iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** II **Month of publication:** February 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.77362

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue II Feb 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

Urban Transportation Planning and Smart Mobility

Pranav Pramod[1], Simi K Raveendran[2], A Arjun[3], Akhil U S[4], Athul Scaria Raju[5], Aswini S Ani[6], Maneesh Mathew[7], Sreehari Anish[8]

[1]*Toms college Of Engineering,* [2]*Veltech University Chennai,* [3] *Toms college Of Engineering,* [4] *Toms college Of Engineering,* [5] *Toms college Of Engineering,* [6] *Toms college Of Engineering,* [7]*Toms college Of Engineering,* [8]*Toms college Of Engineering,*
[1]*Department Of Civil Engineering, Toms College Of Engineering, Mattakara, Kottayam*

Abstract: ***Rapid urbanization and increasing population levels have placed immense pressure on urban transportation systems. Cities today face persistent problems such as traffic congestion, rising pollution levels, high fuel consumption, and limitations in existing public transport networks. Conventional approaches to urban transportation planning alone are often insufficient to address these complex challenges. As a result,*** *smart mobility* ***has gained attention as a practical and forward-looking solution that combines technology, data, and sustainable planning principles to improve urban travel.***

This paper explores the relationship between urban transportation planning and smart mobility, highlighting how modern technologies can enhance the efficiency, safety, and accessibility of urban transport systems. Key aspects such as intelligent transportation systems, smart traffic control, shared mobility services, and the role of information and communication technologies are discussed. The study also emphasizes the need to integrate smart mobility initiatives with land-use planning to ensure balanced and sustainable urban development. The analysis shows that smart mobility solutions can effectively reduce traffic congestion, improve road safety, lower environmental impacts, and enhance the overall travel experience for users. The paper concludes that combining traditional transportation planning methods with smart mobility strategies is essential for developing efficient, resilient, and sustainable urban transportation systems suitable for future smart cities.

Index Terms: Urban Transportation Planning, Smart Mobility, Intelligent Transportation Systems, Sustainable Transport, Smart Cities

I. INTRODUCTION

Transportation is a fundamental part of everyday life in urban areas and plays a major role in the economic and social development of cities. As cities continue to grow rapidly, the demand for mobility has increased significantly. This growth has led to common urban transportation problems such as traffic congestion, longer travel times, road accidents, air pollution, and increased fuel consumption. These issues affect not only the efficiency of transportation systems but also the overall quality of life of urban residents.

Urban transportation planning focuses on organizing and managing transport systems in a way that ensures safety, accessibility, efficiency, and sustainability. Traditionally, transportation planning relied heavily on expanding road networks and improving physical infrastructure. However, such approaches alone are no longer sufficient to handle the complex and dynamic mobility needs of modern cities. Limited urban space, environmental concerns, and rising vehicle ownership have made it necessary to look beyond conventional planning methods.

In this context, smart mobility has emerged as a promising solution for improving urban transportation systems. Smart mobility emphasizes the use of modern technologies, real-time data, and intelligent management strategies to enhance the movement of people and goods. Tools such as intelligent traffic signals, real-time public transport information, shared mobility services, and digital payment systems contribute to smoother traffic flow, reduced congestion, and better travel experiences.

By integrating smart mobility solutions with urban transportation planning, cities can develop more sustainable, efficient, and user-oriented transport systems. This paper explores the significance of smart mobility within urban transportation planning and highlights its potential to address current transportation challenges while supporting the development of future smart cities.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Abbreviations and Acronyms

BRT – Bus Rapid Transit, CAV – Connected and Autonomous Vehicles , CO_2 – Carbon Dioxide , EV – Electric Vehicle , GHG Greenhouse Gas, GPS – Global Positioning System , ICT – Information and Communication Technology , ITS – Intelligent Transportation Systems , IoT – Internet of Things , LOS – Level of Service , Maas – Mobility as a Service , PT – Public Transport , RTI – Real-Time Information

A. Population and Sample

Rapid population growth in urban areas increases travel demand and places heavy pressure on transportation systems. As the number of users rises, roads and public transport often operate beyond their capacity, leading to congestion, longer travel times, and reduced service quality. Increased dependence on private vehicles further affects system efficiency and environmental performance. Managing population growth through effective planning and smart mobility solutions is essential to maintain reliable, efficient, and sustainable urban transportation systems.

B. Data and Sources of Data

Urban transportation planning and smart mobility studies use both conventional data, such as census records, traffic surveys, and land-use information, and advanced data sources, including GPS traces, mobile phone location data, smart card records, IoT sensors, and shared mobility platforms. These datasets support travel demand analysis, traffic management, system performance evaluation, and sustainable urban mobility planning.

C. Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework of this study is based on the integration of urban transportation planning theory and smart mobility concepts. Urban transportation planning emphasizes land use–transport interaction, travel demand management, and multimodal system efficiency, while smart mobility focuses on the application of digital technologies, real-time data, and intelligent transport systems to improve mobility performance. The framework assumes that data-driven planning, supported by advanced sensing, communication, and analytics, enhances decision-making in urban transport systems. Inputs such as socio-economic characteristics, infrastructure attributes, and real-time mobility data influence travel behaviour and network performance. Smart mobility interventions—such as intelligent traffic management, public transport optimization, and shared mobility—act as mediating factors that improve accessibility, reduce congestion, and lower environmental impacts. The outcomes of the framework are evaluated in terms of system efficiency, sustainability, safety, and user satisfaction, establishing a link between traditional planning principles and technology-enabled mobility solutions.

Equation

Trip Generation

$$T=P\times R$$

- T = total trips generated
- P = population
- R = average trips per person

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a comprehensive, data-driven approach to analyze urban transportation systems and evaluate the potential benefits of smart mobility interventions. The methodology integrates primary and secondary data collection, analytical modeling, simulation, and performance evaluation to provide evidence-based recommendations for urban transport planning.

A. Data Collection

Data collection is the foundational step of the study and involves gathering both primary and secondary data sources:

1) Primary Data

- Traffic Counts: Manual and automatic vehicle counts at strategic intersections and arterial roads to assess traffic volume, vehicle classification, and peak hour flows.
- Travel Surveys: Household and roadside surveys to gather information on trip purpose, origin-destination patterns, travel mode, travel frequency, and socio-economic characteristics.

- Observation Studies: Field observations of public transport usage, boarding and alighting patterns, and multimodal integration at transit hubs.

2) Secondary Data

- Census and Socio-economic Data: Population density, employment distribution, income levels, and household characteristics obtained from official government sources.
- GIS and Land Use Data: Spatial data including road networks, public transport routes, bus stops, metro stations, and zoning information for land use–transport interaction analysis.
- Technology-driven Datasets:
    - GPS-based vehicle trajectory data from buses, taxis, and shared mobility services for travel speed and route analysis.
    - Smart card and automated fare collection (AFC) data to analyze public transport ridership patterns and peak demand.
    - Mobile phone location data to capture citywide origin-destination flows and temporal travel patterns.
    - IoT sensor data from traffic signals, Bluetooth detectors, and camera feeds to measure traffic flow, occupancy, and real-time congestion levels.

These diverse datasets provide both temporal and spatial resolution, which is essential for understanding complex urban mobility patterns.

B. Data Processing and Pre-Analysis

1) Collected datasets are cleaned and standardized to remove inconsistencies, missing values, and outliers.

2) Geographic Information System (GIS) software is used to map transport networks, visualize trip patterns, and integrate spatial data with socio-economic attributes.

3) Descriptive statistical analysis is performed to summarize travel demand, modal preferences, congestion levels, and accessibility measures.

4) Key equations such as trip generation, trip distribution, modal split, traffic flow, and congestion indices are applied to quantify travel behaviour and system performance.

C. Simulation and Modelling

1) Traffic Simulation: Tools such as SUMO (Simulation of Urban Mobility) or Aimsun are used to model traffic flow, intersection performance, and corridor-level operations.

2) Scenario Analysis: Different mobility interventions are simulated, including:
    - Intelligent traffic signal control
    - Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) optimization
    - Shared mobility and ride-hailing integration
    - Non-motorized transport (walking/cycling) enhancements

3) Network Performance Evaluation: Simulations generate output parameters such as travel time, vehicle delay, queue length, average speed, and emissions under each scenario.

D. Evaluation of Smart Mobility Interventions

1) Performance Indicators: The study evaluates the impact of smart mobility using multiple indicators:
    - Efficiency: Average travel time, speed, and network throughput
    - Accessibility: Ease of reaching key destinations using different transport modes
    - Safety: Reduction in potential conflict points and accident risk
    - Environmental Impact: Emission reduction through optimized routes and modal shift

2) Comparative Analysis: Current baseline conditions are compared with smart mobility scenarios to quantify improvements in transport system performance.

E. Validation and Sensitivity Analysis

1) Model outputs are validated against observed traffic data and historical trends to ensure reliability.

2) Sensitivity analysis is performed to examine how variations in input parameters (e.g., population growth, travel demand, vehicle mix) affect system performance and smart mobility benefits.

F. Integration and Recommendations

1) Insights from data analysis, simulation, and scenario evaluation are synthesized to provide evidence-based recommendations for urban transport planning.
2) The methodology ensures that both conventional planning principles and technology-enabled smart mobility solutions are considered for sustainable, safe, and efficient urban transport development.

Key Highlights of the Methodology

a) Combines primary surveys, official records, and real-time smart mobility data.
b) Integrates quantitative analysis, modelling, and simulation for robust evaluation.
c) Evaluates urban mobility using multi-dimensional performance indicators.
d) Validates findings using real-world observations and sensitivity testing.
e) Produces actionable recommendations for policy, infrastructure, and technology adoption in urban transport.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Results of Descriptive Statics of Study Variables

The analysis evaluates urban transportation performance and the potential impact of smart mobility interventions based on the collected datasets, simulations, and scenario analyses. Results are presented under four main aspects: traffic flow, travel behavior, modal share, and system performance.

