



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.83737>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Translating Invisible Residues into Ridge Detail: A Study of Custom Powders and a Functionally Optimized Paste Formulation for Improved Latent Print Visualization

S. Vivek¹, Anjali Verma², Neha Chaurasiya³, Dr. G. Ahirwar⁴

¹Student, B.Sc. Forensic Science, School of studies in forensic science, Samrat Vikramaditya University, Ujjain (M.P.)

²Faculty of School of studies in forensic science, Samrat Vikramaditya University, Ujjain (M.P.)

³Faculty of School of studies in forensic science, Samrat Vikramaditya University, Ujjain (M.P.)

⁵HOD of School of studies in forensic science, Samrat Vikramaditya University, Ujjain (M.P.)

Abstract: Latent fingerprint visualization remains a cornerstone of forensic identification, particularly when dealing with diverse and challenging substrates. This study explores the effectiveness of unconventional and custom-developed powder formulations—including turmeric, grey, black, white, multi-coloured, red brick, metallic, and soil-based powders—for enhancing ridge detail from invisible residues. The research focuses on translating faint, latent impressions into high-contrast, analysable ridge patterns by optimizing both powder composition and a functionally tailored paste medium.

Each powder type was selected based on its intrinsic physical and chemical properties such as particle size, contrast, adhesion potential, and surface compatibility. Natural materials like turmeric and soil were evaluated alongside synthetic and metallic powders to assess their comparative efficiency across porous and non-porous surfaces. Additionally, a novel paste formulation was developed to improve powder adherence, minimize background noise, and preserve ridge clarity during application.

Experimental results demonstrate that no single powder is universally optimal; rather, effectiveness depends on substrate type, environmental conditions, and residue composition. Turmeric and soil powders showed promising eco-friendly and cost-effective alternatives, while metallic and black powders provided superior contrast on reflective and light-coloured surfaces. The optimized paste formulation significantly enhanced ridge continuity and reduced smudging, particularly for fragile or aged prints. This study contributes to forensic science by introducing adaptable, low-cost, and sustainable alternatives to conventional fingerprint powders, while also proposing a hybrid paste-based application method that improves visualization outcomes. The findings highlight the importance of material innovation in advancing latent print detection techniques for real-world investigative scenarios.

Scientific Tags: Forensic Science, Latent Fingerprint Visualization, Trace Evidence Analysis, Surface Chemistry, Powder-Based Detection Techniques, Ridge Detail Enhancement, Adhesion Mechanisms, Substrate Interaction. Eco-Friendly Forensic Materials, Material Science in Forensics Methodology

I. CONCEPTUAL PRELUDE

The visualization of latent fingerprints represents a fundamental aspect of forensic science, serving as a reliable means of personal identification due to the uniqueness and permanence of ridge patterns. Latent prints, often invisible to the naked eye, are composed of complex biological residues including sweat, oils, and environmental contaminants deposited through contact. Their successful detection depends on the interaction between these residues and suitable development agents, most commonly in the form of fine powders.

Traditional fingerprint powders—typically black, grey, or metallic—have long been used to enhance ridge detail through physical adhesion to moisture and oily components of latent residues. However, their effectiveness can be limited by factors such as substrate texture, surface, environmental exposure, and the age of the print. These limitations necessitate the exploration of alternative materials that can offer improved contrast, adhesion, and adaptability across a wider range of conditions.

In this context, the present study introduces a conceptual shift toward the use of unconventional and locally available materials such as turmeric, soil, red brick, and multicolored powders, alongside standard grey, black, white, and metallic variants. These materials

are selected not only for their diversity and particle characteristics but also for their accessibility, cost-effectiveness, and potential eco-friendly advantages. The investigation further extends to the development of a functionally optimized paste formulation designed to enhance powder adherence, reduce background interference, and preserve delicate ridge structures during application. The central premise of this work lies in translating invisible residue patterns into clear and analysable ridge detail by integrating material innovation with application technique. By systematically evaluating the interaction between different powders, substrates, and a novel paste medium, this study aims to expand the toolkit available for latent fingerprint visualization. Ultimately, it seeks to bridge practical forensic needs with sustainable and efficient solutions, contributing to more reliable outcomes in crime scene investigation and evidence analysis.

Nature of Latent Fingerprints

- Composed of water-soluble (eccrine) and lipid-rich (sebaceous) components
- Susceptible to environmental degradation (temperature, humidity, contamination)

Limitations of Conventional Powders

- ✦ Reduced effectiveness on patterned or multicolored surfaces
- ✦ Limited adhesion to aged or weak residues
- ✦ Potential environmental and cost-related concerns

Rationale for Selected Powders

- Turmeric powder: Natural pigment, high contrast, biodegradable
- Soil powder: Heterogeneous composition, readily available
- Red brick powder: Iron oxide-rich, effective on light surfaces
- Metallic powders: Reflective properties for enhanced visualization
- Black, grey, white powders: Standard reference materials
- Multicolored powders: Adaptability to varying surface backgrounds

Role of Particle Characteristics

- Fine particles → improved ridge resolution
- Coarse particles → stronger adhesion but reduced detail
- Uniform distribution enhances clarity and minimizes background interference

Paste Formulation Concept

- Functions as a binding medium to improve powder adhesion
- Stabilizes fragile or partially degraded ridge patterns
- Reduces smudging and enhances ridge continuity

Substrate Interaction

- Non-porous surfaces (glass, plastic, metal) favour powder adhesion
- Porous surfaces (paper, wood) require modified or complementary techniques
- Surface texture and color significantly influence visualization efficiency

II. KNOWLEDGE LANDSCAPE

The field of latent fingerprint visualization is a well-established area within forensic science, drawing upon interdisciplinary principles from surface chemistry, material science, and trace evidence analysis. Latent fingerprints, composed of eccrine and sebaceous secretions, require appropriate development techniques to render ridge details visible for identification purposes (Lee & Gaensslen, 2018).

A. Established Knowledge Base

Powder-Based Techniques

Powder dusting remains one of the most widely used methods for developing latent prints, particularly on non-porous surfaces. The technique relies on the physical adhesion of fine particles to moisture and lipid components of the residue (Champod et al., 2016). Black, grey, white, and metallic powders are commonly employed for contrast enhancement.

Chemical Development Methods

Chemical reagents such as ninhydrin, cyanoacrylate fuming, and silver nitrate react with specific constituents of fingerprint residues, offering higher sensitivity for porous substrates (Ramotowski, 2012).

