iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 14 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.83808

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.538
Volume 14 Issue VI June 2026- Available at www.ijraset.com

Visible Light Communication: Principles, Architectures, and Emerging Applications

Zidan Gmah Ali Mady[1], Salama Ghaith S. Alghiryani[2]
[1]*Dept. of Elecrical and Electronics Engineering, the Higher Institute of Sciences and technology, Aljofra-sokna, Libya*
[2]*Department of Renewable Energy, the Higher Institute of Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Technologies, Aljofra-sokna, Libya*

Abstract: ***Visible Light Communication (VLC) is an emerging wireless communication technology that utilizes the visible light spectrum (380–780 nm) for simultaneous illumination and high-speed data transmission. By modulating the intensity of Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) at rates imperceptible to the human eye, VLC offers a transformative solution to the congested radio frequency (RF) spectrum. This paper presents a comprehensive review of VLC principles, system architectures, modulation techniques, channel characteristics, and diverse applications. We examine transmitter and receiver designs, including advanced multi-channel RGB configurations and MIMO architectures. The paper analyzes channel-modeling approaches for both indoor and underwater environments, evaluates key modulation schemes including OFDM and Color Shift Keying, and discusses critical challenges such as ambient light interference, non-line-of-sight propagation, and uplink implementation. We conclude by exploring future directions, including Li-Fi integration with 5G/6G networks, underwater optical wireless communication, and intelligent reflecting surfaces. Our analysis indicates that VLC technology, with its abundant unlicensed spectrum and inherent security advantages, is positioned to play a pivotal role in next-generation wireless networks.***
Keywords: Visible Light Communication (VLC), Li-Fi, Optical Wireless Communication, LED Modulation, Indoor Positioning, Underwater Communication, OFDM, MIMO

I. INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth in wireless data traffic, driven by the proliferation of Internet of Things (IoT) devices, mobile applications, and emerging technologies such as virtual reality and autonomous systems, has placed immense pressure on the congested radio frequency (RF) spectrum. According to Cisco's Annual Internet Report, global IP traffic projected to reach 4.8 zettabytes per year by 2026, necessitating innovative approaches to spectrum utilization [1]. This bandwidth crunch has motivated researchers to explore alternative frequency bands beyond the traditional RF spectrum.

Visible Light Communication (VLC) often referred to as Li-Fi (Light Fidelity), presents a promising alternative by leveraging the vast, unlicensed visible light spectrum (380–780 nm). The concept, popularized by Professor Harald Haas at the 2011 TED Global conference, utilizes standard LED lighting infrastructure to transmit data at high speeds while maintaining normal illumination functionality [2]. The visible light spectrum offers approximately 10,000 times more bandwidth than the entire RF spectrum, making VLC an attractive candidate for next-generation wireless networks.

The unique advantages of VLC extend beyond spectrum availability. Unlike RF signals, light waves do not penetrate through walls, providing inherent physical-layer security and enabling dense spatial reuse of frequencies. This characteristic addresses growing concerns about wireless network security and interference. Furthermore, VLC leverages existing lighting infrastructure, reducing deployment costs and energy consumption. The dual functionality of illumination and communication aligns with sustainability goals and green technology initiatives.

The global VLC market is experiencing significant growth. According to market research reports, the Li-Fi market projected to reach $2.5 billion by 2028, with a compound annual growth rate exceeding 25% [3]. This growth driven by increasing demand for high-speed indoor internet, secure communication in sensitive environments, and emerging applications in healthcare, aviation, and underwater exploration.

This paper provides a comprehensive review of VLC technology, covering fundamental principles, system architectures, channel characteristics, modulation techniques, and emerging applications. Also, present a detailed analysis of recent advances in Li-Fi systems, including multi-channel configurations, MIMO implementations, and integration with existing networking infrastructure. The paper also addresses critical challenges and identifies promising future research directions.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The concept of optical wireless communication dates back to Alexander Graham Bell's invention of the photo phone in 1880, which transmitted voice signals using modulated sunlight [4]. However, the modern era of VLC began with the development of high-brightness LEDs and the realization that these devices modulated at high speeds. Early research in the 1990s and 2000s focused on basic modulation schemes and channel characterization [5]. The landmark demonstration by Haas and his team at the University of Edinburgh in 2011, achieving data rates exceeding 100 Mbps using a standard LED lamp, marked a turning point in the field [2].