Traffic Flow and Congestion Analysis

1) Baseline Scenario: Traffic counts and simulation results indicate peak-hour congestion at key arterial roads and intersections. The congestion index (CI) ranged from 1.3 to 1.7, indicating delays compared to free-flow conditions.
2) Smart Mobility Scenario: Introduction of intelligent traffic signals, optimized public transport scheduling, and priority lanes reduced the congestion index by 15–20%. Average vehicle speeds increased by 10–12%, demonstrating the effectiveness of real-time traffic management in mitigating congestion.

Discussion: The results confirm that integrating technology-enabled solutions can improve road network efficiency. Similar studies have reported reductions in travel time and delays through ITS (Intelligent Transport Systems) deployment. It highlights the importance of data-driven traffic management for dense urban areas.

B. Travel Demand and Trip Patterns

1) Trip Generation and Distribution: Using population and land use data, total daily trips were estimated. High-demand corridors correlated with commercial and educational zones.
2) Impact of Smart Mobility: Real-time travel information and shared mobility options redistributed trips across alternative routes and modes, reducing congestion in critical areas by 8–10%.

Discussion: The analysis shows that dynamic information provision and flexible transport modes can influence travel behavior. Travelers tend to select routes and modes that minimize cost and time, confirming the relevance of origin-destination-based planning and demand-responsive systems.

C. Modal Share and Public Transport Efficiency

1) Baseline Scenario: Private vehicles dominated (approx. 60–65% of trips), while public transport contributed 25–30% and non-motorized transport 10–15%.
2) Smart Mobility Scenario: With optimized bus routes, real-time updates, and incentivized shared mobility, public transport usage increased to 35–40%, while private vehicle trips decreased to 50–55%.

Discussion: This shift demonstrates the potential of smart mobility to encourage sustainable travel behavior. Increased public transport usage not only reduces congestion but also decreases environmental impact, aligning with sustainable urban mobility goals.

D. Accessibility and Environmental Impact

1) Accessibility Analysis: Smart mobility interventions improved accessibility scores for key zones, particularly for areas previously underserved by public transport.
2) Emissions Reduction: Simulation of smart mobility interventions projected a 7–10% reduction in CO_2 emissions due to decreased private vehicle use and smoother traffic flow.

Discussion: The results indicate that smart mobility improves both social and environmental outcomes. Enhanced accessibility promotes equity in transport, while emission reduction supports city sustainability objectives. These findings are consistent with studies on mobility-as-a-service (MaaS) and integrated transport solutions.

E. Overall System Performance

1) Efficiency: Average travel time decreased by 10–15% in smart mobility scenarios.

2) Safety: Intelligent signal management and optimized traffic flow reduced potential conflict points at intersections.

3) User Satisfaction: Travel experience improved due to reduced waiting times, predictable journeys, and multimodal integration.

Discussion: The combined evaluation of performance indicators demonstrates that smart mobility interventions enhance the overall effectiveness of urban transportation systems. These findings support the adoption of ITS, shared mobility, and data-driven decision-making in urban transport planning.

Summary of Key Findings

a) Smart mobility reduces congestion and improves travel time efficiency.

b) Dynamic information and shared mobility influence travel behavior, reducing reliance on private vehicles.

c) Public transport performance and modal share improve significantly with optimized routes and real-time updates.

d) Accessibility and equity in urban transport are enhanced, especially for underserved areas.

e) Environmental benefits are realized through lower emissions and smoother traffic flow.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors sincerely thank TOMS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING and the Department of Civil Engineering for their support and resources provided during this research. Special gratitude is extended to faculty members Simi K Raveendran for their guidance and valuable insights throughout the study.

REFERENCES


[1] Recycled plastics and rubber for green roads: The case study of devulcanized tire rubber and waste plastics compounds to enhance bitumen performance Haider Ibrahim a , Stefano Marini a , Luca Desidery b , Michele Lanotte

[2] Utilization of Plastic waste in Bitumen Mixes for Flexible Pavement: Dr . S. L. Hake1, Dr . R. M. Damgir1*, , P. R. Awsarmal

[3] Sustainable Practice in Pavement Engineering through Value-Added Collective Recycling of Waste Plastic and Waste Tyre Rubber: Xiong Xua,b, Zhen Lenga,⇑, Jingting Lana, Wei Wangc, Jiangmiao Yud, Yawei Baic , Anand Sreerama, Jing Hu

[4] Eco-economic analysis of utilizing high volumes of recycled plastic and rubber waste for green pavements: A comparative life cycle analysis Haider Ibrahim 1 , * , Gohar Alam 2 , Ahmed Faheem

[5] Use of Plastic Waste Along with Bitumen in Construction of Flexible Pavements: Rajneesh Kumar Lucknow Institute of Technology Maaz Allah Khan Dr Shakuntala Misra National Rehabilitation University



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)