Optical and Fluorescent Techniques

Alternate light sources and fluorescent powders have been introduced to improve visualization on multicolored or patterned surfaces, enhancing contrast through photo physical interactions (Holder et al., 2008).

B. Identified Research Gaps

Despite advancements, several limitations remain:

Reduced effectiveness on complex or multicolored surfaces (Champod et al., 2016)

Poor development of aged or degraded prints (Ramotowski, 2012)

Dependence on costly or non-sustainable materials (Holder et al., 2008)

Limited exploration of natural or locally available alternatives

C. Emerging Trends and Innovations

Eco-Friendly Materials

Recent studies emphasize the use of natural and biodegradable powders as sustainable alternatives to conventional materials (Singh et al., 2020).

Nanotechnology Applications

Nanoparticles have been investigated for their enhanced surface interaction and improved sensitivity in latent print development (Cantu, 2015).

Hybrid and Paste-Based Approaches

Combining powders with gel or paste media has shown potential in improving adhesion and ridge clarity, especially for fragile prints (Ramotowski, 2012).

Surface-Specific Formulations

Research is increasingly focusing on tailoring development agents to specific substrate types for optimized results (Champod et al., 2016).

D. Positioning of the Present Study

The present work builds upon existing knowledge by:

Investigating unconventional powders such as turmeric, soil, and red brick alongside standard powders

Introducing a functionally optimized paste formulation to enhance adhesion and ridge preservation

Addressing cost, accessibility, and environmental sustainability

Expanding applicability across varied substrates and environmental conditions

E. Conceptual Significance

This study contributes to the advancement of forensic methodologies by integrating alternative materials with a hybrid paste-based approach. It aligns with current trends toward sustainable, adaptable, and field-applicable techniques, thereby enhancing the reliability of latent fingerprint visualization in practical forensic scenarios.

III. RESEARCH GAP AND NOVELTY ASSESSMENT

Despite extensive advancements in latent fingerprint development, several critical gaps remain in both theory and practical application:

Limited Adaptability of Conventional Powders

Standard powders (black, grey, white, metallic) perform well under controlled conditions but often fail on multicolored, textured, or contaminated surfaces, where contrast and selectivity are compromised.

Inefficiency with Aged or Degraded Prints

Existing powder-based methods rely heavily on fresh residue composition. Aged, dry, or environmentally exposed prints show weak adhesion and fragmented ridge development.

Lack of Material Diversity

Current forensic practice largely depends on commercial synthetic powders, with minimal systematic evaluation of natural or unconventional materials such as plant-based or mineral-derived powders.

Absence of Integrated Application Systems

Powder dusting is typically used as a standalone method. There is a lack of hybrid systems (e.g., powder + paste/gel) designed to enhance adhesion, stability, and ridge continuity simultaneously.

Environmental and Cost Constraints

Many commercially available powders involve toxic components, higher costs, and limited accessibility, particularly in resource-constrained or field settings.

Insufficient Substrate-Specific Optimization

Few studies provide a comparative, multi-material approach across different substrate types, leading to inconsistent outcomes in real-world forensic scenarios.

Novelty of the Present Study

This research introduces several innovative aspects that distinguish it from existing work:

Use of Unconventional and Locally Available Powders

The study systematically evaluates turmeric, soil, red brick, and multicolored powders alongside conventional powders, expanding the material base for latent print development.

Development of a Functionally Optimized Paste Formulation

A key novelty is the introduction of a paste medium that:

Enhances particle adhesion to degraded residues

Improves ridge continuity

Reduces background interference and smudging

Hybrid Visualization Approach

The integration of powder + paste application represents a shift from traditional dry dusting to a semi-wet, controlled deposition system, improving effectiveness under challenging conditions.

Focus on Cost-Effective and Sustainable Forensics

By incorporating eco-friendly, biodegradable, and easily accessible materials, the study aligns with sustainable forensic practices and field applicability.

Comparative Multi-Material Framework

The research adopts a systematic comparative analysis across different powder types and substrates, providing a broader understanding of performance variability.

Enhanced Practical Relevance

The proposed approach is designed for real-world crime scene conditions, addressing variability in surface type, environmental exposure, and residue quality.

Aspect	Existing Approach	Present study
Material base	Primary Synthetic powders	Natural +Synthetic +mineral
Application method	Dry powder Dusting	Hybrid Powder paste systems
Sustainability	Limited focus	Eco-friendly
Substrate Adaptability	Moderate	High
Performance an aged prints	Limited	Improved via paste
Innovation level	Incremental	Integrated and application

The novelty of this study lies in its material innovation and methodological integration, combining unconventional powders with a functional paste system to address long-standing limitations in latent fingerprint visualization. This approach not only enhances ridge detail recovery but also contributes to the development of adaptive, sustainable, and field-ready forensic techniques, filling a significant gap between laboratory research and practical application.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL ARCHITECTURE

Latent fingerprint visualization relies on the interaction between the powder particles and the latent residues deposited on surfaces. The residues, composed of eccrine (water-based) and sebaceous (lipid-based) secretions, serve as the adhesive substrate for powders. The effectiveness of visualization depends on physicochemical properties of powders, including particle size, surface energy, and optical contrast, as well as the nature of the substrate (porous vs non-porous) and the condition of the fingerprint (fresh or aged). Incorporating a paste-based medium enhances adhesion, minimizes smudging, and preserves ridge continuity, especially on degraded or lowresidue prints.

Key Theoretical Points

Fine particles increase surface contact, improving ridge clarity.

Adhesion occurs via mechanical interlocking, electrostatic attraction, and capillary interaction with moisture.

Surface properties (roughness, color, porosity) affect powder performance.

Paste formulation provides a semi-wet interface, improving particle retention on weak or aged residues.

Contrast between powder and substrate is essential for high-quality ridge visualization.

A. Materials and Powder Selection

Conventional Powders: Black, grey, white, metallic

Unconventional Powders: Turmeric, soil, red brick, multicolored powders

Paste Medium Components: Binding agent, carrier base, stabilizer

Theoretical Rationale: Different powders offer varied optical contrast, particle adhesion, and environmental stability. The paste acts as a medium to uniformly deposit powder on latent residues while preserving ridge morphology.

B. Substrate Selection

Non-porous: Glass, plastic, metal

Semi-porous: Laminated wood, coated paper

Porous: Plain paper, cardboard

Theory Point: Non-porous surfaces retain more residues on the surface, enhancing powder adhesion. Porous surfaces absorb residues, reducing powder effectiveness and requiring pastemediated enhancement.

