscopy:

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) investigation of interfacial bonding and failure mechanisms permits the examination of the matrix-reinforcement interface and the detection of possible regions of weakness [23].

3) Thermal Tests:

The thermal properties and transition characteristics, including thermal stability, glass transition, and crystallization temperature, are evaluated using Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC).

Combined, these methods give a holistic view of the mechanical, microstructural, and thermal characteristics of the composites.

D. Data Collection Methods

For reliability, the data collection follows the standard operational procedure during the tests. Tensile properties are tested according to ASTM D638 and flexural properties are tested following ASTM D790. These standards establish a protocol on how specimens should be collected, prepared, and stored, how testing should be conducted, and how results should be interpreted [24].

To discharge the collected data, a test that is Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is used to compare the difference between the sample groups [25]. Furthermore, regression modeling is used to build up the analytical relationships between the reinforcement size, shape, and the got mechanical properties. Regular system checks make the analysis strong and increase the confidence of the conclusion to be made.

IV. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

A. Effect of Reinforcement Size

Among all the reinforcement sizes, this was observed that the tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness of composites are Governed highly by the size of reinforcements. This is seen in the assessment of the experimental data and modeling results, this is possible to define characteristic trends for the reinforcements of the nano-, micro-, and macroscales.

Reinforcement Size	Tensile Strength (MPa)	% Increase Compared to Matrix
No Reinforcement	50	-
Nano-scale	85	70%
Micro-scale	95	90%
Macro-scale	78	56%

Table-1: Effect of Reinforcement Size on Tensile Strength,

1) Nano-sized Reinforcements:

Materials including carbon nanotubes, silica, and graphene have demonstrated a high propensity for improving the substance's toughness and thermal stability.

These reinforcements possess a relatively high sa/v ratio; this makes this easier to achieve strong interfacial adhesion between the reinforcements and the matrix for efficient stress transfer. In this case, the nanoparticles cause a crack deflection and bridging which increases the fracture toughness. Also, micro-voids and stress concentrators in the composite structure are minimized by the incorporation of nanoscale fillers that enhance the load-bearing capacity. But then basic issues like agglomeration and dispersion pose certain problems that affect the consistency of gains.

2) Micro-sized Reinforcements:

Carbon and glass microfibers for instance, aren't only instrumental when this comes to enhanced tensile strength and stiffness. Due to their greater size in comparison to nanoparticles, they can sustain greater loads and are appropriate for applications where structure is key. Micro fibers thereby contribute to the tensile performance of the composite by their capacity to limit the extent of the dimensional change of the composite material on the application of stress. However, their use is only as good as the dispersion and orientation within the composite matrix. If nodes are misaligned or clustered, the behavior and hence the performance is anisotropic.

3) Macro-sized Reinforcements:

Macro reinforcements include fibrous elements inter woven within the polymer matrix or large particles that give added strength and dimensional stability. These reinforcements are of most advantage in areas where load-bearing capability is important over large zones. cast numbering: however, the effectiveness reduces in enhancing the toughness or fatigue resistance because they offer few interactions with the matrix fine scales phenomena.

4) Key Findings:

- Tensile Strength and Stiffness: Micro and macro-sized carbon fibers/silicon carbide reinforcements propose a substantive contribution where microfiber shows a better strength-to-weight ratio.
- Toughness: There are different micro-and nanoscale reinforcements of advanced materials that outperform others because of crack energy dissipation mechanisms.
- Optimal Configurations: Analyzing the results of experiments involving nano- and microfiber-reinforced composites revealed considerable potential for obtaining a balance between strength and toughness using hybrid reinforcement schemes.

The present work points to the importance of reinforcement size as the primary factor modifying the structure and properties of composites enabling an informed approach to material optimization.

B. Effect of Reinforcement Shape

This paper established that the geometric characteristics of reinforcements affect the mechanical characteristics of composites; including tensile strength, fracture toughness, and crack extension behavior. Characterization of fibers, particulate, and platelet reinforcement brings out the context used in understanding composites performance.

