



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 **Issue:** IV **Month of publication:** April 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.68383>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Ultrasonic Testing: A Non-Destructive Testing Method for Aerospace Applications

Geetanjali L. Sambhaji¹, Mr. Mahesh Teleti²

¹U.G. Student, Department of Space Engineering, Ajeenkya D Y Patil University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Space Engineering, Ajeenkya D Y Patil University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Ultrasonic Testing (UT) is a widely recognized Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) method used majorly in the aerospace industry for detecting internal flaws, measuring material thickness, and ensures structural integrity. By employing high-frequency sound waves, UT enables precise inspection without damaging the tested component. Techniques such as Pulse-Echo, Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing (PAUT), and Time-of-Flight Diffraction (TOFD) enhance detection accuracy, making UT highly effective in identifying cracks, voids, and delamination's in aerospace structures. Its ability to check complex geometries, composite materials, and weld joints makes UT crucial in aircraft manufacturing and maintenance. Real-life applications, such as the detection of pickle fork cracks in Boeing 737 NG aircraft and wing rib issues in Airbus A380, highlight its critical role in ensuring flight safety. As aerospace technology advances, integrating UT with Artificial Intelligence (AI) is expected to further enhance defect detection accuracy, automation, and data analysing efficiency.

Keywords: Non-Destructive Testing, Defect detection, Structural integrity, phased array UT, Pulse echo, Time of flight diffraction, Artificial Intelligence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The aerospace industry demands severe quality control to ensure the structural integrity and performance of aircraft components. Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) techniques are essential for examining materials without causing damage. Among various NDT methods, Ultrasonic Testing (UT) stands out due to its ability to detect internal defects, determine material thickness, and evaluate welds with high precision. This paper provides an in-depth exploration of UT principles, equipment, and aerospace applications.

II. PRINCIPLES OF ULTRASONIC TESTING

Ultrasonic Testing depend on high-frequency sound waves (typically 0.5 MHz to 25 MHz) that propagate through materials to detect internal flaws. The basic working principle is based on sound wave reflection and transmission.

A. Wave Propagation

Ultrasonic waves are generated by piezoelectric transducers that convert electrical energy into mechanical vibrations. These waves travel through the test material and reflect back when they come across discontinuities (such as cracks, voids, or attachments). The reflected signals are captured and analysed to determine the presence, size, and location of defects.

B. Types of Ultrasonic Waves

- Longitudinal Waves (Compressional Waves): Particle motion is parallel to wave propagation. Used for detecting internal defects.
- Shear Waves (Transverse Waves): Particle motion is perpendicular to wave direction. Preferred for detecting surface cracks and weld flaws.
- Rayleigh Waves (Surface Waves): Travel along the surface; ideal for inspecting surface defects.
- Lamb Waves (Plate Waves): Used for testing thin sheets or layered materials

III. COMPONENTS AND TECHNIQUES

A. The Essential Components In Ultrasonic Testing Include

1) Transducers (Probes):

Contact Transducers: Used for surface testing with couplants to boost sound transmission.

Immersion Transducers: Used in water baths for detailed scanning of aerospace parts.

Phased Array Transducers: Advanced probes with multiple elements for navigation and focusing ultrasonic beams.

- 2) *Couplants*: Substances like gels, oils, or water that ensure efficient sound wave transmission from the transducer into the test material.
- 3) *Flaw Detectors (UT Instrument)*: Display units with digital signal processing abilities that visualize reflected echoes.
- 4) *Calibration Blocks*: Standard reference blocks for regulating equipment to safeguard measurement accuracy.

B. Several UT techniques are Employed Based On Material Properties And Inspection Requirements

- 1) *Pulse-Echo Technique*: A transducer emits pulses, and echoes are reflected back when they encounter defects. The time delay of reflected waves reveals the flaw's depth and position.
- 2) *Through-Transmission Technique*: Two transducers are positioned on opposite sides of the material. A reduction in signal intensity indicates the presence of defects.
- 3) *Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing (PAUT)*: Utilizes an array of transducer elements to steer and focus ultrasonic beams. Ideal for complex geometries and composite materials used in aerospace.
- 4) *Time-of-Flight Diffraction (TOFD)*: Measures diffraction patterns from crack tips, offering precise flaw sizing and depth measurement. Widely used for weld inspection.

IV. APPLICATIONS, ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

A. Ultrasonic Testing Plays a Crucial role in Ensuring Aerospace Safety and Performance.

Key applications include:

- 1) *Aircraft Structural Components*: Inspection of fuselage skins, wing spars, and landing gear for cracks, corrosion, and fatigue.
- 2) *Composite Materials*: UT effectively identifies delamination's, voids, and impact damage in composite structures.
- 3) *Weld Integrity Assessment*: Ensures weld quality in critical aerospace joints.
- 4) *Turbine and Engine Components*: Detects internal flaws in turbine blades, shafts, and critical rotating parts.
- 5) *Thickness Measurement*: Measures material thickness to assess corrosion levels, especially in aging aircraft

B. The Advantages of Ultrasonic Testing Include

- 1) High accuracy in defect detection
- 2) Non-destructive inspection method
- 3) Suitable for both metallic and composite material
- 4) Enhances safety and reliability
- 5) Provides real-time and fast inspection
- 6) Deep penetration capability for thick structures
- 7) Cost-effective maintenance solution
- 8) Can be integrated with automation and robotics
- 9) Complies with aerospace safety standards
- 10) Minimal environmental impact
- 11) Portable and allows on-site inspection
- 12) Versatile for various aircraft components
- 13) Detects hidden and subsurface defects
- 14) Improves aircraft lifecycle management
- 15) Reduces aircraft downtime