C. Fingerprint Deposition

Standardized natural prints with variations in pressure and donor

Time intervals: Fresh, 24 hrs, 72 hrs aged prints

Theoretical Note: Aging reduces moisture and lipid content, making ridge development more challenging; paste-assisted powders help mitigate this effect.

D. Application Techniques

Dry Powder Dusting: Conventional method for non-porous substrates

Paste-Assisted Application: Powder integrated into paste and applied over the residue

Theory Points:

Paste enhances adhesion on weak residues

Controlled application reduces background noise

Hybrid method preserves ridge continuity

E. Evaluation Parameters

Ridge clarity and continuity

Contrast against background

Powder adhesion efficiency

Background interference

Smudge resistance

Theoretical Basis: These parameters reflect the combined effects of powder properties, residue composition, substrate, and environmental influence.

F. Data Analysis

Imaging and scoring of developed fingerprints

Comparative analysis across powders, substrates, and application methods

Statistical evaluation to identify optimal powder–paste combinations

Theory Note: The interaction between material characteristics and application technique determines the reproducibility and quality of latent print visualization.

Summary

The experimental architecture integrates material selection, substrate variability, application methodology, and assessment criteria underpinned by theoretical principles of adhesion, surface interaction, and optical contrast. The hybrid paste-based approach represents a practical solution to challenges such as weak residues, aged prints, and complex surfaces, bridging theory with operational forensic application.

The present study adopts a structured and systematic Eco-Forensic Experimental

Framework (EFEF) to evaluate the efficiency of indigenous materials in latent fingerprint

Visualization. The experimental design was developed to ensure reproducibility, material Consistency, and comparative accuracy.

V. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK/ PROPOSED MODEL

The proposed model aims to enhance latent fingerprint visualization using both conventional and unconventional powders combined with a paste-based medium. The framework integrates material selection, powder-substrate interaction, and paste-assisted application to improve ridge clarity, adhesion, and contrast, particularly for aged or weak residues.

A. Materials and Components

Powders Evaluated:

Conventional: Black, Grey, White, Metallic

Unconventional: Turmeric, Soil, Red Brick, Multicolored

Paste Formulation:

Charcoal: Provides high contrast, improves ridge visibility, and adds absorbent properties.

Glycerine: Acts as a binding and stabilizing agent, retains moisture, and prevents smudging.

Optional filler powders: Small amounts of the selected powders can be pre-mixed for enhanced adhesion and color contrast.

Theoretical Basis:

The paste acts as a semi-solid medium that increases the adhesion of powder particles to latent residues, reduces background interference, and preserves ridge continuity, while charcoal enhances visibility through optical contrast, and glycerine stabilizes the matrix.

B. Substrate-Powder Interaction

Substrates:

Non-porous: Glass, Plastic, Metal

Semi-porous: Laminated Wood, Coated Paper

Porous: Plain Paper, Cardboard

Mechanism:

On non-porous surfaces, powders adhere primarily to lipid residues via mechanical and electrostatic interactions.

On porous surfaces, the paste allows controlled transfer of powder, compensating for absorption and weak residue retention.

Key Points:

Powder choice depends on substrate color and texture (e.g., metallic on light reflective surfaces, turmeric or red brick on pale surfaces).

Multicolored powders improve visibility on complex backgrounds.

C. Paste-Assisted Application Mechanism

Apply a thin layer of charcoal + glycerine paste on the latent print area.

Introduce the chosen powder(s) gently over the paste.

Use soft brushes or spatula for even distribution without smudging.

Allow controlled drying or immediate imaging, depending on substrate and powder type.

Theoretical Advantage:

Increases adhesion on weak or aged prints.

Improves ridge continuity and resolution.

Minimizes background noise and powder loss.

D. Evaluation Parameters

- Ridge clarity and completeness
- Powder adherence and retention
- Contrast against substrate
- Resistance to smudging
- Reproducibility across substrates

E. Proposed Model Flow (Stepwise)

Step 1: Surface Selection → Identify substrate type

Step 2: Fingerprint Deposition → Fresh or aged print

Step 3: Paste Preparation → Charcoal + Glycerine ± powder mix

Step 4: Paste Application → Apply thin layer on latent print

Step 5: Powder Application → Select conventional/unconventional powder based on surface

Step 6: Ridge Visualization → Evaluate contrast and clarity

Step 7: Data Capture → Image, score, and compare across powders

Latent Print (Residue)
▼
Substrate Type Assessment → Select Optimal Powder
▼
Paste Formulation (Charcoal + Glycerine ± Powders)
▼
Paste-Assisted Powder Application
▼
Ridge Development & Contrast Enhancement
▼
Evaluation (Clarity, Adhesion, Smudge Resistance)
▼
Optimal Combination Selection (Powder + Paste)

Novelty Highlights

Integration of all powder types in a single hybrid paste system.

Use of charcoal + glycerine for enhanced adhesion, contrast, and ridge preservation.

Adaptable across multiple substrate types.

Provides a low-cost, sustainable, and field-applicable **solution** for latent fingerprint visualization.

Powder-Based Latent Fingerprint Visualization

Latent Fingerprint Residue
▼
Substrate Assessment
(Non-porous / Semi-porous / Porous)
▼
Powder Selection Based on:
- Color Contrast
- Particle Size
- Surface Interaction
▼
Application Techniques:
- Dry Powder Dusting
- Controlled Brush Application
▼
Ridge Development & Visualization
- Clarity & Continuity
- Background Contrast
- Smudge Resistance
▼
Evaluation & Optimal Powder Identification

- Focuses on conventional and unconventional powders.
- Highlights substrate-dependent powder selection.
- Emphasizes ridge clarity, contrast, and adhesion as performance metrics

Paste-Assisted Powder Visualization (Charcoal + Glycerine)

Latent Fingerprint Residue
↓
Substrate Assessment
(Non-porous / Semi-porous / Porous)
↓
Paste Formulation:
- Charcoal: High contrast
- Glycerine: Binding + Stabilizer
- Optional Powder Mix
↓
Paste Application on Latent Print
(Thin, controlled layer)
↓
Powder Application Over Paste
- Conventional or Unconventional Powders
- Multicolored / Metallic / Red Brick / Turmeric
↓
Ridge Visualization & Enhancement
- Improved Adhesion
- Ridge Continuity
- Reduced Background Noise
↓
Evaluation & Optimal Paste-Powder Combination

- Emphasizes the paste as an intermediate interface enhancing adhesion and ridge preservation.
- Combines all powder types with paste to improve performance, particularly for aged or weak residues.
- Focuses on hybrid system adaptability across surfaces.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL NARRATIVE

The present study is designed to systematically evaluate the effectiveness of both conventional and unconventional powders in developing latent fingerprints, and to explore the enhancement offered by a paste-based formulation composed of charcoal and glycerine. The experimental approach integrates surface chemistry, powder-substrate interactions, and application mechanics, ensuring reproducibility and relevance to real-world forensic scenarios.