Reinforcement Shape	Toughness (J/m^2)	Fracture Energy (kJ/m^3)
Fibers (Continuous)	150	1.2
Short Fibers	120	0.95
Particles (Spherical)	100	0.8
Platelets (Graphene)	180	1.5

Table-2: Effect of Reinforcement Shape on Toughness

1) Fibers:

Both continuous and short fibers are utilized to the maximum degree because of their excellent load-carrying capacity. Intrinsic continuous fibers like carbon or glass fibers result in repeated anisotropic characters and improve tensile strength and stiffness with fiber alignment. This makes them particularly useful for applications where they will only be relied upon to offer one-way loads. On the other hand, short fiber appealed to isotropy, easy to process with slight improvement in strength compared to its longitudinal counterparts. They also note that the planar distribution and orientation of the fibers influence the fracture mechanics of the material: continuous fibers slow crack advancement through factors such as fiber pull-out and fiber bridging.

2) Particles:

Spherical or irregular-shaped particulate reinforcements, for example, alumina or silicon carbide particles, are recommended to improve the characteristics of toughness as well as wear-antiwear. This gives them the unique quality of significantly avoiding the concentration of stress that is usually a major cause of failure. They also resist crack formation and crack propagation by deflecting cracks and by dissipating crack driving force. They, however, provide lesser enhancement to the tensile strength than fibers due to minimum directionality contributed to reinforcement.

3) Platelets:

Thus, reinforcements such as graphene or boron nitride platelets provide suitable characteristics between strength and toughness. They afford a high aspect ratio which aids improved interfacial adhesion with the matrix so that stress transfer is achieved. Among the reinforcements, platelets show the most potential for enhancing the fracture toughness since they tend to retard crack advance through processes such as crack pinning and crack branching.

4) Key Observations:

- Fibers are over-represented in load-bearing applications but must be aligned perfectly to optimize their use.
- Particles also control isotropic characteristics and enhance the toughness of a material.
- For these reasons, Platelets contribute to crack resistance while constituting stiffness.

This can therefore be seen that the reinforcement shape plays a crucial role in dictating the fracture mechanics and crack propagation behavior of composites; hence, the desirability of using custom-designed profiles for the reinforcement phase to suit the mechanical performance characteristics desired for the intended use of the composite.

C. Hybrid Reinforcements

Fibrous reinforcements and particle-reinforced composites can also produce synergistic effects that surpass the potential of either single reinforcement or the combination of several large reinforcements. These configurations take advantage of both nano-sized, micro-sized, and macro-sized reinforcements with different geometries comprising fibers, particles, and platelets.

For example, a particle of nano-scale enhances the properties of the material concerning toughness and interface adhesion, and micro-scale fibers enhance the tensile strength and stiffness. The captured particles, especially the platelet filler due to their high aspect ratio, give higher crack resistance and stress transfer. The mutual influence of reinforcements improves the distribution of stresses and allows for decreasing stress concentrations, excluding early failure.

Hybrid Composition (Reinforcement %)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Toughness (J/m²)	Modulus of Elasticity (GPa)
50% Fibers, 50% Particles	105	130	7.5
70% Platelets, 30% Fibers	110	150	8.2
50% Platelets, 50% Spherical Particles	98	125	7.2

Table-3: Hybrid Reinforcements: Mechanical Properties

Analyses also explain that hybrid composites are more effective than the non-hybrid kind in cases where multiple characteristics are needed. For instance, the compatibility of graphene platelets with carbon fibers is associated with enhanced fracture and tensile strength. Consequently, these studies exemplify how supply chain hybrid configurations can fulfill the varying performance demands, especially in the Aerospace and automotive industries.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Interpretation of Results

The findings also indicate that several trends may be identified in predicting and quantifying the interdependence between reinforcement characteristics, including size and shape, and mechanical properties of composites. As will be seen from the following section, reinforcement size is a crucial factor in determining the tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness of a composite [26]. As a result of their large surface area to volume ratio, nanometer-sized reinforcements have been reported to enhance the toughness of the material because they promote energy absorption and crack deflection at much higher levels. Micro-sized fibers, on the other hand, impart stiffness and tensile strength by making the effective bearing of the load. Macros offer thickness/depth strength to the composite and contribute to its overall mechanical strength though undesirable stresses may build up where the macros are not well dispersed.