III. SCOPE AND CONTRIBUTION

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive and accessible overview of VLC technology for researchers, practitioners, and students. The key contributions include:

1) A systematic review of VLC system architectures, including detailed transmitter and receiver designs
2) Comprehensive analysis of channel characteristics and modeling approaches
3) Evaluation of modulation techniques with their advantages and limitations
4) Discussion of emerging applications across indoor, outdoor, and underwater environments
5) Identification of key challenges and future research directions

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND COMPONENTS

A. Transmitter Design

A typical VLC transmitter consists of three primary components: a data source, a modulation unit, and an LED driver circuit. The LED driver modulates the current flowing through the LED to encode data onto the optical carrier. Since LEDs have fast switching capabilities (in the megahertz range), they modulated at high speeds without noticeable flickering, provided the modulation frequency exceed 200 Hz.



Figure 1: The Visible Light Spectrum position within the electromagnetic spectrum, showing its frequency range compared to other communication bands



Figure 2: General VLC system architecture showing transmitter and receiver signal processing chains

B. Multi-Channel and Wavelength Division Multiplexing

In multi-channel systems, multiple LEDs of different colors (e.g., RGB) used to increase data throughput through wavelength division multiplexing (WDM). This approach capitalizes on the fact that different colors of light modulated independently and detected separately using color filters or demultiplexing techniques. Figure 3 shows a multi-channel VLC system using RGB LEDs with an Arbitrary Waveform Generator (AWG) for parallel data transmission.



Figure 3: Multi-channel VLC system using RGB LEDs with an Arbitrary Waveform Generator (AWG) for parallel data transmission

C. MIMO Configurations

For Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) configurations, angle diversity transmitters employed to improve spatial coverage and data rates. These systems use multiple LEDs with different orientations to create independent communication channels. Figure 4 depicts an indoor MIMO-VLC system using angle diversity transmitters with center and side LEDs for enhanced coverage.



Figure 4: Indoor MIMO-VLC system using angle diversity transmitters with center and side LEDs for enhanced coverage

The implementation of MIMO in VLC systems presents unique challenges and opportunities. While RF MIMO relies on spatial separation of antennas to create independent channels, VLC MIMO can exploit the narrow beamwidth of LEDs and the directional nature of optical propagation. Recent research has demonstrated data rates exceeding 1 Gbps using 2×2 MIMO configurations [6].

D. 2.2 Receiver Design

The receiver typically employs a photodiode (PD) or an avalanche photodiode (APD) to convert the received optical signal into an electrical current. The signal then undergoes amplification, filtering, and demodulation. Key considerations in receiver design include:

1) Responsivity: The efficiency of converting optical power to electrical current
2) Bandwidth: The maximum modulation frequency the receiver can support
3) Noise Characteristics: Including thermal noise, shot noise, and dark current
4) Field of View (FOV): Determining the angular range from which the receiver can collect light

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Figure 5 illustrates a VLC receiver circuit with three-stage amplification using OPA544T operational amplifiers, showing signal waveforms at each stage. The multi-stage amplification design provides sufficient gain while maintaining signal integrity, with each stage optimized for specific frequency components.



Figure 5: VLC receiver circuit with three-stage amplification using OPA544T operational amplifiers, showing signal waveforms at each stage

V. LI-FI INTERNET ARCHITECTURE

Li-Fi extends VLC to provide full bidirectional internet connectivity. The architecture integrates standard networking infrastructure with LED-based access points, enabling high-speed wireless communication in indoor environments.

Figure 6 presents the Li-Fi Internet Architecture showing server connectivity, LED lamp access points, and user devices with Li-Fi dongles. The architecture typically includes:

1) Core Network: Connection to the internet backbone via fiber optic or Ethernet links
2) Access Points: LED luminaires equipped with modulation circuitry and network interfaces
3) User Devices: Laptops, smartphones, and IoT devices equipped with photodetectors and infrared or visible-light uplink transmitters
4) Backhaul Connectivity: Wired connections between access points and the network infrastructure.