Step 1: Material Selection and Rationale

The study utilized a combination of:

Conventional powders: Black, grey, white, metallic, serving as standard reference materials.

Unconventional powders: Turmeric, soil, red brick, and multicolored powders, selected for their natural availability, optical contrast, and adhesion properties.

Paste formulation: Charcoal and glycerine were chosen to provide high optical contrast and adhesive support, respectively. Optional addition of fine powders to the paste allowed for increased adaptability to substrate types.

Theoretical Basis: Powder adherence relies on mechanical, electrostatic, and capillary interactions with latent residues, while the paste serves as a semi-solid interface to enhance adhesion, stabilize ridge morphology, and reduce smudging, particularly for aged or weak prints.

Step 2: Substrate Preparation

Experiments were conducted across a range of surfaces:

Non-porous: Glass, plastic, metal

Semi-porous: Laminated wood, coated paper

Porous: Plain paper, cardboard

Each substrate was cleaned and standardized prior to deposition to minimize contamination and ensure consistent conditions.

Theory Note: Substrate texture, porosity, and color influence powder performance and visibility. Non-porous surfaces allow stronger powder adhesion, whereas porous surfaces benefit from paste-assisted application.

Step 3: Fingerprint Deposition

Latent prints were deposited by multiple donors using natural skin secretions.

Variations included:

Pressure: Light, medium, heavy

Aging: Fresh (immediate), 24 hours, and 72 hours to simulate realistic forensic scenarios

Theoretical Basis: Aging reduces moisture and lipid content, weakening natural adhesion; paste-assisted methods counteract this loss, improving ridge development.

Step 4: Application of Powders and Paste

A. Dry Powder Dusting

Conventional and unconventional powders were applied using soft brushes over the latent prints.

Excess powder was gently removed to reveal ridge details.

Observation Focus: Ridge clarity, adhesion, contrast, and smudge resistance.

B. Paste-Assisted Application

A thin layer of charcoal + glycerine paste was applied on the latent print surface.

Selected powders were sprinkled over the paste, followed by gentle spreading with a soft applicator.

Prints were imaged immediately or allowed to stabilize depending on the substrate.

Theoretical Advantage: Paste improves particle retention, enhances ridge continuity, and reduces background interference.

Step 5: Evaluation of Developed Prints

Developed fingerprints were assessed using:

Ridge clarity: Sharpness and continuity of ridge patterns

Contrast quality: Visibility of ridge details against the substrate

Adhesion efficiency: Retention of powder on residues

Background interference: Amount of non-specific staining

Smudge resistance: Stability during handling

A semi-quantitative scoring system (1–5) was employed for comparative evaluation across powders, substrates, and application methods.

Step 6: Data Analysis and Interpretation

High-resolution imaging captured all developed prints for documentation.

Comparative analysis determined the most effective powder and paste-powder combinations for each substrate and aging condition.

Statistical evaluation (mean scores, variance) identified patterns of performance and reproducibility.

Theoretical Integration: The interaction between powder characteristics, paste properties, substrate type, and residue condition determines the overall effectiveness of latent fingerprint visualization.

Step 7: Experimental Significance

This experimental narrative demonstrates:

The hybrid efficacy of paste-assisted powder application

The versatility of combining conventional and unconventional powders for multicolored and complex substrates

A sustainable, low-cost approach suitable for field-level forensic application

Enhanced recovery of aged, weak, or degraded fingerprints that are often challenging with traditional methods

Conclusion:

The experimental design bridges theoretical principles of adhesion, surface chemistry, and optical contrast with practical forensic application. By integrating a charcoal-glycerine paste with diverse powders, this study establishes a robust, adaptable, and reproducible methodology for latent fingerprint visualization across multiple surfaces and conditions.

VII. MECHANISTIC INTERPRETATION

Latent fingerprints are composed of eccrine secretions (water, salts, amino acids) and sebaceous secretions (lipids, fatty acids, wax esters). The visualization mechanism relies on physical and chemical interactions between these residues, the powders, and the paste medium.

A. Powder-Residue Interaction

Mechanism:

Mechanical Adhesion: Fine powder particles adhere to the sticky lipid-rich regions of the residue, filling the valleys between ridges.

Electrostatic Forces: Differential charges between powder and residue surface enhance selective particle deposition.

Capillary Attraction: Moisture content in fresh or aged prints attracts hydrophilic powders (e.g., soil or turmeric), improving adherence.

Outcome: Ridge patterns become visible due to differential powder deposition along the high-contact areas of the fingerprint.

Key Points:

Particle size and morphology influence ridge resolution and continuity.

Dark powders (charcoal, black powder) increase contrast on light surfaces, while light powders (white, turmeric) enhance visibility on dark substrates.

B. Paste-Assisted Mechanism (Charcoal + Glycerine)

Mechanism:

Paste Layer Formation: Charcoal and glycerine create a semi-solid layer that adheres to latent residues.

Enhanced Particle Retention: Powder particles are trapped within the paste matrix, increasing adhesion to weak or degraded residues.

Moisture Stabilization: Glycerine retains moisture, preventing desiccation of residues and ensuring continuous ridge development.

Contrast Enhancement: Charcoal provides strong optical contrast, highlighting ridge details against the substrate.

Outcome: Ridge clarity and continuity are improved, background interference is reduced, and smudging is minimized.

Key Points:

Effective for aged prints or prints with low secretion content.

Paste allows controlled deposition, ensuring uniform coverage over ridges without disturbing delicate prints.

C. Substrate Influence

Mechanism:

Non-Porous Surfaces: Powders and paste remain on the surface, producing sharp ridge definition.

Porous Surfaces: Residues penetrate the substrate; the paste acts as a carrier, allowing powders to interact with absorbed secretions.

Multicolored or textured surfaces: Powder selection and paste layer provide contrast and adhesion, overcoming background interference.

D. Integrated Powder-Paste Mechanism Stepwise Mechanistic Flow:

Fingerprint residue deposited on substrate.

Paste layer applied → forms semi-solid interface with residue.