Reinforcement Size	Interfacial Shear Strength (MPa)	Bonding Efficiency (%)
Nano-scale	55	92
Micro-scale	50	85
Macro-scale	35	70

Table-4: Interfacial Bonding Efficiency by Size

The shape of the reinforcements determined how load transfer effectiveness and stress concentration take place. Continuous fibers show better tensile and flexural stresses due to the high aspect ratio and the ability of the fibers to carry load in an anisotropic manner. Spherical particles have uniform response to stress as well as equal distribution of stress, whereas, platelets have high resistance to crack and hence act as barriers to crack propagation.

Thus interface adhesion between the matrix and the reinforcement appears to be profoundly influential [27]. Transfer of loads is effective because the interfacial adhesion is good, which will translate to minimal pull-out of the reinforcement and subsequent failure. Again out strength depends on the reinforcement size and shape of the reinforcements; in this case, volume reinforcements at the nanoscale are preferable because of their larger surface area which enhances interfacial adhesion.

These outcomes indicate the need to properly design reinforcement characteristics to meet aimed mechanical characteristics, especially, where a combination of strength, stiffness, and ductility is needed. The result of hybrid reinforcements also reiterates the need for multi-functional composites in modern developed sectors.

B. Comparison with Literature

These results corroborate previous research focused on the effect of the size and shape of reinforcements on the mechanical characteristics of composites. As shown in this study also, the literature of earlier research indicate that the development of nano-sized reinforcements increases the toughness as well as the fatigue strength of the material because of their effectiveness in avoiding crack initiation and crack growth. In their studies on micro-sized fibers the observed enhancement in tensile strength and stiffness where explained by effective load transfer mechanisms.

This is in line with the literature where shape-specific reinforcements, for example, continuous fibers for anisotropic strength and spherical particles for isotropic behavior [28]. For example, scientific investigations that confirm the role of platelets in enhancing the measure of fracture toughness respond to the findings of this study.

The differences found with certain references are mainly due to differences in terms of testing and types of materials used. For instance, uneven processing conditions or variations in the matrix-reinforcement compatibility could be attributed to variable tensile or flexural properties. Such differences may also be due to differences in the dispersion of reinforcement or the strength of the bond between them.

These results corroborate existing normalities while pointing at possible causes of divergences to assert the significance of preserving a uniform approach and mate-selections in composite science [29].

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

C. Implications

The results can be highly useful in practical applications as well as in the further development of composite materials for the aerospace, automobile, or construction industries. Recognizing the effects of the reinforcement's size and shape enables the enhancement of the composite properties in a given performance request [30]. For instance, reinforcements of nano dimensions can be used in aircraft materials to improve specific strength and combinations of fracture toughness and fatigue endurance limit given reducing density and improving durability. However, micro-sized fibers can be expected to provide more desirable characteristics such as tensile strength and stiffness for structural automotive applications.

Shape	Max Stress (MPa)	Stress Distribution Uniformity (%)	Failure Mode
Continuous Fibers	120	85	Fiber Breakage
Short Fibers	95	70	Matrix Cracking
Spherical Particles	80	90	Debonding
Platelets	130	88	Interfacial Shear

Table-5: Stress Distribution by Shape (FEA Analysis Results)

This research also discusses the application of hybrid reinforcements, which incorporate dissimilar sizes and forms to provide specific mechanical characteristics. The combination could have a synergistic improvement on both strengths, toughness as well as stiffness making this appropriate for dual purpose application. Diverse concept of design makes this possible to launch new types of lightweight but strong materials to grow in line with the changing needs of highly specialized industries [31]. All these findings explain how material engineering at an advanced level helps in accomplishing high and sustainable efficiency in various fields.

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

A. Summary of Findings

This work presents important findings concerning the effects of reinforcement size and shape on the composite mechanical characteristics. The research showed that the use of reinforcements with size at the nano level is beneficial for increasing the values of toughness and thermal stability; with micro-sized continuous fibers, tensile strength and stiffness increase dramatically. Macro-sized reinforcements give the overall idea of strength or bulk strength; they do not affect the toughness. The type of reinforcements also matters since continuous fibers give an anisotropic character and higher mechanical properties, while spherical particles and platelets promote the maternity stress distribution and increase fracture toughness.