Figure 6: Li-Fi Internet Architecture showing server connectivity, LED lamp access points, and user devices with Li-Fi dongles

Figure 7 provides a more detailed view of the downlink and uplink communication paths. The downlink utilizes visible light from LED lamps, while the uplink typically employs infrared LEDs to avoid visual interference with the downlink and provide full-duplex communication.



Figure 7: Li-Fi system with downlink (from LED to devices) and uplink (from devices to LED) data streams

Figure 8 shows an educational Li-Fi deployment scenario with multiple access points covering a classroom environment. This scenario demonstrates the practical feasibility of Li-Fi in real-world settings, highlighting the seamless coverage area and user mobility support.



Figure 8: Educational serving purpose of Li-Fi showing multiple LED lamps providing coverage to users in an indoor environment

A. Bidirectional Communication Considerations

The implementation of bidirectional communication in Li-Fi systems presents several challenges:

1) Uplink Transmission: User devices must transmit data back to the access point, requiring additional optical components

2) Interference: Uplink signals must not interfere with downlink reception
3) Power Constraints: Mobile devices have limited battery capacity for uplink transmission
4) Line-of-Sight Requirements: Both downlink and uplink benefit from clear line-of-sight paths

Common solutions for uplink include infrared LEDs, which are less visible to the human eye and can operate simultaneously with visible light downlinks. Retro-reflector-based systems offer an alternative approach, where the user device modulates the reflection of the downlink signal rather than generating its own optical power [7].

VI. CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS AND MODELING

A. Indoor Channel Modeling

The VLC channel is fundamentally different from RF channels. It dominated by line-of-sight (LOS) propagation, with significant contributions from diffuse reflections from walls, ceilings, and other surfaces. The channel impulse response typically modeled using the Lambertian radiation pattern of LEDs and the geometric properties of the indoor environment.

Figure 9 shows the average of channel impulse response at different receiver positions, demonstrating the dominant LOS component followed by diffuse reflections. The LOS component arrives first with maximum power, followed by a series of reflected components that decay over time.



Figure 9: Average Channel Impulse Response at different receiver positions, showing the dominant LOS component followed by diffuse reflections

Figure 10 presents the channel impulse response for OFDM-based VLC systems, showing both ideal LOS and multipath diffuse components. The multipath components can cause inter-symbol interference (ISI) and frequency-selective fading, which must be addressed through appropriate equalization techniques.



Figure 10: Channel Impulse Response for OFDM-based VLC systems showing (a) ideal LOS and (b) multipath diffuse components

B. Underwater Channel Modeling

For underwater applications, the channel affected by absorption and scattering, requiring specialized modeling. Water absorbs RF signals but allows blue/green light to propagate, making VLC ideal for underwater communication.

Figure 11 illustrates the underwater optical wireless communication channel model showing transmitter and receiver on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV). The channel model must account for:

1) **Abso**rption: The conversion of optical energy to heat, dependent on water type and wavelength
2) Scattering: The redirection of light by particles and molecules, causing signal spreading
3) Turbulence: Temperature and salinity gradients causing refractive index fluctuations
4) Bioluminescence**:** Background noise from marine organisms



Figure 11: Underwater optical wireless communication channel model showing transmitter and receiver on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV)

VII. MODULATION TECHNIQUES

VLC imposes unique constraints on modulation schemes due to the requirement for dimming control and flicker mitigation.

TABLE I
KEY MODULATION TECHNIQUES

Technique	Description	Advantages
OOK-NRZ	On-Off Keying with Non-Return-to-Zero encoding; simple on/off modulation of LED intensity	Simple implementation; low complexity; widely adopted in early VLC systems
VPPM	Variable Pulse Position Modulation; encodes data in the position of pulses within a symbol period	Supports dimming while maintaining data transmission; good power efficiency
OFDM	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing; uses multiple orthogonal subcarriers for high-speed transmission	High spectral efficiency; robust to multipath interference; supports adaptive modulation
CSK	Color Shift Keying; uses RGB LEDs to encode data in color variations rather than intensity	Enables parallel data transmission; reduces flickering; supports dimming without affecting communication
VPWM	Variable Pulse Width Modulation	Simple dimming integration; good for low-speed applications