Powder applied → adheres preferentially to ridge areas via mechanical, electrostatic, and capillary interactions.

Ridge patterns become visible → charcoal enhances contrast, glycerine stabilizes residue.

Developed print evaluated for clarity, adhesion, and smudge resistance.

Key Interpretation:

The hybrid system synergizes powder adhesion with paste stabilization, addressing limitations of dry powder alone (weak or aged prints, porous or multicolored surfaces) and achieving reproducible ridge visualization.

Theoretical Basis / Rationale

Latent Fingerprint

Natural prints from multiple donors; pressure variation (light, medium, heavy); aging: fresh, 24h, 72h

Residue composition (eccrine + sebaceous) affects adhesion and powder interaction

Substrates

Non-porous: Glass, Plastic, Metal Semi-porous: Laminated wood, Coated paper Porous: Plain paper, Cardboard

Substrate porosity and texture influence powder adhesion and ridge clarity Powders

Conventional: Black, Grey, White, Metallic Unconventional: Turmeric, Soil, Red Brick, Multicolored

Particle size, color contrast, and optical properties determine ridge visibility and adherence

Paste Formulation

Charcoal + Glycerine ± fine powder addition

Paste provides semi-solid interface for adhesion, stabilizes ridge morphology, reduces smudging, enhances contrast

Application Method

1. Dry Powder Dusting (for standard comparison) 2. Paste-Assisted Powder Application (hybrid)

Paste increases retention on weak/aged prints; powder provides contrast; method adapted to substrate type

Evaluation Parameters

Ridge clarity, continuity, contrast, adhesion, background interference, smudge resistance

Reflects combined effect of powder properties, paste, residue, substrate, and application

Data Collection

High-resolution imaging; semi-quantitative scoring (1–5)

Enables standardized comparison across powder types, substrates, and aging conditions

Analysis

Comparative scoring; mean, variance; identification of optimal powder– paste combinations

Determines best-performing materials and techniques for diverse forensic scenarios

Novelty / Outcome

Hybrid paste-powder system using all powders; sustainable, low-cost; enhanced visualization of aged, weak, and multicolored prints

Integrates theoretical adhesion and optical contrast principles with practical forensic application

VIII. ANALYTICAL INSIGHTS

The study of latent fingerprint visualization using conventional and unconventional powders, both alone and in a charcoal-glycerine paste formulation, reveals several key insights into mechanistic performance, material interaction, and operational efficacy.

A. Powder Performance Analysis

Conventional Powders (Black, Grey, White, Metallic):

High effectiveness on non-porous surfaces.

Metallic powders provided enhanced visibility under angled lighting.

Limitation: Reduced adhesion on aged or weak prints; smudging occurred with fragile residues.

Unconventional Powders (Turmeric, Soil, Red Brick, Multicolored):

Turmeric and red brick provided natural color contrast on light surfaces.

Soil powders offered mechanical adhesion due to coarse particles.

Multicolored powders enhanced visualization on complex backgrounds, but risked uneven ridge deposition.

Insight: Powder choice must be tailored to substrate type, surface color, and print condition.

B. Paste-Assisted Powder Performance

The charcoal-glycerine paste significantly improved:

Ridge continuity: Particles adhere uniformly along the ridge path.

Contrast enhancement: Charcoal darkens ridge areas; glycerine stabilizes residue for better imaging.

Adhesion on aged prints: The semi-solid paste prevents particle loss and preserves fragile residues. Paste-assisted methods outperformed dry powder dusting on porous and semi-porous substrates.

Insight: Hybrid paste-powder systems are more versatile and reduce reliance on substrate-specific powders.

C. Substrate Interaction Insights

Non-Porous Surfaces:

Both dry powder and paste-assisted methods produced sharp ridges.

Minimal background interference.

Semi-Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders showed partial ridge visibility; paste-assisted application enhanced adhesion and contrast.

Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders alone were insufficient; paste-assisted powders enabled ridge development by forming a controlled adhesive interface.

Insight: Substrate porosity is a major determinant in method selection; paste enhances applicability across all surface types.

D. Ridge Quality and Scoring Insights

Fresh Prints: All powders performed well; paste slightly enhanced ridge sharpness.

Aged Prints (24–72 hrs): Dry powders showed reduced adhesion and fragmented ridges. Paste-assisted powders maintained ridge integrity, with higher semi-quantitative scores.

Observation: Paste-assisted visualization increases reproducibility and provides consistent results across variable conditions.

E. Operational and Practical Insights

Hybrid system benefits:

Reduces background noise, especially on multicolored or patterned substrates.

Supports low-cost, field-applicable forensic investigation.

Integrates all powder types into a single adaptable formulation.

Limitations:

Requires preparation of paste; may not be ideal for rapid on-scene dusting without prior setup.

Excessive paste layer can obscure fine ridge details; optimal thickness is critical.

F. Strategic Recommendations

Use dry powders for fresh prints on clean non-porous surfaces for speed and simplicity.

Use charcoal-glycerine paste with powder for aged, weak, porous, or multicolored substrates.

Combine powders in multicolored formulations with paste for complex forensic scenarios requiring high contrast.

Powder-Specific Mechanistic Insights

Black Powder:

Strong contrast on light surfaces; excellent ridge visibility.

Particle morphology allows mechanical locking onto lipid-rich residues.

Limitation: less effective on dark or patterned backgrounds.

Grey Powder:

Moderate contrast; useful for intermediate-colored surfaces.

Offers smoother deposition with less background dusting than black powder.

White Powder:

Enhances ridge visibility on dark surfaces.

Hydrophilic nature interacts preferentially with eccrine residues.

Metallic Powder:

Reflective properties increase visibility under angled or directional lighting.

Ideal for smooth surfaces; may produce glare on glossy substrates.

Turmeric:

Naturally bright yellow; high contrast on pale surfaces.

Adsorptive property improves retention on residues with moisture content.

Red Brick Powder:

Coarse texture provides mechanical adhesion, especially on uneven substrates.

Adds color contrast where conventional powders may blend with background.

Soil Powder:

Rough surface enhances physical locking; absorbs excess moisture from residue.

Effective in outdoor or dusty environments where prints may be contaminated.

Multicolored Powder:

Combines multiple hues to improve contrast against multicolored or patterned backgrounds.

Risk: uneven particle deposition may reduce ridge sharpness if not applied carefully.

2. Paste-Assisted Mechanistic Insights

The charcoal-glycerine paste introduces additional mechanisms:

Enhanced Ridge Adhesion: The semi-solid medium stabilizes residues, allowing powder particles to adhere even when prints are aged or degraded.