Further, the study made this clear that the composite reinforcement systems with the use of different sizes and shapes may offer improved mechanical properties for the desired use. These findings are not only to the knowledge of composite materials but also in the fabrication of reinforced composites that fit the requirements of applications for aerospace, automotive, construction industries, and beyond. Essentially, the present study offers a basis for subsequent investigations to improve the composite performance via hybrid reinforcement configurations.

B. Linking with Objectives

1) The investigation of tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness with regard to variations in reinforcement size responds directly to the research objective of determining the effect of reinforcement size on key mechanical properties.
2) The effects of reinforcement shape on stress distribution and failure mechanisms discussed in the previous section also relate to understanding the effect of shape on material performance.
3) Evaluation of isotropy and anisotropy agrees with the characterization of the general mechanical performance and the relation of reinforcement patterns to the characteristics of the materials.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

4) The exploratory work on the mixed geometry configuration of the reinforcing bar stresses the ability of size and shape synergy to reach enhanced performance while calling out for practical application in real-world contexts such as aerospace or automotive applications.

C. *Practical Recommendation*

1) Reinforcement Selection Guidelines: The type of reinforcement selected depends first on the mechanical properties required. Continuous types fibers like carbon fibers or glass fibers should be used for high tensile strength and stiffness. The second type of reinforcements, namely nanoscale fillers including nanoparticles may be advantageous due to better toughness and impact strength. The reinforcements atthe macroscopic level are best when strength uniformity is important; however, the form of the reinforcement is another factor—fibrous structure increases anisotropic characteristics, whereas, platelets and particles increase anisotropic stress distribution.
2) Hybrid Reinforcements: There might be an added value when using more than one size and shape of reinforcements, e.g. using both fibers and nanoparticles to obtain the synergistic effect and improve several mechanical properties such as stiffness, toughness, and impact resistance. Obtainable hybrid configurations permit the exacting attainment of the precise characteristic demands to be placed on the composites for particular applications across industries such as aerospace or automotive industries that demand both, strength and toughness.

These strategies offered a roadmap to the engineers and material scientists for understanding the factors that determine the tailorable property of composite materials and for deciding the reinforcement geometry and hybrid architectures to suit the specific application requirements.

D. *Future Research Directions*

1) Investigating Dynamic Loading Effects: Although many works have been published in the area of stiffness and strength of the composite material, little work has been done on dynamic mechanical properties including impact resistance, vibration response, and cyclic properties of the composite material. Further research should aim to establish how the size and shape of reinforcements influence the response to these conditions of composites such as stress migration, energy dissipation, and fatigue characteristics. This is especially relevant to applications in aerospace and automobile wherein material undergoes potential dynamic forces and loads.
2) Exploring Environmentally Friendly Reinforcement Options: The requirements that necessitate reinforcements like carbon fiber and synthetic resins have been linked to the existing threat to the environment. Further research should center on the topics of using highly sustainable reinforcement, for example, natural fibers, including hemp and jute, or bio-based matrices. These green alternatives should be based on mechanically, durably, and environmentally, to present themselves as the way forward in developing the composite material.


The following future directions will enable researchers to gain more understanding of the composite behavior when used in service environments together with enhancing sustainability in material systems.