A. Advanced Modulation Considerations

The choice of modulation technique significantly affects system performance:

- Dimming Support: Techniques like VPPM and VPWM allow simultaneous dimming control and data transmission, critical for practical deployment where lighting quality must be maintained
- Spectral Efficiency: OFDM offers the highest spectral efficiency but requires complex signal processing
- Power Efficiency: OOK-NRZ is the most power-efficient but lacks dimming capability
- Multi-level Modulation: Techniques like Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) and M-QAM can increase data rates but require higher SNR

Figure 12 shows the signal power distribution (mW) across an indoor space with multiple LED transmitters, revealing coverage patterns and interference zones. This visualization is crucial for optimizing access point placement and predicting system performance.



Figure 12: Signal Power distribution (mW) across an indoor space with multiple LED transmitters, showing the coverage pattern and interference zones

VIII. LITERATURE REVIEW AND PREVIOUS STUDIES

A. Foundational Research

Komine and Nakagawa (2004) conducted fundamental analysis of VLC systems using LED lights, establishing the theoretical framework for indoor VLC channel modeling and system design [8]. Their work demonstrated the feasibility of VLC for indoor applications and identified key performance parameters including SNR, bit error rate, and coverage area. This study laid the groundwork for subsequent research in channel characterization and system optimization.

Ghassemlooy, Popoola, and Rajbhandari (2019) provided a comprehensive treatment of optical wireless communications, covering system and channel modeling with MATLAB implementations [9]. Their work remains a definitive reference for researchers in the field, addressing both theoretical foundations and practical implementation aspects. The second edition incorporated advances in modulation techniques, MIMO systems, and underwater communications.

B. Recent Advances (2020-2026)

Pathak et al. (2015) published an extensive survey on VLC, networking, and sensing, identifying potential applications and challenges [10]. The study highlighted the integration of VLC with existing network infrastructure and identified key research directions including hybrid RF/VLC systems, mobility management, and security considerations.

Ding et al. (2025) conducted a comprehensive analysis of Li-Fi technology focusing on positioning algorithms, security vulnerabilities, and future IoT applications [11]. Their work evaluated multiple positioning algorithms (IVLVL, AOATOA, MLARA, HPADT, VLCHP, H3DL, RSSAOA, LANAH, RSSINS) and demonstrated significant improvements in accuracy and robustness. The study also identified critical security concerns including eavesdropping risks and jamming attacks, proposing countermeasures for secure Li-Fi deployment.

C. Emerging Research Areas

Recent research has expanded VLC applications into new domains:

Underwater Optical Wireless Communication: The unique propagation characteristics of blue/green light in water have motivated extensive research into underwater VLC systems. Studies have demonstrated data rates exceeding 1 Gbps over short ranges, with applications in underwater sensor networks, AUV communication, and ocean monitoring [12].

Optical Camera Communication (OCC): Smartphone cameras are being explored as VLC receivers for low-speed broadcast applications. OCC enables applications such as indoor positioning, advertising, and authentication using the ubiquitous cameras in mobile devices [13].

Intelligent Reflecting Surfaces (IRS): Programmable metasurfaces are being developed to enhance NLOS coverage by redirecting light beams. This approach offers the potential to overcome the line-of-sight limitations of VLC, extending coverage to shadowed areas [14]. AI-Enhanced VLC: Machine learning techniques are being applied to channel estimation, equalization, and interference mitigation in VLC systems. Deep learning approaches have demonstrated improved performance in complex indoor environments with dynamic channel conditions [15].

D. Performance Evaluation

Figure 13 provides a comparison between Wi-Fi and Li-Fi showing frequency and data transfer rate differences. Li-Fi operates at >400 THz with proven speeds of 8 GB/s compared to Wi-Fi's 50 MB/s. This significant speed advantage stems from the abundant bandwidth available in the visible light spectrum.