Moisture Retention: Glycerine prevents rapid evaporation, preserving eccrine components necessary for particle attraction.

Contrast Amplification: Charcoal provides a strong visual background, improving clarity on light and multicolored substrates.

Controlled Powder Distribution: Paste ensures uniform coverage, preventing excessive smearing or uneven deposition.

Observation: The hybrid paste-powder system outperforms dry powder in all substrate types (nonporous, semi-porous, and porous) and under varying environmental conditions.

3. Substrate-Dependent Performance

Non-Porous Surfaces:

Sharp, continuous ridges achievable with both dry powder and paste-assisted methods.

Paste slightly improves adhesion on faint or light residues.

Semi-Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders show partial ridge development; paste-assisted powders improve continuity and contrast.

Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders insufficient; paste serves as a carrier medium, allowing powder particles to interact with absorbed residues.

Textured / Multicolored Surfaces:

Hybrid system reduces background interference while enhancing ridge pattern recognition.

4. Aging and Environmental Effects

Fresh Prints: All powders produce satisfactory ridge clarity; paste improves subtle ridge details.

Aged Prints (24–72 hours): Dry powders often fail due to residue degradation; paste-assisted powders retain ridge integrity.

Environmental Conditions:

High humidity can enhance initial powder adhesion but may accelerate residue degradation.

Heat increases evaporation of moisture; paste counteracts this by retaining water content.

IX. COMPARATIVE MATRIX

Comparative Matrix: Powder vs Paste-Assisted Fingerprint Visualization

Black Powder

High contrast on light surfaces; good ridge clarity for fresh prints

Very high contrast; improved adhesion on aged prints; minimal smudging

Non-porous, semi-porous

Excellent on glass, plastic; paste improves performance on porous surfaces

Grey Powder

Moderate contrast; smooth deposition; reduced background noise

High contrast; improved ridge continuity

Non-porous, semi-porous

Suitable for intermediate-colored surfaces; paste improves aged prints

White Powder

Effective on dark surfaces; hydrophilic adhesion

High contrast on dark surfaces; stabilized ridge morphology

Non-porous, semi-porous

Works best on dark substrates; paste prevents smudging and enhances aged print recovery

Metallic Powder

Reflective under angled light; moderate adhesion

Enhanced adhesion; improved visibility; reduced glare with paste

Non-porous, semi-porous

Excellent for smooth surfaces; paste stabilizes ridge patterns

Turmeric

Bright yellow contrast on pale surfaces; moderate adhesion

Stronger adhesion; ridge continuity improved

Non-porous, porous

Natural powder; paste enhances performance on weak residues

Red Brick Powder

Coarse particle adhesion; uneven ridge pattern

Uniform ridge development; better contrast

Semi-porous, porous

Paste assists in adhesion; ideal for textured surfaces

Soil Powder

Rough texture; good mechanical adhesion; moderate contrast

Improved retention; ridge clarity enhanced

Outdoor surfaces; porous

Effective in dusty or environmental prints; paste ensures continuity

Multicolored Powder

Good visibility on multicolored/patterned surfaces; risk of uneven deposition Uniform ridge visualization; strong contrast

All substrates

Paste ensures even particle distribution; reduces background interference

Matrix Insights

- Paste-assisted powders outperform dry powders across all substrate types, especially on aged, weak, or porous prints.

- Conventional powders work well on fresh prints and non-porous surfaces, but the hybrid paste system enhances their versatility.
- Unconventional powders (turmeric, soil, red brick, multicolored) benefit significantly from paste assistance, particularly for challenging surfaces.
- Substrate type strongly influences choice; non-porous surfaces allow both dry and paste-assisted powders, while porous or multicolored substrates require paste-mediated enhancement.
- Aging and environmental factors: Paste stabilizes residues and retains moisture, preserving ridge morphology and adhesion for prints up to 72 hours old.

Table 2: Comparative Matrix – Dry Powder vs Paste-Assisted Fingerprint Visualization

Performed Powder
High contrast on light surfaces; good ridge clarity for fresh prints
Very high contrast; improved adhesion on aged prints; minimal smudging
Non-porous, semi-porous
Excellent on glass, plastic; paste improves performance on porous surfaces
Grey Powder
Moderate contrast; smooth deposition; reduced background noise
High contrast; improved ridge continuity
Non-porous, semi-porous
Suitable for intermediate-colored surfaces; paste improves aged prints
White Powder
Effective on dark surfaces; hydrophilic adhesion
High contrast on dark surfaces; stabilized ridge morphology
Non-porous, semi-porous
Works best on dark substrates; paste prevents smudging and enhances aged print recovery
Metallic Powder
Reflective under angled light; moderate adhesion
Enhanced adhesion; improved visibility; reduced glare with paste
Non-porous, semi-porous
Excellent for smooth surfaces; paste stabilizes ridge patterns
Turmeric
Bright yellow contrast on pale surfaces; moderate adhesion
Stronger adhesion; ridge continuity improved
Non-porous, porous
Natural powder; paste enhances performance on weak residues
Red Brick Powder
Coarse particle adhesion; uneven ridge pattern
Uniform ridge development; better contrast
Semi porous, porous
Paste assists in adhesion; ideal for textured surfaces
Soil Powder
Rough texture; good mechanical adhesion; moderate contrast
Improved retention; ridge clarity enhanced
Outdoor surfaces; porous
Effective in dusty or environmental prints; paste ensures continuity
Multicolored Powder
Good visibility on multicolored/patterned surfaces; risk of uneven deposition
Uniform ridge visualization; strong contrast
All substrates
Paste ensures even particle distribution; reduces background interference

X. HYPOTHESIS EVOLUTION

A. Initial Conceptual Hypothesis

Assumption: Conventional fingerprint powders (black, grey, white, metallic) adhere sufficiently to latent residues for ridge visualization across common substrates.

Limitation: Dry powders may fail to develop aged, weak, porous, or multicolored residue patterns.

Initial Hypothesis:

“Dry powders alone can provide adequate ridge clarity and contrast for latent fingerprint visualization on standard substrates.”

B. Observational Refinement

Field and literature studies indicated:

Aged or weak prints often produce fragmented or incomplete ridge patterns.

Porous or patterned substrates reduce powder adhesion and background contrast.

Natural/unconventional powders (turmeric, soil, red brick) offer potential but are unpredictable without stabilization.

Refined Hypothesis:

“Integration of unconventional powders and substrate-specific selection can improve ridge visualization but requires additional stabilization for weak or aged prints.”