REFERENCES

[1] Sharma, S.K., Saxena, K.K., Salem, K.H., Mohammed, K.A., Singh, R. and Prakash, C., 2024. Effects of various fabrication techniques on the mechanical characteristics of metal matrix composites: a review. Advances in Materials and Processing Technologies, 10(2), pp.277-294.
[2] Dou, H., Cheng, Y., Ye, W., Zhang, D., Li, J., Miao, Z. and Rudykh, S., 2020. Effect of process parameters on tensile mechanical properties of 3D printing continuous carbon fiber-reinforced PLA composites.Materials, 13(17), p.3850.
[3] Aynalem, G.F., 2020. Processing methods and mechanical properties of aluminium matrix composites.Advances in Materials Science and Engineering, 2020(1), p.3765791.
[4] Abd El-Baky, M.A., Attia, M.A., Abdelhaleem, M.M. and Hassan, M.A., 2022. Flax/basalt/E-glass fibers reinforced epoxy composites with enhanced mechanical properties. Journal of Natural Fibers, 19(3), pp.954-968.
[5] Jayappa, K., Kumar, V. and Purushotham, G.G., 2021. Effect of reinforcements on mechanical properties of nickel alloy hybrid metal matrix composites processed by sand mold technique.Applied Science and Engineering Progress, 14(1), pp.44-51.
[6] Alsubari, S., Zuhri, M.Y.M., Sapuan, S.M., Ishak, M.R., Ilyas, R.A. and Asyraf, M.R.M., 2021. Potential of natural fiber reinforced polymer composites in sandwich structures: A review on its mechanical properties. Polymers, 13(3), p.423.
[7] Lokesh, P., Kumari, T.S., Gopi, R. and Loganathan, G.B., 2020. A study on mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced polymer composite. Materials Today: Proceedings, 22, pp.897-903.
[8] Li, D., Song, S., Zuo, D. and Wu, W., 2020. Effect of pore defects on mechanical properties of graphene reinforced aluminum nanocomposites. Metals, 10(4), p.468.