Technology	Frequency	Data Transfer Rate
WiFi	2.4GHz (2.4 billion oscillations/second)	Current download speed = 50MB/s (50 million bits/second)
LiFi	> 400THz (400,000 billion oscillations/second)	Proven download speed = 8GB/s (8 billion bits/second)
Improvement factor	~200,000	~160

Figure 13: Comparison between Wi-Fi and Li-Fi showing frequency and data transfer rate differences. Li-Fi operates at >400 THz with proven speeds of 8 GB/s compared to Wi-Fi's 50 MB/s

IX. APPLICATIONS

A. Indoor High-Speed Internet

Li-Fi provides high-speed internet in environments where RF is restricted (e.g., hospitals, aircraft cabins, and hazardous industrial zones). The technology addresses the limitations of RF in these environments:

1) Hospitals: RF signals can interfere with medical equipment; VLC provides a safe alternative.
2) Aircraft: Restricted RF use during takeoff and landing; VLC can provide in-flight connectivity.
3) Industrial Zones: Hazardous environments where RF sparks could cause explosions; VLC ensures safety.

B. Indoor Positioning and Navigation

VLC enables precise indoor positioning by using the unique identification of each LED luminaire. This is particularly valuable for:

1) Visually Impaired Assistance: Figure 14 illustrates a Li-Fi-based indoor navigation system for blind persons, showing. secure path guidance using multiple LED access points.
2) Retail Analytics: Tracking customer movement patterns and providing location-based services.
3) Asset Tracking: Monitoring the location of equipment and inventory in warehouses.



Figure 14: Li-Fi based indoor navigation system for blind persons, showing secure path guidance using multiple LED access points

C. Underwater Communication

Water absorbs RF signals but allows blue/green light to propagate, making VLC ideal for underwater communication. Figure 15 shows an underwater optical wireless communication system using LED clusters at 635 nm and 610 nm with interference filters and quadrant photodiodes.



Figure 15: Underwater optical wireless communication using LED clusters at 635 nm and 610 nm with interference filters and quadrant photodiodes

Key underwater applications include:

1) Diver Communication: Enabling voice and data transmission between divers.
2) AUV Coordination: Controlling autonomous underwater vehicles in swarms.
3) Ocean Monitoring: Transmitting data from underwater sensors to surface stations.

D. Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication

VLC is being explored for vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) and vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communication using existing LED headlights and taillights. This application benefits from:

1) Low Latency: Critical for safety applications
2) Directional Communication: Reducing interference between vehicles
3) Integration: Leveraging existing lighting infrastructure

X. COMPARISON: VLC VS RF

Figure 16 presents a comprehensive comparison between VLC and RF technologies across multiple properties. The comparison highlights the complementary nature of the two technologies, with VLC offering advantages in bandwidth, security, and spectrum availability, while RF provides better mobility support and longer range.

Property		VLC	RF
Bandwidth		Unlimited, 400nm~700nm	Regulatory, BW Limited
EMI		No	High
Line of Sight		Yes	No
Standard		Beginning (IG-VLC)	Matured
Hazard		No	Yes
Mobile To Mobile	Visibility (Security)	Yes	No
	Power Consumption	Relatively low	Medium
	Distance	Short	Medium
Infra to Mobile	Visibility (Security)	Yes	No
	Infra	LED Illumination	Access Point
	Mobility	Limited	Yes
	Coverage	Narrow	Wide

Figure 16: Comprehensive comparison between VLC and RF technologies across multiple properties including bandwidth, EMI, security, power consumption, and coverage

TABLE III
KEY COMPARISON POINTS

Property	VLC	RF (Wi-Fi/5G)
Frequency	400-800 THz	2.4-6 GHz, 24-100 GHz
Bandwidth	~100+ GHz	~10 GHz
Security	High (walls block signal)	Low (signal penetrates walls)
Coverage	Room-level	Building-level
Mobility	Limited	High
Implementation	New infrastructure needed	Well-established
Line-of-Sight	Generally required	Not required
Interference	Low (from sunlight)	High (from other RF devices)

XI. NETWORK PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Figure 17 shows the speed performance comparison of different Li-Fi algorithms (IVLVL, AOATOA, MLARA, HPADT, VLCHP, H3DL, RSSAOA, LANAH, RSSINS) over time in Mbps. The analysis reveals significant variations in performance, with some algorithms achieving data rates exceeding 200 Mbps while others remain below 50 Mbps.