C. Mechanistic Integration

Considering residue composition (eccrine + sebaceous), powder adhesion mechanisms, and substrate interactions:

Weak or aged prints lack sufficient moisture and lipid content, reducing powder retention.

A semi-solid paste medium could stabilize residue and enhance adhesion, allowing uniform powder deposition.

Mechanistic Hypothesis:

“A paste formulation combining charcoal (contrast) and glycerine (adhesive stabilizer) will enhance powder adherence, ridge continuity, and visibility on challenging substrates and aged residues.”

D. Experimental Hypothesis

Final Working Hypothesis:

“Hybrid application of all powder types, either alone or incorporated within a charcoal-glycerine paste, will improve latent fingerprint visualization by enhancing ridge clarity, adhesion, and contrast across diverse substrates and aging conditions compared to dry powders alone.”

E. Hypothesis Evolution Flow

Dry Powder Alone → Limited to fresh prints, non-porous substrates.

Powder Selection & Optimization → Inclusion of unconventional powders improves adaptability.

Mechanistic Understanding → Paste stabilizes weak residues and enhances particle adhesion.

Hybrid System Hypothesis → Paste + all powders improves visualization, reproducibility, and substrate versatility.

Key Insight: The hypothesis evolved from a simple powder-based assumption to a mechanistically driven, hybrid system hypothesis, providing a foundation for experimental testing and analytical evaluation in the study.

XI. SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT

The study's focus on combining conventional powders, unconventional powders, and a charcoal-glycerine paste allows for a comprehensive sustainability evaluation in forensic practice. Sustainability is assessed across material sourcing, cost-effectiveness, environmental impact, and operational practicality.

A. Material Sustainability

Conventional powders (Black, Grey, White, Metallic):

Readily available, industrially manufactured; may involve chemical processing.

Low risk in small forensic quantities, but large-scale use can have minor environmental implications due to synthetic pigments or metallic residues.

Unconventional powders (Turmeric, Soil, Red Brick, Multicolored natural powders):

Derived from natural sources, biodegradable, and environmentally friendly.
Minimal processing required, reducing energy consumption and chemical usage.
Sustainable for repeated field use with low ecological footprint.

Charcoal + Glycerine Paste:

Charcoal is a renewable material from biomass; glycerine is a by-product of biodiesel or soap production.
Both ingredients are biodegradable, non-toxic, and cost-effective, making the paste eco-friendly and low-waste.

Insight: The hybrid system leverages natural and renewable resources without compromising performance.

B. Economic Sustainability

Cost-Effectiveness:

Conventional powders are moderately priced, while turmeric, soil, and red brick are extremely low-cost and widely available.
Charcoal-glycerine paste is inexpensive, easily prepared in labs or field kits.

Resource Optimization:

The hybrid paste-powder system reduces powder wastage through controlled deposition.
Minimal amounts of materials are needed for high-contrast ridge visualization.

Conclusion: The approach is financially sustainable for both routine laboratory and field forensic applications.

C. Operational and Practical Sustainability

Ease of Preparation and Application:

Dry powders require minimal setup; paste needs simple mixing, with no specialized equipment.
Paste-assisted powders enhance reproducibility, reducing the need for repeated visualization attempts.

Durability and Storage:

Powders and paste are stable under standard storage conditions.
Paste can be stored in airtight containers for long-term use without losing effectiveness.

Field Sustainability:

Hybrid system is adaptable to different surfaces and environmental conditions.
Reduces dependency on multiple single-purpose powders by combining them into one versatile formulation.

D. Environmental and Safety Considerations

Eco-Friendly Materials: Use of turmeric, soil, charcoal, and glycerine ensures low environmental impact.

Low Toxicity: No hazardous chemicals or volatile solvents are required, ensuring operator safety.

Waste Minimization: Controlled application reduces leftover material, preventing unnecessary disposal.

The hybrid paste-powder system is sustainable in terms of environment, cost, and operational efficiency.

Use of natural powders (turmeric, soil, red brick) aligns with green chemistry principles.

Paste reduces powder wastage and enhances long-term applicability, ensuring minimal material consumption per experiment.

The approach supports eco-conscious forensic practice, especially in large-scale investigations or field deployments.

XII. FORENSIC CASE SIMULATION

To evaluate the practical applicability of the hybrid powder-paste system, a forensic case simulation was designed to replicate real-world crime scene conditions. The study focused on the visualization of latent fingerprints using conventional powders (black, grey, white, metallic), unconventional powders (turmeric, soil, red brick, multicolored), and a charcoal-glycerine paste-assisted formulation. The simulation incorporated a variety of substrates, fingerprint types, and environmental conditions to assess the robustness, reproducibility, and operational feasibility of the methods.

Deposition of Prints: Fingerprints collected from multiple donors to reflect natural variation.

Application Methods:

- Dry Powder Dusting for baseline comparison.
- Paste-Assisted Powder Application using charcoal-glycerine paste with all powder types.

Visualization: Ridge patterns photographed under standard lighting and angled illumination.

Evaluation Metrics:

- Ridge clarity and continuity
- Adhesion and powder retention
- Background interference
- Smudge resistance

Data Analysis:

Semi-quantitative scoring (1–5)

Comparative analysis across powders, substrates, and print conditions

Non-Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders provided clear ridge patterns for fresh prints.

Paste-assisted powders improved visualization for aged or weak prints, with minimal smudging.

Semi-Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders partially adhered; ridge patterns often fragmented.

Paste-assisted powders provided uniform adhesion and enhanced ridge continuity.

Porous Surfaces:

Dry powders were largely ineffective.

Paste-assisted powders formed a semi-solid adhesive interface, allowing powder particles to reveal ridge patterns even on weak or aged prints.

Environmental Effects:

Outdoor dust and humidity had minimal impact on paste-assisted powders.

Paste retained moisture in eccrine residues, ensuring reproducibility.

XIII. INTERDISCIPLINARY NATURE

This study exemplifies an interdisciplinary approach by integrating forensic science, chemistry, and physics. Forensic science provides the core framework for latent fingerprint recovery, while chemistry and material science explain powder-paste adhesion, particle morphology, and interactions with eccrine and sebaceous residues. Physics and optics enhance visualization by optimizing light reflection, contrast, and particle distribution, ensuring clear ridge patterns across diverse substrates and environmental conditions. Additionally, the research incorporates biology, environmental science, and engineering. Knowledge of human fingerprint residue composition guides powder selection and paste formulation, while sustainable natural powders and biodegradable paste components address ecological responsibility. Engineering principles optimize paste viscosity, application, and reproducibility, making the methodology practical for field use. The integration of these disciplines ensures a holistic, sustainable, and effective approach to latent fingerprint visualization.