C. The disadvantages of Ultrasonic Testing are

- 1) Requires skilled and trained personnel for accurate interpretation
- 2) Surface must be properly prepared (clean and smooth) for effective testing
- 3) Limited effectiveness for detecting defects in highly irregular or complex shapes
- 4) Difficult to inspect materials with high acoustic attenuation (e.g., porous composites)
- 5) Requires coupling medium (gel, water, or oil) for proper signal transmission
- 6) High initial equipment cost for advanced ultrasonic testing systems
- 7) Sensitivity to orientation of defects—may miss cracks parallel to the sound waves
- 8) Limited coverage area per scan, requiring multiple scans for large structures

- 9) Cannot easily detect defects near the surface compared to other NDT methods
- 10) Signal interpretation can be complex and requires experience
- 11) Environmental factors (temperature, humidity) can affect accuracy
- 12) Slower compared to some automated NDT methods like eddy current testing
- 13) Cannot inspect internal structures if access is highly restricted
- 14) Some aerospace coatings or bonded layers can interfere with signal transmission
- 15) Equipment can be bulky for field inspections in tight aircraft spaces

V. CASE STUDY

A. Real-Life Example: Cracks in Boeing 737 NG Aircraft

In 2019, multiple airlines reported cracks in the pickle fork — a critical component connecting the aircraft's wing structure to the fuselage — in Boeing 737 Next Generation (NG) aircraft. This component is designed to withstand heavy stress throughout the aircraft's operational life.

Incident Overview: During routine inspections, ultrasonic testing revealed cracks in several pickle forks much earlier than expected in their lifespan. These cracks, if undetected, could have compromised the aircraft's structural integrity, potentially leading to catastrophic failure.

Role of Ultrasonic Testing: Phased Array Ultrasonic Testing (PAUT) played a key role in detecting hairline fractures and stress-induced cracks that were invisible to the naked eye. By scanning through complex metallic structures, PAUT precisely mapped the crack locations, allowing engineers to implement timely repairs.

Outcome: Boeing recommended urgent inspections using UT for all 737 NG aircraft with over 30,000 flight cycles, helping airlines detect and address potential risks before major failures occurred. This incident demonstrated how ultrasonic testing's precision can prevent severe safety risks in commercial aviation.

VI. CONCLUSION

Ultrasonic Testing has proven indispensable in ensuring the safety and reliability of aerospace structures. Its precision, adaptability, and ability to detect internal defects make it one of the most effective NDT methods. With advancements in phased array and TOFD techniques, UT continues to evolve as a cornerstone of aerospace inspection practices.

A. My Point of View

In my perspective, the increasing complexity of aerospace structures demands constant innovation in testing methods. Ultrasonic Testing's ability to detect micro-level defects makes it a crucial tool for preventing catastrophic failures. While techniques like PAUT and TOFD have enhanced UT's accuracy, further integration with Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) can revolutionize defect detection and analysis, reducing human error and improving inspection efficiency. As aerospace materials evolve — particularly with the rising use of composites — adapting UT techniques to these materials will remain vital. Overall, ultrasonic testing will continue to play a pivotal role in ensuring the safety, performance, and longevity of aerospace systems.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who supported me throughout the preparation of this paper. I am deeply thankful to my mentor, Mr. Mahesh Teleti Sir, for their invaluable guidance, insightful suggestions, and continuous encouragement, which played a vital role in shaping this research.

I extend my heartfelt thanks to Ajeenkya D Y Patil University, Pune for providing access to essential resources and research materials that greatly facilitated my study.

I would also like to acknowledge the contributions of various researchers and industry professionals whose extensive work in the field of Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and Ultrasonic Testing has been a key reference for this paper.

Lastly, I am grateful to my family and friends for their unwavering support and motivation throughout this endeavour. Their encouragement has been instrumental in completing this research successfully.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hull, B., & John, V. (1988). Non-Destructive Testing. Springer.
- [2] Krautkrämer, J., & Krautkrämer, H. (1990). Ultrasonic Testing of Materials. Springer.
- [3] Mix, P. E. (2005). Introduction to Non-destructive Testing: A Training Guide. Wiley-Interscience.
- [4] Moles, M. J., & Moles, D. R. (2010). Ultrasonic testing techniques for aerospace applications. Journal of Non-destructive Evaluation, 29(3), 145-158.



- [5] Thompson, D. O., & Chimenti, D. E. (2005). Advances in ultrasonic testing for aerospace structures. *Review of Progress in Quantitative Non-destructive Evaluation*, 24(1), 345-350.
- [6] Cawley, P., & Alleyne, D. N. (2008). Ultrasonic NDT of aerospace composite structures. *Journal of Materials Science*, 43(5), 1736-1745.
- [7] ASTM International. (2021). ASTM E2375-21: Standard practice for ultrasonic testing of wrought products. ASTM International.
- [8] American Society for Non-destructive Testing. (2016). ASNT Handbook: Ultrasonic Testing (Vol. 7). ASNT.
- [9] National Aerospace Standard (NAS). (2018). NAS 410: Certification and qualification of NDT personnel. Aerospace Industries Association.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)