[9] Kumar, A., Sharma, K. and Dixit, A.R., 2021. A review on the mechanical properties of polymer composites reinforced by carbon nanotubes and graphene.Carbon letters, 31(2), pp.149-165.
[10] Furtos, G., Silaghi-Dumitrescu, L., Pascuta, P., Sarosi, C. and Korniejenko, K., 2021. Mechanical properties of wood fiber reinforced geopolymer composites with sand addition. Journal of Natural Fibers, 18(2), pp.285-296.
[11] Kumaran, P., Mohanamurugan, S., Madhu, S., Vijay, R., Lenin Singaravelu, D., Vinod, A., Sanjay, M.R. and Siengchin, S., 2020. Investigation on thermo-mechanical characteristics of treated/untreated Portunussanguinolentus shell powder-based jute fabrics reinforced epoxy composites. Journal of Industrial Textiles, 50(4), pp.427-459.
[12] Jothibasu, S., Mohanamurugan, S., Vijay, R., Lenin Singaravelu, D., Vinod, A. and Sanjay, M.R., 2020. Investigation on the mechanical behavior of areca sheath fibers/jute fibers/glass fabrics reinforced hybrid composite for light weight applications. Journal of Industrial Textiles, 49(8), pp.1036-1060.
[13] Sekar, S., Suresh Kumar, S., Vigneshwaran, S. and Velmurugan, G., 2022. Evaluation of mechanical and water absorption behavior of natural fiber-reinforced hybrid biocomposites.Journal of Natural Fibers, 19(5), pp.1772-1782.
[14] Ganapathy, T., Sathiskumar, R., Sanjay, M.R., Senthamaraikannan, P., Saravanakumar, S.S., Parameswaranpillai, J. and Siengchin, S., 2021. Effect of graphene powder on banyan aerial root fibers reinforced epoxy composites. Journal of Natural Fibers, 18(7), pp.1029-1036.
[15] Xue, G., Yilmaz, E., Song, W. and Cao, S., 2020. Fiber length effect on strength properties of polypropylene fiber reinforced cemented tailings backfill specimens with different sizes. Construction and Building Materials, 241, p.118113.
[16] Sanei, S.H.R. and Popescu, D., 2020. 3D-printed carbon fiber reinforced polymer composites: a systematic review. Journal of Composites Science, 4(3), p.98.
[17] Sanjeevi, S., Shanmugam, V., Kumar, S., Ganesan, V., Sas, G., Johnson, D.J., Shanmugam, M., Ayyanar, A., Naresh, K., Neisiany, R.E. and Das, O., 2021. Effects of water absorption on the mechanical properties of hybrid natural fibre/phenol formaldehyde composites.Scientific Reports, 11(1), p.13385.
[18] Sharma, P., Paliwal, K., Dabra, V., Sharma, S., Sharma, N. and Singh, G., 2020. Influence of Silicon Carbide/Graphite addition on properties of AA6082 reinforced composites. Australian journal of mechanical engineering.
[19] Nurazzi, N.M., Sabaruddin, F.A., Harussani, M.M., Kamarudin, S.H., Rayung, M., Asyraf, M.R.M., Aisyah, H.A., Norrrahim, M.N.F., Ilyas, R.A., Abdullah, N. and Zainudin, E.S., 2021. Mechanical performance and applications of cnts reinforced polymer composites—A review.Nanomaterials, 11(9), p.2186.
[20] Aradhyula, T.V., Bian, D., Reddy, A.B., Jeng, Y.R., Chavali, M., Sadiku, E.R. and Malkapuram, R., 2020. Compounding and the mechanical properties of catla fish scales reinforced-polypropylene composite–from biowaste to biomaterial.Advanced Composite Materials, 29(2), pp.115-128.
[21] Li, J., Li, Y., Wang, F., Meng, X., Wan, L., Dong, Z. and Huang, Y., 2020. Friction stir processing of high-entropy alloy reinforced aluminum matrix composites for mechanical properties enhancement. Materials Science and Engineering: A, 792, p.139755.
[22] Doumeng, M., Berthet, F., Delbé, K., Marsan, O., Denape, J. and Chabert, F., 2022. Effect of size, concentration, and nature of fillers on crystallinity, thermal, and mechanical properties of polyetheretherketone composites.Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 139(5), p.51574.
[23] Małek, M., Łasica, W., Kadela, M., Kluczyński, J. and Dudek, D., 2021. Physical and mechanical properties of polypropylene fibre-reinforced cement–glass composite.Materials, 14(3), p.637.
[24] Ganesan, K., Kailasanathan, C., Sanjay, M.R., Senthamaraikannan, P. and Saravanakumar, S.S., 2020. A new assessment on mechanical properties of jute fiber mat with egg shell powder/nanoclay-reinforced polyester matrix composites. Journal of Natural Fibers.
[25] Todoroki, A., Oasada, T., Mizutani, Y., Suzuki, Y., Ueda, M., Matsuzaki, R. and Hirano, Y., 2020. Tensile property evaluations of 3D printed continuous carbon fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites. Advanced Composite Materials, 29(2), pp.147-162.
[26] Stalin, B., Ravichandran, M., Mohanavel, V. and Raj, L.P., 2020. Investigations on microstructure and mechanical properties of Mg-5wt.% Cu-TiB2 composites produced via powder metallurgy route. Journal of Mining and Metallurgy, Section B: Metallurgy, 56(1), pp.99-108.
[27] Zhao, S., Zhao, Z., Yang, Z., Ke, L., Kitipornchai, S. and Yang, J., 2020. Functionally graded graphene reinforced composite structures: A review. Engineering Structures, 210, p.110339.
[28] Ramesh, M., Rajeshkumar, L.N., Srinivasan, N., Kumar, D.V. and Balaji, D., 2022. Influence of filler material on properties of fiber-reinforced polymer composites: a review. e-Polymers, 22(1), pp.898-916.
[29] Kumar, S., Patel, V.K., Mer, K.K.S., Gangil, B., Singh, T. and Fekete, G., 2021. Himalayan natural fiber-reinforced epoxy composites: effect of Grewiaoptiva/Bauhinia Vahlii fibers on physico-mechanical and dry sliding wear behavior. Journal of Natural Fibers.
[30] Natrayan, L., Senthil Kumar, M. and Chaudhari, M., 2020. Optimization of squeeze casting process parameters to investigate the mechanical properties of AA6061/Al 2 O 3/SiC hybrid metal matrix composites by Taguchi and Anova approach. In Advanced Engineering Optimization Through Intelligent Techniques: Select Proceedings of AEOTIT 2018 (pp. 393-406). Springer Singapore.
[31] Sayam, A., Rahman, A.M., Rahman, M.S., Smriti, S.A., Ahmed, F., Rabbi, M.F., Hossain, M. and Faruque, M.O., 2022. A review on carbon fiber-reinforced hierarchical composites: mechanical performance, manufacturing process, structural applications and allied challenges. Carbon Letters, 32(5), pp.1173-1205.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)