XIV. LIMITATIONS AND OPTIMIZING STRATEGIES

A. Limitations

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of the hybrid powder-paste system, several limitations were observed during experimental evaluation. First, dry powders often fail to reveal weak or aged fingerprints, especially on porous or multicolored surfaces, limiting their standalone applicability. Second, the paste-assisted system, while enhancing adhesion and ridge clarity, requires additional preparation time, which may be a constraint in rapid field applications. Third, environmental factors such as extreme heat, excessive humidity, or outdoor contaminants (dust, soil, or moisture) can still partially affect ridge visualization, especially for prints older than 72 hours. Finally, the variation in substrate texture and residue composition among different donors introduces variability in powder adhesion and ridge clarity, necessitating standardized protocols for reproducibility.

B. Optimizing Strategies

To address these limitations, several optimization strategies can be employed. The hybrid paste-powder system can be pre-formulated in field-ready kits, reducing preparation time and ensuring consistent viscosity and particle distribution. Selecting powder types based on substrate characteristics—for example, white or metallic powders for dark surfaces, turmeric or soil for porous or textured surfaces—improves contrast and ridge definition. Controlled application techniques, including gentle brushing or swiping with standardized tools, minimize background interference and smudging.

Environmental adaptation strategies, such as applying the paste in shaded or indoor conditions when possible, or pre-conditioning aged prints with moisture stabilization, enhance adhesion. Finally, implementing standardized scoring and imaging protocols ensures reproducibility and allows quantitative comparison across prints, substrates, and powder formulations.

XV. SCIENTIFIC INFERENCE

The study demonstrates that integrating conventional and unconventional powders with a charcoal-glycerine paste significantly enhances latent fingerprint visualization. The paste improves particle adhesion, ridge continuity, and contrast, particularly on aged, weak, or porous prints. Natural powders like turmeric and soil, when stabilized in the paste, provide eco-friendly alternatives while maintaining effectiveness. Overall, the hybrid system offers a reproducible, versatile, and environmentally responsible method suitable for a wide range of substrates and forensic scenarios.

Scientific Inference (Point-wise)

- 1) Paste-assisted powders enhance ridge clarity, adhesion, and contrast compared to dry powders alone.
- 2) Aged, weak, or porous prints are more effectively visualized using the hybrid paste system.
- 3) Natural powders (turmeric, soil, red brick) provide a sustainable and effective alternative.
- 4) All powder types can be integrated into the paste for versatile, substrate-independent application.
- 5) The method ensures reproducibility, field applicability, and minimal environmental impact.

XVI. FUTURE SCOPE AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The hybrid powder-paste system opens multiple avenues for further research and technological development in forensic science. Future studies can explore nanoparticle powders or fluorescent additives to enhance ridge contrast under UV or alternative lighting. Optimization of paste viscosity and formulation can enable faster field deployment and standardized kits for investigative teams. Integration with digital imaging, AI-based ridge pattern recognition, and automated deposition systems could improve the efficiency and accuracy of latent print recovery. Additionally, the method can be tested across extreme environmental conditions and unconventional substrates such as fabrics, textured metals, and ceramics to expand its forensic applicability.

Practical Implications

The hybrid paste-powder method has direct applicability in real-world crime scene investigations. Its versatility across substrates, ability to reveal aged and weak prints, and use of eco-friendly materials make it suitable for laboratory and field conditions. The approach reduces the need for multiple powders, saves time, and enhances the reliability of forensic evidence. Implementation in forensic kits or law enforcement protocols can improve evidence collection efficiency while minimizing environmental and operator risks. The method also has potential educational value, providing training modules for forensic students and field personnel on advanced fingerprint visualization techniques.

XVII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents and sisters for their unwavering support, encouragement, and motivation throughout the course of this research. Their constant belief in my abilities, patience during challenging times, and inspiration to pursue excellence have been invaluable in completing this study. I am deeply thankful for their love and guidance, which provided both emotional strength and the determination needed to carry this work to fruition.

I would also like to acknowledge all my mentors, colleagues, and friends who shared their knowledge, provided constructive feedback, and offered valuable assistance during the research process. Their guidance and collaboration greatly enriched this work and helped me achieve the study's objectives.

Author Contributions

S. Vivek: Conducted methodology development and performed the literature review. Anjali Verma: Provided supervision throughout the research process.

Neha Chaurasiya: Served as mentor and guide, offering guidance on study design, analysis, and interpretation.

REFERENCES WITH DOI

- [1] Champod, C., Lennard, C., Margot, P., & Stoilovic, M. (2001). Powder method for detecting latent fingerprints: A review. *Forensic Science International*, 120(3), 172–176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S03790738\(00\)00465-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S03790738(00)00465-5)
- [2] Bhosale, M. P., & Bagul, M. S. (2024). Development of latent fingerprints on various surfaces – A review. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 11(2), 646–649. <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST24112108>
- [3] Parkale, K. J., & Bagul, M. S. (2024). A review of latent fingerprint developed powder from using natural materials. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 11(2), 715–717. <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST24112115>

- [4] Haque, F., Barson, I. W., & Kerr, F. M. (2021). Commonly available, everyday materials as non-conventional powders for the visualization of latent fingerprints. *Forensic Chemistry*, 24, 100339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forc.2021.100339>
- [5] Prasad, S., Soman, S., & Christal, G. L. (2024). Development of latent fingerprints using non-conventional powder methods. *Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology*, 41(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.48165/jfmt.2024.41.1.14>
- [6] Yu, Y.-C., Deng, H.-S., & Cho, L.-L. (2025). Solvent-free method for developing latent fingerprints by applying superfine ninhydrin powder. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 70(6), 2492–2501. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.70141>
- [7] Yadav, P. K. (2019). Development of fingerprints on thermal papers — A review. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 9, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41935-019-0152-4>
- [8] Dhunna, A., Anand, S., Aggarwal, A., & Verma, P. (2018). New visualization agents to reveal the hidden secrets of latent fingerprints. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 8, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41935-018-0063-9>

Appendix




POLYARIS GEM
100

Turmeric powder.




POLYARIS GEM
100

Grey powder



Black powder

White powder



Multicolored powders.

Red brick powder




Metallic powder



Soil Powder.



CHARCOAL + GLYCERINE PASTE



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)