Figure 17: Speed performance comparison of different Li-Fi algorithms (IVLVL, AOATOA, MLARA, HPADT, VLCHP, H3DL, RSSAOA, LANAH, RSSINS) over time in Mbps

Figure 18 shows a Li-Fi cellular network architecture with multiple access points and backhaul connectivity. The cellular approach enables seamless handover for mobile users and efficient frequency reuse across the coverage area.



Figure 18: Li-Fi cellular network architecture showing multiple access points with backhaul connectivity, optical channels, and mobile users with photo detectors.

XII. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A. Key Challenges

1) Uplink Implementation

Providing a practical uplink from the user device to the network remains challenging. Solutions include:

- Infrared LEDs: Provide uplink in the infrared spectrum, avoiding visual interference.
- Retro-reflectors: Modulating reflected light rather than generating new optical signals.
- Hybrid Solutions: Using RF for uplink while using VLC for downlink.

2) Ambient Light Interference

Sunlight and other artificial light sources can degrade the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Mitigation techniques include:

- Optical Filtering: Blocking unwanted wavelengths.
- Spatial Filtering: Using narrow field-of-view receivers.
- Advanced Signal Processing: Canceling interference through digital signal processing.

3) Mobility Management

Seamless handover between LED cells is required for mobile users. Challenges include:

- Handover Latency: Minimizing interruption during cell transitions
- Load Balancing: Distributing users efficiently across access points
- Prediction Algorithms: Anticipating user movement to optimize handover

4) Non-Line-of-Sight Communication

Blockage of the direct path requires robust diffuse channel modeling. Solutions include:

- Diversity Techniques: Using multiple receivers to capture reflected signals
- Multi-Path Combining: Exploiting reflections to improve coverage
- Intelligent Reflecting Surfaces: Redirecting light to shadowed areas

B. Future Directions

1) Integration with 5G/6G

VLC expected to complement mmWave and sub-THz communications in heterogeneous networks (HetNets). The integration will provide:

- Multi-Connectivity: Simultaneous use of RF and optical links for reliability.
- Traffic Offloading: Using VLC for high-bandwidth local traffic.
- Seamless Handover: Coordinating between different radio access technologies.

2) Optical Camera Communication (OCC)

Using smartphone cameras as VLC receivers for low-speed broadcast applications. Advantages include:

- Ubiquitous Deployment: Cameras are already present in billions of devices.
- Scalability: Easy integration with existing applications.
- Location Services: Enabling precise indoor positioning.

3) Intelligent Reflecting Surfaces (IRS)

Deploying programmable metasurfaces to enhance NLOS coverage. The technology offers:

- Dynamic Beam Steering: Redirecting optical signals to where they are needed.
- Coverage Extension: Reaching areas with blocked line-of-sight.
- Energy Efficiency: Reducing transmit power requirements.

4) Artificial Intelligence Integration

Machine learning techniques being applied to:

- Channel Estimation: Predicting channel conditions from limited measurements.
- Resource Allocation: Optimizing power and modulation parameters.
- Interference Mitigation: Cancelling interference through adaptive algorithms.

5) Quantum-Enhanced VLC

Emerging research explores quantum communication over optical wireless links, promising:

- Unconditional Security: Quantum key distribution over VLC.
- Enhanced Sensitivity: Using quantum detection techniques.

XIII. CONCLUSION

Visible Light Communication represents a paradigm shift in wireless communications, transforming ubiquitous LED lighting into high-speed data access points. With its abundant spectrum (10,000 times more than the entire RF spectrum), inherent security, and energy efficiency, VLC is poised to play a critical role in addressing the global data traffic crunch. The technology's ability to provide simultaneous illumination and communication aligns with sustainability goals and leverages existing infrastructure.

This paper has provided a comprehensive review of VLC technology, covering fundamental principles, system architectures, channel characteristics, modulation techniques, and diverse applications. We have examined transmitter and receiver designs, including advanced multi-channel RGB configurations and MIMO architectures. Channel modeling approaches for both indoor and underwater environments analyzed and key modulation schemes including OFDM and Color Shift Keying evaluated.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |

The literature review highlighted recent advances in Li-Fi systems, including positioning algorithms (IVLVL, AOATOA, MLARA, HPADT, VLCHP, H3DL, RSSAOA, LANAH, RSSINS), security considerations, and IoT integration. Performance analysis demonstrated the significant speed advantages of VLC over traditional Wi-Fi, with proven data rates exceeding 8 GB/s.

While challenges remain in uplink design, mobility management, and interference mitigation, ongoing research in modulation, coding, and system, integration continues to advance the field toward commercial viability. Future directions including integration with 5G/6G networks, optical camera communication, intelligent reflecting surfaces, and AI-enhanced systems promise to the further expand the capabilities and applications of VLC technology.

As the demand for wireless data continues to grow and the RF spectrum becomes increasingly congested, VLC technology offers a viable path forward. The convergence of lighting and communication technologies represents a significant opportunity for innovation in wireless networking, with the potential to transform how we connect in indoor, underwater, and specialized environments.

REFERENCES

[1] Cisco, U. (2020). Cisco annual internet report (2018–2023) white paper. Cisco: San Jose, CA, USA, 10(1), 1-35.
[2] Haas, H. (2011). Wireless data from every light bulb. Markets and Markets. (2023). *Li-Fi Market by Component (LED, Photo detector, IC, Controller, PD), Application (Indoor Networking, Underwater Communication, IoT, Smart Lighting), and Region - Global Forecast to 2028*. Markets and Markets Research.
[3] Society of Telegraph Engineers. (1873). Journal of the Society of Telegraph Engineers (Vol. 1). Published under the supervision of the Editing Committee,(London, John King & Company, Queen Street Printing Works).
[4] Tanaka, Y., Komine, T., Haruyama, S., & Nakagawa, M. (2003). Indoor visible light data transmission system utilizing white LED lights. IEICE transactions on communications, 86(8), 2440-2454.
[5] Elgala, H., Mesleh, R., & Haas, H. (2011). Indoor optical wireless communication: potential and state-of-the-art. IEEE Communications magazine, 49(9), 56-62.
[6] Haas, H., Yin, L., Wang, Y., & Chen, C. (2016). What is LiFi? Journal of Lightwave Technology, 34(6), 1533-1544.
[7] Komine, T., & Nakagawa, M. (2004). Fundamental analysis for visible-light communication system using LED lights. IEEE transactions on Consumer Electronics, 50(1), 100-107.
[8] Ghassemlooy, Z., Popoola, W., & Rajbhandari, S. (2019). Optical wireless communications: system and channel modelling with Matlab®. CRC press.
[9] Pathak, P. H., Feng, X., Hu, P., & Mohapatra, P. (2015). Visible light communication, networking, and sensing: A survey, potential and challenges. IEEE communications surveys & tutorials, 17(4), 2047-2077.
[10] Razaque, A., Bektemyssova, G., Khan, M., Yoo, J., Khan, M. J., & Lloret, J. (2025). Comprehensive analysis of Li-Fi technology: positioning algorithms, security vulnerabilities, and future IoT applications. Telecommunication Systems, 88(4), 1-57.
[11] Arnon, S. (Ed.). (2015). Visible light communication. Cambridge University Press.
[12] Nguyen, T., Islam, A., Hossain, M. A., & Jang, Y. M. (2016). A survey of optical camera communication. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 18(4), 2730-2762.
[13] Beebe, N. H. (2022). A Complete Bibliography of Publications in ACM Computing Surveys. University of Utah: Salt Lake City, UT, USA.
[14] Alexandropoulos, G. C., Lerosey, G., Debbah, M., & Fink, M. (2020). Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces and metamaterials: The potential of wave propagation control for 6G wireless communications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.11136.
[15] Sejan, M. A. S., Rahman, M. H., Aziz, M. A., Kim, D. S., You, Y. H., & Song, H. K. (2023). A comprehensive survey on MIMO visible light communication: Current research, machine learning and future trends. Sensors, 23(2), 739.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved | SJ Impact Factor 7.538 | ISRA Journal Impact Factor 7.894 |



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)